K. Inoue and A. NodaNagoya Math. J.Vol. 85 (1982), 251-268

# INDEPENDENCE OF THE INCREMENTS OF GAUSSIAN RANDOM FIELDS

#### KAZUYUKI INOUE AND AKIO NODA

#### §1. Introduction

Let  $X = \{X(A); A \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  be a mean zero Gaussian random field  $(n \ge 2)$ . We call X Euclidean if the probability law of the increments X(A) - X(B) is invariant under the Euclidean motions. For such an X, the variance of X(A) - X(B) can be expressed in the form r(|A - B|) with a function r(t) on  $[0, \infty)$  and the Euclidean distance |A - B|.

We are interested in the dependence property of a Euclidean random field X and after P. Lévy [2] we introduce a set  $\mathscr{F}_X(P_1|P_2)$  for a pair of points  $P_1$ ,  $P_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$\mathscr{F}_{X}(P_{1}|P_{2}) = \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n}; E[(X(A) - X(P_{2}))(X(P_{1}) - X(P_{2}))] = 0\}.$$

The set  $\mathscr{F}_X(P_1|P_2)$ , we expect, would characterize the Euclidean random field X. This is the case for a Lévy's Brownian motion  $X_1$ , where r(t) = t. Indeed,  $\mathscr{F}_{X_1}(P_1|P_2)$  becomes the half-line emanating from  $P_2$ , i.e.,

$$\mathscr{F}_{X_1}(P_1|P_2) = \{A \in \mathbf{R}^n; |A - P_1| = |A - P_2| + |P_1 - P_2| \},$$

and the equality

$$\mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(P_1|P_2) = \mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(P_1|P_2), \qquad P_1, P_2 \in \mathbf{R}^n$$

implies that X has independent increments on any line in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and therefore that X is a Lévy's Brownian motion  $X_1$  under the normalizing condition r(1) = 1. There are however some cases where the set  $\mathscr{F}_X(P_1|P_2)$  is not rich enough to characterize X; for example we have  $\mathscr{F}_X(P_1|P_2) = \{P_2\}$  when r(t) is strictly concave on  $(0, \infty)$ . So we introduce in this paper a partition  $\{\mathscr{C}_X(P_1, P_2; q); q \in \mathbb{R}\}$  satisfying the following property: The increments X(A) - X(B) and  $X(P_1) - X(P_2)$  are mutually independent if and only if A and B belong to the same set  $\mathscr{C}_X(P_1, P_2; q)$  for some q. Our partition

Received July 18, 1980.

describes much finer structure of X than  $\{\mathscr{F}_X(P_1|P_2)\}$  and has a relation  $\mathscr{C}_X(P_1,P_2;1)=\mathscr{F}_X(P_1|P_2)$ . For a Lévy's Brownian motion  $X_1$ , the set  $\mathscr{C}_{X_1}(P_1,P_2;q)$  with 0<|q|<1 coincides with a sheet of the hyperboloid of two sheets of revolution with foci  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ :

$$\mathscr{C}_{X_1}(P_1, P_2; q) = \{A \in \mathbb{R}^n; |A - P_1| = |A - P_2| + q |P_1 - P_2| \}.$$

We now raise the following question: From the equality

$$\mathscr{C}_{\mathit{X}}(P_{\scriptscriptstyle 1},P_{\scriptscriptstyle 2};q)=\mathscr{C}_{\mathit{X}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}}\!(P_{\scriptscriptstyle 1},P_{\scriptscriptstyle 2};q) \qquad \text{for any $P_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$, $P_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}\in I\!\!R^n$ ,}$$

can one conclude that X with r(1) = 1 is a Lévy's Brownian motion  $X_1$ ? Contrary to the above mentioned case q = 1, i.e., of  $\mathcal{F}_{X_1}(P_1|P_2)$ , this question is not easily answered. In addition, we shall be concerned with not only a Lévy's Brownian motion but also more general Euclidean random field X, and we consider the following

PROBLEM 1. For some fixed  $q \in R$ , does a family of the sets  $\{\mathscr{C}_X(P_1, P_2; q); P_1, P_2 \in R^n\}$  characterize the Euclidean random field X?

The second problem we consider is concerned with projective invariance, which characterizes  $X_{\alpha}$  with  $r(t)=t^{\alpha}$   $(0<\alpha\leqslant 2)$  ([3]). It is easily seen that the projective invariance of  $X_{\alpha}$  is inherited by  $\mathscr{F}_{X_{\alpha}}(P_1|P_2)$  as follows: For any  $P_1$ ,  $P_2\in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the relation

$$\mathscr{F}_{X_{\sigma}}(TP_1|TP_2) = T\mathscr{F}_{X_{\sigma}}(P_1|P_2)$$

holds for each Euclidean motion, inversion with center  $P_2$  and similar transformation T on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We are naturally led to the converse problem:

PROBLEM 2. Does the relation

$$\mathscr{F}_{X}(TP_{1}|TP_{2}) = T\mathscr{F}_{X}(P_{1}|P_{2})$$

imply that the Euclidean random field X is an  $X_a$ ?

The purpose of this paper is to give partial answers to these problems. In fact, we shall solve the Problem 1 for some class of Euclidean random fields X, in particular, for  $X_{\alpha}$  with  $0 < \alpha \le 2$  (Theorems 2 and 3). We shall also show that the Problem 2 can be solved under some condition on X (Theorem 4).

We now give a summary of subsequent sections. Section 2 contains definitions and discussions of a general Gaussian random field X. We define the maximal conjugate set  $\mathscr{F}_X(A \mid \mathscr{E})$  for any non-empty subset  $\mathscr{E}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (Definition 1) and then introduce the set  $\mathscr{C}_X(P_1, P_2; q)$  (Definition 2)

which plays an important role in our investigations.

In Section 3 we begin with a description of a Euclidean random field X in terms of  $\mathcal{C}_X(P_1, P_2; 0)$ ; namely, a Gaussian random field X is Euclidean if and only if the relation

$$\mathscr{C}_X(P_1, P_2; 0) \supset \{A \in \mathbf{R}^n; |A - P_1| = |A - P_2|\}$$

holds for any  $P_1$ ,  $P_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (Theorem 1).

We are mainly concerned with Euclidean random fields X, on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , which correspond to r(t) expressed in the form

$$r(t) = ct^2 + \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-t^2 u}) u^{-1} d\gamma(u)$$

with r(1)=1, where  $c\geqslant 0$  and  $\gamma$  is a measure on  $(0,\infty)$  such that  $\int_0^\infty (1+u)^{-1}d\gamma(u) < \infty \ ([4]).$  For such an  $X_r$  we find a parametrization of  $\mathscr{C}_{X_r}(P_1,P_2;q)$  by a subset  $T_r(|P_1-P_2|;q)$  of  $[0,\infty)$ ; for  $a=|P_1-P_2|>0$ ,

$$T_r(a;q) = \{t \ge 0; r(|t-a|) \le r(t) + qr(a) \le r(t+a)\}$$
.

The explicit form of  $T_r(a; q)$  is given for some classes of r(t) (Propositions  $3A \sim 3E$ ). An important example of r(t) is

$$r(t)=\int_0^2 t^\alpha d\lambda(\alpha)$$

with a probability measure  $\lambda$  on (0, 2].

In Section 4 we consider the Problem 1 for  $X_r$  and  $q \neq 0$  in a slightly general setting:

PROBLEM 1'. Suppose that, for some Euclidean random field  $X_{r_1}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and some  $q_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ , the relation

$$\mathscr{C}_{\mathit{Xr}}(P_{\scriptscriptstyle 1},P_{\scriptscriptstyle 2};q) \subset \mathscr{C}_{\mathit{Xr}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}}\!(P_{\scriptscriptstyle 1},P_{\scriptscriptstyle 2};q_{\scriptscriptstyle 1})$$

holds for any  $P_1$ ,  $P_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then is it true that  $r_1(t) = r(t)$ ?

This problem changes into the uniqueness problem of the solution f(x) = x of the modified Cauchy's functional equation ([1]) with f(1) = 1 (Lemma 1):

$$f(qx + y) = q_1 f(x) + f(y)$$

for  $x \in r((0, \infty))$  and  $y \in r(T_r(r^{-1}(x); q))$ . Here we put  $r(F) = \{r(t); t \in F\}$  for a subset F of  $[0, \infty)$  and  $r^{-1}(t)$  is the inverse function of r(t) strictly

increasing. We can solve this equation for the above mentioned classes of  $X_r$  by using the properties of  $T_r(a;q)$  (Theorems 2 and 3). In particular, we note that the Problem 1' is completely answered for  $X_a$  (0 <  $\alpha \le 2$ ).

The final section contains the solution of the Problem 2 for  $X_r$  under the condition that  $T_r(a_0; 1) \supset [0, a_0]$  for some  $a_0 > 0$  (Theorem 4).

Acknowledgement. It is our pleasure to express our sincere gratitude to Professors T. Hida and I. Kubo for their kind advice.

# § 2. The sets $\mathcal{F}_X(A \mid \mathcal{E})$ and $\mathcal{C}_X(P_1, P_2; q)$

Let  $X = \{X(A); A \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$   $(n \ge 2)$  be a Gaussian random field such that X(A) - X(B) has mean zero and variance r(A, B). Then the covariance of the increments X(A) - X(P) and X(B) - X(P) is

(1) 
$$E[(X(A) - X(P))(X(B) - X(P))] = \{r(A, P) + r(B, P) - r(A, B)\}/2$$
.

We see that r(A, B) must satisfy the following conditions:

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} r(A,B)=r(B,A), \quad r(A,A)=0, \quad r(A,B)\geqslant 0 \quad \text{and} \\ \sum\limits_{i,j=1}^{N}a_{i}a_{j}r(A_{i},A_{j})\leqslant 0 \quad \text{for any } A_{i}\in \textbf{\textit{R}}^{n} \quad \text{and for any } a_{i}\in \textbf{\textit{R}} \\ \text{such that } \sum\limits_{i=1}^{N}a_{i}=0 \qquad (1\leqslant i\leqslant N<\infty) \; . \end{array} \right.$$

We assume that r(A, B) is jointly continuous and not identically zero.

We now introduce a decomposition of X(A) for any non-empty subset  $\mathscr{E}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

(3) 
$$X(A) = \mu(A \mid \mathscr{E}) + \sigma(A \mid \mathscr{E})\xi(A \mid \mathscr{E}),$$

where

$$\mu(A \mid \mathscr{E}) = E[X(A) \mid X(P); P \in \mathscr{E}],$$

$$\sigma^{2}(A \mid \mathscr{E}) = E[(X(A) - \mu(A \mid \mathscr{E}))^{2}],$$

and

$$\xi(A\,|\,\mathscr{E}) = egin{cases} (X(A) - \mu(A\,|\,\mathscr{E}))/\sigma(A\,|\,\mathscr{E}) & ext{if } \sigma(A\,|\,\mathscr{E}) > 0 \;, \ 0 & ext{if } \sigma(A\,|\,\mathscr{E}) = 0 \;. \end{cases}$$

Since X is Gaussian, we see that the random variable  $\xi(A \mid \mathscr{E})$  is independent of  $\{X(P); P \in \mathscr{E}\}$ . The decomposition (3) is called the *canonical* form of X(A) ([2]). Explicit forms of  $\mu(A \mid \mathscr{E})$  and  $\sigma(A \mid \mathscr{E})$  are easily given for the case  $\mathscr{E} = \{P_1, P_2\}$ . First suppose that  $r(P_1, P_2) > 0$ . Then

(4) 
$$\mu(A \mid P_1, P_2) = (1 - q)2^{-1}X(P_1) + (1 + q)2^{-1}X(P_2)^{-1},$$

and

(5) 
$$\sigma^2(A \mid P_1, {}^{z}P_2) = (1-q)2^{-1}r(A, P_1) + (1+q)2^{-1}r(A, P_2) \ - (1-q^2)4^{-1}r(P_1, P_2),$$

where the coefficient q is given by

(6) 
$$q = (r(A, P_1) - r(A, P_2))/r(P_1, P_2).$$

When  $r(P_1, P_2) = 0$ , we have  $\mu(A | P_1, P_2) = X(P_1) = X(P_2)$  and the equality (4) holds for any  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The correlation function of  $\xi(A \mid \mathscr{E})$  is denoted by

(7) 
$$\rho_{\mathbf{X}}(A, B | \mathcal{E}) = E[\xi(A | \mathcal{E})\xi(B | \mathcal{E})],$$

and is called the conditional correlation function relative to  $\mathscr{E}$ . After P. Lévy [2] we give the following

DEFINITION 1. For any  $A \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and any non-empty subset  $\mathscr{E}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\mathscr{F}_{X}(A \mid \mathscr{E}) = \{B \in \mathbf{R}^{n}; \rho_{X}(A, B \mid \mathscr{E}) = 0\}.$$

Two points A and B such that  $\rho_X(A, B | \mathscr{E}) = 0$  are said to be conjugate relative to  $\mathscr{E}$ , and  $\mathscr{F}_X(A | \mathscr{E})$  is called the maximal conjugate set of A relative to  $\mathscr{E}$  ([2]). The set  $\mathscr{F}_X(A | \mathscr{E})$  contains a point  $B \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\sigma(B | \mathscr{E}) = 0$ , so that  $\mathscr{F}_X(A | \mathscr{E}) \supset \overline{\mathscr{E}}$ ,  $\overline{\mathscr{E}}$  being the closure of  $\mathscr{E}$ . If, in particular,  $\sigma(A | \mathscr{E}) = 0$ , we have  $\mathscr{F}_X(A | \mathscr{E}) = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

PROPOSITION 1. The set  $\mathscr{F}_X(A \mid \mathscr{E})$  is a maximal closed set  $\mathscr{V}$  such that  $u(A \mid \mathscr{V}) = \mu(A \mid \mathscr{E})$  and  $\mathscr{V} \cap \mathscr{E} \neq \phi$ . We also have

$$\mathscr{F}_{X}(A \mid \mathscr{E}) = \{B \in \mathbf{R}^{n}; \ \mu(B \mid \mathscr{E} \cup \{A\}) = \mu(B \mid \mathscr{E})\}.$$

*Proof.* Set  $V = \{ \mathscr{V} \subset \mathbb{R}^n ; \mu(A | \mathscr{V}) = \mu(A | \mathscr{E}) \text{ and } \mathscr{V} \cap \mathscr{E} \neq \emptyset \}$ . Then the first assertion is proved by the following facts:

The equality (9) is easily proved by taking the following formula into account:

$$\mu(B|\mathscr{E} \cup \{A\}) = \mu(B|\mathscr{E}) + \rho_{X}(A, B|\mathscr{E})\sigma(B|\mathscr{E})\xi(A|\mathscr{E}).$$

The proof is thus completed.

For the case  $\mathscr{E} = \{P_2\}$ , we see by (9) that

$$\mathscr{F}_{X}(P_{1}|P_{2}) = \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n}; \ \mu(A|P_{1},P_{2}) = X(P_{2})\},$$

hence the equalities (4) and (6) give the following:

(10) 
$$\mathscr{F}_X(P_1|P_2) = \{A \in \mathbb{R}^n; r(A, P_1) = r(A, P_2) + r(P_1, P_2)\}.$$

As will be shown in Theorem 2, there are some cases where  $\mathscr{F}_X(P_1|P_2)$  is rich enough to characterize X. But it may happen that  $\mathscr{F}_X(P_1|P_2) = \{P_2\}$  (see Proposition 3C). Hence in order to characterize X even in such a case, it is necessary to introduce other kinds of subsets of the parameter space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Inspired by (4), we give the following

DEFINITION 2. For any  $P_1$ ,  $P_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and any  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

(11) 
$$\mathscr{C}_{X}(P_{1}, P_{2}; q) = \{ A \in \mathbf{R}^{n}; \ \mu(A \mid P_{1}, P_{2}) = (1 - q)2^{-1}X(P_{1}) + (1 + q)2^{-1}X(P_{2}) \}.$$

This set can be expressed as follows:

(12) 
$$\mathscr{C}_{X}(P_{1}, P_{2}; q) = \{A \in \mathbf{R}^{n}; r(A, P_{1}) = r(A, P_{2}) + qr(P_{1}, P_{2})\}.$$

We note the following simple facts:

- (i)  $\bigcup_{q\in R}\mathscr{C}_X(P_1,P_2;q)=R^n;$
- (ii)  $\mathscr{C}_{X}(P_{1}, P_{2}; 1) = \mathscr{F}_{X}(P_{1}|P_{2});$
- (iii)  $\mathscr{C}_{X}(P_{1}, P_{2}; q) = \mathscr{C}_{X}(P_{2}, P_{1}; -q).$

An interesting property of the set  $\mathscr{C}_{x}(P_{1}, P_{2}; q)$  is illustrated by the following

PROPOSITION 2. The increments X(A) - X(B) and  $X(P_1) - X(P_2)$  are mutually independent if and only if A and B belong to the same set  $\mathscr{C}_X(P_1, P_2; q)$  for some  $q \in \mathbf{R}$ .

*Proof.* Since X is Gaussian, the increments X(A) - X(B) and  $X(P_1) - X(P_2)$  are mutually independent if and only if

$$E[(X(A) - X(B))(X(P_1) - X(P_2))] = 0.$$

This is rephrased by the equation

$$r(A, P_1) - r(A, P_2) = r(B, P_1) - r(B, P_2)$$

which is equivalent, by (12), to the assertion that A and B belong to  $\mathscr{C}_X(P_1, P_2; q)$  for some  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ . The proof is thus completed.

### § 3. The set $\mathcal{C}_{X_r}(P_1, P_2; q)$ for a Euclidean random field $X_r$

In this section we first give a description of a Euclidean random field

X in terms of  $\mathscr{C}_X(P_1, P_2; 0)$ , and then introduce a class  $S_{\infty}$  of functions r(t) by using Schoenberg's theorem ([4]), and further investigate the set  $\mathscr{C}_{X_r}(P_1, P_2; q)$  for such an  $r(t) \in S_{\infty}$ .

Suppose that the probability law of a Gaussian random field X is invariant under each Euclidean motion T on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , that is,

(13) 
$$\rho_{X}(TA, TB | T\mathscr{E}) = \rho_{X}(A, B | \mathscr{E})$$

for any A,  $B \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and any  $\mathscr{E} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then the variance r(A, B) of X(A) - X(B) can be expressed in the form r(A, B) = r(|A - B|) with a continuous function r(t) on  $[0, \infty)$ . Such a Gaussian random field is called *Euclidean*. The Euclidean random field corresponding to r(t) is denoted by  $X_r$ .

Theorem 1. A Gaussian random field X is Euclidean if and only if the relation

(14) 
$$\mathscr{C}_{X}(P_{1}, P_{2}; 0) \supset \{A \in \mathbf{R}^{n}; |A - P_{1}| = |A - P_{2}|\}$$

holds for any  $P_1$ ,  $P_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* Since "only if" part is clear by (12), we shall prove "if" part. If  $|A-P_1|=|A-P_2|$ , then we have  $r(A,P_1)=r(A,P_2)$ . With this we must show that r(A,B)=r(A',B') for any  $A,B,A',B'\in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that |A-B|=|A'-B'|. Putting |A-B|=d, we can find a finite number of points  $P_1,P_2,\cdots,P_N$  such that  $|A-P_1|=|P_1-P_2|=\cdots=|P_N-A'|=d$ . Then we have

$$r(A, B) = r(A, P_1) = r(P_1, P_2) = \cdots = r(P_N, A') = r(A', B')$$

which completes the proof.

Two Euclidean random fields  $X_{r_1}$  and  $X_{r_2}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  linked by  $r_1(t) = (\text{const.})r_2(t)$  have the same probabilistic structure:

$$ho_{X_{r_1}}\!(A,B\!\mid\!\mathscr{E})=
ho_{X_{r_2}}\!(A,B\!\mid\!\mathscr{E}),\;\mathscr{F}_{X_{r_1}}\!(A\!\mid\!\mathscr{E})\!=\!\mathscr{F}_{X_{r_2}}\!(A\!\mid\!\mathscr{E})\quad ext{and} \ \mathscr{C}_{X_{r_1}}\!(P_1,P_2;q)\!=\!\mathscr{C}_{X_{r_2}}\!(P_1,P_2;q)$$

for any A, B,  $P_1$ ,  $P_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , any  $\mathscr{E} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and any  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ .

As is easily seen, r(t) never vanishes for t > 0, so we shall impose the normalizing condition r(1) = 1 in what follows.

We denote by  $S_n$  the class of functions r(t) associated with Euclidean random fields  $X_r$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . It is a well-known result (see, for example, [6]) that  $r(t) \in S_n$  has the following representation:

(15) 
$$r(t) = c_n t^2 + \int_0^\infty \{1 - Y_n(tu)\} dL_n(u) ,$$

where  $c_n \geq 0$ ,  $Y_n(t) = \Gamma(n/2)(2/t)^{(n-2)/2}J_{(n-2)/2}(t)$  with the Bessel function  $J_{(n-2)/2}(t)$  of order (n-2)/2 and where  $L_n$  is a measure on  $(0,\infty)$  such that  $\int_0^\infty u^2(1+u^2)^{-1}dL_n(u) < \infty$ . Noting that  $S_n \supset S_{n+1}$ , I. J. Schoenberg [4] investigated the class  $S_\infty = \bigcap_{n \geq 2} S_n$ ; namely, he proved that  $r(t) \in S_\infty$  is uniquely expressed in the following form:

(16) 
$$r(t) = ct^{2} + \int_{0}^{\infty} \{1 - e^{-t^{2}u}\} u^{-1} d\gamma(u),$$

where  $c \geqslant 0$  and  $\gamma$  is a measure on  $(0, \infty)$  such that  $\int_0^\infty (1 + u)^{-1} d\gamma(u) < \infty$ . The important subclass  $L_\infty$  of  $S_\infty$  is defined as the set of functions  $r(t) = \int_0^2 t^\alpha d\lambda(\alpha)$  with probability measures  $\lambda$  on (0, 2]. We note that  $r(t) \in S_\infty$  is strictly increasing since

$$r'(t) = 2t\Big\{c + \int_0^\infty e^{-t^2u}d\gamma(u)\Big\} > 0 \quad ext{ for } t > 0$$
 ,

and hence the inclusion relation (14) becomes the equality

(17) 
$$\mathscr{C}_{X_r}(P_1, P_2; 0) = \{ A \in \mathbb{R}^n; |A - P_1| = |A - P_2| \}.$$

We also note that  $r(t) \in S_{\infty}$  can be extended analytically to the function r(z) on the complex domain  $\{z \in C; |\arg z| < \pi/4\}$  ([5]). In the sequel we shall consider the set  $\mathscr{C}_{X_r}(P_1, P_2; q)$  only for q > 0 and  $r(t) \in S_{\infty}$ , because  $\mathscr{C}_{X_r}(P_1, P_2; -q)$  is the mirror image of  $\mathscr{C}_{X_r}(P_1, P_2; q)$  with respect to the hyperplane (17).

Now we shall illustrate the relation between the sets  $\mathscr{C}_{X_r}(P_1, P_2; q)$  and  $T_r(|P_1 - P_2|; q)$  which will be defined below by (18). Let H be an arbitrary two-dimensional half-plane in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  belong to the boundary-line of H. We can give a natural parametrization to the set  $\mathscr{C}_{X_r}(P_1, P_2; q) \cap H$  in the following way. For any  $A \in \mathscr{C}_{X_r}(P_1, P_2; q) \cap H$ , put  $|P_1 - P_2| = a$  and  $|A - P_2| = t$ . Since r(t) is strictly increasing, we have

$$r(|t-a|) \leqslant r(|A-P_1|) \leqslant r(t+a).$$

Hence by (12),

$$r(|t-a|) \leqslant r(t) + qr(a) \leqslant r(t+a)$$
.

Define the following subset of  $[0, \infty)$  for each a > 0:

(18) 
$$T_r(a;q) = \{t \geqslant 0; r(|t-a|) \le r(t) + qr(a) \le r(t+a)\}.$$

Then we see that for each  $t \in T_r(|P_1 - P_2|; q)$  there exists uniquely a point  $A(t) \in \mathscr{C}_{X_r}(P_1, P_2; q) \cap H$  such that  $|A(t) - P_2| = t$ .

In the rest of this section we devote ourselves to the investigation of  $T_r(a;q)$ . First we see that

$$\{t\geqslant 0; r(|t-a|)\leqslant r(t)+qr(a)\}=egin{cases} [D(a;q),\infty) & ext{if } 0< q<1\ ,\ [0,\infty) & ext{if } q\geqslant 1\ , \end{cases}$$

where D(a; q) is the unique solution on (0, a/2) of the equation r(a - t) = r(t) + qr(a). Thus, putting

$$F_r(t; a, q) = r(t + a) - r(t) - qr(a)$$
,

we have

(19) 
$$T_r(a;q) = \begin{cases} \{t \geqslant D(a;q); F_r(t;a,q) \geqslant 0\} & \text{if } 0 < q < 1 \ , \\ \{t \geqslant 0; F_r(t;a,q) \geqslant 0\} & \text{if } q \geqslant 1 \ . \end{cases}$$

We shall give further consideration on the following classes of  $r(t) \in S_{\infty}$ :

- A. r(t) = t, which corresponds to a Lévy's Brownian motion  $X_1$ ;
- **B.** r(t) is strictly convex on  $(0, \infty)$ ;
- C. r(t) is strictly concave on  $(0, \infty)$ ;
- **D.** r(t) is strictly convex on  $(0, t_0)$  and strictly concave on  $(t_0, \infty)$  for some  $t_0$   $(0 < t_0 < \infty)$ .
- **E.** r(t) is strictly concave on  $(0, t_0)$  and strictly convex on  $(t_0, \infty)$  for some  $t_0$   $(0 < t_0 < \infty)$ .

We see that  $r(t) = \int_0^2 t^{\alpha} d\lambda(\alpha) \in L_{\infty}$  lies in **A**, **B** and **C** when the probability measure  $\lambda$  is concentrated on  $\{1\}$ , [1, 2] and (0, 1] respectively; otherwise  $r(t) \in L_{\infty}$  is always in **E**. Examples of r(t) in **D**:

- (i)  $r(t) = (1 e^{-ut^2})/(1 e^{-u})$  (u > 0);
- (ii)  $r(t) = \{2t/(t+1)\}^{\alpha} (1 < \alpha \leq 2);$
- (iii)  $r(t) = \log(1 + t^2)/\log 2$ .

Note that  $r(t) = \{2t/(t+1)\}^{\alpha}$  with  $0 < \alpha \le 1$  belongs to the class C.

Proposition 3A. For r(t) = t, we have

(20) 
$$T_r(a;q) = \begin{cases} [(1-q)a/2, \infty) & \text{if } 0 < q \leqslant 1, \\ \phi & \text{if } q > 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof is elementary, so is omitted.

For r(t) in  $\mathbf{B} \sim \mathbf{E}$ , we shall introduce some notations. The limits  $\lim_{t\to 0} r'(t)$  and  $\lim_{t\to \infty} r'(t)$  exist in  $[0,\infty]$ , and are denoted by r'(0+) and  $r'(\infty)$ , respectively. We denote by C(a;q) the unique solution on  $(0,\infty)$  of the equation  $F_r(t;a,q)=0$  when a solution exists. We set

$$egin{align} h(a;q) &\equiv \lim_{t o \infty} F_r(t;a,q) = \lim_{t o \infty} \int_0^a \left\{ r'(t+s) - q r'(s) 
ight\} ds \ &= r'(\infty) a - q r(a) \; . \end{split}$$

Of course  $h(a; q) \equiv \infty$  when  $r'(\infty) = \infty$ .

Proposition 3B. Suppose that  $r(t) \in S_{\infty}$  is strictly convex on  $(0, \infty)$ . Then we have

(21) 
$$T_{r}(a;q) = \begin{cases} [D(a;q), \infty) & \text{if } 0 < q < 1 \text{ ,} \\ [0,\infty) & \text{if } q = 1 \text{ ,} \\ [C(a;q),\infty) & \text{if } q > 1 \text{ and } 0 < a < a^{*}(q) \text{ ,} \\ \phi & \text{if } q > 1 \text{ and } a \geqslant a^{*}(q) \text{ ,} \end{cases}$$

where  $a^*(q) = \sup \{a \ge 0; h(a;q) \ge 0\}$ . Moreover, for q > 1, we have  $a^*(q) = \infty$  if and only if  $r'(\infty) = \infty$ . In this case there exists an increasing continuous function  $\phi_q(a)$  on  $(0,\infty)$  such that  $C(a;q) < \phi_q(a)$  for any a > 0.

Proposition 3C. Suppose that  $r(t) \in S_{\infty}$  is strictly concave on  $(0, \infty)$ . Then we have

$$(22) \qquad T_{r}(a;q) = \begin{cases} [D(a;q),\,C(a;q)] & \text{ if } 0 < q < 1 \, \, and \, \, 0 < a < a_{*}(q) \,\,, \\ [D(a;q),\,\infty) & \text{ if } 0 < q < 1 \, \, and \, \, a \geqslant a_{*}(q) \,\,, \\ \{0\} & \text{ if } \, q = 1 \,\,, \\ \phi & \text{ if } \, q > 1 \,\,, \end{cases}$$

where  $a_*(q) = \sup \{a \ge 0; h(a;q) \le 0\}$ . Moreover, for 0 < q < 1, there exists an increasing continuous function  $\psi_q(a)$  on  $(0, \infty)$  such that  $D(a;q) < \psi_q(a) < C(a;q)$  for  $0 < a < a_*(q)$  and  $D(a;q) < \psi_q(a)$  for  $a \ge a_*(q)$ .

These two propositions can be proved in a similar manner, so we give only the proof of Proposition 3B.

The proof of Proposition 3B. Since r'(t) is strictly increasing, we have  $(d/dt)F_r(t; a, q) > 0$ . Noting that  $F_r(0; a, q) = (1 - q)r(a)$ , we easily obtain (21) for  $0 < q \le 1$ .

Now consider the case q > 1. We devide the proof into two parts: (i)  $r'(\infty) < \infty$  and (ii)  $r'(\infty) = \infty$ . First consider (i). We see that (d/da)h(a;q) is positive on (0,b) while negative on  $(b,\infty)$ , where  $b=\inf\{a>0;qr'(a)>r'(\infty)\}$ . Noting that the limit

$$\lim_{a o\infty}h(a;q)/a=r'(\infty)-\lim_{a o\infty}rac{q}{a}\int_0^ar'(s)ds=(1-q)r'(\infty)$$

is negative, we see that  $a^*(q)$  is finite and have

$$h(a;q)$$
  $\geqslant 0$  if  $0 < a < a^*(q)$ ,  $\leqslant 0$  if  $a \geqslant a^*(q)$ .

If h(a;q) > 0, the solution C(a;q) of the equation  $F_r(t;a,q) = 0$  exists and  $T_r(a;q) = [C(a;q),\infty)$  holds. While, if  $h(a;q) \leq 0$ , then  $T_r(a;q) = \phi$ . Thus (21) has been proved in the case (i).

Next consider (ii). It follows from  $h(a;q) = \infty$  that  $a^*(q) = \infty$  and  $T_r(a;q) = [C(a;q),\infty)$  for any a>0. The function  $\phi_q(a) = r'^{-1}(qr'(a))$  satisfies the inequality  $C(a;q) < \phi_q(a)$  for any a>0, because

$$F_r(\phi_q(a); a, q) > a\{r'(\phi_q(a)) - qr'(a)\} = 0$$
.

We note that  $\phi_q(a)$  is increasing and continuous, and that  $\phi_q(0+)=0$  if and only if r'(0+)=0. Thus all the assertions have been proved.

As for r(t) in **D** or **E**, we are interested only in the case q=1.

PROPOSITION 3D. Suppose that  $r(t) \in S_{\infty}$  is strictly convex on  $(0, t_0)$  and strictly concave on  $(t_0, \infty)$  for some  $t_0$   $(0 < t_0 < \infty)$ . Then we have

(23) 
$$T_{r}(a; 1) = \begin{cases} [0, \infty) & \text{if } 0 < a \leqslant a_{*}, \\ [0, C(a; 1)] & \text{if } a_{*} < a < a_{1}, \\ \{0\} & \text{if } a \geqslant a_{1}. \end{cases}$$

where  $a_* = \inf\{a > 0; h(a; 1) \leq 0\}$  and  $a_1 = \sup\{a > t_0; r'(a) > r'(0+)\}$ . Moreover, if  $r'(0+) \leq r'(\infty)$ , then there exists a decreasing continuous function  $\tau(a)$  on  $(0, \infty)$  such that  $0 < \tau(a) < C(a; 1)$  for  $a > a_*$ .

Proposition 3E. Suppose that  $r(t) \in S_{\infty}$  is strictly concave on  $(0, t_0)$  and strictly convex on  $(t_0, \infty)$  for some  $t_0$   $(0 < t_0 < \infty)$ . Then we have

$$T_r(a\,;\,1) = egin{cases} \{0\} & if \ 0 < a \leqslant a^* \ , \ \{0\} \ \cup \ [C(a\,;\,1), \ \infty) & if \ a^* < a < a_2 \ , \ , \ [0, \ \infty) & if \ a \geqslant a_2 \ , \end{cases}$$

where  $a^* = \inf\{a > 0; h(a; 1) \geqslant 0\}$  and  $a_2 = \sup\{a > t_0; r'(a) < r'(0+)\}$ . Moreover,  $a^* = 0$  if and only if  $r'(0+) \leqslant r'(\infty)$ . In case  $r'(0+) = r'(\infty)$ , there exists  $a_0 \in (t_0, \infty)$  such that  $C(a; 1) \leqslant a_0$  for  $a \geqslant a_0$ .

The above two propositions can be proved in a similar manner, so we give only the proof of Proposition 3E.

The Proof of Proposition 3E. When  $a \geqslant a_2$   $(a_2 < \infty)$ , we easily see that  $(d/dt)F_r(t;a,1) > 0$  for any t > 0. From this we have  $T_r(a;1) = [0,\infty)$ , which implies that  $a^* < a_2$ . On the other hand, when  $a < a_2$ ,  $(d/dt)F_r(t;a,1)$  is negative for  $0 < t < t_a$  while positive for  $t > t_a$ , where  $t_a \in (0,t_0)$  is the unique solution of the equation r'(t+a) = r'(t). Therefore, if h(a;1) > 0, the solution C(a;1) of the equation  $F_r(t;a,1) = 0$  exists and  $T_r(a;1) = \{0\}$   $\cup [C(a;1),\infty)$  holds. While, if  $h(a;1) \leqslant 0$ , then  $T_r(a;1) = \{0\}$ . We are now in a position to see that

$$h(a; 1)$$
  $\leqslant 0$  if  $0 < a \leqslant a^*$ ,  $> 0$  if  $a > a^*$ .

For (d/da)h(a; 1) is negative on (0, b) while positive on  $(b, \infty)$ , where  $b = \inf\{a \in (0, t_0); r'(a) < r'(\infty)\} < a^*$ . Thus we have proved (24).

We now proceed to the proof of the second part. We first note that  $a^*=0$  if and only if b=0, which is equivalent to the condition  $r'(0+1) \le r'(\infty)$ . In case  $r'(0+1) = r'(\infty)$  (i.e.,  $a^*=0$  and  $a_2=\infty$ ), we can choose  $a_0 \in (t_0, \infty)$  such that  $r(2a_0) \ge 2r(a_0)$ , because g(a) = r(2a) - 2r(a) is strictly increasing on  $(t_0, \infty)$  and the limit

$$\lim_{a \to \infty} g(a) = \lim_{a \to \infty} \int_0^a \{ r'(s+a) - r'(s) \} ds = \int_0^\infty \{ r'(\infty) - r'(s) \} ds$$

is positive. It is easily verified that  $F_r(a_0; a, 1) \ge 0$  for  $a \ge a_0$ , which implies that  $C(a; 1) \le a_0$  for  $a \ge a_0$ . Thus the proof is completed.

# §4. Characterization of $X_r$ by means of $\mathscr{C}_{X_r}(P_1, P_2; q)$

In this section we consider the Problem 1 concerning the characterization of a Euclidean random field  $X_r$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by means of  $\mathscr{C}_{X_r}(P_1, P_2; q)$ . First we note that the family  $\{\mathscr{C}_{X_r}(P_1, P_2; q); P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n, q \in \mathbb{R}\}$  uniquely determines the probability law of  $X_r$ . That is, if functions r(t),  $r_1(t) \in S_n$  satisfy the equality

$$\mathscr{C}_{X_r}(P_1, P_2; q) = \mathscr{C}_{X_r}(P_1, P_2; q)$$

for any  $P_1$ ,  $P_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and any  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ , then we have  $r(t) = r_1(t)$ . This is easily

verified by noting that (25) is equivalent to the following:

(26) 
$$\begin{aligned} \{r(|A-P_1|) - r(|A-P_2|)\}/r(|P_1-P_2|) \\ &= \{r_1(|A-P_1|) - r_1(|A-P_2|)\}/r_1(|P_1-P_2|) \end{aligned}$$

for any A,  $P_1$ ,  $P_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Our conjecture is that the family  $\{\mathscr{C}_{X_r}(P_1, P_2; q); P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  with some fixed q > 0 would suffice for the characterization of  $X_r$ .

PROBLEM 1'. Let  $r(t) \in S_{\infty}$ , q > 0 and  $n \ge 2$  be fixed. Suppose that  $r_1(t) \in S_n$  and  $q_1 \in R$  satisfy the relation

$$\mathscr{C}_{X_r}(P_1, P_2; q) \subset \mathscr{C}_{X_r}(P_1, P_2; q_1)$$

for any  $P_1$ ,  $P_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then is it true that  $r_1(t) = r(t)$  and  $q_1 = q$ ?

Proposition 2 tells us the following: For any  $A, B \in \mathscr{C}_{X_r}(P_1, P_2; q)$  the increments X(A) - X(B) and  $X(P_1) - X(P_2)$ , viewed as the differences of members of  $X_r$ , are mutually independent. By the relation (27), this property is still true even if those increments are viewed as the differences of members of  $X_{r_1}$ . Therefore, if the Problem 1' is affirmative, the parameter set of the form  $\mathscr{C}_{X_r}(P_1, P_2; q)$  is thought of as a characteristic of a Gaussian random field, so far as the independence property of the increments is concerned. We shall solve this problem for the classes  $A \sim E$  of  $r(t) \in S_\infty$  by using the properties of  $T_r(a; q)$ .

We deduce a functional equation for  $f(x) = r_1(r^{-1}(x))$  from the relation (27). For each  $t \in T_r(|P_1 - P_2|; q)$ , there exists a point  $A(t) \in \mathscr{C}_{X_r}(P_1, P_2; q)$  such that  $|A(t) - P_2| = t$ . By (12), we see that

$$r(|A(t) - P_1|) = r(t) + qr(|P_1 - P_2|)$$
.

Since the point A(t) belongs also to  $\mathcal{C}_{X_{r_1}}(P_1, P_2; q_1)$ , the equality

$$r_1(|A(t)-P_1|) = r_1(t) + q_1r_1(|P_1-P_2|)$$

holds. From these equations, putting  $x = r(|P_1 - P_2|)$  and y = r(t), we obtain

(28) 
$$f(qx + y) = q_1 f(x) + f(y),$$

where

(29) 
$$x \in r((0, \infty)), \quad y \in r(T_r(r^{-1}(x); q)).$$

What has been discussed can be summarized as

LEMMA 1. Suppose that the relation (27) holds for any  $P_1$ ,  $P_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then the continuous function  $f(x) = r_1(r^{-1}(x))$  satisfies the functional equation (28).

Since the equality  $q_1 = q$  easily follows from  $r_1(t) = r(t)$ , our goal is to prove that f(x) = x is the unique solution of (28) with f(1) = 1.

(a) The case q = 1. In this case the Problem 1' becomes somewhat simple; the relation (27) implies that  $q_1 = 1$ . We thus have Cauchy's functional equation:

$$(28)_1 f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y),$$

(29), 
$$x \in r((0, \infty)), y \in r(T_r(r^{-1}(x); 1))$$
.

When r(t) is strictly concave (i.e., in the class C),  $\mathscr{F}_{X_r}(P_1|P_2) = \{P_2\}$  holds, so that we cannot obtain  $r_1(t) = r(t)$ . On the other hand, when r(t) is strictly convex (in **B**) or r(t) = t (in **A**), Cauchy's functional equation (28), holds for any  $x, y \ge 0$ . Then it is a classical result that f(x) = x is the unique solution with f(1) = 1 ([1]). Furthermore we shall show that this is true also for r(t) in **D** or **E** under the condition  $r'(0+) \le r'(\infty)$ , by using the theorem of J. Aczél (p. 46 in [1]).

First, let  $r(t) \in S_{\infty}$  be in **D** with the condition  $r'(0+) \leq r'(\infty)$ . Then we see by Proposition 3D that the domain (29)<sub>1</sub> includes the following set:

(30) 
$$D_{\phi} = \{(x, y); 0 < x < \beta, 0 < y \leqslant \Phi(x), x + y < \beta\}$$

with the decreasing continuous function  $\Phi(x) = r(\tau(r^{-1}(x)))$  on  $(0, \beta)$ , where  $\beta = r(\infty) \in (1, \infty]$  and where  $\tau(a)$  is the function on  $(0, \infty)$  in Proposition 3D. When  $\beta < \infty$ , we may assume that  $\Phi(x) < \beta - x$  without loss of generality.

Lemma 2. Suppose that a continuous function f(x) with f(1) = 1 satisfies Cauchy's functional equation (28), for any  $(x, y) \in D_{\varphi}$  with a decreasing continuous function  $\Phi(x)$  on  $(0, \beta)$  such that  $0 < \Phi(x) < \beta - x$   $(1 < \beta \leq \infty)$ . Then we have f(x) = x.

*Proof.* Take  $x_0$  such that  $\Phi(x_0) = x_0$ . Then,  $(0, x_0] \times (0, x_0] \subset D_{\phi}$ , which means that  $(28)_1$  holds for any  $x, y \in [0, x_0]$ . Hence by Aczél's theorem, we have f(x) = cx on  $[0, x_0]$  with some constant c. When  $x > x_0$ , it follows from  $(28)_1$  that

$${f(x + y) - f(x)}/{y} = f(y)/{y} = c$$
 for  $0 < y < \Phi(x)$ ,

so that the right derivative of f at  $x \in (x_0, \beta)$  exists and is equal to the constant c. From this we obtain f(x) = cx on  $[0, \beta)$ , and c = 1 since f(1) = 1. The proof is thus completed.

Next, let  $r(t) \in S_{\infty}$  be in **E** with the condition  $r'(0+) \leq r'(\infty)$ . Then we see by Proposition 3E that the domain (29)<sub>1</sub> includes the following set:

(31) 
$$D^{\Psi} = \{(x, y); 0 < x < \infty, \Psi(x) \le y < \infty\},$$

where  $\Psi(x)$  is the nonnegative continuous function defined by

$$arPsi (x) = egin{cases} r(C(r^{-1}(x);1)) & & ext{for } 0 < x < r(a_2) \ 0 & & ext{for } x \geqslant r(a_2) \ , \end{cases}$$

and satisfies the property that there exists  $x_0 \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $\Psi(x) \leq x_0$  for  $x \geq x_0$ .

Lemma 3. Suppose that a continuous function f(x) with f(1) = 1 satisfies Cauchy's functional equation  $(28)_1$  for any  $(x, y) \in D^{\mathbb{F}}$  with a nonnegative continuous function  $\Psi(x)$  on  $(0, \infty)$  satisfying the property that there exists  $x_0 \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $[x_0, \infty) \times [x_0, \infty) \subset D^{\mathbb{F}}$ . Then we have f(x) = x.

This is a simple consequence of Aczél's theorem, so we omit the proof. Thus we have proved the following

Theorem 2. Suppose that  $r(t) \in S_{\infty}$  satisfies one of the following four conditions:

- (i) r(t) = t;
- (ii) r(t) is strictly convex on  $(0, \infty)$ ;
- (iii) r(t) is strictly convex on  $(0, t_0)$ , strictly concave on  $(t_0, \infty)$  for some  $t_0$   $(0 < t_0 < \infty)$  and  $r'(0 +) \le r'(\infty)$ ;
- (iv) r(t) is strictly concave on  $(0, t_0)$ , strictly convex on  $(t_0, \infty)$  for some  $t_0$   $(0 < t_0 < \infty)$  and  $r'(0 +) \leq r'(\infty)$ .

Then,  $r_1(t) \in S_n$  satisfies the relation

$$\mathscr{F}_{X_r}(P_1|P_2) \subset \mathscr{F}_{X_{r_1}}(P_1|P_2)$$
 for any  $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ 

if and only if  $r_1(t) = r(t)$ .

In the above cases (iii) and (iv), we have assumed, for convenience, that  $r'(0+) \leq r'(\infty)$ . Without this assumption, difficulties arise, for one thing the equality  $\mathscr{F}_{X_r}(P_1|P_2) = \{P_2\}$  holds for  $|P_1 - P_2| \geq a_1$  in the case (iii) (see Proposition 3D) and for  $|P_1 - P_2| \leq a^*$  in the case (iv) (Proposition 3E).

(b) The cases 0 < q < 1 or q > 1. When r(t) is strictly concave (in C) or r(t) = t (in A), we have  $\mathscr{C}_{X_r}(P_1, P_2; q) = \phi$  for q > 1, so the answer to the Problem 1' is obviously "No". But we have an affirmative answer in the following four cases:

(32) 
$$\begin{cases} \text{(i)} & 0 < q < 1 \text{ and } r(t) = t \text{;} \\ \text{(ii)} & 0 < q < 1 \text{ and } r(t) \text{ is strictly convex on } (0, \infty) \text{;} \\ \text{(iii)} & 0 < q < 1 \text{ and } r(t) \text{ is strictly concave on } (0, \infty) \\ \text{with } r(\infty) = \infty \text{;} \\ \text{(iv)} & q > 1 \text{ and } r(t) \text{ is strictly convex on } (0, \infty) \text{ with } r'(0 +) = 0 \\ & \text{and } r'(\infty) = \infty \text{.} \end{cases}$$

In these cases, we see by Propositions 3A, 3B and 3C that in the interior of the domain (29) there exists an increasing continuous curve  $\Gamma$ :  $y = \phi(x)$ ,  $0 < x < \infty$ , with  $\phi(0+) = 0$ . Therefore, under the restriction that  $r_1(t) \in S_n$  is twice differentiable, we can easily verify that f(x) = x is the unique solution of (28) with f(1) = 1. Thus we have obtained the following

THEOREM 3. Suppose that  $r(t) \in S_{\infty}$  and q > 0 satisfy one of the four conditions in (32). Then, a twice differentiable function  $r_1(t) \in S_n$  and  $q_1 \in R$  satisfy the relation

$$\mathscr{C}_{X_r}(P_1,\,P_2;\,q)\subset\mathscr{C}_{X_{r_1}}\!(P_1,\,P_2;\,q_1) \qquad \textit{for any $P_1$, $P_2\in I\!\!R^n$}$$

if and only if  $r_i(t) = r(t)$  and  $q_i = q$ .

Remark 1. We see by Theorems 2 and 3 that the answer to the Problem 1' for  $r(t)=t^{\alpha}$  ( $0<\alpha\leqslant 2$ ) is "Yes" in the following cases: (i) 0< q<1 and  $0<\alpha\leqslant 2$ ; (ii) q=1 and  $1\leqslant \alpha\leqslant 2$ ; (iii) q>1 and  $1<\alpha\leqslant 2$ . In the other cases, the answer is "No".

Remark 2. Theorem 3 holds even in the case where a parameter  $q_1 \in \mathbf{R}$  depends on  $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ .

## §5. The projective invariance of $\mathcal{F}_{X_a}(P_1|P_2)$

In this section we consider the Problem 2 mentioned in §1. The probability law of  $X_{\alpha}$  is invariant under each Euclidean motion, similar transformation and inversion T on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , that is, the equality

(33) 
$$\rho_{Xa}(TA, TB | T\mathscr{E}) = \rho_{Xa}(A, B | \mathscr{E})$$

holds for any A,  $B \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and any  $\mathscr{E} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Here we take an inversion T with center in  $\mathscr{E}$ , that is, for some a > 0 and some  $P \in \mathscr{E}$ ,

$$\left\{ egin{aligned} TA &= a^2(A-P)\,|A-P|^{-2} + P & ext{ if } A 
eq P \,, \ TP &= P \,. \end{aligned} 
ight.$$

The property (33) is the characteristic property of  $X_{\alpha}$  called projective invariance ([3]). It easily follows from (33) that

(34) 
$$\mathscr{F}_{X_a}(TA \mid T\mathscr{E}) = T\mathscr{F}_{X_a}(A \mid \mathscr{E})$$
 for any  $A \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and any  $\mathscr{E} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Now we wish to show that there is no other  $X_r$  with the above property (34). Namely, we are ready to discuss

PROBLEM 2. Suppose that  $r(t) \in S_{\infty}$  satisfies the equality

$$\mathscr{F}_{X_r}(TP_1|TP_2) = T\mathscr{F}_{X_r}(P_1|P_2) \quad \text{for any } P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where a transformation T on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  runs over all similar transformations and inversions with center  $P_2$ . Then is it true that  $r(t) = t^a$ ?

We can solve this problem under the following condition:

(36) There exists 
$$a_0 > 0$$
 such that  $r(t) + r(a_0) \leqslant r(t + a_0)$  for  $0 \leqslant t \leqslant a_0$ ,

which means that  $T_r(a_0; 1) \supset [0, a_0]$ . It follows from (35) that  $T_r(a; 1) = \{at/a_0; t \in T_r(a_0; 1)\}, a > 0$ , and that the set  $T_r(a; 1) \setminus \{0\}, a > 0$ , is invariant under the inversion  $t^* = a^2/t$  on  $(0, \infty)$ . By using the condition (36), we have  $T_r(a; 1) = [0, \infty)$  for any a > 0.

Theorem 4. Suppose that  $r(t) \in S_{\infty}$  satisfies the condition (36). Then the equality (35) holds for any similar transformation and inversion with center  $P_2$  if and only if  $r(t) = t^{\alpha}$   $(1 \le \alpha \le 2)$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to prove "only if" part. From the equality (35) for any similar transformation T on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we obtain the equation

(37) 
$$r(kr^{-1}(r(t)+1)) = r(kt) + r(k)$$

for any k > 0 and any  $t \in T_r(1; 1) = [0, \infty)$ . With this we show the following equation for any natural number m:

(38) 
$$r(kr^{-1}(m)) = mr(k)$$
 for any  $k > 0$ .

This equation clearly holds for m = 1. Suppose the equation (38) holds for m. Then, putting  $t = r^{-1}(m)$  in (37), we see that

$$r(kr^{-1}(m+1)) = r(kr^{-1}(m)) + r(k) = (m+1)r(k)$$
.

By induction on m, the equation (38) holds for all m.

If we set r(k) = a in (38), then we have  $r^{-1}(ma) = r^{-1}(m)r^{-1}(a)$ . It easily follows that  $r^{-1}(pa) = r^{-1}(p)r^{-1}(a)$  for any rational number p and any a > 0. Since  $r^{-1}(t)$  is continuous, we obtain

$$r^{-1}(ab) = r^{-1}(a)r^{-1}(b)$$
 for any  $a, b \ge 0$ ,

which implies that  $r^{-1}(t) = t^{1/\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ . Thus, excluding the case  $0 < \alpha < 1$  because of (36), we have  $r(t) = t^{\alpha}$  with  $1 \le \alpha \le 2$ . The proof is completed.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Aczél, Lectures on Functional Equations and Their Applications, Academic Press, New York and London, 1966.
- [2] P. Lévy, Processus Stochastiques et Mouvement Brownien, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1965.
- [3] A. Noda, Gaussian random fields with projective invariance, Nagoya Math. J., 59 (1975), 65-76.
- [4] I. J. Schoenberg, Metric spaces and completely monotone functions, Annals of Math., 39 (1938), 811-841.
- [5] D. Widder, The Laplace Transform, Princeton, New Jersey, 1946.
- [6] A. M. Yaglom, Some classes of random fields in n-dimensional space related to stationary random processes, Theory Prob. Appl., 2 (1957), 273-320 (English translation).

Department of Mathematics Shinshu University

Department of Mathematics Aichi University of Education