https://doi.org/10.1017/50043887111000311 Published online by Cambridge University Press

WORLD POLITICS

A Quarterly Journal of International Relations

Volume 64, Number 1 January 2012

UNDER THE EDITORIAL SPONSORSHIP OF

PRINCETON INSTITUTE FOR INTERNATIONAL AND REGIONAL STUDIES

PUBLISHED BY CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

EDITORIAL BOARD

ATUL KOHLI, Chair

Nancy Bermeo, Mary E. Gallagher, Anna Grzmała-Busse, Torben Iversen, Stathis Kalyvas, Jonathan Kirshner, Michael Mastanduno, Kevin O'Rourke, Jonas Pontusson, Daniel N. Posner, Kenneth Scheve, Kenneth A. Schultz, Edward S. Steinfeld, Michael Tomz, Nicolas van de Walle, John Waterbury

Editorial Committee: Mark R. Beissinger, Thomas J. Christensen, Christina L. Davis, Joanne Gowa, G. John Ikenberry, Amaney A. Jamal, Harold James, Atul Kohli (*Chair*), Evan S. Lieberman, Douglas S. Massey, Deborah J. Yashar

Associate Editors: Delia Baldassarri, Daniela Campello, Rafaela Dancygier, David Leheny, Xun Pang, Grigore Pop-Eleches, Kristopher W. Ramsay, Jacob N. Shapiro, Bradley Simpson

Executive Editor: Ilene P. Cohen Assistant Editor: Joy M. Scharfstein Office Coordinator: Carole Frantzen

The editors invite submission of articles, research notes, and review articles bearing upon problems in international relations and comparative politics. Manuscripts and notes should be double-spaced and submitted through the Web-based submission system, Manuscript Central, at http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/wp. World Politics guidelines permit submissions of up to 12,500 words in length, including notes and references (excluding tables, figures, and appendixes); research notes may be up to 10,000 words in length, including notes. Word count should be indicated. Manuscripts that exceed the limit will not be considered. Guidelines for review articles, guidelines for special issues, tables of contents, and and other information can be found the World Politics home page, at http://www.princeton.edu/piirs/worldpolitics-journal.

Authors can expect to receive decisions on their submissions within four months. Procedures for reviewing manuscripts are based on the anonymity of the author and the confidentiality of readers' and editors' reports; author anonymity is preserved, as well, during the editorial decision-making process. Self-references should therefore be removed. Referees are drawn from Princeton and other institutions; published articles have usually been reviewed by at least one editor and two readers from other institutions. Referees for the previous calendar year are acknowledged annually in issue 4 of the journal. In the case of an article deemed to be inappropriate for *World Politics*, the editors strive to notify the author within a month of submission that the article has been withdrawn from consideration.

World Politics does not accept manuscripts that have already been published, are scheduled for publication elsewhere, or have been simultaneously submitted to another journal; this applies to both print and online formats. Statements of fact and opinion appearing in the journal are made on the responsibility of the authors alone and do not imply the endorsement of the editors or publisher. The journal does not publish communications to the editor or rejoinders to specific articles. Scholars who believe they have been challenged are encouraged to submit an article that will advance the scholarly debate.

https://doi.org/10.1017/50043887111000311 Published online by Cambridge University Press

WORLD POLITICS

Vol. 64 • January 2012 • No. 1

CONTENTS

If Money Talks, What Does It Say? Varieties of Capitalism and Business	S	
Financing of Parties	Iain McMenamin	1
Moral Hazard, Discipline, and the Management of Terrorist Organizat	Jacob N. Shapiro and ions David A. Siegel	39
Ethnicity, the State, and the Duration of Civil War	Nils W. Metternich, Lars-Erik Cederman, and	70
	Kristian Skrede Gleditsch	79
Religion, Pluralism, and Iconography in the Public Sphere: Theory and Evidence from Lebanon	Daniel Corstange	116
D 4	0	
REVIEW ARTICLE The Era of Electoral Authoritarianism	Yonatan L. Morse	161
The Contributors		ii
Abstracts		::: 111

THE CONTRIBUTORS

IAIN MCMENAMIN is a senior lecturer in the School of Law and Government at Dublin City University. He is interested in most aspects of comparative politics and has published widely on topics including business-government relations, postcommunism, and comparative political economy, among others. He can be reached at iain.mcmenamin@dcu.ie.

JACOB N. SHAPIRO is an assistant professor of politics and international affairs at Princeton University and codirector of the Empirical Studies of Conflict (ESOC) Project. His research interests include terrorism and political violence, aid, and security policy. He is the corresponding author for this article and can be reached at jns@princeton.edu.

DAVID A. SIEGEL is an assistant professor of political science at the Florida State University. He does research in several areas of collective action and political violence and is the coauthor of *A Behavioral Theory of Elections* (2011). He can be reached at dsiegel@fsu.edu.

JULIAN WUCHERPFENNIG is a postdoctoral fellow at ETH Zurich. His research focuses on modeling (strategic) interaction between relevant actors in conflict processes and has been published in various journals. He can be reached at wucherpfennig@icr.gess.ethz.ch.

NILS W. METTERNICH is a visiting assistant professor at Duke University. His research focuses on theoretical and empirical models of strategic behavior in armed conflicts, as well as predictive models of conflict and institutional change. He has an article forthcoming on conflict duration and ethnic support of rebel leader. He can be reached at nm86@duke.edu.

Lars-Erik Cederman is a professor of international conflict research at ETH Zurich. His main interests include computational and spatial modeling of macrohistorical processes featuring conflict, including nationalism, state formation, and democratization. He is the author of *Emergent Actors in World Politics: How States Develop and Dissolve* (1993), editor of *Constructing Europe's Identity: The External Dimension* (2001), and coeditor of *New Systems Theories of World Politics* (2010). His articles have appeared in numerous academic journals. He can be reached at lcederman@ethz.ch.

KRISTIAN SKREDE GLEDITSCH is a professor in the Department of Government, University of Essex, and a research associate at the Centre for the Study of Civil War, PRIO. His research interests include conflict and cooperation, democratization, and spatial dimensions of social and political processes. He is the author of *All International Politics Is Local: The Diffusion of Conflict, Integration, and Democratization* (2002) and *Spatial Regression Models* (2008), as well as numerous journal articles. He can be reached at ksg@essex.ac.uk.

DANIEL CORSTANGE is an assistant professor at the University of Maryland. His research interests include ethnic and religious politics. He is completing a book manuscript on ethnic politics and clientelism in the Middle East. He can be reached at daniel.corstange@gmail.com.

YONATAN L. MORSE is a doctoral candidate in the Department of Government at Georgetown University. His research focuses on electoral authoritarianism and democratic transitions, with a regional focus on sub-Saharan Africa and the Middle East. His dissertation compares the variant electoral authoritarian trajectories of formerly single-party regimes in Africa. He can be reached at yonimorse@hotmail.com.

ABSTRACTS

IF MONEY TALKS, WHAT DOES IT SAY?

VARIETIES OF CAPITALISM AND BUSINESS FINANCING OF PARTIES

By IAIN McMENAMIN

Do business contributions to political parties convey different messages in different countries, and, if so, why? This article presents a pioneering cross-national study of firm behavior in political finance. It argues that motivations for contributions to parties are either ideological or pragmatic. The author infers motivation by quantitatively relating the payments of 960 firms to various political parties in Australia, Canada, and Germany over periods of between seven and seventeen years. In coordinated market economy Germany, a small number of firms made ideological payments; in liberal market economy Australia and Canada, large numbers of firms made pragmatic payments. Australia's left-right party system creates an awareness of policy risk, which motivated ideological payments, but in Canada's unusually nonideological party system no ideological bias in business financing of politics was found. The statistical analysis is supplemented by a qualitative investigation of discrete and reciprocal exchanges between businesses and political parties.

MORAL HAZARD, DISCIPLINE, AND THE MANAGEMENT OF TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS By JACOB N. SHAPIRO and DAVID A. SIEGEL

Terrorist groups repeatedly include operatives of varying commitment and often rely on a common set of security-reducing bureaucratic tools to manage these individuals. This is puzzling in that covert organizations are commonly thought to screen their operatives very carefully and pay a particularly heavy price for record keeping. The authors use terrorist memoirs and the internal correspondence of one particularly prominent group to highlight the organizational challenges terrorist groups face and use a game-theoretic model of moral hazard in a finitely sized organization to explain why record keeping and bureaucracy emerge in these groups. The model provides two novel results. First, in small heterogeneous organizations longer institutional memory can enhance organizational efficiency. Second, such organizations will use worse agents in equilibrium under certain conditions. The core logic is that in small organizations the punishment strategies that allow leaders to extract greater effort are credible only when operatives can identify and react to deviations from the leaders' equilibrium strategy. This dynamic creates incentives for record keeping and means that small organizations will periodically use problematic agents in equilibrium as part of a strategy that optimally motivates their best operatives.

ETHNICITY, THE STATE, AND THE DURATION OF CIVIL WAR BY JULIAN WUCHERPFENNIG, NILS W. METTERNICH, LARS-ERIK CEDERMAN, and KRISTIAN SKREDE GLEDITSCH

Previous research has focused primarily on how ethnicity may trigger civil war, and its effect on conflict duration remains disputed. Rather than treating conflict as a direct consequence of ethnic cleavages, the authors argue that ethnicity per se does not affect civil war duration. Instead, its effect depends on its relationship to political institutions. They employ a dyadic approach that emphasizes the *political* context in which both government leaders and nonstate challengers can capitalize on the ascriptive nature of ethnicity. They show that although states can initially benefit from politicizing ethnic relations, once violent conflict breaks out, such policies may backfire on the government and make it difficult for incumbent governments to accept settlements that could terminate conflicts. Past policies of ethnic exclusion also benefit rebel organizations fighting the government, since the resulting grievances increase collective group solidarity and render individual fighters more cost tolerant. Using a new data set that codes the nexus between rebel organizations and ethnic groups, as well as information on ethnopolitical exclusion, the authors find considerable support for their propositions.

Religion, Pluralism, and Iconography in the Public Sphere Theory and evidence from Lebanon

By DANIEL CORSTANGE

This article examines mass public discourse on religion and pluralism in diverse societies. It argues that religion enters the public sphere by defining countervailing narratives about sectarianism, which is exclusive and divisive, and ecumenicism, which is inclusive and unifying. Most empirical studies focus on elites as the producers of discourse and ignore the regular people who comprise the "real" public. In contrast to prior work, this article systematically examines mass public discourse, with Lebanon, a religiously diverse developing world society, as its research venue. It uses a novel combination of original survey data and publicly displayed religious and political iconography to study the exchange of ideas about religion and pluralism among the mass public. It shows that sectarian discourse articulates ethnocentric and antiplural statements, whereas ecumenicism, by contrast, mitigates ethnocentrism and valorizes pluralism.

THE ERA OF ELECTORAL AUTHORITARIANISM By YONATAN L. MORSE

In recent years scholarly attention has shifted from the study of democratization to the phenomenon of electoral authoritarianism. In these regimes, regular elections are held for national legislatures and chief executives, yet they fail to live up to democratic standards of freedom and fairness. A range of new research has investigated these regimes and especially the capacity of elections to either dislodge or reinvigorate authoritarian incumbents. This article reviews some of the current work on electoral authoritarianism and attempts to find ways to achieve synthesis and better theoretical development. It notes the need for greater conceptual consensus, attention to context, and better evaluation of what electoral competiveness means under authoritarian conditions. It argues that the next stage for research should be smaller and contextual comparison that can allow for greater attention to concepts, while allowing for strong midrange theory.