S. Okada Nagoya Math. J. Vol. 94 (1984), 165-170

GENERALIZED MAILLET DETERMINANT

S. OKADA

§1. Introduction

In this paper, we shall study a generalization of the Maillet determinant. Let p be an odd prime, and $G = (Z/pZ)^*$. We shall identify any integer and its image in G if there is no fear of confusion. For any integer a, let R(a) denote an integer satisfying

$$R(a) \equiv a \mod p$$
, $0 \leq R(a) < p$.

Maillet studied the following determinant

$$D_p = \det \left(R(ab^{-1})
ight)_{1 \le a, \, b \le (p-1)/2}$$

which is called the Maillet determinant and he raised the question whether $D_p \neq 0$ for all p. Carlitz-Olson [1] proved that the Maillet determinant is not zero by showing the following formula:

$$D_p = \pm p^{(p-3)/2} h^{-1}$$

where h^- is the first factor of the class number of $Q(\zeta_p)$, ζ_p the primitive *p*-th root of unity.

Carlitz considered a generalization of D_p in [2]. We consider another generalization of the determinant D_p . Let S be a subset of G. S is called a CM-type if

$$S\cup (-S)=G\,,\qquad S\cap (-S)=\phi\,.$$

Clearly $\{1, 2, \dots, (p-1)/2\}$ is a CM-type. For any CM-type S, we define a determinant D_s by

$$D_s = \det \left(R(ab^{-1}) \right)_{a, b \in S}$$

We call D_s the generalized Maillet determinant for S. Since $D_s = D_{-s}$, we may only consider CM-types which contain 1.

Received March 22, 1983.

S. OKADA

Let χ be a Dirichlet character mod p. For any CM-type S, we define $c_{\chi} = c_{\chi}(S)$ by

$$c_{\chi} = \sum_{a \in S} \chi(a)$$
.

Let $B_{1,\chi}$ denote the first generalized Bernoulli number. If χ is odd, $B_{1,\chi} \neq 0$. Therefore, we can define a rational number A_s by

$$A_{\scriptscriptstyle S} = rac{2}{p-1} \sum_{{\scriptstyle \chi. \, {
m odd}}} c_{\scriptstyle \chi} c_{\scriptstyle {ar \chi}} B_{{\scriptstyle 1},{\scriptstyle \chi}}^{-1} \, .$$

Then we have

THEOREM. For any CM-type S which contains 1, we have

$$D_s = -rac{1}{2}(-p)^{(p-3)/2}(1+A_s)h^{-1}$$

We shall prove this theorem and see the connection between our theorem and Carlitz-Olson's formula.

§2. Proof of the theorem

We need the following lemma, which is well-known as the Dedekind determinant [3]:

LEMMA. Let S be a CM-type, and f be an odd function on G. Then the determinant $D(f) = \det (f(ab^{-1}))_{a,b \in S}$ is independent of S, and

$$D(f) = \sum_{\chi: ext{odd}} rac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in G} \chi(a) f(a)$$
 .

We define the determinant $D_s(x)$ as follows:

$$D_{s}(x) = \det (R(ab^{-1}) + x)_{a,b \in S}.$$

Since R(a) - (p/2) is an odd function, by Lemma

$$egin{aligned} D_s\Bigl(-rac{p}{2}\Bigr) &= \sum\limits_{\chi: ext{ odd }} rac{1}{2}\sum\limits_{a \in G} \chi(a)\Bigl(R(a) - rac{p}{2}\Bigr) \ &= \sum\limits_{\chi: ext{ odd }} rac{p}{2} B_{1,\chi} = -rac{1}{2} (-p)^{(p-3)/2} h^- \,. \end{aligned}$$

And so, it suffices to show that

$$\left[A_s\left(x+\frac{p}{2}\right)+\frac{p}{2}\right]D_s=\frac{p}{2}(1+A_s)D_s(x)\,.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000020882 Published online by Cambridge University Press

166

Now, it is clear that

$$(p-1)R(a) = \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \overline{\chi}(a) \sum_{b \in G} \chi(b)R(b)$$
,

where \hat{G} denotes the character group of G. If χ is not trivial, then

$$\sum\limits_{b \in G} \mathrm{X}(b) R(b) = p B_{\mathrm{I},\,\mathrm{\chi}}$$
 .

Therefore,

$$(p-1)R(a) = \frac{p(p-1)}{2} + p \sum_{\chi: \text{odd}} \bar{\chi}(a)B_{1,\chi}$$

because $B_{1,\chi} = 0$ for any non-trivial even character χ . We define the rational number A(a) as follows:

$$A(a) = \sum_{\chi: \, ext{odd}} c_{ar{\chi}} \chi(a) B_{1,\chi}^{-1}$$
 .

Then it is clean that $A_s = \sum_{a \in S} A(a)$. For $b \in S$,

$$egin{array}{l} &\sum\limits_{a \in S} A(a)(R(ab^{-1}) + x) \ &= \sum\limits_{a \in S} A(a) \Big(x + rac{p}{2} + rac{p}{p-1} \sum\limits_{ ext{χ-odd}} ar{\chi}(ab^{-1}) B_{1, ext{χ}} \Big) \ &= rac{p-1}{2} A_s \Big(x + rac{p}{2} \Big) + rac{p}{p-1} \sum\limits_{ ext{χ-odd}} \sum\limits_{a \in S} A(a) ar{\chi}(ab^{-1}) B_{1, ext{χ}} \,. \end{array}$$

And then

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{\chi}: \text{odd } a \in S} \sum_{a \in S} A(a) \bar{\boldsymbol{\chi}}(ab^{-1}) B_{1, \boldsymbol{\chi}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\chi}: \text{odd } \psi: \text{odd } c_{\bar{\psi}} B_{1, \boldsymbol{\psi}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\chi}(b) B_{1, \boldsymbol{\chi}} \sum_{a \in S} \psi \bar{\boldsymbol{\chi}}(a) .$$

We have

$$\sum_{a \in S} \psi \bar{\chi}(a) = egin{cases} rac{p-1}{2} & ext{if } \chi = \psi \ 0 & ext{if } \chi \neq \psi \end{cases}$$

because $\psi \bar{\chi}$ is even and S is a complete system of representatives of $G/(\pm 1)$. Hence

$$\sum_{\chi: \text{ odd }} \sum_{a \in S} A(a) \bar{\chi}(ab^{-1}) B_{1,\chi} = \frac{p-1}{2} \sum_{\chi: \text{ odd }} c_{\bar{\chi}} \chi(b)$$
$$= \frac{p-1}{2} \sum_{a \in S} \sum_{\chi: \text{ odd }} \chi(a^{-1}b) = \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^2.$$

Consequently,

S. OKADA

$$\sum_{a \in S} A(a)(R(ab^{-1}) + x) = \frac{p-1}{2} \left[A_s \left(x + \frac{p}{2} \right) + \frac{p}{2} \right].$$

Therefore, there exists an integer $a_i \in S$ such that $A(a_i) \neq 0$.

Now we put the matrix $M(x) = (m_{a,b})_{a,b \in S}$ as follows:

$$m_{a,\,b} = egin{cases} R(ab^{-1}) + x & ext{ if } a
eq a_i \ 1 & ext{ if } a = a_i \,. \end{cases}$$

Then, by some properties of matrices, we have

$$A(a_i)D_s(x) = rac{p-1}{2}\Big[A_s\Big(x+rac{p}{2}\Big)+rac{p}{2}\Big]\det M(x),$$

and

$$A(a_i)D_s = \frac{p(p-1)}{4}(1 + A_s) \det M(0)$$

Since det $M(x) = \det M(0) \neq 0$ and $A(a_i) \neq 0$,

$$\left[A_s\left(x+\frac{p}{2}\right)+\frac{p}{2}\right]=\frac{P}{2}(1+A_s)D_s(x).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

In the rest of this paper, we shall calculate A_s in more convenient form, and show that Carlitz-Olson's formula follows easily from our theorem. Let Z[G] be the group ring of G, and $Z[G]^- = \{\alpha \in Z[G] | \sigma_{-1}\alpha = -\alpha\}$ where σ_a is the image in G of an integer a. Let S be a subset of G. We define the element s(S) in Z[G] by $s(S) = \sum_{\sigma \in S} \sigma$. We put the element

$$heta' = \sum_{\sigma \in G} rac{R(\sigma)}{p} \sigma^{-1} \quad ext{in } Q[G],$$

and the ideal of Z[G]

$$\varphi' = \theta' Z[G] \cap Z[G].$$

Then the Stickelberger element is defined by

$$heta = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \left(rac{R(\sigma)}{p} - rac{1}{2}
ight) \sigma^{-1} = arepsilon^- heta'$$

where $\varepsilon^{-} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sigma_{-1})$. And the Stickelberger ideal is defined by

$$\varphi = \theta Z[G] \cap Z[G] = \{ \alpha \in \varphi' | \sigma_{-1} \alpha = -\alpha \}.$$

168

Moreover, by [4] we have the formula

$$(Z[G]^{-}:\varphi) = h^{-}$$

For the CM-type $S_0 = \{1, 2, \dots, (p-1)/2\}$

$$s(S_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) = (\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle -1} + \sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} - 1)\theta',$$

and so $s(S_0) \in \varphi'$. Therefore, for any CM-type S, $h^-\{s(S) - s(S_0)\} \in \varphi$ because s(S)- $s(S_0) \in \mathbb{Z}[G]^-$, and then $h^-s(S) \in \varphi'$. Therefore,

$$ks(S) = \theta' \alpha$$

for some $\alpha = \alpha_s \in Z[G]$ and some integer $k \mid h^-$. Then we have

PROPOSITION. For any CM-type S,

$$A_{\scriptscriptstyle S} = rac{1}{k} (\sum\limits_{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma \in S} n_{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma} - \sum\limits_{\scriptscriptstyle \tau \notin S} n_{\scriptscriptstyle \tau})$$

where $ks(S) = \theta' \alpha$, $\alpha = \sum_{\alpha \in G} n_{\sigma} \sigma$, $n_{\sigma} \in Z$.

Proof. We extend a character χ to a function on Q[G] by

$$\chi(lpha) = \sum\limits_{\sigma \in G} n_{\sigma} \chi(\sigma) \qquad ext{for } lpha = \sum\limits_{\sigma \in G} n_{\sigma} \sigma \in oldsymbol{Q}[G] \, .$$

Then $c_{\chi} = \chi(s(S))$, and $B_{1,\chi} = \chi(\theta')$ for any non trivial character χ . Hence

$$kc_{\chi} = B_{1,\chi}\chi(\sigma)$$

and so,

$$A_s = rac{2}{(p-1)k}\sum_{\chi: ext{odd}} c_{\chi}\chi(lpha) \,.$$

For $\sigma \in G$,

$$\sum_{\mathrm{groad}} \chi(\sigma) = egin{cases} rac{p-1}{2} & \mathrm{for} \ \ \sigma = 1 \ , \ -rac{p-1}{2} & \mathrm{for} \ \ \sigma = \sigma_{-1} \ , \ 0 & \mathrm{otherwise} \ . \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{\chi: \text{odd}} c_{\chi} \chi(\sigma) = \sum_{\chi: \text{odd}} \sum_{\tau \in S} \chi(\sigma \tau^{-1}) = \begin{cases} \frac{p-1}{2} & \text{for } \sigma \in S \\ -\frac{p-1}{2} & \text{for } \sigma \notin S \end{cases}$$

S. OKADA

Consequently,

$$A_{\scriptscriptstyle S} = rac{1}{k} (\sum\limits_{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma \,\in\, S} n_{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma} - \sum\limits_{\scriptscriptstyle \tau \,\in\, S} n_{\scriptscriptstyle \tau}) \,.$$

This completes the proof.

By the proposition and the theorem, for S_0 we have Carlitz-Olson's formula.

References

- [1] L. Carlitz and F. R. Olson, Maillet's determinant, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 6 (1955), 265-269.
- [2] L. Carlitz, A generalization of Maillet's determinant and a bound for the first factor of the class number, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 12 (1961), 256-261.
- [3] S. Lang, Cyclotomic Fields, Springer-Verlag, G.T.M., 1978.
- [4] K. Iwasawa, A class number formula for cyclotomic fields, Ann. of Math., 76 (1962), 171-179.

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Nagoya University Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464 Japan

170