

## A PROBLEM OF EXPRESSIBILITY IN SOME AMALGAMATED PRODUCTS OF GROUPS

VALERIÏ FAÏZIEV

(Received 1 February 1999; revised 13 November 2000)

Communicated by R. B. Howlett

### Abstract

Let  $S$  be a subset of a group  $G$  such that  $S^{-1} = S$ . Denote by  $\text{gr}(S)$  the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $S$ , and by  $l_S(g)$  the length of an element  $g \in \text{gr}(S)$  relative to the set  $S$ . Suppose that  $V$  is a finite subset of a free group  $F$  of countable rank such that the verbal subgroup  $V(F)$  is a proper subgroup of  $F$ . For an arbitrary group  $G$ , denote by  $\overline{V}(G)$  the set of values in  $G$  of all the words from the set  $V$ . In the present paper, for amalgamated products  $G = A *_H B$  such that  $A \neq H$  and the number of double cosets of  $B$  by  $H$  is at least three, the infiniteness of the set  $\{l_S(g) \mid g \in \text{gr}(S)\}$ , where  $S = \overline{V}(G) \cup \overline{V}(G)^{-1}$ , is established.

2000 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 20E06, 20F22.

*Keywords and phrases*: Group, verbal subgroup, the width of verbal subgroup.

Let  $G$  be an arbitrary group and let  $S$  a subset of  $G$  such that  $S^{-1} = S$ . Denote by  $\text{gr}(S)$  the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $S$ . We say that the width of the set  $S$  is *finite* if there is  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that any element  $g$  of  $\text{gr}(S)$  is representable in the form

$$(1) \quad g = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n, \quad \text{where } s_i \in S \text{ and } n \leq k.$$

The minimal  $k$  with this property is called the *width* of the set  $S$  in  $G$ , and we denote it by  $\text{wid}(S, G)$ . If for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there is an element  $g_k \in \text{gr}(S)$  that cannot be expressed in the form (1), we say that the width of  $S$  in  $G$  is infinite. Many papers are devoted to investigating widths of various subsets: see [1–3, 6–9].

In this paper we consider widths of verbal subgroups. Specifically, let  $V$  be a finite subset of the free group  $F$  of countable rank. We say that  $V$  is *proper* if  $V(F)$  is a proper subgroup of  $F$ . By the width of the verbal subgroup  $V(G)$  of an arbitrary group  $G$  we mean the width of the set  $\overline{V}(G) \cup \overline{V}(G)^{-1}$  in  $G$ . Widths of verbal subgroups have been investigated in a series of papers (see [2, 8, 9] and references

therein). In the present paper, for amalgamated products  $G = A *_H B$  such that  $A \neq H$  and the number of double cosets of  $B$  by  $H$  is at least three, the infiniteness of the width of the verbal subgroup  $V(G)$  is established.

**DEFINITION 1.** A *quasicharacter* of a semigroup  $S$  is a real-valued function  $f$  on  $S$  such that the set  $\{f(xy) - f(x) - f(y) \mid x, y \in S\}$  is bounded.

**DEFINITION 2.** By a *pseudocharacter* of a semigroup  $S$  (or group  $S$ ) we mean a quasicharacter  $f$  satisfying the following condition:  $f(x^n) = nf(x)$  for all  $x \in S$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (and all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  if  $S$  is group).

The set of quasicharacters of a semigroup  $S$  is a vector space (with respect to the usual operations of addition of functions and their multiplication by numbers) which will be denoted by  $KX(S)$ . The subspace of  $KX(S)$  consisting of pseudocharacters will be denoted by  $PX(S)$ , and the subspace consisting of real additive characters of  $S$  will be denoted by  $X(S)$ .

**DEFINITION 3.** By a *quasicharacter* of a semigroup  $S$  with involution  $*$  we mean a quasicharacter  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi(v^*) = -\varphi(v)$  for all  $v \in S$ .

The set of quasicharacters of a semigroup  $S$  with involution will be denoted by  $KX(S, *)$ .

Let  $G = A *_H B$  be the amalgamated product of two nontrivial groups  $A$  and  $B$ . Let  $B = H \cup (\bigcup_{i \in I} Hb_iH)$  be the decomposition of the group  $B$  into double cosets. We assume that  $|I| \geq 2$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the free monoid with free generators  $X = \{x_i \mid i \in I\}$ . Define  $\xi : B \setminus H \rightarrow X$  by  $\xi(b) = x_i$  whenever  $b \in Hb_iH$ . The mapping  $b \mapsto b^{-1}$  on  $B$  induces a permutation  $*$  of order two on the set  $X$ , as follows: if  $b_i^{-1} \in Hb_jH$  we set  $x_i^* = x_j$ . Now we extend  $*$  to an involution on the entire semigroup  $\mathcal{F}$ , that is, for  $v = x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_n}$  set  $v^* = x_{i_n}^* \cdots x_{i_2}^*x_{i_1}^*$ . Let  $A_0 = A \setminus H$  and  $B_0 = B \setminus H$ .

**DEFINITION 4.** Let  $g \in G \setminus H$ . By a *canonical* (or *reduced*) *form* of the element  $g$  we mean an expression of the form

$$(2) \quad g = c_1c_2 \cdots c_k,$$

where  $c_i \in A_0 \cup B_0$  and  $c_i c_{i+1} \notin A_0 \cup B_0$ .

Given a canonical form as above, we put  $\dot{g} = c_1$  and  $\ddot{g} = c_k$ . Now we define  $\xi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  as follows. If  $g \in A$  we set  $\xi(g) = 1$ . If  $g \notin A$  and (2) is a canonical form for  $g$ , we set  $\xi(g) = \xi(c_1)\xi(c_2) \cdots \xi(c_k)$ . It is clear that the mapping  $\xi$  is well defined. Now for each word  $v$  from the semigroup  $\mathcal{F}$  we introduce the set

of ‘beginnings’  $H(v)$  and the set of ‘endings’  $K(v)$  as follows. If  $v \in X$ , we put  $H(v) = K(v) = \emptyset$ . If  $v = x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_n}$ , where  $i_j \in I$  and  $n > 1$ , we set

$$H(v) = \{x_{i_1}, x_{i_1}x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_{n-2}}, x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_{n-1}}\},$$

$$K(v) = \{x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_{n-1}}x_{i_n}, x_{i_3} \cdots x_{i_{n-1}}x_{i_n}, \dots, x_{i_{n-1}}x_{i_n}, x_{i_n}\}.$$

It is evident that  $H(w) \cap K(w) = \emptyset$  if and only if  $H(w^*) \cap K(w^*) = \emptyset$ .

DEFINITION 5. Two elements  $v$  and  $w$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  are called *conjugate* if either  $v = w$  or there exist elements  $a$  and  $b$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $v = ab$  and  $w = ba$ . The conjugacy relation will be denoted by  $\sim$ .

Denote by  $P^+$  the set of words  $w$  of length at least two in the alphabet  $X$  with the property that  $H(w) \cap K(w) = \emptyset$  and  $w \not\sim w^*$ . For any word  $w \in P^+$  and any  $v \in \mathcal{F}$  denote by  $\psi_w(v)$  the number of occurrences of  $w$  in  $v$ . Note that two occurrences of  $w$  in  $v$  cannot overlap, since the overlapping portion would lie in  $H(w) \cap K(w)$ . For each pair of elements  $x, y$  from  $\mathcal{F}$  we define a measure  $\mu_{x,y}$  on  $P^+$  as follows: we set  $\mu_{x,y}(w) = 1$  if  $w = ab$  for some  $a \in K(x) \cup \{x\}$  and  $b \in H(y) \cup \{y\}$ ; otherwise we set  $\mu_{x,y}(w) = 0$ . It is easy to verify that  $\psi_w(xy) - \psi_w(x) - \psi_w(y) = \mu_{x,y}(w)$  for all  $w \in P^+$  and  $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$ . Now for  $w \in P^+$  and  $v \in \mathcal{F}$  we put

$$\eta_w(v) = \psi_w(v) - \psi_{w^*}(v).$$

Let  $\Delta_{u,v}(w) = \mu_{u,v}(w) - \mu_{u,v}(w^*)$ . We obtain the following equality

$$\eta_w(uv) - \eta_w(u) - \eta_w(v) = \Delta_{u,v}(w).$$

It is obvious that the relations  $\eta_w(v^*) = -\eta_w(v)$  and  $|\Delta_{u,v}(w)| \leq 1$  hold; hence the function  $\eta_w$  is an element of the space  $KX(\mathcal{F}, *)$ .

Next we define a metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  on the semigroup  $\mathcal{F}$ . By an *elementary transformation* of a word  $v$  in the alphabet  $X$  we mean an insertion or deletion of some  $a \in X$ . It is clear that any two words  $u$  and  $v$  from  $\mathcal{F}$  can be connected by some sequence of elementary transformations; we define the distance  $d(u, v)$  between  $u$  and  $v$  to be the minimal number of elementary transformations required to connect them. It is clear that the function  $d(u, v)$  is a metric, and that it is preserved by the left and right actions of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that  $u, v \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $d(u, v) \leq 1$ . Then there exists a set of at most three pairs of elements  $z_i, s_i \in \mathcal{F}$  such that for all  $w \in P^+$  we have*

$$\eta_w(u) - \eta_w(v) = \sum_i \Delta_{z_i, s_i}(w).$$

Furthermore,  $|\eta_w(u) - \eta_w(v)| \leq 2$ .

PROOF. We may assume that  $v$  is obtained from  $u$  by the insertion of one letter. Thus we have  $u = u_1u_2$  and  $v = u_1au_2$ , where  $a \in X$ . Hence,  $\psi_w(v) = \psi_w(u_1au_2) = \psi_w(u_1) + \psi_w(a) + \psi_w(u_2) + \mu_{u_1,au_2}(w) + \mu_{a,u_2}(w)$  and  $\psi_w(u) = \psi_w(u_1) + \psi_w(u_2) + \mu_{u_1,u_2}(w)$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_w(v) - \psi_w(u) &= \psi_w(a) + \mu_{u_1,au_2}(w) + \mu_{a,u_2}(w) - \mu_{u_1,u_2}(w) \\ &= \mu_{u_1,au_2}(w) + \mu_{a,u_2}(w) - \mu_{u_1,u_2}(w). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $\mu_{u_1,au_2}(w) + \mu_{a,u_2}(w) \in \{0, 1\}$  and so it follows that  $\psi_w(v) - \psi_w(u) \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ . Since the same holds for  $w^*$ , we have  $\eta_w(v) - \eta_w(u) \in \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_w(v) - \eta_w(u) &= \psi_w(v) - \psi_{w^*}(v) - \psi_w(u) + \psi_{w^*}(u) \\ &= \psi_w(v) - \psi_w(u) - \psi_{w^*}(v) + \psi_{w^*}(u) \\ &= \mu_{u_1,au_2}(w) + \mu_{a,u_2}(w) - \mu_{u_1,u_2}(w) \\ &\quad - \mu_{u_1,au_2}(w^*) - \mu_{a,u_2}(w^*) + \mu_{u_1,u_2}(w^*) \\ &= \Delta_{u_1,au_2}(w) + \Delta_{a,u_2}(w) - \Delta_{u_1,u_2}(w), \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma is proved. □

COROLLARY 1. Let  $u, v \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $d(u, v) \leq k$ . There exists a set of at most  $3k$  pairs of elements  $z_i, s_i \in \mathcal{F}$  such that for all  $w \in P^+$  we have

$$\eta_w(u) - \eta_w(v) = \sum_i \Delta_{z_i,s_i}(w).$$

Furthermore,  $|\eta_w(u) - \eta_w(v)| \leq 2k$ .

DEFINITION 6. Let  $g \in G$  with  $g \neq 1$ . By subdivision of  $g$  we mean an expression the form

$$g = g_1g_2 \cdots g_m,$$

where the  $g_i$  are canonical forms for each  $i$ , and  $\ddot{g}_i\dot{g}_{i+1} \notin A_0 \cup B_0$ .

LEMMA 2. Suppose that the elements  $g, t \in G$  satisfy  $\ddot{g}\dot{t} \notin H$ . Then

$$d(\xi(gt), \xi(g)\xi(t)) \leq 3.$$

PROOF. It is clear that  $\xi(gt) = \xi(g)\xi(t)$  unless  $\ddot{g}$  and  $\dot{t}$  are both in  $B$ . Writing  $g = g_1b_1$  and  $t = b_2t_1$ , where  $b_1 = \ddot{g}$  and  $b_2 = \dot{t}$ , we see that  $\xi(g)\xi(t) = \xi(g_1)x_1x_2\xi(t_1)$ , where  $x_1 = \xi(b_1)$  and  $x_2 = \xi(b_2)$  are elements of  $X$ . Furthermore,  $\xi(gt) = \xi(g_1)x_3\xi(t_1)$ , where  $x_3 = \xi(b_1b_2) \in X$ . Now  $\xi(g)\xi(t)$  can be transformed into  $\xi(gt)$  by deleting  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  and inserting  $x_3$ . □

COROLLARY 2. *Suppose that the elements  $g, t \in G$  satisfy  $\ddot{g}\dot{t} \notin H$ . Then*

$$|\eta_w(\xi(gt)) - \eta_w(\xi(g)\xi(t))| \leq 6$$

for all  $w \in P^+$ . Further, there is a set of at most nine pairs of elements  $z_i, s_i$  from  $\mathcal{F}$  such that

$$\eta_w(\xi(gt)) - \eta_w(\xi(g)\xi(t)) = \sum_i \Delta_{z_i, s_i}(w)$$

for all  $w \in P^+$ . Since  $\eta_w(\xi(g)\xi(t)) - \eta_w(\xi(g)) - \eta_w(\xi(t)) = \Delta_{\xi(g), \xi(t)}(w)$ , there is a set of at most ten pairs of elements  $z_i, s_i$  such that

$$\eta_w(\xi(gt)) - \eta_w(\xi(g)) - \eta_w(\xi(t)) = \sum_i \Delta_{z_i, s_i}(w).$$

For each  $w \in P^+$  we define a function  $\rho_w : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\rho_w(g) = \eta_w(\xi(g))$  for all  $g \in G$ . Our next result shows that  $\rho_w$  is a quasicharacter.

PROPOSITION 1. *For any  $x, y$  from  $G$  there exists a set of at most twelve pairs of elements  $g_i, t_i$  from  $G$  such that the relation*

$$\rho_w(xy) = \rho_w(x) + \rho_w(y) + \sum_i \Delta_{\xi(g_i), \xi(t_i)}(w)$$

holds for all  $w \in P^+$ . Hence, we have the following estimate

$$|\rho_w(xy) - \rho_w(x) - \rho_w(y)| \leq 12.$$

PROOF. If  $\ddot{x}\dot{y} \notin H$  the result follows immediately from Corollary 2 above. So we may assume that  $\ddot{x}\dot{y} \in H$ . Now let  $x = gz_1$  and  $y = z_2t$  be subdivisions of  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $z_1z_2 \in H$  and  $\ddot{g}z_1z_2\dot{t} \notin H$ . Then  $\xi(x) = \xi(g)\xi(z_1)$ ,  $\xi(y) = \xi(z_2)\xi(t)$  and  $\xi(z_2) = \xi(z_1)^*$ . Hence, we obtain the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_w(\xi(x)) &= \eta_w(\xi(g)\xi(z_1)) = \eta_w(\xi(g)) + \eta_w(\xi(z_1)) + \Delta_{\xi(g), \xi(z_1)}(w), \\ \eta_w(\xi(y)) &= \eta_w(\xi(z_2)\xi(t)) = \eta_w(\xi(t)) + \eta_w(\xi(z_2)) + \Delta_{\xi(z_2), \xi(t)}(w), \\ \eta_w(\xi(x)) + \eta_w(\xi(y)) &= \eta_w(\xi(g)) + \eta_w(\xi(t)) + \Delta_{\xi(g), \xi(z_1)}(w) + \Delta_{\xi(z_2), \xi(t)}(w). \end{aligned}$$

Now  $\xi(gz_1z_2) = \xi(g)$ , since  $z_1z_2 \in H$ , and so  $\eta_w(\xi(xy)) - \eta_w(\xi(x)) - \eta_w(\xi(y))$  equals

$$\eta_w(\xi(gz_1z_2t)) - \eta_w(\xi(gz_1z_2)) - \eta_w(\xi(t)) - \Delta_{\xi(g), \xi(z_1)}(w) - \Delta_{\xi(z_2), \xi(t)}(w).$$

Hence by Corollary 2 (applied with  $gz_1z_2$  in place of  $g$ ) there is a set of twelve or fewer pairs  $g_i, t_i$  such that  $\eta_w(\xi(xy)) - \eta_w(\xi(x)) - \eta_w(\xi(y)) = \sum_i \Delta_{\xi(g_i), \xi(t_i)}(w)$ .  $\square$



DEFINITION 7. An element  $v \in \mathcal{F}$  is said to be *simple* if there is no integer  $m \geq 2$  such that  $v = w^m$  for some  $w \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Obviously for any  $u \in \mathcal{F}$  there is an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a simple element  $w$  such that  $u = w^n$ . It is clear that if  $u \sim v$ , then  $u$  is simple if and only if  $v$  is simple, and  $u^m \sim v^m$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In [5] the following result was obtained.

LEMMA 3. *If  $v$  is a simple element from  $\mathcal{F}$ , then there is  $w \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $v \sim w$  and  $H(w) \cap K(w) = \emptyset$ .*

LEMMA 4. *Let  $v \in \mathcal{F}$  be an element of length at least two with  $v \not\sim v^*$ . Then there exist  $w \in P^+$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $v \sim w^n$ .*

PROOF. Suppose that  $v = w_1^n$ , where  $w_1$  is simple. It is clear that  $w_1 \not\sim w_1^*$ . Now by Lemma 3 we obtain that there is  $w \sim w_1$  such that  $H(w) \cap K(w) = \emptyset$ . □

In [4] the following result was obtained.

THEOREM 2. *Let  $S$  be a semigroup, and  $f$  a quasicharacter of  $S$  such that  $|f(xy) - f(x) - f(y)| < c$  for all  $x, y \in S$ . Then the function*

$$\widehat{f}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(x^{2^n})$$

*is well defined and is a pseudocharacter, with  $|\widehat{f}(xy) - \widehat{f}(x) - \widehat{f}(y)| < 4c$  for all  $x, y \in S$ .*

COROLLARY 4. *Let  $G$  be a group, and  $f$  a quasicharacter of  $G$  such that  $|f(xy) - f(x) - f(y)| < c$  for all  $x, y \in G$ . Then the function*

$$\widehat{f}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(x^{2^n})$$

*is well defined and is a pseudocharacter, with  $|\widehat{f}(xy) - \widehat{f}(x) - \widehat{f}(y)| < 4c$  for all  $x, y \in G$ .*

PROOF. By Theorem 2 it suffices to show that for each  $x \in G$  the equality  $\widehat{f}(x^{-1}) = -\widehat{f}(x)$  holds. Since  $\widehat{f}(x^n) = n\widehat{f}(x)$  for all  $x \in G$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain  $\widehat{f}(1) = 0$ . Hence  $|\widehat{f}(1) - \widehat{f}(x) - \widehat{f}(x^{-1})| < 4c$  becomes  $|\widehat{f}(x) + \widehat{f}(x^{-1})| < 4c$  for all  $x \in G$ , whence it follows that

$$n|\widehat{f}(x) + \widehat{f}(x^{-1})| = |\widehat{f}(x^n) + \widehat{f}((x^{-1})^n)| < 4c$$

for all  $x \in G$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This is possible only if  $\widehat{f}(x^{-1}) = -\widehat{f}(x)$ . Now for each  $k > 0$  we have  $\widehat{f}(x^{-k}) = \widehat{f}((x^k)^{-1}) = -\widehat{f}((x^k)) = -k\widehat{f}(x)$ , and the corollary is proved.  $\square$

In particular, it follows from Corollary 4 that  $\widehat{\rho}_w$  is a pseudocharacter of  $G$  whenever  $w \in P^+$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $C \triangleleft G$  and  $C \not\subseteq H$ . Then there exists a pseudocharacter  $\varphi$  of  $G$  such that  $\varphi|_C \neq 0$ .*

**PROOF.** By Theorem 1 there is  $g \in C$  of the form  $g = \alpha_1\beta_1 \cdots \alpha_k\beta_k$  with  $\alpha_i \in (A \setminus H)$  and  $\beta_i \in (B \setminus H)$  for each  $i$ , such that if  $v = \xi(g) \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $v \not\sim v^*$ .

Replacing  $g$  by a conjugate of itself if necessary, by Lemma 4 we may assume that  $v = w^n$  for some  $w \in P^+$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The pseudocharacter  $\widehat{\rho}_w$  then has the desired property, since  $\rho_w(g^k) = nk$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and thus  $\widehat{\rho}_w(g) = n \neq 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**LEMMA 5.** *Let  $\varphi \in PX(G)$ , and suppose that  $|\varphi(xy) - \varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $x, y \in G$ . Then:*

- (A) *The inequality  $|\varphi(x_1x_2 \cdots x_{n+1}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \varphi(x_i)| < n\varepsilon$  holds for any positive integer  $n$  and any  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in G$ .*
- (B) *If  $\varphi$  is a bounded function, then  $\varphi \equiv 0$ .*
- (C)  *$\varphi(a^{-1}ba) = \varphi(b)$  for any  $a, b \in G$ .*

**PROOF.** Assertion (A) is easily proved by induction on  $n$ . Let us prove (B). If  $\delta$  is a positive number such that  $|\varphi(x)| < \delta$  for all  $x \in G$ , then for any positive integer  $n$  we have  $n|\varphi(x)| = |\varphi(x^n)| < \delta$ . Therefore  $\varphi(x) = 0$ , as required.

From (A) it follows that  $|\varphi(a^{-1}b^na) - \varphi(a^{-1}) - \varphi(b^n) - \varphi(a)| < 2\varepsilon$ . Hence  $|\varphi(a^{-1}b^na) - \varphi(b^n)| = |\varphi((a^{-1}ba)^n) - \varphi(b^n)| < 2\varepsilon$ , and so  $n|\varphi(a^{-1}ba) - \varphi(b)| < 2\varepsilon$ . Since the latter inequality holds for all  $n > 1$ , we obtain  $\varphi(a^{-1}ba) = \varphi(b)$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

Let  $i$  and  $j$  be distinct elements of  $I$ , and put  $w_k = x_i^{3k}x_j^{2k}x_i^kx_j^k$ , for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider the set  $\mathcal{M} = \{w_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . It can easily be checked that  $w_l$  is not a subword of  $w_k$  for  $k \neq l$ , and also that

$$(3) \quad H(w_k) \cap K(w_l) = \emptyset \quad \text{for all } k, l \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence  $|\mathcal{M} \cap \text{supp } \mu_{u,v}| \leq 1$  for all  $u, v \in \mathcal{F}$  and

$$(4) \quad |\mathcal{M} \cap \text{supp } \Delta_{u,v}| \leq 2 \quad \text{for all } u, v \in \mathcal{F}.$$



By Proposition 1 it follows that for any pair of elements  $x, y$  from  $G$  there are at most 24 elements  $w$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\rho_w(xy) \neq \rho_w(x) + \rho_w(y)$ . For each  $g \in G$  and each integer  $m \geq 2$ , the set

$$O_m(g) = \{ w \in \mathcal{M} \mid \rho_w(g) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m} \}$$

is finite. Denote by  $\gamma_m(g)$  the cardinality of  $O_m(g)$ . Evidently,

$$O_m(xy) \subseteq O_m(x) \cup O_m(y) \cup \{ w \in \mathcal{M} \mid \rho_w(xy) \neq \rho_w(x) + \rho_w(y) \}.$$

Hence

$$(5) \quad \gamma_m(xy) \leq \gamma_m(x) + \gamma_m(y) + 24.$$

Similar arguments establish the following assertions (for all  $x, y \in G$ ).

(a) There is a set of at most 36 pairs  $y_i, t_i$  such that

$$\rho_w(x^{-1}y^{-1}xy) = \rho_w(x^{-1}) + \rho_w(y^{-1}) + \rho_w(x) + \rho_w(y) + \sum_i \Delta_{\xi(y_i), \xi(t_i)}(w),$$

and since  $\rho_w(x^{-1}) + \rho_w(y^{-1}) + \rho_w(x) + \rho_w(y) = 0$  we see that there are at most 72 elements  $w \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\rho_w(x^{-1}y^{-1}xy) \neq 0$ . So

$$(6) \quad \gamma_m(x^{-1}y^{-1}yx) \leq 72.$$

(b) By Corollary 3, there are at most  $12(m - 1)$  elements  $y_i, t_i$  such that

$$\rho_w(x^m) = m\rho_w(x) + \sum_i \Delta_{\xi(y_i), \xi(t_i)}(w).$$

Now from (4) we obtain that there are at most  $24(m - 1)$  elements in the set  $O(x^m)$ . Hence

$$(7) \quad \gamma_m(x^m) \leq 24(m - 1).$$

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $V$  be a finite subset of the free group  $F$  such that the verbal subgroup  $V(F)$  is a proper subgroup of  $F$ . Then the verbal subgroup  $V(G)$  of  $G$  has infinite width.*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $V(F) \subseteq F'$ . Let  $\varphi \in PX(G)$  and choose  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|\varphi(xy) - \varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq r$  for all  $x, y \in G$ . By Lemma 5

$$|\varphi(x^{-1}y^{-1}xy)| = |\varphi(x^{-1}y^{-1}xy) - \varphi(x^{-1}) - \varphi(y^{-1}xy)| \leq r$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Since  $V$  is finite there is an integer  $l$  such that each element of  $V$  is a product of at most  $l$  commutators, and we deduce that  $\varphi(g) < (l - 1)r$  for all

$g \in V(G)$ . Hence if  $\text{wid}(V(G)) < \infty$  it follows that the pseudocharacter  $\varphi$  is bounded on  $V(G)$ . By Lemma 5 we obtain  $\varphi \equiv 0$  on  $V(G)$ , contradicting Proposition 2.

Now suppose that  $V(F) \not\subseteq F'$ . Let  $Z = \{z_1, z_2, \dots\}$  be a set of free generators of  $F$ , and let  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ . Then there is positive integer  $n$  such that each  $v_i$  is uniquely expressible in the form

$$(8) \quad v_i = z_1^{l_{i1}} z_2^{l_{i2}} \cdots z_n^{l_{in}} u_i,$$

where  $l_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $u_i \in F'$ , and each  $u_i$  is a word in the alphabet  $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ . Let  $m$  be the highest common factor of the numbers  $\{l_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ , and for each  $i$  let  $m_i$  be the highest common factor of the numbers  $\{l_{ij} \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ . It is clear that  $m$  is the highest common factor of the numbers  $\{m_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ . Choose integers  $\alpha_{ij}$  such that  $m_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} l_{ij}$ .

We have  $u_i = u_i(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ . If  $t$  is any element of  $F$  and  $k_1, \dots, k_n$  are any integers, then  $u_i(t^{k_1}, t^{k_2}, \dots, t^{k_n}) = 1$ , since  $u_i \in F'$ . Hence we obtain

$$v_i(t^{\alpha_{i1}}, t^{\alpha_{i2}}, \dots, t^{\alpha_{in}}) = t^{\alpha_{i1}l_{i1}} \cdot t^{\alpha_{i2}l_{i2}} \cdots t^{\alpha_{in}l_{in}} = t^{m_i},$$

and we see that  $t^{m_i} \in V(F)$  for any  $t \in F$ . Now as there are integers  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k$  such that  $\beta_1 m_1 + \dots + \beta_k m_k = m$  it follows that for all  $g \in F$ ,

$$g^m = g^{\beta_1 m_1 + \dots + \beta_k m_k} = g^{\beta_1 m_1} \cdots g^{\beta_k m_k} \in V(F).$$

Since  $V(F) \neq F$ , it follows that  $m \geq 2$ .

From (8) and (5) we obtain

$$(9) \quad \gamma_m(z_1^{l_{i1}} z_2^{l_{i2}} \cdots z_n^{l_{in}} u_i) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_m(z_j^{l_{ij}}) + \gamma_m(u_i) + 24n.$$

We have  $l_{ij} = m p_{ij}$  for some  $p_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ ; hence by (7)

$$(10) \quad \gamma_m(z_j^{l_{ij}}) \leq 24(m - 1).$$

It is clear that there is  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  such that each  $u_i$  from (8) is representable as a product of at most  $q$  commutators, and then by (6) and (5) we have

$$(11) \quad \gamma_m(u_i) \leq 72q + 24(q - 1).$$

Now from (9), (10) and (11) we obtain that there is an  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $u \in \overline{V}(G)$  the relation  $\gamma_m(u) \leq l$  holds. This implies that if  $V(G)$  has finite width, then the function  $\gamma_m$  is bounded on  $V(G)$ . Indeed, if  $\text{wid } V(G) = k$ , then by (5) for any  $g \in V(G)$  we have  $\gamma_m(g) \leq 24(k - 1)l$ .

Let us choose  $ab_i$  and  $ab_j$  such that  $\xi(ab_i) = x_i$ ,  $\xi(ab_j) = x_j$ , and consider the elements  $g_k = (ab_i)^{3k}(ab_j)^{2k}(ab_i)^k(ab_j)^k$  and  $d_k = g_m g_{2m} g_{3m} \cdots g_{km}$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is clear  $\xi(g_k) = w_k$  and  $\xi(d_k) = v_k = w_m w_{2m} \cdots w_{km}$ . Obviously,  $d_k \in V(G)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now from (3) it follows that there is exactly one occurrence of  $w_i$  in  $v_k$  if  $i \in \{m, 2m, \dots, km\}$ , and no occurrence otherwise. It is easy to see that for any  $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$  the relation  $\mu_{w_k, w_j}(w_i^*) = 0$  holds. It follows that  $w_i^*$  does not occur in  $v_k$  for any value of  $i$ . Hence  $\rho_w(g_k) = 1$  if  $w \in \{w_m, w_{2m}, \dots, w_{km}\}$ , and  $\rho_w(g_k) = 0$  for other elements  $w \in \mathcal{M}$ . So  $\gamma_m(g_k) = k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and we obtain a contradiction. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 5** (See [8]). *Let  $V$  be a finite subset of the free group  $F$  such that  $V(F) \neq F$ . Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are nontrivial groups such that the order of  $B$  is at least three, and let  $G = A * B$  be the free product. Then the width of the verbal subgroup  $V(G)$  is infinite.*

### Acknowledgment

I wish to express my thanks to the referee for his helpful remarks that essentially improved the paper.

### References

- [1] S. I. Adjan and J. Mennicke, 'On bounded generation of  $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ ', *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* **2** (1992), 357–355.
- [2] V. G. Bardakov, 'To the theory of braid groups', *Mat. Sb.* **183** (1992), 3–43.
- [3] E. W. Ellers, 'Products of transvections in one conjugacy class in the symplectic group over  $GF(3)$ ', *Linear Algebra Appl.* **202** (1994), 1–23.
- [4] V. A. Faiziev, 'Pseudocharacters on semidirect product of semigroups', *Mat. Zametki* **53** (1993), 132–139.
- [5] ———, 'Pseudocharacters on free semigroups', *Russian J. Math. Phys.* **5** (1995), 191–206.
- [6] H. B. Griffiths, 'A note on commutators in free products', *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **50** (1954), 178–188.
- [7] M. Newnan, 'Unimodular commutators', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **101** (1987), 605–609.
- [8] A. H. Rhemtulla, 'A problem of expressibility in free products', *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **64** (1968), 573–584.
- [9] ———, 'Commutators of certain finitely generated solvable groups', *Canad. J. Math.* **64** (1969), 1160–1164.

Tver Agricultural Academy

Tver

Russia

e-mail: valeriy.fayziev@tversu.ru