

# On a problem in the theory of ordered groups

Colin D. Fox

The group  $G$  presented on two generators  $a, c$  with the single defining relation  $a^{-1}c^2a = c^2a^2c^2$  [proposed by B.H. Neumann in 1949 (unpublished), discussed by Gilbert Baumslag in *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 55 (1959)] has been considered as a possible example of an orderable group which can not be embedded in a divisible orderable group, contrary to the conjecture that no such examples exist. It is known from Baumslag's discussion that  $G$  can not be embedded in any divisible orderable group. However, it is shown in this note that  $G$  is not orderable, and thus is not a counter-example to the conjecture.

**DEFINITIONS.** A group,  $G$ , is an orderable group ( $0$ -group) if  $G$  admits a linear order,  $\leq$ , which has the property that if  $x \leq y$  then  $axb \leq ayb$  for  $a, b, x, y$  in  $G$ .

$G$  is an  $R$ -group if it has the property that  $x^n = y^n$  implies  $x = y$  for  $x, y$  in  $G$ .

$G$  is a divisible group if for each  $g$  in  $G$  and integer,  $n$ , there exists a (not necessarily unique)  $x$  in  $G$  such that  $x^n = g$ .

It is convenient to ignore the presentation of the group  $G$  given in the abstract, and instead to construct  $G$  as a generalized free product, as follows:

---

Received 4 January 1972. The author thanks Professor B.H. Neumann for his help with, and constructive criticism of, this work.

Take groups  $A = \text{gp}(a, b : a^{-1}ba = b^2)$  (whose elements may be written uniquely in the form  $a^n b^\beta$  where  $n$  is an integer and  $\beta = m2^{-k}$ ,  $m$  an integer and  $k$  a non-negative integer - see Fuchs [2], p. 60) and  $C$ , the infinite cyclic group with generator,  $c$ . Let  $G$  be the generalized free product of  $A$  and  $C$  with amalgamated subgroup

$$H = \text{gp}(c^2 = ba^{-2}) = \text{gp}(c^2 = a^{-2}b^{1/4}) .$$

Baumslag [1] has shown that  $G$  is an  $R$ -group which cannot be embedded in a divisible  $R$ -group. Thus  $G$  can not be embedded in a divisible 0-group, because every 0-group is an  $R$ -group (Fuchs [2], p. 61). We show that  $G$  cannot be linearly ordered.

LEMMA 1.  $ac^2a \neq ca^2c$  in  $G$ .

Proof. We use a normal form argument. (See Neumann [3] for the theory of normal form in a free product with amalgamation.)

Let  $S$  be a system of left coset representatives of  $A$  with respect to  $H$  such that both  $b^{1/4}$  and  $b^{1/2}$  belong to  $S$ .  $T = \{1, c\}$  is a system of left coset representatives of  $C$  with respect to  $H$ . (Observe that  $b^{1/4}$  and  $b^{1/2}$  lie in different cosets of  $H$  because every non-identity element of  $H$  has a non-trivial power of  $a$  in its unique representation in  $A$ .)

Now

$$ac^2a = ac^2a^2a^{-1} = aba^{-1} = b^{1/2} .$$

Since  $b^{1/2} \in S$ ,  $b^{1/2}$  is the normal form of  $ac^2a$  in  $G$  (with respect to  $S, T$  and  $H$ ).

But

$$\begin{aligned} ca^2c &= ca^2ba^{-2}a^2b^{-1}c \\ &= cb^{1/4}a^2b^{-1}c \\ &= cb^{1/4}a^2(c^2a^2)^{-1}c \\ &= cb^{1/4}c^{-1} \\ &= cb^{1/4}c^{-2} . \end{aligned}$$

Since  $b^{1/4} \in S$ ,  $c \in T$  and  $c^{-2} \in H$ ,  $cb^{1/4}cc^{-2}$  is the normal form of  $ca^2c$  in  $G$ .

The next lemma shows that  $G$  is not an 0-group.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $K$  be an 0-group with elements  $x$  and  $y$  which satisfy*

$$(1) \quad x^{-1}y^2x = y^2x^2y^2.$$

Then  $xy^2x = yx^2y$ .

**Proof.** We show that neither

$$(2) \quad xy^2x < yx^2y$$

nor

$$(3) \quad xy^2x > yx^2y$$

hold in  $K$ .

If we assume that (2) holds, we have

$$\begin{aligned} xy^2x < yx^2y &\Rightarrow xy^2x < y^{-1}x^{-1}y^2xy^{-1} \text{ by (1)} \\ &\Rightarrow xy^2x < y^{-1}x^{-2}xy^2xy^{-1} \\ &\Rightarrow xy^2x < y^{-1}x^{-2}yx^2yy^{-1} \text{ by (2)} \\ &\Rightarrow xy^2 < y^{-1}x^{-2}yx \\ &\Rightarrow xy^2 < y^{-1}x^{-2}y^{-1}y^2x \\ &\Rightarrow xy^2 < x^{-1}y^{-2}x^{-1}y^2x \text{ by (2)} \\ &\Rightarrow xy^2 < x^{-1}y^{-2}y^2x^2y^2 \text{ by (1)} \\ &\Rightarrow xy^2 < xy^2 \text{ - impossible.} \end{aligned}$$

So  $xy^2x \not< yx^2y$ .

Now assume that (3) holds. By substituting  $>$  for  $<$  and (3) for (2) in the above argument, the validity of this argument is not affected.

So  $xy^2x \not> yx^2y$ . Hence  $xy^2x = yx^2y$  and Lemma 2 is proven.

Finally, we observe that  $a$  and  $c$  in  $G$  satisfy (1). (Because

$b = c^2 a^2$  and  $a^{-1} b a = b^2$  imply  $a^{-1} (c^2 a^2) a = (c^2 a^2)^2$ ; that is  $a^{-1} c^2 a = c^2 a^2 c^2$  .) So, if  $G$  were an 0-group, then, by Lemma 2,  $a c^2 a = c a^2 c$  would hold, contrary to Lemma 1, so  $G$  is not an 0-group.

### References

- [1] Gilbert Baumslag, "Wreath products and  $p$ -groups", *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 55 (1959), 224-231.
- [2] László Fuchs, *Teilweise geordnete algebraische Strukturen* (Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1966).
- [3] B.H. Neumann, "An essay on free products of groups with amalgamations", *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* 246 (1954), 503-554.

Department of Mathematics,  
 Institute of Advanced Studies,  
 Australian National University,  
 Canberra, ACT.