# LINEARIZATION OF THE PRODUCT OF JACOBI POLYNOMIALS. III 

RICHARD ASKEY AND GEORGE GASPER

In a series of papers $[\mathbf{1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4 ]}$ the operation of linearizing the product of two Jacobi polynomials $P_{n}{ }^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x), \alpha, \beta>-1$, has been investigated and the existence of a natural Banach algebra associated with the linearization coefficients has been proven. This was proven for $\alpha+\beta+1 \geqq 0$ in [3] and for a slightly larger region in [4]. It was shown in [4] that such a Banach algebra does not exist for $-1<\alpha, \beta<-\frac{1}{2}$. The method used in $[\mathbf{1} ; \mathbf{3} ; \mathbf{4}]$ was to prove the non-negativity of the expansion coefficients from which the existence of the Banach algebra easily follows. However, as shown in [4], the coefficients for a subset of $\alpha \geqq-\frac{1}{2}, \alpha+\beta+1<0$ can be negative infinitely often and so a different method must be used for these values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$. We now complete the study of the existence of these Banach algebras by considering the remaining cases. For $\alpha>-\frac{1}{2}$ we will show that methods related to those in [2] can be used, and for $\alpha=-\frac{1}{2}$ an explicit formula will be given for the coefficients, and estimates of this formula will be used to prove the existence of the Banach algebra. For $-1<\alpha, \beta<-\frac{1}{2}$ there is a weaker Banach algebra which is easy to obtain (Theorem 2). However, this weaker Banach algebra suffers from the defect of having a maximal ideal space which is larger than the maximal ideal space of the Banach algebras associated with Jacobi polynomials for $\alpha \geqq-\frac{1}{2}$.

We start with the standard type of Banach algebra. For $\alpha, \beta>-1$, the Jacobi polynomial $P_{n}{ }^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ may be defined by

$$
(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta} P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)=\frac{(-1)^{n}}{2^{n} n!} \frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}}\left[(1-x)^{n+\alpha}(1+x)^{n+\beta}\right]
$$

[7, (4.3.1)]. These polynomials are orthogonal on $[-1,1]$ and

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) P_{m}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta} d x=\frac{\delta_{n, m}}{h_{n}}
$$

where

$$
h_{n}=h_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}=\frac{(2 n+\alpha+\beta+1) \Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta+1) \Gamma(n+1)}{2^{\alpha+\beta+1} \Gamma(n+\alpha+1) \Gamma(n+\beta+1)}
$$

[^0][7, (4.3.3)]. Then with $\xi(k, m, n)$ defined by
(1) $\quad \xi(k, m, n)=\int_{-1}^{1} P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) P_{m}{ }^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) P_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta} d x$,
we have
$$
P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) P_{m}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)=\sum_{k=|n-m|}^{n+m} \xi(k, m, n) h_{k} P_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) .
$$

As in $[\mathbf{2} ; \mathbf{4}]$, in order to establish the existence of the Banach algebras for $\alpha \geqq \beta$, it suffices to show, for $n \geqq m \geqq 1$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=n-m}^{n+m}|\xi(k, m, n)| h_{k} P_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1) \leqq C P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1) P_{m}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=C^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is independent of $n$ and $m$.
For $\alpha \geqq \beta \geqq-\frac{1}{2}$, (2) was proven in [2] and for $\alpha \geqq \beta, \alpha+\beta+1 \geqq 0$ in [3]. Also the results in [4] showed that (2) fails for $\alpha<-\frac{1}{2}$ and for $\alpha<\beta$. Thus, in proving (2) for the remaining ( $\alpha, \beta$ ) we may assume that $\alpha>\beta, 0>\alpha \geqq-\frac{1}{2}$, $-\frac{1}{2}>\beta>-1$, since this set contains $\alpha>\beta>-1, \alpha \geqq-\frac{1}{2}, \alpha+\beta+1<0$. From [7, (4.1.1)] and Stirling's formula, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)=\binom{n+\alpha}{n} \sim n^{\alpha} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $h_{k}{ }^{(\alpha, \beta)} \sim k$. Therefore to prove (2) it is sufficient to prove that
(4) $\sum_{k=n-m}^{n+m} k^{1+\alpha} m^{-\alpha} n^{-\alpha}\left|\int_{-1}^{1} P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) P_{m}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) P_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta} d x\right| \leqq C$,

$$
n \geqq m \geqq 1,
$$

where, as elsewhere, when $k=0$ it is assumed that $k^{\alpha}$ is replaced by $(k+1)^{\alpha}=1$. For $\alpha>-\frac{1}{2}$ the proof given in [2] works if we only consider $\int_{0}^{1}$. This is true since the behaviour of $P_{n}{ }^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ for $0 \leqq x \leqq 1$ and $\alpha \geqq-\frac{1}{2}$ is almost completely controlled by the value of $\alpha$. Thus for $\alpha>-\frac{1}{2}$ we may restrict ourselves to proving (4) with $\int_{-1}^{1}$ replaced by $\int_{-1}^{0}$. The case $\alpha=-\frac{1}{2}$ will be handled later.

Using $P_{n}{ }^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-x)=(-1)^{n} P_{n}^{(\beta, \alpha)}(x)$ and letting $x=\cos \theta$, we see that we must estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=n-m}^{n+m} k^{1+\alpha} m^{-\alpha} n^{-\alpha} \mid \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} P_{n}^{(\beta, \alpha)}(\cos \theta) P_{m}^{(\beta, \alpha)}(\cos \theta) \\
& \left.\cdot P_{k}^{(\beta, \alpha)}(\cos \theta)\left(\sin \frac{1}{2} \theta\right)^{2 \beta+1}\left(\cos \frac{1}{2} \theta\right)^{2 \alpha+1} d \theta \right\rvert\, .
\end{aligned}
$$

We will use the following properties of $P_{n}^{(\beta, \alpha)}(\cos \theta)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|P_{n}^{(\beta, \alpha)}(\cos \theta)\right| \leqq A n^{\beta}, \quad 0 \leqq \theta \leqq n^{-1},  \tag{5}\\
& \left|P_{n}^{(\beta, \alpha)}(\cos \theta)\right| \leqq A n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta^{-\beta-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad n^{-1} \leqq \theta \leqq \pi / 2,  \tag{6}\\
& \left|P_{n}^{(\beta, \alpha)}(\cos \theta)\right| \leqq A n^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 0 \leqq \theta \leqq \pi / 2 \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

These inequalities follow from [7, p. 169] since $\beta<-\frac{1}{2}$.
If $m<n / 2$, then $k \sim n$ and using (5) and (7) we have the estimate

$$
\sum_{k=n-m}^{n+m} k^{1+\alpha} m^{-\alpha} n^{-\alpha} \int_{0}^{1 / n} n^{\beta} k^{\beta} m^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta^{2 \beta+1} d \theta=O\left(n^{-1} m^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}\right)=O\left(m^{-\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}\right)=O(1)
$$

since $\alpha>-\frac{1}{2}$. If $n / 2 \leqq m \leqq n$, then we use (5) and (7) again to obtain

$$
\sum_{k=n-m}^{n+m} k^{1+\alpha} m^{-\alpha} n^{-\alpha} \int_{0}^{1 / n} n^{\beta} k^{-\frac{1}{2}} m^{\beta} \theta^{2 \beta+1} d \theta=O\left(n^{-\beta-\frac{3}{2}} m^{\beta-\alpha+1}\right)=O\left((m / n)^{\beta-\alpha+1} n^{-\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}\right)
$$

This term is bounded since the restrictions $\alpha<0$ and $\beta>-1$ imply that $\beta-\alpha+1>0$. Next we integrate from $1 / n$ to $1 / m$ and use (5), (6), and (7) to obtain

$$
\sum_{k=n-m}^{n+m} k^{1+\alpha} m^{-\alpha} n^{-\alpha} \int_{1 / n}^{1 / m} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} m^{\beta} k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta^{\beta+\frac{1}{2}} d \theta=O\left(m^{-\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}\right)=O(1)
$$

We next integrate from $1 / m$ to $1 / k$ and use (5) and (6) to obtain

$$
\sum_{k=n-m}^{n+m} k^{1+\alpha} m^{-\alpha} n^{-\alpha} \int_{1 / m}^{1 / k} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} m^{-\frac{1}{2}} k^{\beta} d \theta=O\left(m^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} n^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}\right)=O\left((m / n)^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} n^{\beta-\alpha}\right)=O(1)
$$

Observe that we only needed to estimate this integral if $k<m$. We are then left with

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=n-m}^{n+m} k^{1+\alpha} m^{-\alpha} n^{-\alpha} & \int_{\max (1 / m, 1 / k)}^{\pi / 2} P_{n}^{(\beta, \alpha)}(\cos \theta)  \tag{8}\\
& \cdot P_{m}^{(\beta, \alpha)}(\cos \theta) P_{k}^{(\beta, \alpha)}(\cos \theta)\left(\sin \frac{1}{2} \theta\right)^{2 \beta+1}\left(\cos \frac{1}{2} \theta\right)^{2 \alpha+1} d \theta .
\end{align*}
$$

Now we apply an asymptotic formula for $P_{n}{ }^{(\beta, \alpha)}(\cos \theta)$. It suffices to use (9) $\quad\left(\sin \frac{1}{2} \theta\right)^{\beta+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\cos \frac{1}{2} \theta\right)^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}} P_{n}{ }^{(\beta, \alpha)}(\cos \theta)$

$$
=(\pi n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cos (N \theta+\gamma)+O\left(n^{-\frac{3}{2}} \theta^{-1}\right), \quad 1 / n \leqq \theta \leqq \pi / 2,
$$

[7, Theorem 8.21.13] where $N=n+(\alpha+\beta+1) / 2$ and $\gamma=-(\beta+1 / 2) \pi / 2$. Using (9) in (8) leads to the estimation of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=n-m}^{n+m} k^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}} m^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} n^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} & \int_{\max (1 / m, 1 / k)}^{\pi / 2} \cos (N \theta+\gamma) \\
& \cdot \cos (M \theta+\gamma) \cos (K \theta+\gamma)\left(\sin \frac{1}{2} \theta\right)^{-\beta-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\cos \frac{1}{2} \theta\right)^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} d \theta \\
& \quad+\sum_{k=n-m}^{n+m} k^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}} m^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} n^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\max (1 / m, 1 / k)}^{\pi / 2} \theta^{-\beta-\frac{3}{2}}\left[m^{-1}+k^{-1}\right] d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

The error terms are bounded by

$$
\sum_{k=n-m}^{n+m} k^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}} m^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} n^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}\left[m^{-1}+k^{-1}\right]=O\left(m^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}\right)=O(1)
$$

Since $\beta<-\frac{1}{2},\left(\sin \frac{1}{2} \theta\right)^{-\beta-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\cos \frac{1}{2} \theta\right)^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}=g(\theta)$ is a bounded function of bounded variation for $0 \leqq \theta \leqq \pi / 2$. The boundedness allows us to consider $\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}$ (the same argument as for the error terms) and the bounded variation allows us to conclude that

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \cos (N \theta+\gamma) \cos (M \theta+\gamma) \cos (K \theta+\gamma) g(\theta) d \theta=O\left(\frac{1}{|N \pm M \pm K|}\right)
$$

This leads to the estimate

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{k=n-m}^{n+m} k^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}} m^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} n^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}|N \pm M \pm K|^{-1}=O\left(m^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=n-m}^{n+m}|N \pm M \pm K|^{-1}\right) \\
=O\left(m^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \log m\right)=O(1)
\end{array}
$$

which completes the proof of (2) for $\alpha>-\frac{1}{2}, \alpha \geqq \beta$.
For the remaining case $\alpha=-\frac{1}{2},-1<\beta<-\frac{1}{2}$, we use the following formula of Dougall which is given in [7, p. 390, Problem 84] for ultraspherical polynomials $P_{n}{ }^{(\lambda)}(x)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{-1}^{1} P_{k}^{(\lambda)}(x) P_{m}^{(\lambda)}(x) P_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x) & \left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\lambda-\frac{\lambda}{2}} d x  \tag{10}\\
& =\frac{\alpha_{s-k} \alpha_{s-m} \alpha_{s-n}}{\alpha_{s}} \int_{-1}^{1}\left[P_{s}^{(\lambda)}(x)\right]^{2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}} d x
\end{align*}
$$

for $\lambda>-\frac{1}{2}, \lambda \neq 0$, provided that $k+m+n=2 s$ is even and a triangle with sides $k, m, n$ exists, i.e., $|n-m| \leqq k \leqq n+m$. Here

$$
\alpha_{k}=\binom{k+\lambda-1}{k}=\frac{(\lambda)_{k}}{k!}=\frac{\Gamma(k+\lambda)}{\Gamma(k+1) \Gamma(\lambda)} .
$$

Using (1), (3), (10), $P_{n}{ }^{(\lambda)}(1)=(2 \lambda)_{n} / n!$, and
$\frac{P_{2 n}{ }^{(\lambda)}(x)}{P_{2 n}{ }^{(\lambda)}(1)}=\frac{P_{2 n}{ }^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(x)}{P_{2 n}{ }^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(1)}=\frac{P_{n}^{\left(\alpha,-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left(2 x^{2}-1\right)}{P_{n}{ }^{\left(\alpha,-\frac{1}{2}\right)}(1)}$

$$
=(-1)^{n} \frac{P_{n}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \alpha\right)}\left(1-2 x^{2}\right)}{P_{n}^{\left(\alpha,-\frac{1}{2}\right)}(1)}, \lambda=\alpha+\frac{1}{2},
$$

(see [7, pp. 59, 81]) we obtain, for $\alpha=-\frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\xi(k, m, n)=\frac{A(\beta)(-1)^{n+m+k}(2 n)!(2 m)!(2 k)!\Gamma(n+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(2 n+2 \beta+1) \Gamma(2 m+2 \beta+1) \Gamma(2 k+2 \beta+1) n!} \\
\cdot \frac{\Gamma(m+\beta+1) \Gamma(k+\beta+1) \Gamma\left(k+m-n+\beta+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{m!k!(k+m-n)!} \\
. \frac{\Gamma\left(k+n-m+\beta+\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(n+m-k+\beta+\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma(n+m+k+2 \beta+1)}{(k+n-m)!(n+m-k)!\Gamma\left(n+m+k+\beta+\frac{3}{2}\right)},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $A(\beta)$ is independent of $k, m, n$. Then using $\Gamma(n+a) / \Gamma(n+b) \sim n^{a-b}$ it is easy to see that

$$
\sum_{k=n-m}^{n+m}|\xi(k, m, n)| h_{k} P_{k}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \beta\right)}(1)\left[P_{n}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \beta\right)}(1) P_{m}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \beta\right)}(1)\right]^{-1}
$$

is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m^{\frac{1}{2}-\beta} \sum_{k=n-m}^{n+m} k^{\frac{1}{2}-\beta}[(k+m-n+1)(k+n-m+1)(n+m-k+1)]^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}} \\
&=O\left(m^{\frac{1}{2}-\beta} \sum_{k=n-m}^{n+m}[(k+m-n+1)(n+m-k+1)]^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
&=O\left(\sum_{k=n-m}^{n}(k+m-n+1)^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}\right)+O\left(\sum_{k=n}^{n+m}(n+m-k+1)^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}\right)=O(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\beta<-\frac{1}{2}$. This concludes the proof of (2) for $\alpha \geqq \beta, \alpha \geqq-\frac{1}{2}$, and yields the following best possible result.

Theorem 1. Let $R_{n}{ }^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)=P_{n}{ }^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) / P_{n}{ }^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)$ and

$$
R_{n}{ }^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) R_{m}{ }^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)=\sum_{k=|n-m|}^{n+m} \mu(k, m, n) t(k) R_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu(k, m, n) & =\int_{-1}^{1} R_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) R_{m}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) R_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta} d x \\
\frac{1}{t(k)} & =\int_{-1}^{1}\left[R_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right]^{2}(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $\alpha \geqq \beta>-1$ and $\alpha \geqq-\frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$
\sum_{k=|n-m|}^{n+m}|\mu(k, m, n)| t(k) \leqq C,
$$

where $C$ is independent of $n$ and $m$, and if

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|a\|_{1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|a(n)| t(n)<\infty, \quad\|b\|_{1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|b(n)| t(n)<\infty, \\
(a * b)(n)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=|n-m|}^{n+m} a(k) b(m) \mu(k, m, n) t(k) t(m),
\end{gathered}
$$

then $*$ is a commutative and associative operation and

$$
\|a * b\|_{1} \leqq C\|a\|_{1}\|b\|_{1}
$$

If $\beta>\alpha>-1$ and $\beta \geqq-\frac{1}{2}$, then we have similar results with $R_{n}{ }^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ replaced by $P_{n}{ }^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) / P_{n}{ }^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-1)$.

Using the same argument given in [6] for $\alpha \geqq \beta \geqq-\frac{1}{2}$, we see that the maximal ideal space of this Banach algebra is isomorphic to the closed interval
$[-1,1]$. For the Fourier-Gelfand transform of $a(n)$ see [4] and for results which are dual to those above see [5].
As was pointed out in [4], Theorem 1 fails for $-1<\alpha, \beta<-\frac{1}{2}$. However, there is still a Banach algebra which can be defined for these values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Let $c>1$ be a fixed real number and set

$$
\gamma(k ; m, n)=\frac{\xi(k, m, n) P_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(c)}{P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(c) P_{m}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(c)} .
$$

Then we will show that

$$
\sum_{k=|n-m|}^{n+m}|\gamma(k ; m, n)| h_{k} \leqq A
$$

for a constant $A$ independent of $n$ and $m$. Using

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(c) \cong(c-1)^{-\alpha / 2}(c+1)^{-\beta / 2}\left[(c+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}+(c-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^{\alpha+\beta} \\
\cdot(2 \pi n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(c^{2}-1\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left[c+\left(c^{2}-1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^{n+\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

[7, (8.21.9)], and $\left|P_{n}{ }^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|=O\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$, i.e. (7), we find from (1) that

$$
\gamma(k ; m, n)=O\left(k^{-1} d^{k-n-m}\right), \quad d=c+\left(c^{2}-1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}>1 ;
$$

and thus

$$
\sum_{k=|n-m|}^{n+m}|\gamma(k ; m, n)| h_{k}=O\left(\sum_{k=|n-m|}^{n+m} d^{k-n-m}\right)=O(1) .
$$

In a standard fashion this leads to the following theorem.
Theorem 2. Let $-1<\alpha, \beta<-\frac{1}{2}$ and define $\|a\|_{1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|a(n)| h_{n}$. If $\|a\|_{1}<\infty,\|b\|_{1}<\infty$, and

$$
(a \# b)(n)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=|n-m|}^{n+m} a(k) b(m) \gamma(n ; m, k) h_{k} h_{m},
$$

then \# is a commutative and associative operation and

$$
\|a \# b\|_{1} \leqq A\|a\|_{1}\|b\|_{1} .
$$

Also if

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(x)=\sum a(n) h_{n} P_{n}{ }^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) / P_{n}{ }^{(\alpha, \beta)}(c),  \tag{11}\\
& g(x)=\sum b(n) h_{n} P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) / P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(c), \\
& h(x)=\sum(a \# b)(n) h_{n} P_{n}{ }^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) / P_{n}{ }^{(\alpha, \beta)}(c),
\end{align*}
$$

then

$$
h(x)=f(x) g(x)
$$

Following the argument in [6] we see that the Fourier-Gelfand transform of $a(n)$ is given by (11) and the maximal ideal space is isomorphic to the set of complex $z$ for which

$$
\left|z+\left(z^{2}-1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right| \leqq c+\left(c^{2}-1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

where $\left(z^{2}-1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is chosen so that $\left|z+\left(z^{2}-1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right| \geqq 1$. This is an ellipse with foci at $\pm 1$ and the ends of its major axis at $z= \pm c$.
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