A q-SUPERCONGRUENCE ARISING FROM ANDREWS' 4\$\phi_3\$ IDENTITY

JI-CAI LIU[®] and JING LIU

(Received 14 April 2024; accepted 3 May 2024)

Abstract

We establish a q-analogue of a supercongruence related to a supercongruence of Rodriguez-Villegas, which extends a q-congruence of Guo and Zeng ['Some q-analogues of supercongruences of Rodriguez-Villegas', J. Number Theory 145 (2014), 301–316]. The important ingredients in the proof include Andrews' $_4\phi_3$ terminating identity.

2020 Mathematics subject classification: primary 11B65; secondary 11A07, 33D15.

Keywords and phrases: q-congruence, Andrews' $_4\phi_3$ identity, cyclotomic polynomial.

1. Introduction

In 2003, Rodriguez-Villegas [5] investigated hypergeometric families of Calabi–Yau manifolds. He observed numerically some remarkable supercongruences between the values of the truncated hypergeometric series and expressions derived from the number of \mathbb{F}_p -points of the associated Calabi–Yau manifolds. For manifolds of dimension d = 1, he conjectured four interesting supercongruences associated to certain elliptic curves, one of which is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}^2}{16^k} \equiv (-1)^{(p-1)/2} \pmod{p^2},\tag{1.1}$$

where $p \ge 5$ is a prime. The conjectural supercongruence (1.1) was first proved by Mortenson [4].

For polynomials $A_1(q), A_2(q), P(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$, the *q*-congruence

$$A_1(q)/A_2(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{P(q)}$$

is understood as $A_1(q)$ is divisible by P(q), and $A_2(q)$ is coprime with P(q). In general, for rational functions $A(q), B(q) \in \mathbb{Q}(q)$ and polynomial $P(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$,

$$A(q) \equiv B(q) \pmod{P(q)} \Longleftrightarrow A(q) - B(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{P(q)}.$$

The first author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 12171370). © The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

Guo and Zeng [3, Corollary 2.2] established a *q*-analogue of (1.1) as follows:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(q;q^2)_k^2}{(q^2;q^2)_k^2} \equiv (-1)^{(p-1)/2} q^{(1-p^2)/4} \pmod{[p]^2}.$$

Here and in what follows, the *q*-analogue of the natural number *n* is defined by $[n] = (1 - q^n)/(1 - q)$, and for $n \ge 1$, the *q*-shifted factorial is defined by $(a; q)_n = (1 - a)(1 - aq) \cdots (1 - aq^{n-1})$ with $(a; q)_0 = 1$.

In 2011, Sun [7, Conjecture 5.5] conjectured a supercongruence related to (1.1): modulo p^2 ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}^2}{32^k} \equiv \begin{cases} 2x - \frac{p}{2x} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ and } p = x^2 + y^2 \text{ with } 4 \mid (x-1), \\ 0 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

which was proved by Tauraso [8] and Sun [6, Theorem 2.2].

Guo and Zeng [3, Corollary 2.7] established a partial q-analogue of (1.2):

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{(q;q^2)_k^2}{(q^2;q^2)_k (q^4;q^4)_k} q^{2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{[p]^2}$$
(1.3)

for all primes $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

To continue the q-story of (1.2), we recall some q-series notation. The basic hypergeometric series is defined by

$${}_{r+1}\phi_r \begin{bmatrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r+1} \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r+1}; q)_k}{(q, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r; q)_k} z^k,$$

where $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_m; q)_k = (a_1; q)_k (a_2; q)_k \cdots (a_m; q)_k$. The *n*th cyclotomic polynomial is given by

$$\Phi_n(q) = \prod_{\substack{1 \le k \le n \\ (n,k)=1}} (q - \zeta^k),$$

where ζ denotes a primitive *n*th root of unity.

The motivation for this paper is to extend the q-congruence (1.3) of Guo and Zeng, and establish a complete q-analogue of (1.2).

THEOREM 1.1. Let *n* be an odd positive integer. Then, modulo $\Phi_n(q)^2$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(q;q^2)_k^2}{(q^2;q^2)_k (q^4;q^4)_k} q^{2k}$$

$$\equiv \begin{cases} (-1)^{(n-1)/4} q^{(n-1)(n+3)/8} \frac{(q^2;q^4)_{(n-1)/4}}{(q^4;q^4)_{(n-1)/4}} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$
(1.4)

A q-supercongruence

The important ingredients in the proof of (1.4) include Andrews' $_4\phi_3$ terminating identity [2, (II.17), page 355]:

$${}_{4}\phi_{3} \begin{bmatrix} q^{-n}, a^{2}q^{n+1}, c, -c \\ aq, -aq, c^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ \frac{c^{n}(q, a^{2}q^{2}/c^{2}; q^{2})_{n/2}}{(a^{2}q^{2}, c^{2}q; q^{2})_{n/2}} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$
(1.5)

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In the next section, we shall explain why (1.4) is a *q*-analogue of (1.2). The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be presented in Section 3.

2. Why (1.4) is a *q*-analogue of (1.2)

Let *p* be an odd prime. It is clear that

$$\Phi_p(q) = 1 + q + \dots + q^{p-1}.$$

Setting $n \to p$ and $q \to 1$ on both sides of (1.4) gives, modulo p^2 ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}^2}{32^k} \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{(p-1)/4}}{2^{(p-1)/2}} \binom{(p-1)/2}{(p-1)/4} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 0 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

By a result due to Chowla et al. [1],

$$\binom{(p-1)/2}{(p-1)/4} \equiv \frac{2^{p-1}+1}{2} \left(2x - \frac{p}{2x}\right) \pmod{p^2},$$

where $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $p = x^2 + y^2$ with $4 \mid (x - 1)$. It follows that

$$\frac{(-1)^{(p-1)/4}}{2^{(p-1)/2}} \binom{(p-1)/2}{(p-1)/4} \equiv (-1)^{(p-1)/4} \frac{2^{p-1}+1}{2^{(p+1)/2}} \left(2x - \frac{p}{2x}\right)$$
$$\equiv 2x - \frac{p}{2x} \pmod{p^2}, \tag{2.2}$$

where we have used the fact [6, page 1918]:

$$\frac{2^{p-1}+1}{2^{(p+1)/2}} \equiv (-1)^{(p-1)/4} \pmod{p^2}.$$

Combining (2.1) and (2.2), we arrive at (1.2). Thus, (1.4) is indeed a q-analogue of (1.2).

J.-C. Liu and J. Liu

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let *n* be an odd positive integer. Setting $n \to (n-1)/2$, $q \to q^2$, $a \to 1$ on both sides of (1.5) gives

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(q^{1-n}, q^{1+n}, c, -c; q^2)_k}{(q^2, q^2, -q^2, c^2; q^2)_k} q^{2k} = \begin{cases} \frac{c^{(n-1)/2}(q^2, q^4/c^2; q^4)_{(n-1)/4}}{(q^4, c^2q^2; q^4)_{(n-1)/4}} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

Letting $c \to 0$ on both sides of (3.1) and noting that for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$,

$$\lim_{c \to 0} (c, -c; q^2)_k = \lim_{c \to 0} (c^2; q^2)_k = \lim_{c \to 0} (c^2 q^2; q^4)_{(n-1)/4} = 1$$

and

$$\lim_{c \to 0} c^{(n-1)/2} (q^4/c^2; q^4)_{(n-1)/4} = (-1)^{(n-1)/4} q^{(n-1)(n+3)/8},$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(q^{1-n}, q^{1+n}; q^2)_k}{(q^2; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{2k} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{(n-1)/4} q^{(n-1)(n+3)/8} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_{(n-1)/4}}{(q^4; q^4)_{(n-1)/4}} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$
(3.2)

Since

$$(1 - q^{n-2j+1})(1 - q^{n+2j-1}) + (1 - q^{2j-1})^2 q^{n-2j+1} = (1 - q^n)^2$$

and $1 - q^n \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$,

$$(1-q^{n-2j+1})(1-q^{n+2j-1}) \equiv -(1-q^{2j-1})^2 q^{n-2j+1} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}.$$

It follows that

$$(1 - q^{-n+2j-1})(1 - q^{n+2j-1}) \equiv (1 - q^{2j-1})^2 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}.$$

Thus,

$$(q^{1-n}, q^{1+n}; q^2)_k = \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - q^{-n+2j-1})(1 - q^{n+2j-1})$$
$$\equiv \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - q^{2j-1})^2 = (q; q^2)_k^2 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}.$$
(3.3)

Finally, substituting (3.3) into the left-hand side of (3.2) gives, modulo $\Phi_n(q)^2$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(q;q^2)_k^2}{(q^2;q^2)_k (q^4;q^4)_k} q^{2k}$$

$$\equiv \begin{cases} (-1)^{(n-1)/4} q^{(n-1)(n+3)/8} \frac{(q^2;q^4)_{(n-1)/4}}{(q^4;q^4)_{(n-1)/4}} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

as desired.

References

- [1] S. Chowla, B. Dwork and R. J. Evans, 'On the mod p^2 determination of $\left(\frac{(p-1)/2}{(p-1)/4}\right)$ ', J. Number Theory **24** (1986), 188–196.
- [2] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, 2nd edn, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, 96 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004).
- [3] V. J. W. Guo and J. Zeng, 'Some q-analogues of supercongruences of Rodriguez-Villegas', J. Number Theory 145 (2014), 301–316.
- [4] E. Mortenson, 'A supercongruence conjecture of Rodriguez-Villegas for a certain truncated hypergeometric function', J. Number Theory 99 (2003), 139–147.
- [5] F. Rodriguez-Villegas, 'Hypergeometric families of Calabi–Yau manifolds', in: *Calabi–Yau Varieties and Mirror Symmetry (Toronto, ON, 2001)*, Fields Institute Communications, 38 (eds. N. Yui and J. D. Lewis) (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003), 223–231.
- [6] Z.-H. Sun, 'Congruences concerning Legendre polynomials', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 139 (2011), 1915–1929.
- [7] Z.-W. Sun, 'On congruences related to central binomial coefficients', J. Number Theory 131 (2011), 2219–2238.
- [8] R. Tauraso, 'An elementary proof of a Rodriguez-Villegas supercongruence', Preprint, 2009, arXiv:0911.4261v1.

JI-CAI LIU, Department of Mathematics, Wenzhou University, Wenzhou 325035, PR China e-mail: jcliu2016@gmail.com

JING LIU, Department of Mathematics, Wenzhou University, Wenzhou 325035, PR China e-mail: 22451025009@stu.wzu.edu.cn