can perform their role. METHODS/STUDY POPULATION: Mayo Clinic implemented a comprehensive six-week in-class training program to teach research coordinators how to conduct clinical research studies and trials. A multi-disciplinary team with expertise in education, operations, study coordination, and human resources assisted in development. The program approaches education through the lens of application. Staff start on designated hire dates and have an assigned trainer, allowing them to complete the program in cohorts and build connections with one another. During the program, they complete assignments, checkpoints, and shadow within their units. At the conclusion, they take a one-on-one assessment with a trainer to confirm they can work independently. If a learner cannot pass, the trainer and supervisor implement a learning plan targeting their needs. RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS: The program has had 110 graduates since its April 2022 inception, with a steady improvement in learner satisfaction scores. Based on the question "My site's new hire education program was good," with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, learners rate the current iteration as 4.38. The program has a positive correlation with retention and favorable quality reviews. 23.8% of new coordinators hired from April of 2021 to March of 2022 left within the first year. From April 2022 to September 2023, the first-year turnover rate fell to 14.55%. Additionally, 43% of quality reviews completed for graduates required no follow-up, compared to 35% of staff hired before implementation of the program. Only 5% of reviews for graduates required urgent follow-up, compared to 15% of those completed for existing staff. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE: Research Coordinators who complete the training program report a higher confidence level and demonstrate they can perform their role. The effects of our program have been so pronounced that the curriculum is being translated within Clinical Research across all of Mayo Clinic and our Florida site is researching ways to market it outside of Mayo Clinic.

Modernizing Onboarding for Clinical Research Professionals: An Interactive and Adoptable Approach

Erin Kingsley, Bradford McClain, Andrea Meisman and Erin Fontaine Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center

OBJECTIVES/GOALS: The Clinical Research Professionals (CRP) at Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center (CCHMC) identified and addressed gaps in a static on boarding material by transforming it into an interactive, centralized, and customizable REDCap checklist to better meet researcher needs across the institution. METHODS/STUDY POPULATION: The CRP On boarding Checklist is a tool designed to assist clinical researchers in their onboarding process at CCHMC. This tool helps CRPs determine the required/recommended trainings available to assist with skill-building and establishing job role competency. CRP supervisorsand subject matter experts were included incontent revisions, format, and transition to a RED Capsurvey. The new checklist is interactive, targets training requirements by job function, and clearly labels mandatory training. A companion document allows new CRPs to create and track their own individualized training plan. RESULTS/ ANTICIPATED RESULTS: The revised CRP On boarding Checklist was launched in April 2022 and announced via email communication. Alive demonstration was presented to all CRPs during the May 2022 CRP Monthly Meeting. The checklist and companion document are linked to the internal CRP website, which is accessible institutionally. Sincelaunch, almost 100 new and internally transferring CRPs have utilized the online tool to guide their training needs. The checklist is intended to reflect real-time changes in educational offerings. In addition to feedback and change requests from the CRP community, the checklist is updated as necessary and routinely reviewed on a biannual basisby the CRP Education Committee. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE: The creation and maintenance of the CRP Onboarding Checklist is a modern and accessible way for CRPs and supervisors to explore role-applicable training and take active roles in the on boarding process. The RED Capformat allows easy sharing and adoptability to other institutions via data dictionary.

133

Research in Action: Engaging Clinicians to Advance the Academic Learning Health System

Lindsay Thompson Munn¹, Amy P. Dawson^{1,2} and Kristina H. Lewis¹ Wake Forest University School of Medicine and ²Clinical and Translational Science Institute

OBJECTIVES/GOALS: Research in Action is an on-demand, interactive curriculum designed to increase the ability of clinicians to advance the Academic Learning Health System (aLHS). The 7-module course provides clinicians with the knowledge and skills to lead rigorous quality improvement (QI) projects, translating research evidence into practice. METHODS/STUDY POPULATION: We engaged nurses, physicians, and advanced practice providers (APPs) working in the health system to participate in focus group and advise us in the creation of the curriculum. We conducted focus groups with these stakeholders on the proposed learning objectives, course content, and mode of delivery (in person, virtual, hybrid). Informed by the focus groups, the course was designed for hybrid format so participants could either (1) access the modules ondemand, or (2) learners could participate in a facilitator moderated course where the modules served as the curriculum to guide development of a QI project. The Iowa Model of Evidence-Based Practice was the framework for curriculum development. Upon completion of the modules, stakeholders reviewed each module and provided feedback for improvement. RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS: Research in Action is a 7-module course, with modules addressing the following topics: (1) Introduction to Quality Improvement, (2) Identify the Problem and Write a Purpose Statement, (3) Form a Team, (4) Appraise and Synthesize the Literature, (5) Design and Pilot the Project, (6) Integrate and Sustain Practice Change, (7) Disseminate the Results. The curriculum is being piloted with multiple clinician groups in the health system. In the next phase of development, a facilitator guide will be created to guide a cohort of clinicians to apply knowledge through discussion sessions and completion of a QI project in the healthcare setting. We anticipate scaling the program for spread to diverse clinician groups in the health system. Pre- and post-implementation data are being collected from current pilot sites. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE: The aLHS is an essential means for improving healthcare delivery. Research in Action, a hybrid training curriculum, engages frontline clinicians in creating and leading QI projects that implement research evidence into practice to solve problems commonly encountered in the healthcare setting; thus, supporting the advancement of the aLHS.

132