

CORRECTION TO “AN EXTENSION OF MEYER’S THEOREM ON INDEFINITE TERNARY QUADRATIC FORMS”

B. W. JONES

Mr. G. L. Watson of University College, London showed, by a counter-example, the falsity of Theorem 6 in my paper entitled “An extension of Meyer’s Theorem on Indefinite Ternary Quadratic Forms” which appeared in this Journal, vol. 4 (1952), pp. 120–128. The error in Theorem 6 stemmed from an error in Theorem 2 which should read as follows:

THEOREM 2. *If the form f above is properly primitive, if $(\Omega, \Delta) = p$, $\Omega \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and if p^3 does not divide $|g|$, and if there is an integer q prime to p and satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) q is an odd prime or double an odd prime,
- (ii) $-\Omega q$ is represented by the reciprocal form of g ,
- (iii) every solution of the congruence

$$(3) \quad x^2 - qy^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

is congruent \pmod{p} to a solution of the Pell equation

$$(4) \quad x^2 - qy^2 = 1,$$

then the form f is in a genus of one class.

The condition that f be properly primitive is probably not essential. The essential correction is in condition (ii) for which $(\Omega, \Delta) = p$ is necessary.

First we deal with Theorem 2 as altered and then indicate the other necessary changes in the paper. The purpose of condition (ii) is to show that G , the matrix of g , can be written in the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Omega B & \Omega b_1 \\ \Omega b_1^T & b \end{bmatrix}, \text{ replacing } \begin{bmatrix} pB & pb_1 \\ pb_1^T & b \end{bmatrix}$$

of page 122.

To show that the new condition (ii) assures this, notice first that the invariants Ω and Δ/p of G are relatively prime by the conditions of the theorem, and f properly primitive implies [2, Theorem 41] that we may consider

$$g \equiv \Omega ax^2 + \Omega by^2 + (\Delta/p)cz^2 \pmod{8\Omega^4\Delta^2p^k}.$$

Hence the reciprocal form g_0 of g is congruent to

$$bc(\Delta/p)x^2 + ac(\Delta/p)y^2 + \Omega abz^2.$$

Thus if g represents a binary form $\Omega\phi$ where $|\phi| = -q$, its reciprocal form represents $-q\Omega$ and conversely.

Lemmas 1, 2, 3 are proved without any but trivial alterations, which establish Theorem 2 as stated above since Theorem 1 shows that g is in a genus of one class. To Corollary 1 should be added the condition:

$$f \text{ properly primitive and } (\Omega, \Delta) = p.$$

To make Theorem 4 apply to the new situation we must add the condition that the reciprocal form of g represents $-\Omega q$ in all $R(r)$ for prime divisors, r , of Ω . It is not hard to see [2, Theorem 34 and the remark] that this condition is, in terms of the form g given above,

$$c_r(g_0) = (-|g_0|, \Omega q)_r, \text{ whenever } \Omega q |g_0| \text{ is a square in } R(r)$$

[2, Corollary 14], c_r being the Hasse symbol. Since $|g_0| = \Omega(\Delta/p)^2$, the condition reduces to $c_r(g_0) = (q|r)$ whenever r occurs in Ω to an odd power and q is a square in $R(r)$, that is,

$$(iv) \ c_r(g_0) = 1 \text{ if } (q|r) = 1 \text{ and } r \text{ occurs in } \Omega \text{ to an odd power.}$$

Then Theorem 4, to apply to our situation, should read as follows:

THEOREM 4. *Let p be a fixed odd prime and f a properly primitive quadratic form for which $(\Omega, \Delta) = p$, neither Ω nor Δ being divisible by 4 or p^2 , and g its p -related form. Then the reciprocal form of g represents $-\Omega q$ if and only if it represents it in $R(r)$ for all prime divisors of $2\Delta/p$ and (iv) holds for each r occurring to an odd power in Ω .*

Condition (iv) then must be added to Theorem 5.

Since, in Theorem 6, $p = 3$, $(q|3) = 1$, we must add the condition:

$$c_r(g_0) = 1$$

or its equivalent above for all r occurring in Ω to an odd power.

In the two examples given in my paper, this condition holds and the result stated is correct. The example given by Mr. Watson,

$$f = 2x^2 + 12y^2 + 6yz + 12z^2,$$

is, in the first place, improperly primitive and hence barred by the above discussion, but it has been indicated that this restriction is probably not essential. However, the form g_0 for this form is

$$5x^2 + 8y^2 - 4yz + 8z^2$$

and here $c_3(g_0) = -1$. Hence the essential condition is not met.

University of Colorado