

THE SUBRING OF GROUP COHOMOLOGY CONSTRUCTED BY PERMUTATION REPRESENTATIONS

DAVID J. GREEN¹, IAN J. LEARY² AND BJÖRN SCHUSTER¹

¹*Department of Mathematics, University of Wuppertal, D-42097 Wuppertal, Germany*

²*Faculty of Mathematical Studies, University of Southampton,
Southampton SO17 1BJ, UK*

(Received 28 September 2000)

Abstract Each permutation representation of a finite group G can be used to pull cohomology classes back from a symmetric group to G . We study the ring generated by all classes that arise in this fashion, describing its variety in terms of the subgroup structure of G .

We also investigate the effect of restricting to special types of permutation representations, such as $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ acting on flags of subspaces.

Keywords: group cohomology; finite groups; subgroup complexes; permutation representations

AMS 2000 *Mathematics subject classification:* Primary 20J06

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper G shall denote a finite group, p shall denote a prime number, and we shall write $H^*(G) = H^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ for the cohomology ring of G with coefficients in the field of p elements. Each action of G on a finite set X gives rise to a homomorphism from G to the symmetric group on X , $\Sigma(X)$, and hence a ring homomorphism from $H^*(\Sigma(X))$ to $H^*(G)$. Elements of $H^*(G)$ in the image of this homomorphism could be called characteristic classes for the G -set X . For example, the characteristic classes defined by Segal and Stretch in [6] arise in this way. Our aim is to study the subring of $H^*(G)$ generated by all such characteristic classes, for all finite G -sets X , which we shall denote by $S_h = S_h(G)$. In fact, our methods apply more generally. For a family \mathcal{F} of subgroups of G , let $S_{\mathcal{F}} = S_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ stand for the subring of $H^*(G)$ generated by characteristic classes for G -sets for which the point stabilizers lie in \mathcal{F} . Under mild conditions on \mathcal{F} we describe the variety for $S_{\mathcal{F}}$, by which we mean the functor from algebraically closed fields of characteristic p to topological spaces that sends k to the set of homomorphisms from $S_{\mathcal{F}}$ to k . We rely upon work in [4], which in turn relies on work of Quillen [5].

In [5], Quillen described the variety for $H^*(G)$. Note that the variety is a covariant functor of G . It is easily described in the case when G is elementary abelian (i.e. is abelian of exponent p). For general G , Quillen showed that the variety may be built up from the varieties for the elementary abelian subgroups of G . More formally, Quillen

identified the variety as a colimit (of the variety functor) over a category with objects the elementary abelian subgroups of G and morphisms those group homomorphisms induced by conjugation in G .

In [4], two of the current authors gave a generalization of Quillen's theorem to subrings of $H^*(G)$ that are both 'large' and 'natural'. For such rings, they obtained a description of the variety as the colimit over a category with the same objects as Quillen's category, but (in general) more morphisms. The main example considered in [4] is the Chern subring, which is the subring of $H^*(G)$ generated by the Chern classes of all unitary representations of G . Other examples include the subring generated by Chern classes of those representations realizable over a given subfield of the complex numbers.

It transpires that the rings $S_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ are 'natural' and are 'large' provided that no element of G of order p is contained in every member of \mathcal{F} . In Theorem 2.6 we apply the results of [4] to give a description of the variety for $S_{\mathcal{F}}$ in terms of the group structure of G . In Corollary 2.9 we characterize those groups G and families \mathcal{F} for which the inclusion of $S_{\mathcal{F}}$ in $H^*(G)$ is an inseparable isogeny. (Recall that an inseparable isogeny is a homomorphism inducing an isomorphism of varieties.) The map from S_h to $H^*(G)$ is not in general an inseparable isogeny. However, in Corollary 3.4 we show that this map always induces a bijection between the irreducible components of the two varieties. In terms of ideals, this is equivalent to the statement that for any G , distinct minimal prime ideals of $H^*(G)$ have distinct intersections with S_h . By way of a contrast, there are examples (see [4] or Example 3.13 below) of groups G for which the Chern ring does not separate the minimal primes of $H^*(G)$.

Most of the work in this paper consists of an extended example. In §4 we specialize to the case when G is the general linear group $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$, and compare the varieties for $H^*(G)$, S_h and S_{π} , where π denotes the family of parabolic subgroups of G . Equivalently, S_{π} is the subring of $H^*(G)$ generated by the characteristic classes for the permutation actions of $G = GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ on the various types of partial flags in \mathbb{F}_p^n . In particular, we show that for large even values of n , neither the inclusion of S_{π} in S_h nor the inclusion of S_h in $H^*(G)$ is an inseparable isogeny. Using the results of the previous two sections, this amounts to comparing three categories whose objects are the elementary abelian subgroups of G , and showing that, for large even n , these categories do not contain the same morphisms. The work in this section was motivated by a question posed by Fred Cohen, which formed the starting point for our work.

2. Definitions and our main theorem

First we describe the object of study precisely.

Definition 2.1. A non-empty family \mathcal{F} of subgroups of G will be called *admissible* if it is closed under conjugation in G , and the subgroup $\bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{F}} H$ of G is a p' -group. A G -set X will be called an \mathcal{F} -set if each point stabilizer belongs to \mathcal{F} .

In particular, the family \mathcal{F}_h consisting of all subgroups of G is admissible, and all G -sets are \mathcal{F}_h -sets.

Definition 2.2. Given a G -set X of cardinality n , a choice of bijection between X and the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ induces a homomorphism $\rho_X: G \rightarrow \Sigma_n$. For fixed X , any two choices of ρ_X differ by an inner automorphism of Σ_n , and so the ring homomorphism $\rho_X^*: H^*(\Sigma_n) \rightarrow H^*(G)$ depends only on X and not on the choice of bijection. Define $S_{\mathcal{F}}$ as the subring of $H^*(G)$ generated by all $\text{Im}(\rho_X^*)$ with X an \mathcal{F} -set.

We shall now determine the variety of this ring $S_{\mathcal{F}}$. The following definition is needed to state the result.

Definition 2.3. Denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ the category whose objects are the elementary abelian p -subgroups of G , with $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}(V, W)$ the set of injective group homomorphisms $f: V \rightarrow W$ satisfying: for every $H \in \mathcal{F}$ the V -sets $f^!(G/H)$ and G/H are isomorphic. Here $f^!(G/H)$ means the following action of V on G/H :

$$k * gH = f(k)gH.$$

Remark 2.4. Compare this with the definition of the Quillen category, $\mathcal{A}(G)$, in [5]. This has the same objects as $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$, and morphisms those group homomorphisms $f: V \rightarrow W$ such that for some $g \in G$, f is equal to conjugation by g . It follows from the definitions that $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is a subcategory of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ for any family \mathcal{F} .

Remark 2.5. The category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}_h}$ is identified in Lemma 3.2.

Recall that the variety $\text{var}(R)$ of a connected graded commutative \mathbb{F}_p -algebra R is the functor that assigns to each algebraically closed field k the topological space of ring homomorphisms from R to k with the Zariski topology.

Theorem 2.6. *The cohomology ring $H^*(G)$ is finitely generated as a module over $S_{\mathcal{F}}$, for any admissible family \mathcal{F} . Moreover, the restriction maps in cohomology induce a natural homeomorphism*

$$\text{colim}_{V \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}} \text{var}(H^*(V)) \cong \text{var}(S_{\mathcal{F}}).$$

Proof. Let H_1, \dots, H_r be a full set of class representatives for the conjugation action of G on \mathcal{F} . Let X be the G -set $(G/H_1) \amalg \dots \amalg (G/H_r)$, and $n = |X|$. Then X is an \mathcal{F} -set, and the kernel of the associated group homomorphism $\rho: G \rightarrow \Sigma_n$ is a p' -group by admissibility.

Now compose ρ with the regular representation reg_{Σ_n} of Σ_n in the unitary group $U(n!)$. We obtain a degree $n!$ representation of G , whose restriction to a Sylow p -subgroup P of G is a direct sum of copies of the regular representation. In particular, it is a faithful representation of P . The Chern classes of $\text{reg}_{\Sigma_n} \circ \rho$ lie in $S_{\mathcal{F}}$ as they are images under ρ^* . Hence, by Venkov's proof [7] of the Evens–Venkov theorem, $H^*(P)$ is finitely generated as a module over $S_{\mathcal{F}}$. Therefore $H^*(G)$ is finitely generated too.

This representation $\text{reg}_{\Sigma_n} \circ \rho$ also restricts to every elementary abelian p -subgroup of G as a (non-zero) direct sum of copies of the regular representation, and so is p -regular in the sense of [4]. So $S_{\mathcal{F}}$ contains the Chern classes of a p -regular representation. Moreover, the ring $S_{\mathcal{F}}$ is clearly homogeneously generated and closed under the action of the Steenrod algebra. By Theorem 6.1 of [4] it follows firstly that $\text{var}(S_{\mathcal{F}})$ is a colimit of the desired

form over *some* category of elementary abelians, and secondly that Lemma 2.7 identifies this category as being $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$. □

Lemma 2.7. *Let $f: V \rightarrow W$ be an injective group homomorphism between elementary abelian subgroups of G . Then f lies in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ if and only if for every $x \in S_{\mathcal{F}}$, the class $\text{Res}_V^G(x) - f^* \text{Res}_W^G(x)$ lies in the nilradical of $H^*(V)$.*

Proof. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Pick any \mathcal{F} -set Y , and let $\rho: G \rightarrow \Sigma_{|Y|}$ be the associated group homomorphism. Since the V -sets Y and $f^!(Y)$ are isomorphic, f induces a map $\rho(V) \rightarrow \rho(W)$, and this map is equal to conjugation by some $\sigma \in \Sigma_{|Y|}$. Hence $\text{Res}_V^G - f^* \text{Res}_W^G$ kills $\text{Im}(\rho^*)$.

Conversely, suppose that $f \notin \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Recall that in the proof of Theorem 2.6 we constructed an \mathcal{F} -set X , such that the kernel of the associated group homomorphism $\rho: G \rightarrow \Sigma_{|X|}$ is a p' -group. By assumption on f , there is some $H \in \mathcal{F}$ such that the V -sets $f^!(G/H), G/H$ are not isomorphic. Define Y by

$$Y = \begin{cases} X \amalg (G/H) & \text{if } f^!(X), X \text{ are isomorphic as } V\text{-sets,} \\ X & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then Y is an \mathcal{F} -set and V acts faithfully on Y , $f^!(Y)$, but these two V -sets are not isomorphic.

We have thus constructed embeddings of V and W in $\Sigma_{|Y|}$, such that f is not induced by conjugation in $\Sigma_{|Y|}$. Therefore there is a class $\xi \in H^*(\Sigma_{|Y|})$ such that

$$\text{Res}_V^{\Sigma_{|Y|}}(\xi) - f^* \text{Res}_W^{\Sigma_{|Y|}}(\xi)$$

is not nilpotent (apply the results of [4, §9] to the group $\Sigma_{|Y|}$). Moreover, these embeddings of V, W in $\Sigma_{|Y|}$ factor through $G \rightarrow \Sigma_{|Y|}$. Pulling ξ back to $H^*(G)$, we get the desired class. □

Remark 2.8. Theorem 2.6 may be compared with Quillen’s theorem (see [2, §9.2] or [5]), which states that the restriction maps induce a natural isomorphism

$$\text{colim}_{V \in \mathcal{A}} \text{var}(H^*(V)) \cong \text{var}(H^*(G)).$$

Corollary 2.9. *The inclusion of $S_{\mathcal{F}}$ in $H^*(G)$ is an inseparable isogeny if and only if the category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is equal to the Quillen category \mathcal{A} . If the family \mathcal{F}_1 is contained in \mathcal{F}_2 , the inclusion of $S_{\mathcal{F}_1}$ in $S_{\mathcal{F}_2}$ is an inseparable isogeny if and only if $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}_1} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}_2}$.*

Proof. This is just a special case of Corollary 6.4 of [4]. □

3. Examples

Definition 3.1. We define the hereditary category \mathcal{A}_h of G to be $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}_h}$, where \mathcal{F}_h is the admissible family of all subgroups of G . Write S_h for $S_{\mathcal{F}_h}$.

Recall that \sim_G denotes the equivalence relation conjugacy in G .

Lemma 3.2. *Let $f: V \rightarrow W$ be an injective group homomorphism between elementary abelian subgroups of G . Then f lies in \mathcal{A}_h if and only if $f(U) \sim_G U$ for every elementary abelian $U \leq V$.*

Let \mathcal{F} be an admissible family containing all non-trivial elementary abelian p -subgroups of G . Then $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{A}_h$.

Remark 3.3. This property of \mathcal{A}_h is the reason for the name hereditary.

Proof. We prove that the first part holds for any \mathcal{F} satisfying the conditions of the second part, not just for \mathcal{F}_h .

First suppose that U is a subgroup of V and $f(U) \not\sim_G U$. Then the V -set G/U has a point stabilized by U , but $f^1(G/U)$ does not. Hence these two V -sets are not isomorphic, and so f does not lie in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$.

For the if part, consider any $H \in \mathcal{F}$ and any $U \leq V$. The coset gH is fixed by U if and only if $U^g \leq H$. Since $f(U) \sim_G U$, the number of U -fixed points in $f^1(G/H)$ is the same as for G/H . It follows that the V -sets $f^1(G/H)$ and G/H are isomorphic. \square

In [4, § 9], a category \mathcal{C} consisting of elementary abelian subgroups of G and injective group homomorphisms was defined to be closed if the following three conditions are satisfied: the Quillen category \mathcal{A} is a subcategory; isomorphisms lie in \mathcal{C} if and only if their inverses do; and $f|_U: U \rightarrow f(U)$ lies in \mathcal{C} for every $f: V \rightarrow W$ in \mathcal{C} and every $U \leq V$.

Corollary 3.4. *Objects of \mathcal{A}_h are isomorphic if and only if they are conjugate as subgroups of G . In fact, \mathcal{A}_h is the unique largest category of elementary abelian subgroups of G which is closed in the sense of [4, § 9], and in which objects are isomorphic if and only if they are conjugate as subgroups of G .*

Proof. It follows from the definition of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is closed for every admissible family \mathcal{F} . The result follows from Lemma 3.2. \square

Remark 3.5. For an elementary abelian p -group $V \leq G$, the classes in $H^*(G)$ with nilpotent restriction to V constitute a prime ideal \mathfrak{p}_V . It follows from Quillen’s theorem that the \mathfrak{p}_M with $M \leq G$ maximal elementary abelian are the minimal prime ideals in $H^*(G)$. Similarly, Theorem 2.6 means that the $\mathfrak{p}_M \cap S_h$ are the minimal prime ideals in S_h .

So, by the first part of Corollary 3.4, ‘intersection with S_h ’ induces a bijection from the minimal primes of $H^*(G)$ to those of S_h . Put geometrically, the irreducible components of $\text{var}(H^*(G))$ and of $\text{var}(S_h)$ are in natural one-to-one correspondence.

Definition 3.6. Let G be the general linear group $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$. We define the parabolic category \mathcal{A}_{π} to be $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}_{\pi}}$, where \mathcal{F}_{π} is the collection of all parabolic subgroups of G . Write S_{π} for $S_{\mathcal{F}_{\pi}}$.

Proposition 3.7. *The parabolic category is admissible. We have*

$$\text{var}(S_h) \cong \text{colim}_{V \in \mathcal{A}_h} \text{var}(H^*(V)) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{var}(S_{\pi}) \cong \text{colim}_{V \in \mathcal{A}_{\pi}} \text{var}(H^*(V)).$$

Proof. The upper triangular matrices constitute a parabolic subgroup, as do the lower triangular matrices. These two groups intersect in a p' -group, so \mathcal{F}_π is admissible. Apply Theorem 2.6 for the admissible families \mathcal{F}_h and \mathcal{F}_π . \square

Example 3.8. Let p be an odd prime, and let $1 < q < p$. For any finite group G and any elementary abelian $V \leq G$, the automorphism $v \mapsto v^q$ of V lies in \mathcal{A}_h by Lemma 3.2. But in general this map does not lie in \mathcal{A} . An example is when G is abelian (and not a p' -group). For such groups, the inclusion of S_h in $H^*(G)$ is not an inseparable isogeny.

Example 3.9. In Corollary 4.4, we shall see that for $n \geq 3$ and G the group $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, there is a rank two elementary abelian subgroup E of G such that not all automorphisms of E lie in \mathcal{A} ; and yet all non-trivial elements of E are conjugate in G , which means that all automorphisms of E lie in \mathcal{A}_h . Hence the inclusion of S_h in $H^*(G)$ is not an inseparable isogeny.

Example 3.10. In Theorem 4.6, we shall see that for $n \geq 6$ and $G = \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, there are non-conjugate rank two elementary abelian subgroups of G which are isomorphic in \mathcal{A}_π . Hence the varieties of S_π , S_h and $H^*(G)$ are all distinct.

Example 3.11. The elementary abelian p -subgroups of G form an admissible family, as do all p -subgroups of G . If G has p -rank at least two, then we can omit the trivial subgroup in both families.

In all these cases, the category $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{F}$ is equal to \mathcal{A}_h by Lemma 3.2. Hence inclusion of $S_\mathcal{F}$ in S_h is an inseparable isogeny.

Example 3.12. Alperin [1] defines a subgroup H of an abstract finite group G to be p -parabolic if $H = N_G(O_p(H))$. (Recall that $O_p(H)$ is defined to be the largest normal p -subgroup of H .) For $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$, this coincides with the usual definition of a parabolic subgroup as the stabilizer of a flag. He also defines a p -subgroup H of G to be p -radical if $H = O_p(N_G(H))$. Hence the p -parabolic subgroups are the normalizers of the p -radical subgroups. Note that algebraic topologists sometimes use the term ‘ p -stubborn’ instead of ‘ p -radical’.

If $O_p(G) = 1$, then the parabolic subgroups and the p -radical subgroups each form admissible families, since Sylow p -subgroups are p -radical and $O_p(G)$ is the intersection of all Sylow p -subgroups.

For $p = 11$, the sporadic finite simple group J_4 has the trivial intersection property: distinct Sylow p -subgroups intersect trivially. Hence the parabolic subgroups are the admissible family consisting of J_4 itself and the Sylow normalizers. The action of any order p cyclic subgroup on cosets of a Sylow normalizer has one fixed point, with the remaining orbits having length p . As there are two distinct conjugacy classes of order p cyclics, the parabolic category is larger than the hereditary category. The cohomology of J_4 at the prime 11 was computed in [3].

Example 3.13. In general the subring S_h is far larger than the subring generated by Chern classes of permutation representations: i.e. the subring generated by all images of $H^*(\mathrm{BU}(n))$ under homomorphisms $G \rightarrow \Sigma_n \rightarrow U(n)$, where Σ_n is embedded in $U(n)$ as

the permutation matrices. In general, neither S_h nor the whole Chern subring is contained in the other.

In [4] it was shown that the varieties for the Chern subring and for the subring generated by Chern classes of permutation representations are colimits over the categories \mathcal{A}' and \mathcal{A}_P , respectively, where $f: V \rightarrow W$ lies in \mathcal{A}' if and only if $f(v) \sim_G v$ for every element $v \in V$, and lies in \mathcal{A}_P if and only if $f(U) \sim_G U$ for every cyclic subgroup of V .

When $p = 2$, \mathcal{A}' and \mathcal{A}_P are equal for any G . When p is odd, and G is cyclic of order p , $\mathcal{A}_P = \mathcal{A}_h$, and both are properly contained in \mathcal{A}' . For any prime p , there are elementary abelian p -groups of rank two in the general linear group $GL_3(\mathbb{F}_p)$ that are not conjugate (and hence not isomorphic in \mathcal{A}_h), but are isomorphic in \mathcal{A}' and in \mathcal{A}_P . See [4, § 7] for a discussion of this example.

4. An extended example

Fred Cohen asked the third author about the subring of $H^*(GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p))$ generated by the permutation representations on flags. In our language, the question concerns the subring S_π . This question provided the starting point for the current paper. We provide a partial answer to this question by comparing the varieties for $H^*(GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p))$, S_h and S_π , which is equivalent to comparing the categories \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{A}_h and \mathcal{A}_π . Recall that there are inclusions

$$\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_h \subseteq \mathcal{A}_\pi.$$

Let G be the general linear group $GL_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. We show that all three categories are distinct for $n \geq 6$. The most time-consuming part is showing that \mathcal{A}_π differs from \mathcal{A}_h for such n . By Corollary 3.4 it suffices to show that there are elementary abelian p -subgroups of G which are isomorphic in \mathcal{A}_π but not conjugate in G . We shall find rank two examples using modular representation theory.

Let p be a prime number, and let A, B be generators for the rank two elementary abelian p -group $V \cong C_p \times C_p$. To each matrix $J \in GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$, there is an associated representation $\rho_J: V \rightarrow GL_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ defined by

$$A \xrightarrow{\rho_J} \begin{pmatrix} I & I \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}, \quad B \xrightarrow{\rho_J} \begin{pmatrix} I & J \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix},$$

where $I \in GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is the identity matrix. The following lemma is well known in the modular representation theory of V .

Lemma 4.1. *Let $J, J' \in GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Then the representations $\rho_J, \rho_{J'}$ are isomorphic if and only if J, J' are conjugate in $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$.*

Proof. The centralizer of

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & I \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$$

consists of all matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}.$$

The conjugate of

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & J \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$$

under such a matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & J' \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$$

with $J' = AJA^{-1}$. □

Lemma 4.2. For any matrix $M \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$, the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & M \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} I & I \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$$

are conjugate in $\text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Proof. Conjugate on the right by

$$\begin{pmatrix} M & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

First we compare the categories \mathcal{A}_h and \mathcal{A} .

Lemma 4.3. Suppose there is a primitive element $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}/\mathbb{F}_p$ with minimal polynomial f such that $\theta + 1$ is not a root of f . Then the Quillen category \mathcal{A} for $G = \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is strictly smaller than the hereditary category \mathcal{A}_h .

Proof. Let $J \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be the matrix in rational canonical form with characteristic polynomial f . (By this we mean the matrix with 1s below its diagonal, minus the coefficients of f along its final column and zeros elsewhere, but in fact any matrix with characteristic polynomial f will suffice.) Since f is irreducible, J has no eigenvalues in \mathbb{F}_p . In particular, this means that $I + J$ lies in $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$. The condition on the roots of f means that J and $I + J$ have distinct characteristic polynomials, and so are non-conjugate in $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Let E be $\text{Im}(\rho_J)$, the rank two elementary abelian generated by $a = \rho_J(A)$ and $b = \rho_J(B)$. Hence

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} I & I \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} I & J \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}, \quad ab = \begin{pmatrix} I & I + J \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let ϕ be the automorphism of E which fixes a and sends b to ab . By the proof of Lemma 4.1 we see that $\phi \notin \mathcal{A}$, since J and $I + J$ are not conjugate. To see that $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_h$, it suffices by Lemma 3.2 to show that $e, \phi(e)$ are conjugate in $G = \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for each non-trivial $e \in E$. But this follows from Lemma 4.2. \square

Corollary 4.4. *Set $n_0 = 2$ for $p \geq 3$ and $n_0 = 3$ for $p = 2$. For $G = \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and $n \geq n_0$, the Quillen category \mathcal{A} is strictly smaller than the hereditary category \mathcal{A}_h .*

Proof. We show that there is a θ satisfying the conditions of Lemma 4.3. The Galois group of $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}/\mathbb{F}_p$ is cyclic of order n , generated by the Frobenius automorphism. Hence $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ has the same minimal polynomial as $\theta + 1$ if and only if θ is a root of $x^{p^m} - x - 1$ for some $m < n$. Therefore there are at least $p^n - p^{n-1} - p^{n-2} - \dots - p$ elements θ of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} such that $\theta, \theta + 1$ do not have the same minimal polynomial. If $p \geq 3$ and $n \geq 2$, then this exceeds p^{n-1} , and there are at most p^{n-1} non-primitive elements of $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}/\mathbb{F}_p$: hence there exists a θ of the required form.

Now suppose that p is 2. The roots of $x^{2^m} - x - 1$ all lie in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$, and so can only be primitive elements of $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}/\mathbb{F}_2$ if $n \mid 2m$. Since $m < n$, this can only happen if $n = 2m$. So the number of $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}/\mathbb{F}_2$ such that $\theta, \theta + 1$ have distinct minimal polynomials exceeds 2^{n-1} provided $n > 2$, and there are at most 2^{n-1} non-primitives. Again, the required θ exists. \square

Now we compare the categories \mathcal{A}_π and \mathcal{A}_h . To each irreducible degree n monic polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ there is an associated $(n \times n)$ -matrix J_f in rational canonical form. Define the representation $\rho_f: V \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ to be ρ_{J_f} . By Lemma 4.1, distinct f give rise to non-isomorphic representations.

Proposition 4.5. *Let H be a parabolic subgroup of $\text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, and let f be an irreducible degree n polynomial. The embedding ρ_f turns G/H into a V -set. The isomorphism type of this V -set does not depend on f .*

Theorem 4.6. *Set $n_0 = 5$ for $p \geq 5$ and $n_0 = 6$ for $p = 2, 3$. For $G = \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and $n \geq n_0$, there are rank two elementary abelian subgroups of G which are isomorphic in the parabolic category \mathcal{A}_π but are not conjugate in G , and therefore are not isomorphic in \mathcal{A}_h .*

Proof. Recall from Corollary 3.4 that elementary abelian subgroups are isomorphic in \mathcal{A}_h if and only if they are conjugate in G .

For any pair f, g of irreducible degree n monic polynomials over \mathbb{F}_p , the isomorphism

$$\rho_g \circ \rho_f^{-1}: \text{Im}(\rho_f) \rightarrow \text{Im}(\rho_g)$$

lies in \mathcal{A}_π by Proposition 4.5. As distinct irreducible polynomials give rise to non-isomorphic representations, the number of irreducible g such that $\text{Im}(\rho_g)$ is conjugate to a given $\text{Im}(\rho_f)$ cannot exceed $|\text{Aut}(V)| = (p^2 - 1)(p^2 - p)$. But for $n \geq n_0$ there are always more irreducibles than this. For the total number of irreducibles is equal to π_n/n , where π_n is the number of primitive elements in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}/\mathbb{F}_p$. We have $\pi_5 = p^5 - p$,

$\pi_6 = p^6 - p^3 - p^2 + p$ and $\pi_n \geq p^n - p^{n-2}$ for $n \geq 7$. It is then straightforward to check that $\pi_n/n > (p^2 - 1)(p^2 - p)$ for $n \geq n_0$. \square

We now derive some results needed in the proof of Proposition 4.5. We take f to be a degree n irreducible polynomial over \mathbb{F}_p , and $J = J_f$ to be the associated matrix in rational canonical form.

Lemma 4.7. *Let W be a proper subspace of \mathbb{F}_p^n . Define m, r by $m = \dim(W)$ and $m + r = \dim(W + JW)$. There is a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ of m with length r (so $\lambda_r \geq 1$) and elements w_1, \dots, w_r of W , such that*

- (1) *the $J^a w_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $0 \leq a \leq \lambda_i - 1$ are a basis for W , and*
- (2) *the $J^a w_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $0 \leq a \leq \lambda_i$ are a basis for $W + JW$.*

We call such an r -tuple w_1, \dots, w_r a (J, λ) -base for W .

Furthermore, λ is uniquely determined by J, W ; and the number of (J, λ) -bases for W depends solely on λ .

Observe that $m + r \leq n$ and that $r \leq m$. Since J is the rational canonical form associated to an irreducible polynomial, there are no J -invariant subspaces other than 0 and \mathbb{F}_p^n . Hence $r = 0$ if and only if $m = 0$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on m . The case $m = 0$ is clear. Now suppose that $m > 0$ and the result has been proved for $\dim(W) \leq m - 1$. Set $W' = W \cap J^{-1}W$, so $\dim(W') = m - r$. Define r' by $r' = \dim(W' + JW') - \dim(W')$.

As $m > 0$ we have $m - r \leq m - 1$, so we can apply the result to W' . Thus we obtain a length r' partition $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_{r'})$ of $m - r$ and an r' -tuple $w'_1, \dots, w'_{r'} \in W'$. For $1 \leq i \leq r'$ set $\lambda_i = \lambda'_i + 1$ and $w_i = w'_i$. Observe that

$$\dim(W) - \dim(W' + JW') = r - r'.$$

Pick a basis $w_{r'+1}, \dots, w_r$ for any complement of $W' + JW'$ in W , and set $\lambda_i = 1$ for $r' < i \leq r$. Then λ is a length r partition of n , and the $J^a w_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $0 \leq a \leq \lambda_i - 1$ are a basis for W .

Moreover, the $J^{\lambda'_i} w'_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r'$ are a basis for a complement of W' in $W' + JW'$; and $w_{r'+1}, \dots, w_r$ are a basis for a complement of $W' + JW'$ in W . Hence the $J^{\lambda_i - 1} w_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ are a basis for a complement of W' in W . By definition of W' , this means that the $J^{\lambda_i} w_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ are a basis for a complement of W in $W + JW$. So the w_i constitute a (J, λ) -base.

Conversely, suppose that μ is a partition of m of length r , and that v_1, \dots, v_r is a (J, μ) -base for W . The elements $J^a v_i$ for $0 \leq a \leq \mu_i - 2$ are a basis for W' , the $J^{\mu_i - 1} v_i$ with $\mu_i \geq 2$ extend this to a basis for $W' + JW'$, and the v_i with $\mu_i = 1$ extend this to a basis for W . Hence the number of i with $\mu_i = 1$ is equal to $\dim(W) - \dim(W' + JW')$. Passing to W' , we deduce by induction that λ and μ are equal; and that λ alone determines the number of (J, λ) -bases w_1, \dots, w_r . \square

Lemma 4.8. *Fix J and fix partitions λ, λ' . For any proper $W \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ with partition λ , the number of subspaces W' of W with partition λ' depends solely on λ, λ' .*

Proof. Denote by w_i, w'_i the elements of a (J, λ) -base for W, W' , respectively. Set $m = \dim(W)$ and $r = \dim(W + JW) - m$, as in Lemma 4.7.

Construct a basis b_1, \dots, b_n for \mathbb{F}_p^n as follows:

- (i) b_1, \dots, b_m is the basis $w_1, Jw_1, \dots, J^{\lambda_1-1}w_1, w_2, \dots, J^{\lambda_r-1}w_r$ for W given by Lemma 4.7;
- (ii) b_{m+1}, \dots, b_{m+r} is the corresponding extension $J^{\lambda_1}w_1, \dots, J^{\lambda_r}w_r$ to a basis for $W + JW$; and
- (iii) b_{m+r+1}, \dots, b_n is any extension to a basis for \mathbb{F}_p^n .

In the matrix of J for this basis, the first m columns describe the action on W , and depend solely on λ . So the number of (J, λ') -bases giving rise to a subspace of W with partition λ' is independent of J . By Lemma 4.7, the number of (J, λ') -bases for any such W' depends solely on λ' . □

Corollary 4.9. *Let λ be a partition of $m < n$. The number of proper subspaces W of \mathbb{F}_p^n with partition λ is independent of f .*

Proof. The codimension 1 subspaces of \mathbb{F}_p^n all have partition $(n-1)$: so by Lemma 4.8 each contains the same number of such W , and this number is independent of f . □

Corollary 4.10. *Fix $0 \leq m_0 < m_1 < \dots < m_s$ and partitions λ^i of m_i . The number of flags $W_0 \subset W_1 \subset \dots \subset W_s$ of proper subspaces of \mathbb{F}_p^n in which W_i has partition λ^i is independent of f .*

Proof. The case $s = 1$ is Corollary 4.9. The general case is by induction on s using Lemma 4.8. □

Proof of Proposition 4.5. We must show that for each parabolic subgroup $H \leq G$, the isomorphism class of the V -set structure induced on G/H by ρ_f does not depend on f . Now, two finite V -sets X, Y are isomorphic if and only if for each subgroup U of V , the sets X^U, Y^U have the same cardinality.

The case $U = 1$ is clear. For the cyclic subgroups, observe that since J has no invariant subspaces and therefore no eigenvectors, the matrix $\lambda I + \mu J$ is invertible for all $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2 \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore, by Lemma 4.2, all non-trivial elements of $\text{Im}(\rho_f)$ are conjugate in $\text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ to each other, and so the number of fixed cosets is independent of f .

Only the hardest case remains to be proved: that the number of cosets fixed by V itself is independent of f . Recall that the parabolic subgroups in GL_{2n} are the flag stabilizers. Define the type of a flag

$$X_0 \subset X_1 \subset \dots \subset X_t$$

of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_p^{2n} to be the $(t + 1)$ -tuple $(\dim(X_0), \dots, \dim(X_t))$. The flags of any given type are permuted transitively by $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Our task is to show that the number of V -invariant flags of any given type does not depend on the choice of irreducible polynomial f .

Associated to the block matrices is a splitting of \mathbb{F}_p^{2n} as $\mathbb{F}_p^n \oplus \mathbb{F}_p^n$. Let $i: \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^{2n}$ be inclusion as the first factor, and $j: \mathbb{F}_p^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^n$ projection onto the second factor. Let X be an invariant subspace of \mathbb{F}_p^{2n} , and set $W = j(X)$, $Z = i^{-1}(X)$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & I \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z + w \\ w \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} I & J \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z + Jw \\ w \end{pmatrix}.$$

We deduce that X is invariant if and only if $W + JW \subseteq Z$. In particular, the only invariant subspace with W equal to \mathbb{F}_p^n is \mathbb{F}_p^{2n} .

Clearly we may restrict our attention to invariant flags of proper subspaces. Based on Lemma 4.7, we define the *fine type* of an invariant flag $X_0 \subset X_1 \subset \dots \subset X_t$ of proper subspaces to be $(d_0, \dots, d_t; \lambda^0, \dots, \lambda^t)$, where $d_i = \dim(X_i)$, and λ^i is the partition associated to W_i . Of course, the fine type of a flag determines its type. But, by Lemma 4.11, the number of flags of a given fine type is independent of f . \square

Lemma 4.11. *The number of invariant flags $X_0 \subset X_1 \subset \dots \subset X_t$ of proper subspaces with given fine type $(d_0, \dots, d_t; \lambda^0, \dots, \lambda^t)$ does not depend on f .*

Proof. An invariant subspace X determines W , Z and a linear map $\alpha: W \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^n/Z$ defined by $w + \alpha(w) \subseteq X \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^{2n} = \mathbb{F}_p^n \oplus \mathbb{F}_p^n$. Conversely, any such triple W, Z, α with $W + JW \subseteq Z$ determines an invariant X . For an invariant flag we also require that $W_i \subseteq W_j$ and $Z_i \subseteq Z_j$ for $i < j$; and that $\alpha_i(w) + Z_j = \alpha_j(w)$ for all $w \in W_i$.

By Corollary 4.10, the number of flags $W_0 \subseteq W_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq W_t$ with partition type $(\lambda^0, \dots, \lambda^t)$ is independent of f . The number of flags $Z_0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq Z_t$ in \mathbb{F}_p^n such that $W_i + JW_i \subseteq Z_i$ and $\dim(Z_i) = d_i - \dim(W_i)$ does not depend on the flag W_i or on f : for the type τ of the flag $W_i + JW_i$ is determined, and all flags of type τ are in the same orbit. Given flags W_i and Z_i , the number of choices for the α_i is independent of f : pick α_1 first, and pick α_{i+1} to be any extension of α_i . \square

Remark 4.12. Theorem 4.6 can be interpreted in terms of the prime ideals \mathfrak{p}_V (see Remark 3.5). Let V, W be elementary abelian subgroups of G which are isomorphic in \mathcal{A}_π but not conjugate in G . Then $\mathfrak{p}_V \cap S_h$ and $\mathfrak{p}_W \cap S_h$ are distinct prime ideals in S_h , but $\mathfrak{p}_V \cap S_\pi$ and $\mathfrak{p}_W \cap S_\pi$ are the same prime ideal of S_π . In the specific case constructed, V, W have p -rank two and lie in an elementary abelian subgroup of rank n^2 , the p -rank of G . Hence \mathfrak{p}_V and \mathfrak{p}_W have height $n^2 - 2$.

Remark 4.13. The authors believe that the categories \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{A}_h and \mathcal{A}_π are all distinct for the group $\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for all sufficiently large m , whether odd or even. On the other hand, in the case when $m < 4$, it can be shown that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_h = \mathcal{A}_\pi$, except that $\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{A}_h$ when $m = 3$ and p is odd.

Acknowledgements. I.J.L. was partly funded by the Nuffield Foundation, grant no. SCI/180/96/127.

References

1. J. L. ALPERIN, A Lie approach to finite groups, *Groups—Canberra 1989* (ed. L. G. Kovács), pp. 1–9, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 1456 (Springer, 1990).
2. L. EVENS, *The cohomology of groups* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1991).
3. D. J. GREEN, On the cohomology of the sporadic simple group J_4 , *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **113** (1993), 253–266.
4. D. J. GREEN AND I. J. LEARY, The spectrum of the Chern subring, *Commun. Math. Helv.* **73** (1998), 406–426.
5. D. QUILLEN, The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring, II, *Ann. Math.* **94** (1971), 573–602.
6. G. B. SEGAL AND C. T. STRETCH, Characteristic classes for permutation representations, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **90** (1981), 265–272.
7. B. B. VENKOV, Cohomology algebras for some classifying spaces, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **127** (1959), 943–944.