CORRESPONDENCE.

MR. GRAY'S METHODS OF CONSTRUCTING LIFE TABLES.

To the Editor of the Journal of the Institute of Actuaries.

SIR,—In Professor Pell's Note on the Construction of Commutation Tables (*Journal*, vol. xxi, page 275), the following passage occurs :—

"I have carefully considered the methods adopted by Mr. Peter Gray in forming the Institute of Actuaries' Tables; and although the formulas made use of are ingenious, and their use may be attended with some advantages, I cannot think that there can be anything in them to counterbalance the comparative ease and simplicity of the method which consists in the direct application of the formulas which express the values of the quantities to be found."

Mr. Gray, in the preface to his remarkable work, *Tables and Formulæ for the Computation of Life Contingencies*, says that it "claims to afford greater facilities for the formation of tables of life contingencies than have hitherto been at the command of the computer."

This claim, I believe, was, and still is, a just one. Passing over much that is both ingenious and original, I confine myself to life annuities.

For the computation of these, Mr. Gray did not offer a new formula. He took Simpson's (or De Moivre's) famous formula, and gave the means of using it with advantage. What had been laborious, was made easy; and so far from being indirect, the process is perhaps the most direct that can be devised.

Then, as to the commutation system, Mr. Gray, still following the principle of "deducing each value from that of the next older life" (these are Simpson's words), gives the most fertile method that has ever come under my notice. He shows (Prob. xxii) how to obtain by a single operation, from the logarithms of D_x , those of N_x , a_x , and $1+a_x$,—no other quantities appearing in the process.

I have had more than common experience in annuity calculations, and I have tried many ways; but I know of none superior, or indeed equal to that first mentioned. Annexed is a specimen that will bear comparison with Professor Pell's results, this being of the two the more correct.

Gray's book will surely continue to hold its place as a standard work. It should be in the hands of all who desire to excel in the practical computation of money risks depending on life contingencies.

I am, Sir,

Yours faithfully,

London, 24 June 1879.

J. C. HANNYNGTON,

	x		log a a	x		log a a	x		log a a
N	104	3.854752		96	475761		88	080211	
D 1+a		$\overline{2}$ ·190757 164681 5	1∙663815 0∙4611		337971 375315 52	137790 1 .3734		724720 514113 64	355491 2°2672
	103	355443		95	713338		87	238897	
{		510425 230409 7	845018 o•6999		547421 391854 10	165917 1 .4653		857200 532460 68	381697 2 ·4082
	102	740841		94	939285		86	389728	
{		814225 265879 7	926616 0[.]8445		745578 408591 4	193707 1 .5621		982038 550990 65	407690 2 ·5568
	101	080111		93	154173		85	533093	
{		102794 289828 8	977317 0*9491		932952 425663 13	221221 1 .6643		099375 569908 13	433718 27147
	100	3926 30		92	358628		84	669296	
{		376737 309002 47	015893 1 0373		110033 442816 61	248595 1 .7726		210126 588615 52	459170 2 .8785
	99	685786		91	552910		83	798793	
{		636659 326274 14	049127 1*1198		277295 460344 10	275615 1 :8863		314395 607455 74	484398 3`0507
	98	962947		90	737649		82	921924	
{		883131 342760 9	079816 1 ·2018		435198 478035 34	302451 2`0066		412549 626415 57	509375 3'2313
	97	225900		89	913267		81	039021	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		116719 358997 45	109181 1 <b>·2858</b>		584194 495967 50	329073 2*1334		504940 645402 62	534081 <b>3[.]4204</b>
	96	475761		<b>8</b> 8	080211		80	150404	
								591903	558501 <b>3[.]6183</b>

Males, New South Wales, Annuities, 4 per-cent.



https://doi.org/10.1017/S2046167400045808 Published on the by Cambridge University Press