

ON A PROPERTY OF BASES IN A HILBERT SPACE

JAMES R. HOLUB

Department of Mathematics, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia,
24061-0123, USA
e-mail: holubj@math.vt.edu

(Received 12 December, 2002; accepted 23 June, 2003)

Abstract. In this paper we study a seemingly unnoticed property of bases in a Hilbert space that falls in the general area of constructing new bases from old, yet is quite atypical of others in this regard. Namely, if $\{x_n\}$ is any normalized basis for a Hilbert space H and $\{f_n\}$ the associated basis of coefficient functionals, then the sequence $\{x_n + f_n\}$ is again a basis for H . The unusual aspect of this observation is that the basis $\{x_n + f_n\}$ obtained in this way from $\{x_n\}$ and $\{f_n\}$ need not be equivalent to either, in contrast to the standard techniques of constructing new bases from given ones by means of an isomorphism on H . In this paper we study bases of this form and their relation to the component bases $\{x_n\}$ and $\{f_n\}$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46C05, 46B15, 47B99.

1. Throughout this paper H will denote a separable, real Hilbert space, $\{x_n\}$ some normalized basis for H , and $\{f_n\}$ the sequence of coefficient functionals associated with $\{x_n\}$, another basis for H . Recall that the basis $\{x_n\}$ is said to be

- (a) *Besselian* [1, p. 338] if $\sum a_n x_n$ converges $\implies \{a_n\} \in l^2$,
- (b) *Hilbertian* [1, p. 338] if $\{a_n\} \in l^2 \implies \sum a_n x_n$ converges,
- (c) a *Riesz basis* if it is both Besselian and Hilbertian (equivalently, the image of an orthonormal basis under an invertible linear operator on H).

Also, a basis $\{x_n\}$ is said to *dominate* another basis $\{y_n\}$ if the convergence of $\sum a_n x_n$ implies the convergence of $\sum a_n y_n$, for every sequence $\{a_n\}$ of real numbers.

2. We begin with the result concerning the formation of a certain type of basis from a given one that is the focus of this paper.

THEOREM 1. *If $\{x_n, f_n\}$ is any normalized basis for the Hilbert space H , the sequence $\{x_n + f_n\}$ is a Besselian basis that dominates both $\{x_n\}$ and $\{f_n\}$.*

Proof. Note first that the sequence $\{x_n + f_n\}$ is complete in H , since if $\langle x_n + f_n, x \rangle = 0$ for some vector x in H , then from the fact that $x = \sum \langle f_n, x \rangle x_n$, where by assumption $\langle f_n, x \rangle = (-1) \langle x_n, x \rangle$, it follows that $\|x\|^2 = (-1) \sum |\langle x_n, x \rangle|^2 \leq 0$, hence that $\|x\|^2 = 0$, and so x must be 0. Therefore, to show that $\{x_n + f_n\}$ is a basis for H we need only show that it satisfies the K -condition [1, p. 58]. If $1 \leq m < n$ and $\{a_i\}$ is any sequence

of constants,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m a_i(x_i + f_i) \right\|^2 &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x_i, \sum_{i=1}^m a_i f_i \right\rangle = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x_i \right\|^2 + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m a_i f_i \right\|^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2 \\ &\leq K_1^2 \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right\|^2 + K_2^2 \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f_i \right\|^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \leq K^2 \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x_i + f_i) \right\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{x_i\}$ and $\{f_i\}$ satisfy the K -condition for $K = K_1 \geq 1$ and $K = K_2 \geq 1$, respectively, and where $K^2 = K_1^2 + K_2^2$. That is,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m a_i(x_i + f_i) \right\|^2 \leq K^2 \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x_i + f_i) \right\|^2$$

(for all such $1 \leq m < n$ and for any $\{a_i\}$), implying $\{x_i + f_i\}$ is a basis for H . Moreover, as the proof above shows, for $n \geq 1$ and any sequence $\{a_i\}$, $\|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i\|$, $\|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i f_i\|$, and $2 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2$ are all at most $\|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x_i + f_i)\|$, implying that the basis $\{x_i + f_i\}$ dominates the bases $\{x_i\}$ and $\{f_i\}$ and is Besselian, thereby completing the proof of Theorem 1.

In general, the basis $\{x_n + f_n\}$ need not be equivalent to $\{x_n\}$ nor $\{f_n\}$ (an interesting fact in itself, since most techniques for constructing a new basis from a given one do so by producing a basis equivalent to the original one). The following result shows several aspects of the relationship of the basis $\{x_n + f_n\}$ to the ‘‘component’’ bases $\{x_n\}$ and $\{f_n\}$ besides those given by Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. *If $\{x_n, f_n\}$ is a normalized basis for a Hilbert space H , the basis $\{x_n + f_n\}$ is equivalent to $\{x_n\}$ if and only if $\{x_n\}$ is Besselian, and is a Riesz basis if and only if $\{x_n\}$ is.*

Proof. By Theorem 1, if $\sum a_n(x_n + f_n)$ converges then so does $\sum a_n x_n$. If $\{x_n\}$ is a Besselian basis for H then the convergence of $\sum a_n x_n$ implies the convergence of $\sum a_n f_n$ (since $\{f_n\}$ is Hilbertian) and hence that of $\sum a_n(x_n + f_n)$. It follows that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{x_n + f_n\}$ are equivalent. On the other hand, if these are equivalent then, since $\{x_n + f_n\}$ is Besselian (Theorem 1), the basis $\{x_n\}$ must also be.

Conversely if $\{x_n + f_n\}$ is a Riesz basis for H then, in particular, it is Hilbertian. Therefore, whenever $\{a_n\}$ is in l^2 , $\sum a_n(x_n + f_n)$ converges, implying that both $\sum a_n x_n$ and $\sum a_n f_n$ do, from which it follows that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{f_n\}$ are both Hilbertian. But if $\{f_n\}$ is Hilbertian, then $\{x_n\}$ is Besselian [1, p. 339] as well and is therefore equivalent to an orthonormal basis [1, p. 341]—i.e. $\{x_n\}$ is a Riesz basis, hence certainly Besselian, and (by the first part of the proof) consequently equivalent to $\{x_n + f_n\}$. It then follows that $\{x_n + f_n\}$ must also be a Riesz basis.

In the same vein, the following result shows another relationship between the bases $\{x_n\}$ and $\{x_n + f_n\}$, one involving the operator U on H that maps $\{x_n + f_n\}$ to $\{f_n\}$ for all n (a well-defined bounded linear operator on H as a consequence of Theorem 1).

THEOREM 3. *A normalized basis $\{x_n, f_n\}$ for a Hilbert space H is Besselian if and only if the operator U on H mapping $\{x_n + f_n\}$ to $\{f_n\}$ has $\|U\| < 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\{x_n, f_n\}$ is a normalized Besselian basis for H , and let x be a unit vector in H having the basis expansion $x = \sum a_n(x_n + f_n)$. Then, as noted in the proof of Theorem 1,

$$1 = \|x\|^2 = \left\| \sum a_n x_n \right\|^2 + \left\| \sum a_n f_n \right\|^2 + 2 \sum |a_n|^2,$$

so that

$$\|Ux\|^2 = \left\| \sum a_n f_n \right\|^2 \leq 1 - \left\| \sum a_n x_n \right\|^2,$$

(implying, in particular, that $\|U\| \leq 1$ for any basis $\{x_n\}$).

Since we are assuming that $\{x_n\}$ is Besselian, there is an operator T on H mapping $\{x_n\}$ to $\{f_n\}$ [1, p. 339] and hence for which

$$\|Ux\|^2 = \left\| \sum a_n f_n \right\|^2 = \left\| T \left(\sum a_n x_n \right) \right\|^2,$$

(where x in H is as above). It follows that $\|Ux\|^2 \leq \|T\|^2 \left\| \sum a_n x_n \right\|^2$, and therefore (by the above) that

$$\|Ux\|^2 \leq 1 - \left\| \sum a_n x_n \right\|^2 \leq 1 - \|T\|^{-2} \|Ux\|^2.$$

That is, $(1 + \|T\|^{-2})\|Ux\|^2 \leq 1$, implying $\|Ux\|^2 \leq (1 + \|T\|^{-2})^{-1}$, and since x was an arbitrary unit vector in H it follows that $\|U\| < 1$.

Conversely, suppose that the operator U mapping $\{x_n + f_n\}$ to $\{f_n\}$ is such that $\|U\| < 1$. If we define $S = I - U$, then $\|I - S\| = \|U\| < 1$ and, as is well known, S is invertible on H . Since $S(x_n + f_n) = (I - U)(x_n + f_n) = x_n$, where the basis $\{x_n + f_n\}$ is Besselian (Theorem 1), it follows that $\{x_n\}$ is also Besselian, thereby completing the proof of Theorem 3.

THEOREM 4. *Let $\{x_n, f_n\}$ be a normalized basis for a Hilbert space H and U the corresponding operator on H that maps the basis $\{x_n + f_n\}$ to the basis $\{f_n\}$. Then $\|U\| \geq 1/2$, where $1/2$ is the best possible lower bound for all such operators U , and where equality holds if and only if $\{x_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for H .*

Proof. Given a normalized basis $\{x_n, f_n\}$ and the operator U mapping the basis $\{x_n + f_n\}$ to $\{f_n\}$, let $x = (x_1 + f_1)/\|x_1 + f_1\|$, a unit vector in H . Then

$$\|Ux\|^2 = (\|f_1\|^2)(\|x_1 + f_1\|^{-2}) = (\|f_1\|^2)(\|f_1\|^2 + 3)^{-1} = (1 + 3\|f_1\|^{-2})^{-1}.$$

Since $\|f_1\|^2 \geq 1$ this last is $\geq 1/4$, implying $\|Ux\| \geq 1/2$, where $\|x\| = 1$, and it follows that $\|U\| \geq 1/2$.

In the case in which $\{x_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis, $x_n = f_n$ for all n , so that U maps $2x_n$ to x_n , for all n , and is therefore simply $\frac{1}{2}I$, an operator of norm $1/2$ on H , from which it follows that the number $1/2$ is the best possible lower bound over all such operators U . Conversely, if $\{x_n\}$ is a normalized basis for H for which the associated operator U has norm $1/2$, then from the above (with x_1 and f_1 replaced by x_n and f_n , respectively, for arbitrary n) we see that

$$1/4 = \|U\|^2 \geq (1 + 3\|f_n\|^{-2})^{-1} \quad \text{for all } n.$$

Since $\|f_n\| \geq 1$, it follows that $\|f_n\| = 1$, for all n , and hence that $\{x_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis since

$$\|x_n - f_n\|^2 = \|x_n\|^2 + \|f_n\|^2 - 2 = 0,$$

implying that $f_n = x_n$ for all n , from which it easily follows that $\{x_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis.

REFERENCE

1. I. Singer, *Bases in Banach spaces I* (Springer-Verlag, 1970).