

## A DECOMPOSITION THEOREM FOR $m$ -CONVEX SETS IN $R^d$

MARILYN BREEN

**1. Introduction.** Let  $S$  be a subset of some linear topological space. The set  $S$  is said to be  $m$ -convex,  $m \geq 2$ , if and only if for every  $m$ -member subset of  $S$ , at least one of the  $\binom{m}{2}$  line segments determined by these points lies in  $S$ . A point  $x$  in  $S$  is said to be a *point of local convexity* of  $S$  if and only if there is some neighborhood  $N$  of  $x$  such that if  $y, z \in N \cap S$ , then  $[y, z] \subseteq S$ . If  $S$  fails to be locally convex at some point  $q$  in  $S$ , then  $q$  is called a *point of local nonconvexity* (Inc point) of  $S$ .

Several interesting decomposition theorems have been obtained for closed  $m$ -convex sets in the plane. Valentine [7] has proved that a closed planar 3-convex set is expressible as a union of three or fewer convex sets, and Stamey and Marr [4] have obtained conditions under which a closed planar 3-convex set may be written as a union of two convex sets.

In general, for  $S$  a closed, planar  $m$ -convex set, if  $\ker S \neq \emptyset$ , then  $S$  is a union of  $2(m-1)$  convex sets, and without any restriction on  $\ker S$ ,  $S$  will be a union of  $(m-1)^{3m-3}$  or fewer convex sets for  $m \geq 3$  (Breen and Kay [2]).

However, little work has been done on the problem of obtaining decomposition theorems for closed  $m$ -convex sets in higher dimensions. The purpose of this paper is to obtain conditions under which an analogue of some of the planar results might be proved in  $R^d$ .

The following familiar terminology will be used. For points  $x, y$  in  $S$ , we say  $x$  sees  $y$  via  $S$  if and only if the corresponding segment  $[x, y]$  lies in  $S$ . Points  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $S$  are *visually independent via  $S$*  if and only if for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ ,  $x_i$  does not see  $x_j$  via  $S$ . Throughout the paper,  $\text{conv } S$ ,  $\text{aff } S$ ,  $\text{cl } S$ ,  $\text{int } S$ ,  $\text{rel int } S$ , and  $\text{ker } S$  will be used to denote the convex hull, affine hull, closure, interior, relative interior, and kernel, respectively, of the set  $S$ . Also if  $S$  is convex,  $\dim S$  will denote the dimension of the affine hull of  $S$ .

**2. The decomposition theorem.** We will prove the following result.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $S = \text{cl}(\text{int } S)$  be an  $m$ -convex set in  $R^d$ ,  $d = \dim \text{aff } S$ , and let  $Q$  denote the set of points of local nonconvexity of  $S$ , with  $Q$  a finite union of parallel convex sets—i.e.,  $Q = \bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i$ , where  $C_i$  is convex and  $\text{aff } C_i$  is a*

---

Received October 7, 1975 and in revised form, June 1, 1976.

translate of  $\text{aff } C_j$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ . If  $p \in \ker S \sim Q$ , then  $S$  is a union of  $\sigma(m) = 2(m - 1)$  or fewer convex sets.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we assume that  $Q = \cup_{i=1}^n C_i$ , where each  $C_i$  is maximal—i.e., no  $C_i$  is properly contained in a convex subset of  $Q$ . (If  $C_i$  is properly contained in a convex subset  $C'_i$  of  $Q$ , replace  $C_i$  by  $C'_i$ . Notice that  $\text{aff } C_i = \text{aff } C'_i$ , for otherwise  $\dim C'_i > \dim C_i$  and  $Q$  could not be represented as a finite union of convex sets parallel to  $C_i$ .) Since  $Q$  is closed, each  $C_i$  will be closed.

The following series of preliminary lemmas will be important in the proof.

LEMMA 1. For each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\dim C_i = d - 2$ .

*Proof.* For convenience of notation, let  $C_i = C$ . We will show that the set  $\text{aff}(\{p\} \cup C)$  has dimension no greater than  $d - 1$ : Suppose on the contrary that  $\text{aff}(\{p\} \cup C)$  has dimension  $d$ . Clearly  $C$  cannot be  $d$ -dimensional, so  $C$  must have dimension  $d - 1$ . Let  $J = \text{aff } C$ , with  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  the distinct open halfspaces determined by the hyperplane  $J$ . Certainly  $p \notin J$  so we may assume that  $p$  lies in  $J_1$ . Consider the set

$$\text{cone}(p, C) \equiv \cup \{R(p, x) : x \in C\}$$

where  $R(p, x)$  denotes the ray emanating from  $p$  through  $x$ . Since  $\text{conv}(\{p\} \cup C) \subseteq S$  and  $C \subseteq Q$ , there are interior points of the cone in  $S \cap J_2$ , and since  $S = \text{cl}(\text{int } S)$ , interior points of the cone lie in  $(\text{int } S) \cap J_2$ . However, if  $U$  is an open set in  $(\text{int } S) \cap J_2$ , then points of  $C$  lie interior to  $\text{conv}(\{p\} \cup U)$ , and these points of  $C$  cannot be in  $Q$ . We have a contradiction, our assumption is false, and  $\dim \text{aff}(\{p\} \cup C) \leq d - 1$ .

Now let  $H$  be any hyperplane containing  $\text{aff}(\{p\} \cup C)$ , with  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  the corresponding open halfspaces, and let  $M$  be a convex neighborhood of  $H$  disjoint from all the  $C_j$  sets which do not lie in  $H$ . (Clearly such a neighborhood exists since the  $C_j$  sets are parallel and there are finitely many of them.) Examine  $S \cap M \cap H_1$ : For  $x, y$  in  $S \cap M \cap H_1$ ,  $[p, x] \cup [p, y] \subseteq S \cap M$ , no lnc point of  $S$  lies in  $\text{conv}\{p, x, y\}$ , so  $[x, y] \subseteq S \cap M \cap H_1$  by a lemma of Valentine [6, Corollary 1]. Thus  $S \cap M \cap H_1$  is convex. Similarly  $S \cap M \cap H_2$  is convex. Furthermore, since  $S = \text{cl}(\text{int } S)$ , we have

$$\text{cl}(S \cap M) = \text{cl}(S \cap M \cap H_1) \cup \text{cl}(S \cap M \cap H_2),$$

so  $S' \equiv \text{cl}(S \cap M)$  is a union of two convex sets and hence is 3-convex. It is easy to show that every lnc point of a closed 3-convex set lies in the kernel of that set, and clearly the set  $Q'$  of lnc points of  $S'$  consists of exactly those points of  $Q$  which lie in  $H$ . Thus  $Q'$  is a finite union of convex sets which lie in  $\ker S'$ .

Also, since  $p \in \ker S' \sim Q'$  and  $S = \text{cl}(\text{int } S)$ , it is easy to see that the set  $S' \sim Q'$  is connected: If  $w \in S' \sim Q'$ , then for one of the open halfspaces determined by  $H$ , say  $H_1$ ,  $w$  is in  $\text{cl}(S \cap M \cap H_1)$ . For any point  $w_0$  in

$S \cap M \cap H_1, (w, w_0] \cup [w_0, p) \subseteq S \cap M \cap H_1 \subseteq S' \sim Q'$ . Hence the set  $S' \sim Q'$  is polygonally connected and therefore connected. We conclude that  $S'$  satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3 in [1], so by the corollary to that lemma,  $\dim C = d - 2$ , finishing the proof of Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2. For each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n, (\text{aff } C_i) \cap S = C_i$ .

*Proof.* As in the proof of Lemma 1, let  $C = C_i$ , let  $H$  be a hyperplane containing  $\text{aff}(\{p\} \cup C)$  with  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  the corresponding open halfspaces, and let  $M$  be a convex neighborhood of  $H$  disjoint from all the  $C_j$  sets which do not lie in  $H$ . Then by our earlier argument  $S \cap M \cap H_i$  is convex for  $i = 1, 2, Q \cap M \subseteq \ker(S \cap M)$ , and the set  $S' \equiv \text{cl}(S \cap M)$  satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3 in [1].

Let  $N$  be a convex neighborhood of  $\text{aff } C, N \subseteq M$ , with  $N \cap C_j = \emptyset$  for all  $C_j \not\subseteq \text{aff } C$ . First we wish to show that  $(\text{aff } C) \cap S \subseteq \ker(S \cap N)$ . For  $x \in (\text{aff } C) \cap S$ , clearly it suffices to show that  $x$  lies in the convex set  $\text{cl}(S \cap N \cap H_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ : By Lemma 3 in [1], the set  $(S \cap N) \sim Q$  is connected, and since it is also locally convex, the set is polygonally connected [5]. Then by standard arguments, since  $S = \text{cl}(\text{int } S)$ ,  $\text{int}(S \cap N)$  is polygonally connected. Hence  $H \cap S \cap N$  contains some interior point  $w$  of  $S \cap N$ , and  $w \in \text{cl}(S \cap N \cap H_1) \cap \text{cl}(S \cap N \cap H_2) \subseteq \ker(S \cap N)$ . Clearly  $w$  cannot lie in  $\text{aff } C$ : Otherwise, for  $U$  any neighborhood of  $w$  in  $S \cap N$ , since  $C \subseteq \ker(S \cap N)$ , the set  $\text{conv}(U \cup C) \subseteq S \cap N$  would capture points of  $C$  in its interior, contradicting the fact that  $C \subseteq Q$ . Thus we may select a convex neighborhood  $V$  of  $w, V \subseteq [\text{int}(S \cap N)] \sim \text{aff } C$ .

Since  $S = \text{cl}(\text{int } S)$ , we may assume that  $x \in \text{cl}(S \cap N \cap H_1)$ . Select a point  $z$  in  $V \cap H_2$ . Since  $w \in \ker(S \cap N)$ , we have  $[w, x] \cup [w, z] \subseteq S \cap N$ . Also, no point of  $\text{aff } C$  and hence no point of  $Q$  is in  $\text{conv}\{x, w, z\} \sim [x, z]$ , so  $[x, z] \subseteq S$  by a generalization of Valentine's lemma [6, Corollary 1]. Therefore,  $(x, z] \subseteq S \cap N \cap H_2$  and  $x \in \text{cl}(S \cap N \cap H_2)$ , the desired result. We have  $x \in \text{cl}(S \cap N \cap H_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , so  $x \in \ker(S \cap N)$  and our assertion is proved.

Our next goal is the relation  $(\text{aff } C) \cap S \subseteq Q$ . Let  $x \in (\text{aff } C) \cap S \subseteq \ker(S \cap N)$ . Select  $r \in S \cap N \cap H_1$  and  $s \in S \cap N \cap H_2$  so that  $[r, s] \not\subseteq S$  and  $s \notin \text{aff}(\{r\} \cup C)$ . (Clearly this is possible since  $S = \text{cl}(\text{int } S)$ .) Then since  $x \in \ker(S \cap N)$ ,  $[x, r] \cup [x, s] \subseteq S$ . Since  $[r, s] \not\subseteq S$ , by Valentine's lemma there must be some lnc point  $q$  of  $S$  in  $\text{conv}\{x, r, s\} \sim [r, s]$ . Note that  $q \in Q \cap N \subseteq \text{aff } C$ . Now if  $x \neq q$ , then  $q \notin [x, r] \cup [x, s]$ , so  $q$  would be in  $\text{rel int conv}\{x, r, s\}$ , and  $s \in \text{aff}\{x, r, q\} \subseteq \text{aff}(\{r\} \cup C)$ , impossible. Thus  $x = q, x \in Q$ , and we conclude that  $(\text{aff } C) \cap S \subseteq Q$ .

Moreover, the set  $(\text{aff } C) \cap S$  is convex: If  $u, v \in (\text{aff } C) \cap S$ , then  $u, v \in Q \cap N \subseteq \ker(S \cap N)$ , so  $[u, v] \subseteq (\text{aff } C) \cap S$ . Hence  $(\text{aff } C) \cap S$  is a convex subset of  $Q$  containing  $C$ , and since  $C$  is maximal, it follows that  $\text{aff } C \cap S = C$ , finishing the proof of Lemma 2.

COROLLARY. If  $p \in S \sim Q$ , then  $p \notin \text{aff } C_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ .

LEMMA 3. *If  $H = \text{aff}(\{p\} \cup C_i)$  for some  $i$ , the set  $S \cap H$  is convex.*

*Proof.* Clearly  $H$  is a hyperplane since  $\dim C_i = d - 2$  and  $p \notin \text{aff } C_i$ . As in the proof of Lemma 2, let  $M$  be a convex neighborhood of  $H$  disjoint from every  $C_j$  set which does not lie in  $H$ .

Note that since  $p \in S \cap H$ , the set  $S \cap H$  is connected. By a well-known result [5], a closed, connected, locally convex set is convex, so to prove the lemma, it suffices to show that  $S \cap H$  is locally convex. Clearly any lnc point of  $S \cap H$  necessarily would lie in  $Q$ , so select  $q \in Q \cap H$  to prove that  $q$  is not an lnc point for  $S \cap H$ . Assume that  $q \in C_j \equiv C$ . By Lemmas 1 and 2,  $C$  must be a component of  $Q$  having dimension  $d - 2$ . Let  $N$  be any convex neighborhood of  $q$  disjoint from the remaining components of  $Q$ ,  $N \subseteq M$ , and let  $x, y \in S \cap H \cap N$ . We wish to show that  $[x, y] \subseteq S$ .

Now if  $x$  and  $y$  both belong to the convex set  $\text{cl}(S \cap N \cap H_i)$  for either  $i = 1$  or  $i = 2$ , then the argument is finished, so assume  $x \in \text{cl}(S \cap N \cap H_1)$ ,  $y \in \text{cl}(S \cap N \cap H_2)$ . Also, if  $x$  or  $y$  were in  $\text{aff } C \cap S = C$ , then since  $C \subseteq \ker(S \cap M)$ ,  $[x, y]$  would lie in  $S$ , so we will assume that  $x, y \notin \text{aff } C$ .

There are two cases to consider: Either  $x$  and  $y$  are on the same side of the  $(d - 2)$ -flat  $\text{aff } C$  in  $H$ , or  $x$  and  $y$  are on opposite sides of  $\text{aff } C$  in  $H$ . Examine the former case. Since  $C \subseteq \ker(S \cap M)$ , both  $x$  and  $y$  see every point of  $C$  via  $S$ , and the convex sets  $\text{conv}(\{x\} \cup C)$ ,  $\text{conv}(\{y\} \cup C)$ , intersect in some point  $z \in (S \cap H \cap N) \sim Q$ . In particular,  $z, x, y$  are all on the same side of  $\text{aff } C$ ,  $[z, x] \cup [z, y] \subseteq S$ , no point of  $C$  and hence no lnc point of  $S$  lies in  $\text{conv}\{z, x, y\}$ , so by Valentine's useful lemma,  $[x, y] \subseteq S$ , the desired result.

For the latter case, suppose that  $x$  and  $y$  are on opposite sides of  $\text{aff } C$  in  $H$ . Since  $p \notin C = (\text{aff } C) \cap S$ , without loss of generality we may assume that  $x$  and  $p$  are on the same side of  $\text{aff } C$  in  $H$ . Select a point  $p'$  in  $\text{conv}(\{p\} \cup C) \cap (N \sim C)$ . Since  $\{p\} \cup C \subseteq \ker(S \cap M)$ ,  $\text{conv}(\{p\} \cup C) \subseteq \ker(S \cap M)$ , and certainly  $p'$  sees  $S \cap N$  via  $S \cap N$ . Clearly  $p'$  and  $x$  are on the same side of  $\text{aff } C$  in  $H$ , so  $[x, p'] \cap C = \emptyset$  and hence  $[x, p'] \cap Q = \emptyset$ . Now since we are assuming that  $y \in \text{cl}(S \cap N \cap H_2)$ , let  $\{y_n\}$  be a sequence in  $S \cap N \cap H_2$  converging to  $y$ . For each  $n$ ,  $[p', x] \cup [p', y_n] \subseteq S \cap N$ , there are no points of  $C$  and therefore no lnc points of  $S$  in  $\text{conv}\{p', x, y_n\}$ , so  $[x, y_n] \subseteq S$ . Then since  $S$  is closed,  $[x, y] \subseteq S$ , finishing this case and completing the proof of Lemma 3.

The final lemma will require the following result by Lawrence, Hare and Kenelly [3, Theorem 2].

**THEOREM (Lawrence, Hare, Kenelly).** *Let  $T$  be a subset of a linear space such that for each finite subset  $F \subseteq T$ ,  $F$  may be written as a union of  $k$  sets  $F_1, \dots, F_k$ , where  $\text{conv } F_i \subseteq T$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Then  $T$  is a union of  $k$  convex sets.*

LEMMA 4. *Without loss of generality we may assume that  $S$  is bounded.*

*Proof.* For any finite subset  $F$  of  $S$ , let  $B$  be an open  $d$ -dimensional ball containing  $F \cup \{p\}$ , and let  $S' = \text{cl}(S \cap B)$ . Then  $S' = \text{cl}(\text{int } S')$  is an

*m*-convex set in  $R^d$  whose corresponding set  $Q'$  of lnc points is exactly  $\cup_{i=1}^n C_i'$ , where  $C_i' = \text{cl}(C_i \cap B)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Clearly  $p \in \ker S' \sim Q'$ . Hence  $S'$  is a bounded set satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 1. By the Lawrence, Hare, Kenelly Theorem, it suffices to prove that  $F$  is a union of  $\sigma(m) = 2(m - 1)$  sets, each having its convex hull in  $S' \subseteq S$ . Therefore, we need only show that  $S'$  is a union of  $\sigma(m)$  convex sets, and we may assume that  $S$  is bounded.

At last we return to the proof of the theorem.

Since the result is trivial for  $d = 1$  and a consequence of [2, Theorem 1, Corollary 3] for  $d = 2$ , we assume that  $d \geq 3$ . From Lemmas 1 and 2, each  $C_i$  set is a component of  $Q$  having dimension  $d - 2$ . Let  $\Pi$  denote a plane which is orthogonal to  $\text{aff } C_i$  for each  $i$ , and define  $f$  to be the projection of  $R^d$  onto  $\Pi$  in the direction of  $\text{aff } C$ . Clearly  $f(S)$  is a closed planar *m*-convex set, and  $f(p)$  lies in its kernel. Hence by [2, Theorem 1, Corollary 3],  $f(S)$  is a union of  $\sigma(m) = 2(m - 1)$  or fewer convex sets,  $B_1, \dots, B_{2m-2}$ .

Define  $A_i \equiv \{x : x \in S \text{ and } f(x) \in B_i\}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2m - 2$ . We assert that the  $A_i$ 's are convex sets whose union is  $S$ , and clearly it suffices to show that for  $x, y$  in  $S$ , whenever  $[f(x), f(y)] \subseteq f(S)$ , then  $[x, y] \subseteq S$ : Suppose on the contrary that the result fails for some pair  $x, y$  in  $S$ , and without loss of generality assume that  $(x, y) \cap S = \emptyset$ . By Valentine's lemma, it follows that there must be a point of  $Q$  in  $\text{conv}\{x, y, p\} \sim [x, y]$ . We have two cases to consider.

*Case 1.* First assume that for some component  $C$  of  $Q$ , a point of  $C$  lies in  $\text{rel int conv}\{x, y, p\}$ , and let  $H = \text{aff}(\{p\} \cup C)$ . We assert that  $x, y \notin H$ : Otherwise, if  $x \in H$ , then since there are points of  $C$  in  $\text{rel int conv}\{x, y, p\}$ , this would imply that  $y \in H$  also. By Lemma 3,  $S \cap H$  is convex, so  $[x, y]$  would lie in  $S \cap H$ , impossible.

As in the proof of the lemmas, let  $M$  be a convex neighborhood of  $H$  disjoint from every component of  $Q$  which does not lie in  $H$ . Since some point of  $C$  lies in  $\text{rel int conv}\{x, y, p\}$ ,  $[x, y]$  cuts the set  $\text{cone}(p, C) \equiv \cup \{R(p, c) : c \in C\}$ . Furthermore, since  $x, y \notin H$ ,  $[x, y]$  cuts  $\text{cone}(p, C)$  at a single point  $z$ , and since  $(x, y) \cap S = \emptyset$ ,  $z \notin S$ . Now  $p \in \ker S$  and  $z \notin S$ , so  $z \notin \text{conv}(\{p\} \cup C)$ , and  $(p, z)$  intersects  $C$ .

Recall by an earlier argument that  $S \cap M \cap H_i$  is convex for  $i = 1, 2$ , where  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  denote the open halfspaces determined by  $H$ . We assert that we may select points  $r, s$  in  $S \cap H$  and segments  $[r_0, r]$  in  $S \cap M \cap H_1$  and  $(s, s_0)$  in  $S \cap M \cap H_2$  such that  $f$  maps  $[r_0, r]$  and  $[s, s_0]$  into  $[f(x), f(y)]$ : Clearly  $f(x) \in H_1$ ,  $f(y) \in H_2$ , and  $f(z) \in [f(x), f(y)] \cap H$ . Select a sequence  $\{b_n\}$  in  $[f(x), f(y)] \cap H_1$  converging to  $f(z)$ , and let  $\{r_n'\}$  be a corresponding sequence in  $S \cap H_1$ , with  $f(r_n') = b_n$ . By Lemma 4 we may consider  $S$  to be bounded, and hence some subsequence  $\{r_n\}$  of  $\{r_n'\}$  converges to a point  $r$  in  $S \cap H$ . Clearly we may assume that  $r_n \in M$  for each  $n$ . Then  $r$  is in the convex set  $\text{cl}(S \cap M \cap H_1)$ , so  $[r_n, r] \subseteq S \cap M \cap H_1$  for each  $n$ . Choose  $r_0$  to be any point  $r_n$ . Since  $f$  preserves convex sets,  $f$  maps  $[r_0, r]$  onto a segment in

$f(S)$ , and hence  $f$  maps  $[r_0, r]$  into  $[f(x), f(y)]$ . A similar argument may be used to select a point  $s$  in  $S \cap H$  and a segment  $(s, s_0)$  in  $S \cap M \cap H_2$  so that  $f$  maps  $[s, s_0]$  into  $[f(x), f(y)]$ . Clearly  $f(r) = f(s) = f(z)$ .

Since  $(p, z)$  intersects  $C$ , both  $(p, r)$  and  $(p, s)$  must intersect  $\text{aff } C$ . Now  $\{p\} \cup C \subseteq \ker(S \cap M)$ , so each point of  $[r_0, r] \cup [s, s_0]$  sees  $\text{conv}(\{p\} \cup C)$  via  $S \cap M$ . But then points of  $C$  are captured interior to the  $d$ -dimensional set  $\text{conv}(C \cup \{p\} \cup [r_0, r]) \cup \text{conv}(C \cup \{p\} \cup [s, s_0]) \subseteq S$ , contradicting the fact that  $C \subseteq Q$ . Our assumption for Case 1 must be false, and no point of  $Q$  lies in  $\text{rel int conv}\{p, x, y\}$ .

*Case 2.* Since there can be no points of  $Q$  in  $\text{rel int conv}\{p, x, y\}$ , suppose there are points of  $Q$  in  $(p, x) \cup (p, y)$ . Say for some component  $C$  of  $Q$ ,  $(p, x) \cap C \neq \emptyset$ , and let  $H = \text{aff}(\{p\} \cup C)$ . Since  $S \cap H$  is convex and  $x \in H$ , it follows that  $y \notin H$ , and we may assume that  $y$  lies in the open halfspace  $H_2$  determined by  $H$ . As in Case 1, let  $M$  be a convex neighborhood of  $H$  disjoint from all components of  $Q$  which do not lie in  $H$ , and select a point  $s \in H$  and a segment  $(s, s_0)$  in  $S \cap M \cap H_2$  such that  $f$  maps  $[s, s_0]$  into  $[f(x), f(y)]$ .

First we show that  $x \in \text{cl}(S \cap H_2)$ : If  $x \in \text{cl}(S \cap H_1)$ , then for any point  $x_0$  in the convex set  $S \cap M \cap H_1$ ,  $[x_0, x] \subseteq S \cap M$ . Using an argument in Case 1 above, since  $\{p\} \cup C \subseteq \ker(S \cap M)$ , each point of the set  $[x_0, x] \cup [s, s_0]$  would see  $\text{conv}(\{p\} \cup C)$  via  $S \cap M$ . Hence points of  $C$  would be captured interior to the  $d$ -dimensional set  $\text{conv}(C \cup \{p\} \cup [x_0, x]) \cup \text{conv}(C \cup \{p\} \cup [s, s_0]) \subseteq S$ , impossible. We conclude that  $x \notin \text{cl}(S \cap H_1)$ , and since  $S = \text{cl}(\text{int } S)$ , it follows that  $x \in \text{cl}(S \cap H_2)$ .

Next we select a convex neighborhood  $U$  of  $\text{conv}\{p, x, y\}$  such that the only components of  $Q$  containing points of  $U \cap S$  necessarily intersect  $[p, x] \cup [p, y]$ . (Clearly this is possible: Since  $(x, y) \cap S = \emptyset$  we have  $Q \cap \text{conv}\{p, x, y\} \subseteq [p, x] \cup [p, y]$ , and  $Q$  is a finite union of closed convex sets.) Since  $x \in \text{cl}(S \cap H_2)$ , we may select a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $S \cap U \cap H_2$  converging to  $x$ .

If  $(p, y) \cap Q = \emptyset$ , then  $Q \cap U \subseteq H$ , for every  $n$  there would be no line points in  $\text{conv}\{x_n, y, p\} \sim [x_n, y]$ , so  $[x_n, y] \subseteq S$  and  $[x, y] \subseteq S$ , impossible. Thus  $(p, y) \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ , and for some convex component  $D$  of  $Q$ ,  $(p, y)$  cuts  $D$ . (Note that  $D \neq C$  since  $y \notin H$ .) Let  $J = \text{aff}(D \cup \{p\})$ . By an earlier argument  $x \notin J$ , so assume  $x$  is in the open halfspace  $J_1$  determined by  $J$ . Now it is easy to show that  $y \notin \text{cl}(S \cap U \cap J_1)$ , for if  $\{y_n\}$  were a sequence in  $S \cap U \cap J_1$  converging to  $y$ , then since  $Q \cap U \subseteq H \cup J$ , for  $n$  sufficiently large there would be no line point in  $\text{conv}\{x_n, y_n, p\}$ ,  $[x_n, y_n] \subseteq S$ , and  $[x, y] \subseteq S$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $y \in \text{cl}(S \cap U \cap J_2)$ .

Again as in Case 1, select a point  $r$  in  $J$  and a segment  $[r_0, r]$  in  $S \cap J_1$  such that  $f$  maps  $[r_0, r]$  into  $[f(x), f(y)]$ . Using earlier arguments, select  $y_0$  in  $S \cap J_2$  with  $[y, y_0] \subseteq S$ . For  $r_0, y_0$  sufficiently close to  $r$  and  $y$ , respectively, each point of  $[r_0, r] \cup [y, y_0]$  sees every point of  $D$  via  $S$ , and points of  $D$  lie

interior to the set  $\text{conv}(D \cup \{p\} \cup [r_0, r]) \cup \text{conv}(D \cup \{p\} \cup [y, y_0])$ , impossible. We have a contradiction, our assumption for **Case 2** cannot be true, and  $(p, x) \cup (p, y)$  must be disjoint from  $Q$ .

From Cases 1 and 2 we conclude that  $\text{conv}\{p, x, y\} \sim [x, y]$  contains no points of  $Q$ . Hence our original supposition is false and  $(x, y) \subseteq S$ , the desired result. It follows that each  $A_i$  set is convex,  $1 \leq i \leq 2m - 2$ , and  $S$  is indeed a union of  $2(m - 1)$  or fewer convex sets, finishing the proof of the theorem.

## REFERENCES

1. Marilyn Breen, *An  $n + 1$  member decomposition for sets whose line points form  $n$  convex sets*, Can. J. Math. *27* (1975), 1378–1383.
2. Marilyn Breen and David C. Kay, *General decomposition theorems for  $m$ -convex sets in the plane*, to appear, Israel J. Math.
3. J. F. Lawrence, W. R. Hare and John W. Kenelly, *Finite unions of convex sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *34* (1972), 225–228.
4. W. L. Stamey and J. M. Marr, *Unions of two convex sets*, Can. J. Math. *15* (1963), 152–156.
5. F. A. Valentine, *Convex sets* (McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 1964).
6. ——— *Local convexity and  $L_n$  sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *16* (1965), 1305–1310.
7. ——— *A three point convexity property*, Pacific J. Math. *7* (1957), 1227–1235.

*University of Oklahoma,  
Norman, Oklahoma*