

# A CONVERGENCE THEOREM FOR DOUBLE $L^2$ FOURIER SERIES

RICHARD P. GOSELIN

1. Our aim in this paper is to extend a known theorem about the convergence of subsequences of the partial sums of the Fourier series in one variable of class  $L^2$  to Fourier series in two variables of the same class, (**1**, p. 396). The theorem asserts that for each function  $f$  in  $L^2$ , there is a sequence  $\{m_\nu\}$  of positive integers of upper density one such that

$$s_{m_\nu}(x; f)$$

converges to  $f$  almost everywhere, where  $s_m(x; f)$  denotes the  $m$ th partial sum of the Fourier series of  $f$ . The sequence  $\{m_\nu\}$  depends on the function  $f$  but not on the point  $x$  (**3**, p. 264). The main tools of proof used were the theorem of Kolmogoroff asserting the almost everywhere convergence of lacunary subsequences of partial sums of  $L^2$  Fourier series and the theorem of Kolmogoroff and Seliverstoff (**3**, p. 253). These same tools are available in the two-dimensional case (**2**), but they do not seem to be adequate in themselves to obtain an extension.

Our method of proof is the following. First we extend the one-dimensional theorem so that a single sequence  $\{m_\nu\}$  of upper density one will serve for a given sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of functions, each  $f_n$  belonging to  $L^2$ . From this we may generalize to the two-dimensional case by considering first iterated limits of partial sums.

2. The definition of upper density for a sequence  $\{m_\nu\}$  of positive integers strictly increasing to  $\infty$  is as follows. Let  $\sigma(n)$  be the number of terms of the sequence less than or equal to  $n$ . We say the sequence is of upper density  $\beta$  if  $\limsup \sigma(n)/n = \beta$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of functions, each of class  $L^2$ . Then there is a sequence  $\{p_\nu\}$  of upper density one such that*

$$s_{p_\nu}(x; f_n)$$

*converges to  $f_n$  almost everywhere for each  $n$ .*

Let  $\{\lambda_r\}$  and  $\{k_r\}$  be two sequences of integers each strictly increasing to  $\infty$  and such that  $k_{r+1} > 2k_r$  and  $r + 1$  divides  $k_r$ . At a later stage we shall

---

Received August 16, 1957. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation through Research Grant NSF G-2789.

impose a further restriction relating to the size of  $k_r$ . Let  $m_k = \lambda_r^k, k_r \leq k \leq 2k_r$ , and let

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_m(n) e^{imx}$$

denote the Fourier series of the function  $f_n(x)$ . For any function  $f(x)$  of  $L^2$  with Fourier coefficients  $c_m$ , we introduce the following notation:

$$(1) \quad \epsilon_k = \sum_{|m|=m_{k+1}}^{m_{k+1}} |c_m|^2, k = k_r, k_r + 1 \dots 2k_r - 1; D_r = \sum_{k=k_r}^{2k_r-1} \epsilon_k.$$

If  $\alpha$  of the  $k_r$  numbers  $\epsilon_k$  are greater than  $\beta D_r/k_r$ , then

$$(2) \quad \alpha \beta \frac{D_r}{k_r} \leq D_r \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha \leq \frac{k_r}{\beta}.$$

Now let  $\epsilon_k(n)$  and  $D_r(n)$  correspond to  $f_n(x)$  as  $\epsilon_k$  and  $D_r$  in (1) correspond to  $f(x)$ . Fix  $r$ , and consider  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r$ . For each  $n, n = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , at least  $rk_r/(r + 1)$  of the numbers  $\epsilon_k(n)$  are less than  $(r + 1)D_r(n)/k_r$  since by (2) the number greater does not exceed  $k_r/(r + 1)$ . There must be some  $k$ , say  $k(r), k_r \leq k(r) \leq 2k_r - 1$ , such that

$$\epsilon_{k(r)}(n) \leq \frac{(r + 1)D_r(n)}{k_r}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, r$$

since among the  $rk_r$  numbers  $\epsilon_k(n)$ , no more than  $rk_r/(r + 1)$  of them exceed the above. Thus for  $k = k(r)$

$$(3) \quad \sum_{|m|=m_{k+1}}^{m_{k+1}} |c_m(n)|^2 \log(|m|) \leq 2k_r (\log \lambda_r) \epsilon_k(n) \leq 2(r + 1) (\log \lambda_r) D_r(n),$$

$n = 1, 2, \dots, r.$

For fixed  $\lambda_r$  and  $n$ ,

$$\sum_{|m|=\lambda_r^k}^{\infty} |c_m(n)|^2$$

goes to 0 as  $k$  increases to  $\infty$ . We may thus choose  $k = k_r$  subject to the previous conditions and so large that

$$2(r + 1) (\log \lambda_r) D_r(n) \leq 2^{-r}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, r.$$

From (3),

$$\sum_{\substack{k=k(r) \\ r \gg n}} \sum_{|m|=m_{k+1}}^{m_{k+1}} |c_m(n)|^2 \log(|m|) \leq 2 \sum_{r \gg n} (r + 1) (\log \lambda_r) D_r(n) < \infty$$

for all  $n$ . Now let  $\{p_\nu\}$  take on the values  $m, m_k \leq m \leq m_{k+1}, k = k(r), r = 1, 2, \dots$ . It is easily seen that  $\{p_\nu\}$  is of upper density one and the almost everywhere convergence of each sequence

$$s_{p_\nu}(x; f_n)$$

to  $f_n$  follows as in our original proof (1, p. 396).

*Remark.* For convenience we suppose that  $6(r + 1)$  divides  $k_r$ . Among the  $rk_r$  numbers  $\epsilon_k(n)$ , no more than  $rk_r/3(r + 1)$  of them exceed  $3(r + 1)D_r(n)/k_r$ , for the same reasons as used above. Hence for more than one-half the indices  $k$  in the given range,

$$\epsilon_k(n) \leq \frac{3(r + 1)D_r(n)}{k_r}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, r,$$

a fact we shall use in the proof of Theorem 2.

**3.** We first extend the notion of upper density for single sequences of positive integers to double sequences. Let  $P$  be a set of ordered pairs of positive integers  $(p, q)$ , and let  $\sigma(m, n)$  be the number of pairs  $(p, q)$  from  $P$  such that  $p \leq m$  and  $q \leq n$ . If  $\beta$  is the largest number for which there are sequences  $\{m_k\}$  and  $\{n_k\}$  of positive integers each strictly increasing to  $\infty$  as  $k$  goes to  $\infty$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(m_k, n_k)/m_k n_k = \beta$  we say that the set  $P$  has upper density  $\beta$ . It is easily seen that a largest number must exist. We may state our generalized theorem. Part (i) relates to iterated limits and is used in the proof of part (ii). Let  $s_{p,q}(x, y; f)$  denote the  $pq$ th partial sum of the Fourier series of an integrable function  $f(x, y)$  and let  $\Omega$  be the square in the  $xy$  plane with  $(0, 0)$  and  $(2\pi, 2\pi)$  as opposite vertices.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $f(x, y)$  belong to  $L^2(\Omega)$ .*

(i) *There exist sequences  $\{p_\mu\}$ ,  $\{q_\nu\}$  of positive integers, each separately of upper density one, such that almost everywhere*

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} s_{p_\mu, q_\nu}(x, y; f) \right] = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} s_{p_\mu, q_\nu}(x, y; f) \right] = f(x, y).$$

(ii) *There exists a double sequence  $P'$  of positive integers of upper density one such that almost everywhere*

$$\lim_{\substack{p, q \rightarrow \infty \\ (p, q) \in P'}} s_{p,q}(x, y; f) = f(x, y).$$

In the double limit of part (ii),  $p$  and  $q$  go to  $\infty$  independently except that the pair  $(p, q)$  must belong to  $P'$ . Let  $c_{m,n}$  denote the Fourier (exponential) coefficient of  $f(x, y)$ . Since for each  $n$ ,

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} |c_{m,n}|^2 < \infty,$$

the series

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{m,n} e^{imx}$$

is the Fourier series of a function  $f_n(x)$  in  $L^2(0, 2\pi)$ . We have

$$c_{m,n} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-imx} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x, y) e^{-iny} dy \right\} dx$$

so that

$$2\pi i f_n(x) = \int_0^{2\pi} f(x, y) e^{-iny} dy.$$

By Theorem 1, there is a sequence  $\{p_\mu\}$  of upper density one such that for almost every  $x$  and every  $n = 0, \pm 1, \dots$

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=-p_\mu}^{p_\mu} c_{m,n} e^{imx} = f_n(x).$$

Hence, for every fixed  $q$ , every  $y$ , and almost every  $x$

$$(4) \quad \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} s_{p_\mu, q}(x, y; f) = \sum_{n=-q}^q f_n(x) e^{iny}.$$

By Parseval's equality

$$2\pi \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} |f_n(x)|^2 = \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x, y)|^2 dy$$

which is finite for almost every  $x$ . Hence, for almost every  $x$ , each member of the family, indexed by  $x$ , of series

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} f_n(x) e^{iny}$$

is the Fourier series of an  $L^2$  function of the variable  $y$ , that is,  $f(x, y)$ . The numbers  $f_n(x)$  are then the Fourier coefficients.

Let  $\{\alpha_r\}$  be a sequence of numbers,  $0 < \alpha_r \leq 2\pi$ , such that  $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \alpha_r < \infty$ . Let  $\{\lambda_r\}$  and  $\{k_r\}$  be two sequences of positive integers, each strictly increasing to  $\infty$  and such that  $k_{r+1} > 2k_r$ . A further restriction is needed on  $\{k_r\}$ . For fixed  $\lambda_r$ , choose  $k_r$  so large that

$$(6) \quad \sum_{|n| > \lambda_r k_r} \int_0^{2\pi} |f_n(x)|^2 dx \leq \frac{2^{-r-1} \alpha_r}{\log \lambda_r}, \quad k \geq k_r.$$

This is possible since the left side of (6) equals

$$2\pi \sum_{|n| > \lambda_r k_r} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} |c_{m,n}|^2.$$

Let  $n_k = \lambda_r^k$ ,  $k_r \leq k \leq 2k_r$ , and let

$$\sum_{|n|=n_k+1}^{n_k+1} |f_n(x)|^2 = \epsilon_k(x), \quad k = k_r, k_r + 1, \dots, 2k_r - 1; \quad \sum_{k=k_r}^{2k_r-1} \epsilon_k(x) = D_r(x).$$

We set

$$\epsilon_k = \int_0^{2\pi} \epsilon_k(x) dx$$

and

$$D_r = \int_0^{2\pi} D_r(x) dx = \sum_{k=k_r}^{2k_r-1} \epsilon_k.$$

Since there are  $k_r$  terms  $\epsilon_k$ , it follows that for at least one  $k$ , say  $k(r)$ ,

$$k_r \leq k(r) \leq 2k_r - 1, \quad \epsilon_{k(r)} \leq D_r/k_r.$$

Thus  $\epsilon_{k(r)}(x) \leq D_r/\alpha_r k_r$  for  $x$  outside a set  $E_r$  whose measure,  $|E_r|$ , does not exceed  $\alpha_r$ . Since

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \alpha_r < \infty,$$

for almost every  $x$

$$\overline{\epsilon_{k(r)}(x)} \leq D_r/\alpha_r k_r$$

for all sufficiently large  $r$ . For such an  $x$  and all sufficiently large  $r$  with  $k = k(r)$

$$(7) \quad \sum_{|n|=n_{k+1}}^{n_{k+1}} |f_n(x)|^2 \log(|n|) \leq (\log \lambda_r^{2k_r})_{\epsilon_k(x)} \leq \frac{2D_r \log \lambda_r}{\alpha_r}.$$

Since  $D_r$  does not exceed the left side of (6), the left side of (7) does not exceed  $2^{-r}$ . Thus for almost every  $x$

$$\sum_{\substack{k=k(r) \\ r \geq 1}} \sum_{|n|=n_{k+1}}^{n_{k+1}} |f_n(x)|^2 \log(|n|) < \infty$$

since, except for a finite number of  $r$  values the terms satisfy (7) and so do not exceed  $2^{-r}$ . This is sufficient to show as in our previous arguments (**1**, p. 396) that for almost every  $x$ , the  $\{q_\nu\}$  partial sums of the series (5) converge to  $f(x, y)$  for almost every  $y$  where the sequence  $\{q_\nu\}$  takes on the values  $n, n_{k(r)} \leq n \leq n_{k(r)+1}, r = 1, 2, \dots$ . The sequence  $\{q_\nu\}$  is also of upper density one. This, together with (4), gives the second equality of part (i) of the theorem.

Our first step in proving the first equality of part (i) is to show that the sequences  $\{p_\mu\}$  and  $\{q_\nu\}$  already chosen in the proof of the second equality may be made the same. The sequence  $\{p_\mu\}$  was chosen by the technique of Theorem 1 so as to insure the almost everywhere convergence of each sequence

$$\sum_{m=-p_\mu}^{p_\mu} c_{m,n} e^{imx}$$

to  $f_n(x)$ . The sequence  $\{\lambda_r\}$  used in the proof of Theorem 1 may be taken to be the same as the sequence  $\{\lambda_r\}$  already used in the proof of Theorem 2. Moreover the two  $\{k_r\}$  sequences may be taken the same since, in each case,  $k_r$  was chosen large relative to a condition involving  $\lambda_r$ . By the remark following Theorem 1, for more than one-half the indices  $k, k_r \leq k \leq 2k_r - 1$ ,

$$\epsilon_k^{(n)} \leq \frac{3(r+1) D_r(n)}{k_r}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, r.$$

As in our proof of the present theorem, for more than one-half the indices  $k$ ,

$$\epsilon_k = \int_0^{2\pi} \epsilon_k(x) dx \leq 3 D_r/k_r.$$

Hence, there is at least one index, say  $k(r)$ , for which both conditions are satisfied. Now the  $\{p_\mu\}$  and the  $\{q_\nu\}$  are chosen from the same blocks of integers, that is,  $n$  such that  $\lambda_r^k \leq n \leq \lambda_r^{k+1}$ ,  $k = k(r)$ ,  $r = 1, 2, \dots$

It is easy to see that the same sequences  $\{p_\mu\}$ ,  $\{q_\nu\}$  can be chosen for any two functions of  $L^2(\Omega)$ , in particular for our  $f(x, y)$  and for  $g(x, y) = f(y, x)$ . Since  $s_{p,q}(x, y; g) = s_{q,p}(y, x; f)$  we may apply the second equality of part (i) to the sequence

$$s_{p_\mu, q_\nu}(x, y; g)$$

to obtain that almost everywhere

$$g(x, y) = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} s_{p_\mu, q_\nu}(x, y; g) \right] = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} s_{q_\nu, p_\mu}(y, x; f) \right] = f(y, x).$$

Since the  $\{p_\mu\}$  take on the same values as the  $\{q_\nu\}$  the first equality of part (i) of Theorem 2 is proved.

Now part (ii) follows easily. The difference

$$\sum_{n=-q_\nu}^{q_\nu} f_n(x) e^{iny} - f(x, y)$$

is smaller in absolute value than  $1/s$  for  $(x, y)$  outside the set  $E_s$  when  $q_\nu$  takes on values in the  $r$ th block, that is,  $n_k \leq q_\nu \leq n_{k+1}$ ,  $k = k(r)$ . We may choose  $r = r_s$  so large that

$$\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} |E_s| < \infty.$$

Also the difference

$$s_{p_\mu, q_\nu}(x, y; f) - \sum_{n=-q_\nu}^{q_\nu} f_n(x) e^{iny}$$

is smaller in absolute value than  $1/s$  for  $(x, y)$  outside the set  $F_s$ , for  $q_\nu$  in the  $r$ th block and  $p_\mu$  in the  $R$ th block, that is,  $n_k \leq p \leq n_{k+1}$ ,  $k = k(R)$ . Again we may choose  $R = R_s$  so large that

$$\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} |F_s| < \infty.$$

Hence, for  $(x, y)$  outside both  $E_s$  and  $F_s$ ,

$$|s_{p_\mu, q_\nu}(x, y; f) - f(x, y)| < \frac{2}{s}, \quad \begin{matrix} n_k \leq p_\mu \leq n_{k+1}, k = k(r_s) \\ n_k \leq q_\nu \leq n_{k+1}, k = k(R_s). \end{matrix}$$

Almost every point  $(x, y)$  is outside all  $E_s$  and  $F_s$  for  $s$  sufficiently large. Now let  $P$ , the double sequence of positive integers, consist of the union of all  $P_s$  for all  $s$  where  $P_s$  is defined as all  $(p, q)$  such that  $p$  belongs to the  $R_s$ th block and  $q$  to the  $r_s$ th block. For the double sequence  $P$

$$\frac{\sigma(n_{k(R)+1}, n_{k(r)+1})}{n_{k(R)+1} n_{k(r)+1}} \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_R}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_r}\right), \quad r = r_s, R = R_s$$

which approaches one as  $s$  increases to  $\infty$  so that  $P$  is of upper density one. Part (ii) follows from this.

## REFERENCES

1. R. P. Gosselin, *On the convergence of Fourier series of functions in an  $L^p$  class*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 7 (1956), 392–397.
2. G. Sunouchi, *Notes on Fourier analysis XXXIX*, Tohoku Math. J.(2), 3 (1951), 71–88.
3. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometrical series* (Warsaw, 1935).

*University of Connecticut*