ON THE INVERSION OF THE GAUSS TRANSFORMATION, II

P. G. ROONEY

1. Introduction. In an earlier paper **(5)** we studied the inversion theory of the Gauss transformation defined by

(1.1)
$$f(x) = \mathscr{G}(\phi(x)) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{4}(x-t)^2} \phi(t) dt.$$

Operational methods indicated that

$$\exp\left(-D^2\right)f(x) = \phi(x)$$

and we showed that in certain circumstances this equation was true if $\exp(-D^2) f(x)$ was interpreted as the sum of the series

(1.2)
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n f^{(2n)}(x)/n!$$

However, another possible interpretation of $\exp(-D^2) f(x)$ arises from the well known formula

$$e^{-x^2} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{n}\right)^n,$$

and we shall show here that such an interpretation also leads to an inversion formula for the transformation. This is done in section two.

Pollard (4) has developed an L_2 theory for inversion by the series (1.2), and in § 3 we shall develop a similar theory for our inversion.

2. Convergence theory. The two theorems below give sets of conditions for inversion. We first prove a preliminary lemma.

LEMMA. If

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$$

converges to the sum a, then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{r=0}^n\frac{n!}{(n-r)!\,n^r}\,a_r=a.$$

Proof. Let $S_n = a_0 + a_1 + \ldots + a_n$. Then

Received September 17, 1957. This work was done while the author held a summer research associateship of the National Research Council of Canada.

P. G. ROONEY

$$\sum_{\tau=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{(n-\tau)! n^{\tau}} a_{\tau} = \sum_{\tau=0}^{n} \frac{n! r}{(n-\tau)! n^{\tau+1}} S_{\tau}.$$

Hence, if $a_0 = 1$, $a_n = 0$, n > 0, we have $S_n = 1$, n = 0, 1, 2, ..., and

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{n!r}{(n-r)! n^{r+1}} = 1. \quad \text{Also} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n!r}{(n-r)! n^{r+1}} = 0,$$

so that the result follows from (2, § 3.1, Theorem 2).

THEOREM 1. If $\phi(t) \in L(-\delta, \delta)$, $\delta > 0$, $|t|^{\lambda} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{8}(x_0 - t)^2\right] \phi(t) \in L(-\infty, \infty)$ for some $\lambda > 3$, and $\phi(t)$ is of bounded variation in a neighbourhood of $t = x_0$, then f(x), as defined by (1.1), exists for all x, and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(1-\frac{D^2}{n}\right)^n f(x)|_{x=x_0} = \frac{1}{2}\{\phi(x_0+)+\phi(x_0-)\}.$$

Proof. By (5, Theorem 1) f(x) exists for all x and (1.2) converges for $x = x_0$ to $\frac{1}{2} \{ \phi(x_0+) + \phi(x_0-) \}$. But then by the lemma, with $a_r = (-1)^r f^{(2r)}(x_0)/r!$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{r=0}^n\frac{n!}{(n-r)!\,n^r}\,(-1)^r\frac{f^{(2r)}(x_0)}{r!}=\tfrac{1}{2}\{\phi(x_0+)+\phi(x_0-)\}.$$

But this last sum is

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n} \left. \binom{n}{r} \frac{(-1)^{r} D^{2r}}{n^{r}} f(x) \right|_{x=x_{0}}$$
$$= \left. \left(1 - \frac{D^{2}}{n} \right)^{n} f(x) \right|_{x=x_{0}}.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(1-\frac{D^2}{n}\right)^n f(x)\bigg|_{x=x_0} = \frac{1}{2}\{\phi(x_0+)+\phi(x_0-)\}.$$

THEOREM 2. If $\exp\left[-\frac{1}{8}(x_0-t)^2\right]\phi(t) \in L(-\infty,\infty)$, $\phi(t)$ is of bounded variation in a neighbourhood of $t = x_0$, and the series (1.2) converges for $x = x_0$, then f(x), as defined by (1.1), exists for all x, and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(1-\frac{D^2}{n}\right)^n f(x)\bigg|_{x=x_0} = \frac{1}{2}\{\phi(x_0+)+\phi(x_0-)\}.$$

Proof. By (5, Theorem 2), the series (1.2) is summable for $x = x_0$ in the Abel sense to $\frac{1}{2} \{ \phi(x_0+) + \phi(x_0-) \}$. But, since (1.2) converges for $x = x_0$, and the Abel method is a regular method of summation, (1.2) converges for $x = x_0$ to $\frac{1}{2} \{ \phi(x_0+) + \phi(x_0-) \}$. Hence, by the lemma

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 - \frac{D^2}{n} \right)^n f(x) \bigg|_{x = x_0} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{n!}{(n-r)! n^r} (-1)^r \frac{f^{(2r)}(x_0)}{r!}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \{ \phi(x_0+) + \phi(x_0-) \}.$$

https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1958-061-x Published online by Cambridge University Press

614

3. L_2 theory.

THEOREM 3. If $\phi \in L_2(-\infty, \infty)$, then f(x) as defined by (1.1) exists for all x, and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(1-\frac{D^2}{n}\right)^n f(x) = \phi(x).$$

Proof. The existence of f(x) is clear. Let Φ be the Fourier transform of ϕ . Then since the Fourier transform of $(4\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{4}(x-t)^2\right]$ is

$$(2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp(iyx-y^2)$$

we have, on applying the Parseval relation (6, Theorem 49 and 2.1.2), that

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ixy-y^2} \Phi(y) dy.$$

Since for n = 0, 1, 2, ...,

$$|y^{n}e^{-ixy-y^{2}}\Phi(y)| = |y|^{n}e^{-y^{2}}|\Phi(y)| \in L(-\infty,\infty),$$

it follows from (3, Corollary 39.2), that we may differentiate this integral as often as we like under the integral sign and obtain

$$f^{(2\tau)}(x) = \frac{(-1)^{\tau}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y^{2\tau} e^{-ixy-y^2} \Phi(y) dy$$

and hence

$$S_n(x) = \left(1 - \frac{D^2}{n}\right)^n f(x) = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} (-1)^r \frac{f^{(2r)}(x)}{n^r}$$

= $\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} \frac{y^{2r}}{n^r}\right) e^{-ixy-y^2} \Phi(y) dy$
= $\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{y^2}{n}\right)^n e^{-ixy-y^2} \Phi(y) dy.$

Hence, if σ_n is the Fourier transform of S_n ,

$$\sigma_n(y) = \left(1 + \frac{y^2}{n}\right)^n e^{-y^2} \Phi(y).$$

Hence, from (6, Theorem 50 and 2.1.3),

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |S_n(x) - \phi(x)|^2 dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\sigma_n(y) - \Phi(y)|^2 dy$$

=
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\left(1 + \frac{y^2}{n} \right)^n e^{-y^2} - 1 \right)^2 |\Phi(y)|^2 dy.$$

Now the integrand in this last integral tends to zero a.e. as $n \to \infty$. Also a short calculation shows that

$$\left(\left(1+\frac{y^2}{n}\right)^n e^{-y^2}-1\right)^2 \leqslant 1,$$

and thus

$$\left(\left(1+\frac{y^2}{n}\right)^n e^{-y^2}-1\right)^2 |\Phi(y)|^2 \leqslant |\Phi(y)|^2 \in L_1(-\infty, \infty).$$

Hence, by the theorem of dominated convergence,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|S_n(x)-\phi(x)|^2\,dx=0,$$

that is,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 - \frac{D^2}{n} \right)^n f(x) = \phi(x).$$

References

1. A Erdélyi et al., Tables of Integral Transforms I, (New York, 1954).

2. G. H. Hardy, Divergent Series (Oxford, 1949).

3. E. J. McShane, Integration (Princeton, 1944).

4. Harry Pollard, Integral transforms, Duke Math. J., 13 (1946), 307-330.

5. P. G. Rooney, On the inversion of the Gauss transformation, Can. J. Math., 9 (1957), 459-465.

6. E. C. Titchmarsh, An Introduction to the Theory of Fourier Integrals (2nd ed.; Oxford, 1948).

University of Toronto

616