■ Human Rights ■ Democracy □ Rule of Law





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

Global Constitutionalism

HUMAN RIGHTS, DEMOCRACY AND THE RULE OF LAW journals.cambridge.org/GlobCon

EDITORS

Jacob Eisler, University of Southampton, UK Jonathan Havercroft, University of Southampton, UK Val Napoleon, University of Victoria, Canada Jo Shaw, University of Edinburgh, UK Antje Wiener, University of Hamburg, Germany and University of Cambridge, UK

Managing Editor

Aygun Mammadzada / University of Southampton, UK

EDITORIAL BOARD

Mathias Albert, University of Bielefeld Duncan Bell, University of Cambridge Richard Bellamy, University College London Seyla Benhabib, Yale University Armin v. Bogdandy, Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public and International Law Jutta Brunnée, University of Toronto Gráinne de Búrca, New York University Wen-Chen Chang, National Taiwan University Carlos Closa, Institute of Public Goods and Policies Jean L. Cohen, Columbia University Yasmin Dawood, University of Toronto Avigail Eisenberg, University of Victoria Karin Fierke, University of St Andrews Ezzedine Choukri Fishere, American University of Cairo Rainer Forst, JWG University Frankfurt/Main

Eric Heinze, University of Oklahoma Vicki Jackson, Harvard Law School Kai Kenkel, PUC-Rio Helen Kinsella, University of Minnesota Jonneke Koomen, Willamette University Friedrich Kratochwil, European University Institute

Xymena Kurowska, Central European University

David Landau, Florida State University Sheryl Lightfoot, University of British Columbia

Jeanne Morefield, University of Birmingham
Joanne Scott, University College London
Jacqui True, Monash University
Michael Waibel, University of Vienna
Neil Walker, University of Edinburgh
Ayse Zarakol, University of Cambridge
Michael Zürn, Freie Universität Berlin

Co-FOUNDING EDITOR James Tully, *University of Victoria*

EDITORS EMERITI

Anthony Lang, *University of St Andrews*Miguel Poiares Maduro, *European University Institute*

STATEMENT OF AIMS

Global Constitutionalism – Human Rights, Democracy and the Rule of Law (GlobCon) seeks to promote a deeper understanding on the foundations, limitations and principles of political order and their dynamics over time on a global scale. The journal is interested in work that refers to constitutionalism as a template for empirical, conceptual or normative research on past, present and future political and legal practices, within and beyond the state.

All articles are subject to anonymous interdisciplinary peer-review.

Published with the support of Southampton Law School, the School of Economic, Social, and Political Sciences, and the Faculty of Social Sciences at the University of Southampton, UK.

Cover design by Emma Keet.

GLOBAL CONSTITUTIONALISM

Volume 10, Issue 1, March 2021

CONTENTS

Editorial

1 After Trump

JO SHAW, JACOB EISLER, JONATHAN HAVERCROFT, ANTJE WIENER AND VAL NAPOLEON

Articles

10 Political but incontestable: A review of 'political constitutionalism' in China

Su Bian

40 Global constitutionalism and cultural diversity: The emergence of jurisgenerative constitutionalism in Africa

BERIHUN ADUGNA GEBEYE

Special Issue: Judicial Authority, Legitimacy and the (International) Rule of Law

75 Judicial authority, legitimacy and the (international) rule of law as essentially contested and interpretive concepts: Introduction to the special issue

Knut Traisbach

91 The rule of law and the role of courts

JEREMY WALDRON

106 Always imperfectly achieved rule of law: Comments on Jeremy Waldron

Brian Z. Tamanaha

118 International human rights courts and the (international) rule of law: Part of the solution, part of the problem, or both?

ANDREAS FOLLESDAL.

139 Non-arbitrariness, rule of law and the 'margin of appreciation': Comments on Andreas Follesdal

GIANLUIGI PALOMBELLA

151 Transnational constitutional aspects of the European Court of Human Rights

GEIR ULFSTEIN

175 Quasi-constitutional court of human rights for Europe? Comments on Geir Ulfstein

Wojciech Sadurski

186 A transnational judicial public sphere as an idea and ideology: Critical reflections on judicial dialogue and its legitimizing potential

Knut Traisbach

208 Law as an argumentative practice: On the pitfalls of confirmatory research, false necessities, and (Kantian) stupidity – Comments on Knut Traisbach

FRIEDRICH KRATOCHWIL