# REFINEMENT OF THE CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF CRONSTEDTITE-1T

JIŘÍ HYBLER,<sup>1</sup> VÁCLAV PETŘÍČEK,<sup>1</sup> SLAVOMIL ĎUROVIČ,<sup>2</sup> AND ĹUBOMÍR SMRČOK<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Na Slovance 2, 18221 Praha 8, Czech Republic <sup>2</sup>Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, Slovak Academy of Sciences, 84236 Bratislava, Slovakia

Abstract—The crystal structure of cronstedtite-1*T* was refined in space group P31m, using two crystals: a triangular tabular crystal from Herja, Romania and a conical crystal from Lostwithiel, Cornwall, England. The Herja sample has the composition of  $(Fe^{2+}_{2.22}Fe^{3+}_{0.68})(Si_{1.20}Fe^{3+}_{0.78}Al_{0.02})O_5(OH)_4$  and the Lostwithiel sample has the composition of  $(Fe^{2+}_{2.32}Fe^{3+}_{0.68})(Si_{1.32}Fe^{3+}_{0.68}Al_{0.02})O_5(OH)_4$ . The results of refinements are as follows: a = 5.512(1) Å, c = 7.106(1) Å, R = 3.07%, and 342 independent reflections; and a = 5.503(1), c = 7.104(1) Å, R = 2.24%, and 335 independent reflections for the Herja and Lostwithiel samples, respectively. The structure consists of one tetrahedral and one octahedral sheet. There is one octahedral site, M1, occupied by Fe only, and one tetrahedral site, T1, occupied by Si and Fe in the ratio of 0.617(8):0.383 (Herja) and 0.699(6):0.301 (Lostwithiel). Positions of two hydrogen atoms were determined from a difference map for the Lostwithiel data. The ditrigonalization angle of the tetrahedral sheet is  $\alpha = -11.5^{\circ}$  (Herja) and  $\alpha = -11.1^{\circ}$  (Lostwithiel), and the structures have a Franzini-layer type of B. The crystals studied are affected by  $\pm b/3$  stacking faults which produced slight streaking of  $h - k \neq 3n$ 

Key Words-Cronstedtite, Layer Silicate, Order-Disorder (OD), Polytypism, X-ray Diffraction.

# INTRODUCTION

Cronstedtite is a trioctahedral 1:1 layer silicate of the serpentine-kaolin group with octahedral positions occupied fully by Fe and tetrahedral sites partially occupied by Fe. The ideal composition assuming occupancy of one-half of the tetrahedral sites by Fe<sup>3+</sup> is commonly reported as  $(Fe^{2+}{}_2Fe^{3+})[SiFe^{3+}O_5](OH)_4$ . Because of a deficiency of tetrahedral Fe relative to the ideal formula in most samples studied, the formula is often given as  $(Fe^{2+}{}_{3-x}Fe^{3+}{}_x)[Si_{2-x}Fe^{3+}{}_xO_5](OH)_4$ (where 0 < x < 1). This formula represents an intermediate chemistry between ideal cronstedtite and greenalite  $(Fe^{2+}{}_3)[Si_2O_5](OH)_4$ . Presumably a corresponding proportion of trivalent Fe<sup>3+</sup> in the octahedral sites balances the replacement of tetravalent Si by trivalent Fe cations in the tetrahedral sites.

Cronsteditie is a typical representative of the orderdisorder (OD) structure of layers (Dornberger-Schiff and Ďurovič, 1975a, 1975b; Ďurovič, 1981, 1992). The polytypes of this OD family can be subdivided according to shifts and/or rotations of adjacent layers, into four OD-subfamilies A, B, C, D, corresponding to the A, B, C, D groups of Bailey (1969, 1988) or to the B, C, A, D groups of Zvyagin (1967). In this paper, the Bailey notation is used. The respective operations are:  $\pm a/3$  shifts for subfamily A,  $\pm a/3$  shifts combined with 180° rotation for subfamily B,  $\pm b/3$  or no shift for subfamily C,  $\pm b/3$  or no shift combined with 180° rotation for subfamily D, where  $a_i$  and b correspond to the edges of trigonal and orthohexagonal cells, respectively.

This paper is a part of a series to investigate the polytypism of cronstedtite. The first paper gave a refinement of cronstedtite-3T (Smrčok *et al.*, 1994), his-

torical informative and corresponding references, as well as the theoretical considerations regarding the diffraction patterns of cronstedtite polytypes. The 3*T* polytype (subfamily A) was refined in the space group  $P3_1$  to R = 5.0% and lattice parameters were a = 5.497(2) Å, c = 21.355(7) Å.

Ďurovič (1995, 1997) studied a rare 1*M* polytype from Eisleben, Saxony. Unfortunately, this study involved only identification and the sample was not of a sufficient quality for data collection. Ďurovič also presented models for the diffraction patterns for the 1*M* and 3*T* polytypes (of the same subfamily A) coexisting in one crystal. A refinement of another polytype,  $2H_2$  (subfamily D), in hexagonal symmetry unique from the triclinic cell of Geiger *et al.* (1983) is in progress (Hybler, 1997; Hybler *et al.*, unpubl. data).

Structures of some related trioctahedral 1:1 layer silicates have also recently been refined: lizardite-1*T* (Mellini, 1982; Mellini and Zanazzi, 1987; Mellini and Viti, 1994; Zhukhlistov and Zvyagin 1998), lizardite- $2H_1$  (Mellini and Zanazzi, 1987), Al-bearing lizardite- $2H_2$  (Brigatti *et al.*, 1997), amesite- $2H_2$  in *C*-centered triclinic cell (Hall and Bailey, 1979; Anderson and Bailey, 1981), and amesite- $2H_1$  (Zheng and Bailey, 1997). A non-standard triclinic polytype of amesite was refined by Wiewióra *et al.* (1991). A high-temperature study of the structures of lizardite-1*T* and  $2H_1$ were presented by Guggenheim and Zhan (1998). The greenalite structure was described by Guggenheim and Eggleton (1998).

The diffraction patterns of cronstedtite (and of related structures such as lizardite and amesite) can be subdivided into two groups: reflections of the type

Table 1. Electron microprobe analysis (elemental wt. %) of cronstedtite-1*T*.

Element	He	rja	Lostwithiel		
	average wt. %	e.s.d. <sup>1</sup>	average wt. %	e.s.d.'	
Fe	52.23	0.34	51.32	0.62	
Si	8.32	0.62	9.34	0.45	
Al	0.13	0.01	0.13	0.01	
$O^2$	35.58		36.17		
$H^2$	0.99		1.02		
Total	97.25		97.98		

<sup>1</sup> Estimated standard deviation.

<sup>2</sup> Calculated values.

Conditions: JEOL Superprobe 733, operating voltage 15 kV, sample current  $\sim$ 30 nA, standards: quartz (Si), hematite (Fe), corundum (Al), ZAF correction, average of 15 analyses.

h - k = 3n or the subfamily reflections and  $h - k \neq 3n$  or the polytype reflections. The former is always sharp, unaffected by stacking faults, and the intensity distribution is the same for all polytypes of the respective subfamily. The distribution and intensity of the latter is typical for the given polytype. These reflections are often weakened relative to those in a fully ordered crystal, because of diffuseness owing to stacking faults, or even missing if the structure is thoroughly disordered.

The aim of this study is to provide refined structure data of the 1T polytype, which represents a subfamily C serpentine.

#### SAMPLE SELECTION

More than a hundred crystal fragments were separated from samples of various localities and the *hhl* and *h0l* precession photographs examined. The intensity distributions along the 11l and 10l reciprocal lattice rows were chosen as criteria to determine the subfamily and polytype, respectively. (Ďurovič, 1992, p. 678; Ďurovič, 1997; Hybler, 1997). The quality of crystals (extent of stacking errors) was determined also.

These preliminary studies revealed the relative abundance of the 1T polytype with respect to the less common 3T and relatively rare  $2H_2$  polytypes. No other polytypes were found. The 1T polytype was identified in samples from Herja and Chiuzbaia, Romania; Litošice and Chvaletice, Czech Republic (Hybler, 1998); Rožňava, Slovakia; Lostwithiel, Cornwall, England; and Gernrode, Germany. However, most specimens were partially or completely disordered.

Each crystal is black in color, with a vitreous luster and an excellent (001) cleavage. The habit of crystals reflects their trigonal symmetry; typical forms are trigonal plates, trigonal truncated pyramids, and acicular crystals with triangular cross-section. Also crystals of an unusual conical form are common. Finally, two relatively well-ordered crystals were selected for diffractometer study. One crystal was a triangular plate from Herja separated from the sample provided by the late Prof. J. Bauer. Specimens from the sample were first studied by Mikloš (1975). The second crystal, in the form of a near perfect cone, was cut from the tip of a larger conical crystal from Lostwithiel (Collection of the Faculty of Science, Charles University, Prague No. 3539). These samples are hereafter referred to as HER and LOS, respectively.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

Precession photographs of the HER crystal revealed only faint streaks along the reciprocal lattice rows that are characteristic of the polytype. The photographs of the LOS crystal were nearly perfect, with no diffuseness along lattice rows.

Electron-microprobe analyses (EMA) were performed on (001) cleavage planes of several tabular fragments taken from the samples. A preliminary check by energy-dispersive spectrometry revealed the presence of Fe, Si, O, and traces of Al. No other elements were detected. An average of fifteen point analyses of each sample is summarized in Table 1.

Each crystal selected for data collection was mounted on the diffractometer, and a respective set of intensities was recorded. Crystal data, experimental details, and results of the refinements are summarized in Table 2. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors. Inasmuch as the measured crystals were well defined by natural faces and the (001) cleavage plane, the absorption correction was calculated analytically according to crystal shape (program AG-NOST C, Templeton and Templeton, 1978). For the LOS crystal, the conical surface was approximated by 12 pyramidal planes. The JANA98 (Petříček and Dušek, 1998) program package was used for the structure determination and refinement. Scattering factors were taken from the International Tables (1974), and starting atomic coordinates from the theoretical model (Bailey, 1969). The results are in agreement with earlier observations of Steadmann (1964), Steadmann and Nuttall (1963, 1964), and Bailey (1988).

Separate scale factors were set for the subfamily (h - k = 3n) and for the characteristic polytype reflections  $(h - k \neq 3n)$  in the final phase of refinement. Their ratios converged to 1:0.79 and 1:0.96 for HER and LOS data, respectively. In this way, the possible deficiency of intensity of polytype reflections owing to partial stacking disorder was compensated.

Attempts to locate hydrogen atoms failed for the HER data. The difference Fourier map for the LOS data provided two peaks of heights 0.91 and 1.01 e/ $Å^3$  close to expected positions H1 and H2 in the proximity of the OH1 and OH2 oxygen atoms, respectively. The next strongest peak, 0.61 e/ $Å^3$  high was found between T1 and 04. Remaining peaks were weaker

	Herja	Lostwithiel
Crystal system	trigonal	trigonal
Space group	P31m	P31m
M. (molecular weight)	393.37	390.04
Lattice parameters	a = 5.512(1)  Å	a = 5.503(1)  Å
1 I	c = 7.106(1) Å	c = 7.104(1)  Å
	$V = 187.00(4) \text{ Å}^3$	$V = 186.31(4) \text{ Å}^3$
Ζ	1	1
D <sub>m</sub>	not measured	not measured
$D_{c}^{m}$	$3.492 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	3.475 Mg m <sup>-3</sup>
Radiation	MoKa graphite monochr. (0.71073 Å)	MoKa graphite monochr. (0.71073 Å)
No. of reflections for lattice parame-		
ters	30	40
$\theta$ -range for lattice parameters	8.63–21.89°	8.7-23.0°
Crystal color and shape	black, triangular table with rounded	
5	corners	black, conical
Crystal size	$0.312 \times 0.306 \times 0.056 \text{ mm}^3$	$0.17 \times 0.17 \times 0.185 \text{ mm}^3$
Diffractometer	Hilger & Watts	Hilger & Watts
Collection method	$\omega/2\theta$ scan, learnt profile (Clegg, 1981)	$\omega/2\theta$ scan, learnt profile (Clegg, 1981)
Absorption correction	analytical (Templeton and Templeton,	analytical (Templeton and Templeton,
Reflections measured observed in-	19,0)	1570)
dependent	1663 1652 342	1634 1602 335
A	35°	35°
Fixent of reflections measured	$h^{*} = -8 \text{ to } 8 k^{*} = -8 \text{ to } 8 l^{*} 0 \text{ to } 11$	$h^{2} = -8$ to $8 k^{2} = -8$ to $8 k^{2} = 0$ to $11$
No of standard reflections and inter-		
val	3/30	3/30
Variation of standard reflections	<3%	<3%
Criterion for observed	$I > 3\sigma(I)$	$I > 3\sigma(I)$
$R_{\rm m}^{-1}$	3.13%	2.92%
$R, wR, S^1$	3.07%, 4.03%, 5.75	2.24%, 2.37%, 3.12
No. of parameters refined	29	32
Weight	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_{\star}) + (0.03 F_{\star}])^2]$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0) + (0.03 F_0 )^2]$
Treatment of hydrogen atoms	not determined	refined xyz
$\Delta/\sigma_{max}$	0.0001	0.0005
$\Delta \rho_{min}^{max}$	-1.56 eÅ <sup>-3</sup>	−0.61 eÅ <sup>-3</sup>
$\Delta \rho_{max}^{1}$	0.60 eÅ <sup>-3</sup>	0.60 eÅ <sup>-3</sup>

Table 2. Crystal data, experimental conditions, and refinement of cronstedtite-1T.

<sup>1</sup> Definitions of parameters:

$$R_{\text{int}}[\%] = 100 \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} |F_n - \bar{F}_n|}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} |F_n|} \qquad R[\%] = 100 \frac{\sum_{h,k,l} |F_o - F_c||}{\sum_{h,k,l} |F_o|} \qquad wR[\%] = 100 \left[ \frac{\sum_{h,k,l} w (|F_o| - |F_c|)^2}{\sum_{h,k,l} w |F_o|^2} \right]^{1/2} \qquad S = \left[ \frac{\sum_{h,k,l} w (|F_o| - |F_c|)^2}{p - m} \right]^{1/2}$$

where N is the total number of reflections,  $F_n$  structure factor of the n-th reflection,  $\overline{F_n}$  average structure factor of symmetry equivalent reflections,  $F_o$  and  $F_c$  observed and calculated structure factors respectively, p number of reflections used for refinements, and m number of reflect structure parameters.

 $\Delta/\sigma_{max}$  (maximum change/estimated standard deviation) during the last refinement cycle.

 $\Delta\rho_{min}$  minimum residual electron density on the final difference map.

 $\Delta \rho_{max}$  maximum residual electron density on the final difference map.

than 0.46 e/Å<sup>3</sup>. The standard deviation,  $\sigma$ , of the peak was equal to 0.10 e/Å<sup>3</sup> (Ladd and Palmer, 1977, p. 292). There were 21 peaks above 3 $\sigma$ . The unrefined coordinates for H were as follows: H1: 0, 0, 0.232 and H2: 0.339, 0, 0.773. These hydrogen atom positions were added to the refinement and the positional parameters converged to H1: 0, 0, 0.25(1) and H2: 0.343(6), 0, 0.757(8). Temperature parameters were not refined. The *R* value dropped from 2.49 to 2.24%. The  $\sigma$  value for the LOS data was 0.09 and there were 50 peaks above  $3\sigma$  (the highest one 0.59 e/Å<sup>3</sup>), but none could be attributed to the hydrogen atom.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Refined atomic positions and atomic displacement parameters are listed in Table 3a and 3b for HER and LOS data, respectively, and interatomic distances and angles are in Table 4. Various parameters characterizing octahedral and tetrahedral sheets and the deformation of polyhedra are summarized in Table 5. Ob-

Atom	Posi- tion	x	у	z	$U_{ m eq}  imes 10^2$	$U_{11} \times 10^{2}$	$U_{22}  imes 10^2$	$U_{33} \times 10^{2}$	$U_{12} \times 10^{2}$	$U_{13} \times 10^{2}$	$U_{23} \times 10^{2}$
MI (Fe)	3 <i>c</i>	0.66520(7)	0	0.51	0.86(1)	0.71(1)	0.72(1)	1.13(1)	0.363(8)	-0.002(10)	0
T1 $(Si:Fe)^2$	2b	1/3	2/3	0.1014(1)	1.09(2)	1.20(2)	1.20(2)	0.88(2)	0.60(1)	0	0
01	3 <i>c</i>	0.4416(6)	0	0.0235(3)	3.22(9)	4.2(1)	3.4(1)	1.71(9)	1.71(7)	0.10(8)	0
O4	2b	1/3	2/3	0.3457(5)	1.22(8)	1.2(1)	1.2(1)	1.3(1)	0.58(5)	0	0
OH1	1a	0	0	0.3508(5)	1.18(9)	1.2(1)	1.2(1)	1.1(1)	0.62(6)	0	0
OH2	3 <i>c</i>	0.3321(3)	0	0.6412(3)	1.22(8)	1.23(9)	1.4(1)	0.8(1)	0.68(5)	-0.03(6)	0

Table 3a. Atomic coordinates and displacement parameters  $(U_{ij})$ , with estimated standard deviations in parentheses, of cronstedtite-1*T* from Herja, Romania.

<sup>1</sup> Fixing of origin.

<sup>2</sup> Occupancy ratio (Si:Fe) = 0.617(8):0.383.

Table 3b. Atomic coordinates and displacement parameters  $(U_{ij})$ , with estimated standard deviations in parentheses, of cronstedtite-1*T* from Lostwithiel, Cornwall, England.

Atom	Posi- tion	x	у	z	$U_{\rm eq}  imes 10^2$	$U_{11} \times 10^{2}$	$U_{22}  imes 10^2$	$U_{33}  imes 10^2$	$U_{12}  imes 10^2$	$U_{13}  imes 10^2$	$U_{23} \times 10^{2}$
M1 (Fe)	3 <i>c</i>	0.66522(6)	0	0.51	0.92(1)	0.72(1)	0.70(1)	1.13(1)	0.351(6)	0.01(1)	0
T1 (Si:Fe) <sup>2</sup>	2b	1/3	2/3	0.1038(1)	1.10(1)	1.08(2)	1.08(2)	1.14(2)	0.54(1)	0	0
01	3c	0.4433(4)	0	0.0290(3)	3.33(7)	4.04(9)	3.5(1)	2.27(9)	1.76(5)	0.12(7)	0
O4	2b	1/3	2/3	0.3510(5)	1.29(7)	1.17(9)	1.17(9)	1.5(1)	0.58(4)	0	0
OH1	1a	0	0	0.3556(5)	1.23(8)	1.3(1)	1.3(1)	1.1(1)	0.65(5)	0	0
OH2	3c	0.3320(3)	0	0.6436(4)	1.30(7)	1.34(8)	1.32(9)	1.2(1)	0.65(5)	-0.002(60)	0
H1	1a	0	0	0.25(1)	3.8 <sup>3</sup>						
H2	3 <i>c</i>	0.343(6)	0	0.757(8)	3.8 <sup>3</sup>						

<sup>1</sup> Fixing of origin.

<sup>2</sup> Occupancy ratio (Si:Fe) = 0.699(7):0.301.

 $^{3} U_{iso}$ , fixed value.

Atoms are labeled according to Bailey (1969).

 $U_{\rm eq} = 1/3(U_{11}a^{*}a^{2} + U_{22}b^{*2}b^{2} + U_{33}c^{*2}c^{2} + U_{12}a^{*}b^{*}ab\cos\gamma + U_{13}a^{*}c^{*}ac\cos\beta + U_{23}b^{*}c^{*}bc\cos\alpha).$ 

served and calculated structure factors can be obtained from the first author (J.H.) on request. The atomic coordinates and the labels of individual atoms are in accordance with the ideal standard model of this polytype, characterized by zero shift and no rotation between adjacent layers (Bailey, 1969).

The structure is shown in projection along the  $[00\bar{1}]$ in Figure 1. The 1T polytype has one octahedral site, M1, one tetrahedral site, T1, and four oxygen atom sites in the asymmetric unit. The occupancy ratio Si:Fe in the T1 position was refined to the values 0.617(8):0.383 and 0.699(6):0.301 for HER and LOS data, respectively. Thus the chemical formulae derived from results of the refinements are (neglecting the small Al content):  $(Fe^{2+}_{2.23}Fe^{3+}_{0.77})[Si_{1.23}Fe^{3+}_{0.77}]O_5(OH)_4$  (HER) and  $(Fe^{2+}_{2.40} Fe^{3+}_{0.60}$  [Si<sub>1.40</sub> $Fe^{3+}_{0.60}$ ]O<sub>5</sub>(OH)<sub>4</sub> (LOS). These results are in reasonably good agreement with the microprobe data. For comparison, the EMA produced the formulae of:  $(Fe^{2+}_{2,20}Fe^{3+}_{0,80})(Si_{1,20}Fe^{3+}_{0,78}Al_{0,02})O_5(OH)_4$  (HER) and  $(Fe^{2+}_{2.32}Fe^{3+}_{0.68})(Si_{1.32}Fe^{3+}_{0.66}Al_{0.02})O_5(OH)_4$  (LOS). Because the microprobe analysis does not distinguish between divalent and trivalent iron, the content of Fe3+ in the tetrahedral and octahedral sites and of Fe<sup>2+</sup> in the octahedral sites was calculated according to the formula in the Introduction.

### Tetrahedral sheet

The size of the T1 tetrahedron is close to values reported for previously refined polytypes of cronstedtite (Geiger et al., 1983; Smrčok et al., 1994; Hybler, 1997). The tetrahedron is relatively regular, although the apical bond length is longer than the basal bond lengths. The central atom is displaced from the center toward the plane of basal oxygen atoms thus the tetrahedral flattening angle,  $\tau_{Tl}$ , is 108.82° (HER) and 108.18° (LOS) and is less than its ideal value. The ditrigonalization angle,  $\alpha$ , of the tetrahedral sheet (Radoslovich, 1961) is highly negative at  $-11.5^{\circ}$  (HER) and  $-11.1^{\circ}$  (LOS). The negative sign of  $\alpha$  indicates that the basal oxygen atoms are moved away from the octahedral cations of the same layer, thus the layer is a Franzini type B (Franzini, 1969). The observed values are in the range of  $11.1^{\circ} < |\alpha| < 12.1^{\circ}$  as determined for cronstedtite- $2H_2$  and 3T.

Lizardite-1*T* belongs to the same Franzini layer type, however, with a small ditrigonalization angle  $(-1.4^{\circ} \text{ to } -3.5^{\circ}, \text{Zhukhlistov} \text{ and Zvyagin}, 1998; \text{Mel$ lini and Zanazzi, 1987; Mellini and Viti, 1994; Mellini, 1982). This less-common Franzini type has beenexplained qualitatively by "more efficient hydrogenbonding" (Mellini, 1982; Mellini and Viti, 1994), andit holds for all polytypes of the subfamily C.

	Herja	Lostwithiel
Octahedron:		
M1-O4 $\times$ 2	2.136(2)	2.114(2)
M1-OH1	2.128(2)	2.108(2)
M1-OH2 $\times$ 3	2.094(2)	2.099(2)
04-04	3.1824(0)	3.1772(0)
$O4-OH1 \times 2$	3.1826(1)	3.1773(0)
$O4-OH2 \times 4$	2.793(3)	2.775(3)
$OH1-OH2 \times 2$	2.758(3)	2.743(3)
$OH2-OH2 \times 2$	3.188(2)	3.183(1)
OH2-OH2	3.170(2)	3.164(2)
O4-M1-O4	96.29(7)	97.41(7)
$O4-M1-OH1 \times 2$	96.54(8)	97.60(7)
$O4-M1-OH2 \times 2$	82.65(7)	82.40(8)
$O4-M1-OH2 \times 2$	82.62(8)	82.38(8)
$O4-M1-OH2 \times 2$	177.7(1)	178.89(9)
$OH1-M1-OH2 \times 2$	81.58(8)	81.36(7)
OH1-M1-OH2	178.8(1)	180.00(1)
$OH2-M1-OH2 \times 2$	99.21(7)	98.64(7)
OH2-M1-OH2	98.40(8)	97.81(8)
Tetrahedron:		
T1-O1 $\times$ 3	1.715(1)	1.704(1)
T1-O4	1.736(3)	1.756(3)
01-01 × 3	2.812(2)	2.804(2)
$O1-O4 \times 3$	2.806(3)	2.802(3)
$O1-T1-O1 \times 3$	110.1(1)	110.7(1)
O1-T1-O4 $\times$ 3	108.82(9)	108.18(8)
Other important distances and angles:		
OH1-H1	not determined	0.76(9)
OH2-H2	not determined	0.81(6)
O1-H2	not determined	2.01(6)
O1-H2-OH2 (hydrogen bond angle)	not determined	168(4)
Tilt of OH2-H2 bond from vertical	not determined	4(2)
OH2-O1 (interlayer contact)	2.783(3)	2.805(4)
O1-O1-O1 (tetrahedral ring angle)	97.1(4)	97.8(1)

Table 4. Selected bond lengths and angles of cronstedtite-1T (in Å, degrees, with estimated standard deviations in parentheses).

The lizardite polytypes of subfamily D are significantly ditrigonalized:  $\alpha = +6.4^{\circ}$  for  $2H_1$  (Mellini and Zanazzi, 1987), and  $\alpha = +9.7^{\circ}$  for the Al-bearing  $2H_2$ polytype (Brigatti *et al.*, 1997). The degree of the ditrigonalization in amesite is even higher (13.6° <  $\alpha$  < 15.6°) than that in cronstedtite (Hall and Bailey, 1979; Anderson and Bailey, 1981; Zheng and Bailey, 1997; Wiewióra *et al.*, 1991). All polytypes of amesite referred to here belong to the subfamily D and the ditrigonalization angles are positive.

The structure of greenalite, approximately  $(Fe^{2+}_{3})[Si_2O_5](OH)_4$ , is close to cronsteduite based on the chemical composition, but greenalite has a modulated tetrahedral sheet because the tetrahedral sheet is too small to fit with the octahedral sheet (Guggenheim and Eggleton, 1998). Thus the substitution of Fe for Si in the tetrahedral sites of cronsteduite stabilizes the more idealized structure.

#### Octahedral sheet

The M1 octahedron of the LOS crystal is smaller than that of the HER crystal (LOS: 2.105 Å, HER: 2.114 Å). Both values are close to those reported for the 3T and 2H<sub>2</sub> polytypes (Smrčok et al., 1994; Hybler, 1997) and also for cronstedtite- $2H_2$  with a considerable content of Mg and Mn (Geiger et al., 1983). The differences in average cation-to-anion distances are <0.01 Å. The octahedron is flattened (the octahedral flattening angle  $\psi_{M1}$  60.38° HER, 60.56° LOS) and is similar to other cronstedtite polytypes and to lizardite and amesite. In these minerals,  $\psi_M$  varies in a narrow range of  $\sim 58.9^{\circ} < \psi_M < 61^{\circ}$ . The plane of the central cation is slightly (0.08 Å HER, 0.04 Å LOS) closer to the plane of OH2 groups than to the plane of the apical oxygen atoms (O4). Consequently, M1-OH2 bonds are shorter than the other bonds in the octahedron. Moreover, the oxygen atom belonging to the central hydroxyl (OH1) group is closer (0.036 Å HER, 0.046 Å LOS) to the plane of M1 atoms than the apical oxygen atoms (O4). The plane defined by OH1 and O4 atoms is thus corrugated. These deviations from the ideal positions produce an octahedral sheet that is polar (with respect to the stacking direction) in contrast, for example, to micas where this sheet in non-polar.

	Herja	Lostwithiel
Octahedral sheet		
$d_{M1-A}$	2.114 Å	2.105 Å
Ψ <sub>MI</sub>	60.38°	60.57°
δ <sub>M1</sub>	0.32°	$0.70^{\circ}$
e <sub>M1</sub>	2.982 Å	2.971 Å
$e_{\rm MI}$ (shared)	2.781 Å	2.765 Å
$e_{M1}$ (unshared)	3.182 Å	3.177 Å
OAV <sub>MI</sub>	65.82°2	69.24°2
BLD <sub>M1</sub>	0.930%	0.309%
ELD <sub>MI</sub>	6.692%	6.944%
$e_{M1}$ (unshared)/ $e_{M1}$ (shared)	1.144	1.149
Octahedral thickness	2.100 Å	2.079 Å
Tetrahedral sheet		
d <sub>T1-O</sub>	1.720 Å	1.717 Å
$\Delta z$	0 Å	0 Å
$e_{T1}$	2.809 Å	2.803 Å
$e_{T1}$ (apical)	2.806 Å	2.802 Å
$e_{T1}$ (basal)	2.812 Å	2.804 Å
α	$-11.4^{\circ}$	$-11.1^{\circ}$
TAV <sub>T1</sub>	0.42°2	1.91°2
BLD <sub>T1</sub>	0.450%	1.136%
ELD <sub>T1</sub>	0.107%	0.036%
$\tau_{T1}$	108.82°	108.18°
Tetrahedral thickness	2.289 Å	2.287 Å
Other important values		
$\Delta_{TM}$	0.772 Å	0.782 Å
Interlayer separation	2.717 Å	2.738 Å

Table 5. Cronstedtite-1T, characteristics of octahedra and tetrahedra and selected distortion parameters.

 $d_{\text{M-A}}$  = mean octahedral cation-anion bond length,

 $\psi_{M}$  = flattening angle (for an ideal, unflattened octahedron,  $\psi_{M}$  = 54.73°),

 $\delta_{\rm M}$  = counter-rotation angle,  $e_{\rm M}$  = mean octahedral-edge length,

 $d_{\text{T-O}}$  = mean tetrahedral cation-oxygen bond length,

 $\Delta z$  = tetrahedral tilt,  $e_{T}$  = mean tetrahedral-edge length (Toraya, 1981; Weiss *et al.*, 1985, 1992);

 $\alpha$  = ditrigonalization angle (Radoslovich, 1961);

OAV = octahedral angle variance, TAV = Tetrahedral angle variance (Robinson*et al.*, 1971);

BLD = Bond-length distortion, ELD = Edge-length distortion (Renner and Lehmann, 1986);

 $e_{MI}(\text{shared})/e_{MI}(\text{unshared}) = \text{Ratio of mean lengths of shared and unshared edges;}$ 

 $\tau_{\rm T}$  = Tetrahedral flattening angle (for an ideal tetrahedron  $\tau_{\rm T}$  = 109.47°) (Robinson *et al.*, 1971);

 $\Delta_{TM}$  = Dimensional misfit of tetrahedral and octahedral sheet (Toraya, 1981).

The atomic coordinates x of M1 and OH2 are very close to  $\frac{3}{3}$  and  $\frac{1}{3}$ , respectively, which are characteristic for an ideal OD model where the octahedral sheet has the layer group symmetry H(3)1m. The symbol H refers to an alternative choice of the hexagonal cell with centering points at  $\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\frac{3}{3}$ , 0 and  $\frac{3}{3}$ ,  $\frac{1}{3}$ , 0 (*International Tables*, 1983, p. 5). The consequence is that the octahedral sheet and the tetrahedral sheet, which are connected by hydrogen bonds, remain geometrically equivalent even where there is a shift by  $\pm b/3$  between these sheets. An analogous effect was observed in cronstedtite-3T (Smrčok *et al.*, 1994). This symmetry



Figure 1. The structure of cronstedtite-1T, projected down the  $[00\overline{1}]$  direction. The picture was prepared using the program ATOMS (Dowty, 1991).

also explains the strong tendency of cronsteduite crystals to be disordered. In fact, no fully ordered stacking arrangement in cronsteduite is reported to date. Similarly, the same characteristics hold also for structurally related lizardite-1*T*. The streaking of the polytype reflections  $(h - k \neq 3n)$  has been reported in all structural studies (Mellini, 1982; Mellini and Zanazzi, 1987; Mellini and Viti, 1994) except that by Zhukhlistov and Zvyagin (1998) where limited streaking might not be observed from the less sensitive technique of oblique-texture electron diffraction.

# Hydrogen bonds

One of the two hydrogen atoms, H1, is located in the center of the the tetrahedral ring, whereas the other (H2) is engaged in the OH2  $\cdot \cdot$  H2-O1 hydrogen bond linking adjacent 1:1 layers. The OH2-O1 interlayer donor to acceptor distance for the HER sample is 2.783(3) Å and 2.805 (4) Å for the LOS sample. The LOS data produced positions of both hydrogen atoms. The refinement reveals that the H2 atom is shifted slightly towards the acceptor. Thus the interatomic bond OH2-H2 is tilted by 4(2)° from vertical. The OH2  $\cdot \cdot$  H2-O1 hydrogen-bond angle is 168(4)°. The interlayer separation (HER: 2.717 Å, LOS: 2.738 Å) is slightly smaller than that of lizardite (2.86 Å), but comparable with that of amesite (2.71–2.74 Å).

### CONCLUDING REMARK

A detailed study of the 1T polytype revealed certain deviations from the ideal model because of stacking faults which reduce the intensities of the characteristic polytype reflections  $(h - k \neq 3n)$  relative to those of the subfamily reflections (h - k = 3n). Because the ratio of the corresponding scale factors converged to two different values, the LOS crystal with a ratio of near 1:1 was more ordered than the HER crystal.

Thus, more complex structure models involving domains with layers shifted by +b/3 or -b/3 in various proportions might also be used to describe this material. For instance, a good agreement (R = 3.07%) was achieved also for a structure model containing only domains of layers shifted by +b/3 and -b/3 in equal proportions, but no domains with a zero shift (Hybler, 1997). Descriptions of these structure models with differing domains will be developed in another paper. However, these stacking faults do not affect the refined values reported here.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by grants 202/96/0085 and 203/ 99/0067 of the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic and by grant 1/4088/98 of the Grant Agency of the Slovak Academy of Sciences. We thank K. Jurek, M. Šimečková, and J. Chval for their aid with electron microprobe analyses, J. Fábry for computational help, and E. Morháčová for technical assistance. We thank the National Museum, Prague; Faculty of Sciences, Charles University, Prague; L. Žák and F. Veselovský, Prague; P. Fejdi, Faculty of Sciences, Komenský University Bratislava, Slovakia; late Prof. J. Bauer from the Institute of Chemical Technology, Prague; and Z. Doubek from the municipal museum of Hradec Králové, Czech Republic for providing samples. The authors also thank M. Rieder, Faculty of Sciences, Charles University, Prague, for critically reading the manuscript, and M. Mellini, the second (anonymous) referee, and S. Guggenheim for their valuable comments.

#### REFERENCES

- Anderson, C.S. and Bailey, S.W. (1981) A new cation ordering pattern in amesite-2H<sub>2</sub>. American Mineralogist, 66, 185–195.
- Bailey, S.W. (1969) Polytypism of trioctahedral 1:1 layer silicates. Clays and Clay Minerals, 17, 355–371.
- Bailey, S.W. (1988) Polytypism of 1:1 layer silicates. In Hydrous Phyllosilicates (Exclusive of Micas), Reviews in Mineralogy, Volume 19, S.W. Bailey, ed., Mineralogical Society of America, Washington, D.C., 9-27.
- Brigatti, M.F., Galli, E., Medici, L., and Poppi, L. (1997) Crystal structure of aluminian lizardite-2H<sub>2</sub>. American Mineralogist, 82, 931–935.
- Clegg, W. (1981) Faster data collection without loss of precision. An extension of the learnt profile method. Acta Crystallographica, A37, 22-28.
- Dornberger-Schiff, K. and Ďurovič, S. (1975a) OD-interpretation of kaolinite-type structures-I: Symmetry of kaolinite packets and their stacking possibilities. *Clays and Clay Minerals*, 23, 219–229.
- Dornberger-Schiff, K. and Ďurovič, S. (1975b) OD-interpretation of kaolinite-type structures - II: The regular polytypes (MDO-polytypes) and their derivation. *Clays and Clay Minerals*, 23, 231–246.
- Dowty, E. (1991) ATOMS, a computer program for displaying structures. Shape Software, Kingsport, Tennesse.
- Durovič, S. (1981) OD-Charakter, Polytypie und Identifikation von Schichtsilikaten. Fortschritte der Mineralogie, 59, 191–226.

- Durovič, S. (1992) Layer stacking in general polytypic structures. In International Tables for Crystallography, Volume C, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, 667–680.
- Ďurovič, S. (1995) Troubles with cronstedtite-1M. Geologica Carpathica - Clays, 4, 88.
- Ďurovič, S. (1997) Cronstedtite-1M and coexistence of 1M and 3T polytypes. Ceramics-Silikáty, 41, 98-104.
- Franzini, M. (1969) The A and B mica layers and the crystal structure of sheet silicates. *Contributions to Mineralogy* and Petrology, 21, 203–224.
- Geiger, C.A., Henry, D.L., Bailey, S.W., and Maj, J.J. (1983) Crystal structure of cronstedtite-2H<sub>2</sub>. Clays and Clay Minerals, **31**, 97-108.
- Guggenheim, S. and Eggleton, R.A. (1998) Modulated crystal structures of greenalite and caryopilite: A system with long-range, in-plane structural disorder in the tetrahedra sheet. *Canadian Mineralogist*, **36**, 163–179.
- Guggenheim, S. and Zhan, W. (1998) Effect of temperature on the structures of lizardite-1T and lizardite- $2H_1$ . Canadian Mineralogist, **36**, 1587–1594.
- Hall, S.H. and Bailey, S.W. (1979) Cation ordering pattern in amesite. *Clays and Clay Minerals*, 27, 241–247.
- Hybler, J. (1997) Determination of crystal structures of minerals affected by twinning. Ph.D. thesis, Faculty of Sciences, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic, 138 pp. (in Czech with an English summary).
- Hybler, J. (1998) Polytypism of cronstedtite from Chvaletice and Litošice. *Ceramics-Silikáty*, **42**, 130–131.
- International Tables for Crystallography, Volume A (1983) D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, Holland.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Volume IV (1974) The Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England.
- Ladd, M.F.C. and Palmer, R.A. (1977) Structure determination by X-ray crystallography, Plenum, New York, 393 pp.
- Mellini, M. (1982) The crystal structure of lizardite-17: Hydrogen bonds and polytypism. *American Mineralogist*, 67, 587-598.
- Mellini, M. and Viti, C. (1994) Crystal structure of lizardite-1T from Elba, Italy. American Mineralogist, **79**, 1194-1198.
- Mellini, M. and Zanazzi, P.F. (1987) Crystal structures of lizardite-1T and lizardite- $2H_1$  from Coli, Italy. American Mineralogist, 72, 943–948.
- Mikloš, D. (1975) Symmetry and polytypism of trioctahedral kaolin-type minerals. Ph.D. thesis, Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava, Slovakia, 144 pp. (in Slovak).
- Petříček, V. and Dušek, M. (1998) JANA98, Crystallographic computer program for standard, modulated and composite structures. Institute of Physics, Prague.
- Radoslovich, E.W. (1961) Surface symmetry and cell dimension of layer-lattice silicates. *Nature (London)*, **191**, 67–68.
- Renner, B. and Lehmann, G. (1986) Correlation of angular and bond length distortions in  $TO_4$  units in crystals. Zeitschrift für Kristallographie, **175**, 43–59.
- Robinson, K., Gibbs, G.V., and Ribbe, P.H. (1971) Quadratic elongation: A quantitative measure of distortion in coordination polyhedra. *Science*, **172**, 567–570.
- Smrčok, L'., Ďurovič, S., Petříček, V., and Weiss, Z. (1994) Refinement of the crystal structure of cronstedtite-3T. Clays and Clay Minerals, 42, 544–551.
- Steadman, R. (1964) The structure of trioctahedral kaolintype silicates. Acta Crystallographica, 17, 924–927.
- Steadman, R., and Nuttall, P.M. (1963) Polymorphism in cronstedtite. Acta Crystallographica, 16, 1–8.
- Steadman, R. and Nuttall, P.M. (1964) Further polymorphism in cronstedtite. Acta Crystallographica, 17, 404–406.

- Templeton, D.H. and Templeton, L.K. (1978) Program AG-NOST C. University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, California.
- Toraya, H. (1981) Distortion of octahedra and octahedral sheets in 1*M* micas and the relation to their stability. *Zeitschrift für Kristallographie*, **157**, 173–190.
- Weiss, Z., Rieder, M., Chmielová, M., and Krajíček, J. (1985) Geometry of the octahedral coordination in micas. *Ameri*can Mineralogist, **70**, 747–757.
- Weiss, Z., Rieder, M., and Chmielová, M. (1992) Deformation of coordination polyhedra and their sheets in phyllosilicates. *European Journal of Mineralogy*, 4, 665–682.
- Wiewióra, A., Rausell-Collom, J.A., and García-Gonzáles, T. (1991) The structure of amesite from Mount Sobotka: A

nonstandard polytype. American Mineralogist, 76, 647-652.

- Zheng, H. and Bailey, S.W. (1997) Refinement of an amesite- $2H_1$  polytype from Potmasburg, South Africa. *Clays and Clay Minerals*, **45**, 301–310.
- Zhukhlistov, A.P. and Zvyagin, B.B. (1998) Crystal structure of lizardite-1T from electron diffractometry data. Kristallographiya, 43, 1009–10014. (in Russian). Also in Crystallography Reports, 43, 950–955.
- Zvyagin, B.B. (1967) Electron Diffraction Analysis of Clay Mineral Structures. Plenum Press, New York, 364 pp.
   E-mail of corresponding author: hybler@fzu.cz (Received 29 June 1999; accepted 11 January 2000; Ms.
- 356; A.E. Stephen Guggenheim)