# Invariant measures for the flow of a first order partial differential equation

## **R. RUDNICKI**

Institute of Mathematics, Silesian University 40-007 Katowice, Poland

## (Received 4 May 1984)

Abstract. We prove that the dynamical systems generated by first order partial differential equations are K-flows and chaotic in the sense of Auslander & Yorke.

#### 0. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to apply a Brownian motion to the problem of the existence of invariant measures for the dynamical systems generated by some first order partial differential equations.

§ 1 contains basic notation and definitions. In § 2 we define a flow describing the evolution of solutions of partial differential equations. In the last section we give a construction of an invariant measure for such a flow. This measure is positive on the open sets and non-trivial. The corresponding system is a K-flow and the flow is chaotic in the sense of Auslander & Yorke [1]. These theorems generalize the results of Lasota [5], [6], Brunovský and Komornik [2], [3] and Dawidowicz [4].

## 1. Preliminaries

Let X be a topological Hausdorff space and let  $S_t: X \to X$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , be a group of transformations. We call the group  $\{S_t\}$  a flow if the mapping

$$\mathbb{R} \times X \ni (t, x) \mapsto S_t x \in X$$

is continuous in (t, x). By a measure on X we mean any probabilistic measure  $\mu$  defined on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathscr{B}(X)$  of Borel subsets of X. A measure  $\mu$  is called *non-trivial* with respect to  $\{S_t\}$ , if  $\mu(P) = 0$ , where P denotes the set of all periodic points of  $\{S_t\}$ . Let X be a linear topological space, and let  $\mu$  be a measure on X. We will say that  $\mu$  is a *Gaussian measure* if each continuous linear functional on X has a Gaussian distribution.

Denote by  $C^{n}(U, V)$  the space of *n*-times continuously differentiable functions defined on U with values in V, where U and V are non-empty intervals. Assume that  $C^{n}(U, V)$  is equipped with the topology of uniform convergence (with derivatives of order  $\leq n$ ) on compact subsets.

## 2. Flow

Consider the initial value problem

$$u_t + a(x)u_x = b(x, u) \quad \text{for } (t, x) \in D,$$
  
$$u(0, x) = v(x) \quad \text{for } x \in U_1.$$
 (E)

In this section and throughout the paper we shall assume that a and b are given functions satisfying

- (1°)  $a \in C^r(\bar{U}_1, \mathbb{R})$  for  $r \ge 1$ ;
- (2°)  $a(x) \neq 0$  for  $x \in U_1$  and a(x) = 0 for  $x \in \partial U_1$ ;
- (3°) there are constants K and L such that

$$|a(x)| \leq L + K|x|$$
 for  $x \in U_1$ ;

- (4°)  $b \in C'(U_1 \times \overline{U}_2, \mathbb{R}),$
- (5°) b(x, u) = 0 for  $(x, u) \in U_1 \times \partial U_2$ ,
- (6°) there are continuous functions M(x) and N(x) such that

$$|b(x, u)| \le M(x) + N(x)|u|$$
 for  $(x, u) \in U_1 \times U_2$ .

Here  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are open intervals (bounded or not) of the real line,  $D = \mathbb{R} \times U_1$ ,  $\overline{U}_i$  denotes the closure of  $U_i$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\partial U_i = \overline{U}_i \setminus U_i$ . These conditions will not be repeated in the statements of the theorems.

We denote by  $\pi_i s$  the unique solution of the equation

$$x'(t) = a(x(t))$$

with the initial condition x(0) = s,  $s \in U_1$ . By  $\psi(t, s, p)$  we denote the solution of the equation

$$y'(t) = b(\pi_t s, y(t))$$

with the initial condition  $y(0) = p, p \in U_1$ . From  $(1^\circ)-(3^\circ)$  it follows that  $\pi$  is defined for all  $(t, s) \in \mathbb{R} \times U_1$  and possesses *r*th-order continuous partial derivatives. For given  $x_0 \in U_1$  the function  $t \mapsto \pi_t x_0$  is a C'-diffeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}$  onto  $U_1$ . From  $(1^\circ)-(6^\circ)$  it follows that  $\psi$  is a C'-mapping of  $\mathbb{R} \times U_1 \times U_2$  into  $U_2$ . The functions  $\pi$ and  $\psi$  satisfy the following equalities

$$\pi_{s+t} x = \pi_s(\pi_t x), \tag{2.1}$$

$$\psi(s+t, x, y) = \psi(s, \pi_t x, \psi(t, x, y))$$
(2.2)

for each s,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \in U_1$  and  $y \in U_2$ . Let v be a continuously differentiable function from  $U_1$  into  $U_2$ . Then there exists exactly one solution of (E), namely

$$u(t, x) = \psi(t, \pi_{-t}x, v(\pi_{-t}x)).$$
(2.3)

Let v be a continuous function from  $U_1$  into  $U_2$ . Then u(t, x) given by the formula (2.3) will be called a generalized solution of (E).

For an integer  $n, 0 \le n \le r$ , we set  $X = C^n(U_1, U_2)$  and  $Y = C^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ . We shall consider solutions of equation (E) as the trajectories of the flow  $\{S_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{R}}$  defined on X by the formula

$$(S_t v)(x) = u(t, x) = \psi(t, \pi_{-t} x, v(\pi_{-t} x)).$$
(2.4)

We now define a mapping  $T: \mathbb{R} \times Y \rightarrow Y$  by

$$(T_t v)(s) = v(s-t).$$

It is clear that  $\{T_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a flow on Y.

THEOREM 1. There exists a homeomorphism Q of X onto Y such that  $Q \circ S_t = T_t \circ Q$  for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

438

In order to prove this theorem we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. Let  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$ ,  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  be open intervals of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Assume that  $f: W_1 \times V_2 \rightarrow W_2$  and  $g: W_1 \rightarrow V_1$  are  $C^n$ -maps,  $n \ge 0$ . Then the map  $P: C^n(V_1, V_2) \rightarrow C^n(W_1, W_2)$  defined by P(v)(x) = f(x, v(g(x))) is continuous.

The proof of this lemma is simple, so it is omitted.

**Proof of theorem 1.** Given a point  $x_0 \in U_1$ . The map  $t \to \pi_t x_0$  is a  $C^n$ -diffeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}$  onto  $U_1$ . Let  $h: U_1 \to \mathbb{R}$  be the inverse of  $\pi x_0$ . Then h is a  $C^n$ -diffeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}$  onto  $U_1$ . Let p be a  $C^n$ -diffeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}$  onto  $U_2$ . Define the maps  $Q: X \to Y$  and  $N: Y \to X$  by

$$(Qv)(s) = p^{-1}(\psi(-s, \pi_s x_0, v(\pi_s x_0))) = p^{-1}((S_{-s}v)(x_0))$$

and

$$(Nv)(x) = \psi(h(x), x_0, p(v(h(x)))).$$

From lemma 2.1 the maps Q and N are continuous. Using (2.1) and (2.2) it is easy to verify that  $N \circ Q = Q \circ N = I$ . Thus Q is a homeomorphism of X onto Y. We verify that  $Q \circ S_t = T_t \circ Q$ ,

$$(Q \circ S_t)(v)(x) = p^{-1}((S_{-x} \circ S_t v)(x_0)) = (Qv)(x-t) = (T_t \circ Q)(v)(x).$$

COROLLARY 1. The set of all periodic points of  $\{S_i\}$  is dense in X.

*Remark* 1. From the definition of Q it follows that for every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  we have (Qv)(s) = (Qw)(s) iff  $v(\pi_s x_0) = w(\pi_s x_0)$ .

*Examples.* (1) Let b(x, u) = f(x) + g(x)u and  $U_2 = \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $Qv = v_0 + Lv$ , where L is a linear isomorphism from  $C^n(U_1, \mathbb{R})$  onto  $C^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $v_0 \in C^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ .

(2) Let a(x) = x,  $b(x, u) = \lambda u(1-u)$ ,  $U_1 = \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $U_2 = (0, 1)$ . We take  $x_0 = 1$  and  $p(u) = e^u/(1+e^u)$ . Then

$$(Qv)(s) = \ln v(e^s) - \ln [e^{\lambda s} - e^{\lambda s}v(e^s)].$$

#### 3. Measure on the space $C^{n}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$

Let  $w_t, 0 \le t < \infty$  be a Brownian motion defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$ . We may assume that the sample functions of  $w_t$  are continuous. Set  $\xi_x^0 = e^{-x} w_{e^{2x}}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\xi_x^0$  is a stationary Gaussian Markov process with mean value  $E\xi_x^0 = 0$  and correlation function  $E\xi_x^0\xi_{x+h}^0 = e^{-|h|}$ . The sample functions of  $\xi_x^0$  are continuous. The process  $\xi_x^0$  is not differentiable in the mean. From the law of the iterated logarithm it follows that

$$\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \frac{\left| \xi_x^0 \right|}{|x|} = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

with probability 1. We assume that all sample functions of  $\xi_x^0$  satisfy (3.1). Denote by *H* the closed linear subspace of  $L^2(\Omega)$  spanned by all variables  $\xi_x^0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . The joint distribution of the random functions  $\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n \in H$  is Gaussian. Denote by  $\mathscr{F}_T$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra of events generated by the process  $w_i$  on the set *T*.

#### R. Rudnicki

Starting from the random process  $\xi_x^0$  we can define, by induction, some new process. Set for  $k \ge 0$ 

$$\xi_x^{k+1} = \int_{-\infty}^x e^{s-x} \xi_s^k \, ds. \tag{3.2}$$

By (3.1) the integral (3.2) exists for every  $\omega \in \Omega$  and consequently the sample functions of  $\xi_x^n$  possess *n*th-order continuous derivatives. The integral (3.2) exists also in the mean and consequently  $\xi_x^n$  is a stationary Gaussian process. Write  $\eta_x = \xi_x^n$ . Then for every  $\omega \in \Omega$  we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \eta_{x}^{(k)} = \xi_{x}^{0}.$$
(3.3)

The process  $\xi_x^n$  is not (n+1)-times differentiable in the mean.

LEMMA 3.1. Let  $\eta_x = \xi_x^n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ . The joint distribution of  $(\eta_x, \eta'_x, \dots, \eta_x^{(n-1)}, \xi_x^0)$  is non-degenerate for every x.

*Proof.* The distribution of  $(\eta_x, \eta'_x, \dots, \xi^0_x)$  is Gaussian and it is independent of x. Assume that it is degenerate. Then for every x

$$a_0\eta_x + a_1\eta'_x + \dots + a_{n-1}\eta_x^{(n-1)} + a_n\xi_x^0 = 0$$
 a.e. (3.4)

with at least one  $a_k \neq 0$ . Set  $p = \max\{k: a_k \neq 0\}$ . The process  $a_0\eta_x + a_1\eta'_x + \cdots + a_n\xi_x^0$  is not (n+1-p)-times differentiable. This contradicts the equality (3.4).

Set  $Y = C^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\eta_x = \xi_x^n$ ,  $n \ge 0$ . Let  $\mathscr{B}(Y)$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel subsets of Y. The  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathscr{B}(Y)$  is generated by the sets of the form  $\{\varphi \in Y : (\varphi(x), \ldots, \varphi^{(n)}(x)) \in B\}$ , where  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and B is a Borel subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Thus for every  $A \in \mathscr{B}(Y)$ we have  $\{\eta \in A\} \in \Sigma$ . We obtain a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathscr{B}(Y)$  by setting  $\mu(A) = P(\eta \in A)$  for all  $A \in \mathscr{B}(Y)$ .

Now we shall investigate the properties of  $\mu$ . The following known property of Wiener measure will be used in the next lemma:

(3.5) If  $\varphi:[0, a] \to \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function and  $\varphi(0) = 0$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$P(|w_t - \varphi(t)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } t \in [0, a]) > 0.$$

LEMMA 3.2.  $\mu(U) > 0$  for each non-empty open set U.

*Proof.* If n = 0, then the proof follows immediately from (3.5). We assume  $n \ge 1$ . We may assume, without loss of generality, that U is of the form

 $U = \{\varphi \in C^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) : |\varphi^{(k)}(x) - \varphi_0^{(k)}(x)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } x \in [a, b] \text{ and } 0 \le k \le n\},\$ 

where  $\varphi_0 \in C^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . According to the definition of  $\mu$  we have  $\mu(U) = P(A)$ , where

$$A = \{ \omega \in \Omega \colon |\eta_x^{(k)} - \varphi_0^{(k)}(x)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } x \in [a, b] \text{ and } 0 \le k \le n \}.$$

Let  $\psi_0$  be a continuous function satisfying the following equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k} \varphi_{0}^{(k)}(x) = e^{-x} \psi_{0}(e^{2x}).$$

Set  $\alpha = e^{2a}$  and  $\beta = e^{2b}$ . By (3.3) and from the continuous dependence on the initial values and the parameter it follows that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $A_{\delta} \cap B_{\delta} \subset A$ ,

440

where the sets  $A_{\delta}$  and  $B_{\delta}$  are given by formulae

$$A_{\delta} = \{ \omega \in \Omega : |\eta_a^{(k)} - \varphi_0^{(k)}(a)| < \delta \quad \text{for } 0 \le k \le n-1 \},$$
  
$$B_{\delta} = \{ \omega \in \Omega : |w_t - \psi_0(t)| < \delta \quad \text{for } t \in [\alpha, \beta] \}.$$

It is easy to verify that the random variables  $\eta_a^{(k)}$  are  $\mathscr{F}_{\leq \alpha}$ -measurable and, therefore,  $A_{\delta} \in \mathscr{F}_{\leq \alpha}$ . We also have  $B_{\delta} \in \mathscr{F}_{\geq \alpha}$ . By lemma 3.1 the joint distribution of  $(\eta_a, \ldots, \eta_a^{(n-1)}, \xi_a^0)$  is Gaussian and non-degenerate. Consequently the joint distribution of  $(\eta_a, \ldots, \eta_a^{(n-1)}, w_a)$  is also non-degenerate. Thus we have  $P(A|\mathscr{F}_{=\alpha}) > 0$  almost everywhere on  $B_{\delta}$ . According to (3.5) we have  $P(B_{\delta}) > 0$ . From the Markov property of Brownian motion it follows that

$$P(A_{\delta} \cap B_{\delta}) = \int_{B_{\delta}} P(A_{\delta} | \mathscr{F}_{=\alpha}) dP > 0,$$

which completes the proof.

LEMMA 3.3. The measure  $\mu$  is invariant under  $\{T_i\}$ .

**Proof.** The invariance of  $\mu$  follows directly from the stationarity of the process  $(\eta_x, \eta'_x, \ldots, \eta^{(n)}_x)$ .

LEMMA 3.4. The flow  $\{T_i\}$  on  $(Y, \mathcal{B}(Y), \mu)$  is a K-flow.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B}_0$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing the sets of the form

$$A = \{\varphi \in C^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \colon (\varphi(x), \dots, \varphi^{(n)}(x)) \in B\},\tag{3.6}$$

where  $x \le 0$  and *B* is a Borel subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . The  $\sigma$ -algebra  $T_t\mathcal{B}_0$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing the sets of the form (3.6) with  $x \le t$ . Thus  $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset T_t\mathcal{B}_0$  for t > 0, and  $\mathcal{B}(Y)$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all the  $\sigma$ -algebras  $T_t\mathcal{B}_0$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . It remains to verify that the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{R}} T_t\mathcal{B}_0$  contains only sets of measure zero or one. Let  $A \in \bigcap_t T_t\mathcal{B}_0$ . Now we define E by  $E = \{\omega \in \Omega: \eta.(\omega) \in A\}$ . Then  $\mu(A) = P(E)$  and  $E \in \bigcap_t \mathcal{A}_{\le t}$ , where  $\mathcal{A}_{\le t}$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the random variables  $\eta_x, x \le t$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}_{\le t} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\le e^{2t}}$ , this implies  $E \in \bigcap_{t>0} \mathcal{F}_{\le t}$ . Thus, according to the Blumenthal's zero-or-one law, P(E) is one or zero. This completes the proof.

LEMMA 3.5.  $\mu$  is a Gaussian measure.

**Proof.** The map L defined by  $L(\varphi) = (\varphi^{(n)}, \varphi(0), \varphi'(0), \ldots, \varphi^{(n-1)}(0))$  is a linear isomorphism between  $C^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $C^0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . From this, and by the Riesz representation theorem every continuous linear functional defined on  $C^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  is of the form

$$f(\varphi) = \int_a^b \varphi^{(n)}(x) dg(x) + c_0 \varphi(a) + \cdots + c_{n-1} \varphi^{(n-1)}(a),$$

where g is a function of bounded variation defined on some interval [a, b], and  $\{c_0, \ldots, c_{n-1}\}$  is a sequence of real numbers. From the definition of  $\mu$  it follows that f has the same distribution as the random variable  $\zeta$  defined by

$$\zeta = \int_{a}^{b} \eta_{x}^{(n)} dg(x) + c_{0}\eta_{a} + \cdots + c_{n-1}\eta_{a}^{(n-1)}.$$

The random variable  $\zeta$  belongs to *H*, thus  $\zeta$  has a Gaussian distribution. This completes the proof.

### LEMMA 3.6. The measure $\mu$ is non-trivial.

**Proof.** We denote by P(t) the set of all periodic points of  $\{T_i\}$  with period t (P(0) denotes the set of all stationary points of  $\{T_i\}$ ). Let  $P(\leq t)$  be the set of all periodic points with period  $s \in (0, t]$ . Then P(t) and  $P(\leq t)$  are closed invariant subsets of Y. Suppose that  $\mu(P) > 0$ , where P is the set of all periodic points of  $\{T_i\}$ . Then  $\mu(P(\leq t)) > 0$  for some t > 0. By ergodicity of  $\{T_i\}$  it follows that  $\mu(P(\leq t)) = 1$  if  $\mu(P(\leq t)) \neq 0$ . Let  $t_0 = \inf\{t > 0: \mu(P(\leq t)) = 1\}$ . Then  $\mu(P(t_0)) = 1$ . We may assume, without loss of generality, that  $t_0 > 0$ . Let A be a Borel subset of Y such that  $0 < \mu(A) < 1$ . Then the set  $A \cap P(t_0)$  is invariant under  $T_{t_0}$  and  $0 < \mu(A \cap P(t_0)) < 1$ . This contradicts the total ergodicity of  $\mu$ .

We may summarize results of this section as follows.

THEOREM 2. For every  $n \ge 0$  there exists a probability measure  $\mu$  defined on the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel subsets of  $C^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (a)  $\mu$  is invariant under  $\{T_t\}$ ;
- (b)  $\mu(U) > 0$  for each non-empty open set U;
- (c)  $\{T_t\}$  is a K-flow on  $(C^n(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}), \mathscr{B}(C^n(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})), \mu);$
- (d)  $\mu$  is non-trivial;
- (e)  $\mu$  is a Gaussian measure.

THEOREM 3. Let  $(X, S_i)$  be the flow defined in § 2. Then there exists a probability measure m defined on  $\mathscr{B}(X)$  such that  $(X, \mathscr{B}(X), m, S_i)$  satisfies the conditions (a)-(d) of theorem 2. Moreover, if b(x, u) is of the form b(x, u) = f(x) + g(x)u and  $U_2 = \mathbb{R}$ , then m is a Gaussian measure.

**Proof.** According to theorem 1 there exists a homeomorphism Q between X and  $C^{n}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $Q \circ S_{t} = T_{t} \circ Q$ . Thus, we can define a measure m on  $\mathscr{B}(X)$  by  $m(A) = \mu(Q(A))$ . The conditions (a), (b), (c) and (d) are a direct consequence of theorem 2. If b(x, u) = f(x) + g(x)u and  $U_{2} = \mathbb{R}$ , then Q is of the form  $Qv = Lv + v_{0}$ , where L is a linear isomorphism from X onto  $C^{n}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ . Thus, according to theorem 2(e), the measure m is Gaussian.

COROLLARY 2 (chaos). The flow  $\{S_t\}$  satisfies the following two conditions:

- (a) every point  $v \in X$  is unstable;
- (b) there exists  $v \in X$  such that the trajectory of v is dense in X.

Remark 2. The construction of the measure m given in the proof of theorem 3 may be repeated as well replacing  $\xi_x^0$  by  $\xi_{\lambda x}^0$  with arbitrary  $\lambda > 0$ . It is interesting that the measures  $m_{\lambda_1}$  and  $m_{\lambda_2}$  corresponding to different  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are mutually singular.

Remark 3. Let  $\zeta_x, x \in U_1$ , be the process given by the formula  $\zeta_{-1}(\omega) = Q^{-1}\xi_{-1}^n(\omega)$ . Then  $m(A) = P(\zeta \in A)$  for each Borel subset A of X. From remark 1 it follows that  $\zeta_x, x \in U_1$  is a Markov process for n = 0.

#### Invariant measures

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Auslander & J. Yorke. Interval maps, factors of maps and chaos. Tôhoku Math. J. II. Ser., 32 (1980), 177-188.
- [2] P. Brunovský. Notes on chaos in the cell population partial differential equation. Nonlinear Analysis 7 (1983), 167-176.
- [3] P. Brunovský & J. Komornik. Ergodicity and exactness of the shift on C[0,∞) and the semiflow of a first order partial differential equation. To appear.
- [4] A. L. Dawidowicz. On existence of invariant measure for the dynamical system generated by partial differential equation. Ann. Polon. Math. XXXIX (1983), 129-137.
- [5] A. Lasota. Invariant measures and a linear model of turbulence. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 61 (1979), 40-48.
- [6] A. Lasota. Stable and chaotic solutions of a first order partial differential equation. Nonlinear Analysis 5 (1981), 1181-1193.