William P. Bidelman Warner and Swasey Observatory-Case Western Reserve University

Abstract. Some conclusions concerning the luminous hydrogen-deficient stars are presented, as is also a list of 45 such objects.

In a symposium such as this I am rather surprised that no one has yet discussed perhaps the most obvious examples of the effects of stellar mass loss: namely, the stars that exhibit substantial hydrogen deficiency in their atmospheres (Hack 1967, Hunger 1975). Though the phenomenon undoubtedly also exists among stars of lower luminosity, I am thinking here of stars in the range of visual absolute magnitude from -3 to -5 or so. Table 1 contains those objects that I now consider to belong to this class. A few Wolf-Rayet stars probably could be added, but the evidence is not too clear on this point. Also there are several additional objects in the Large Magellanic Cloud that could have been included.

While the objects listed in Table 1 display a remarkably wide variety of observable features, nonetheless some regularities can be discerned:

- 1. All of the cooler stars exhibit carbon bands, implying C/O ratios of larger than 1. That is, there is no such thing as a hydrogen-poor M-or S-type star. Further, no hydrogen-deficient carbon star shows  ${\rm C}^{13}$ .
- 2. Most of the cooler stars are variables of the R CrB type (Feast 1975), surrounded by infrared-emitting envelopes (Feast and Glass 1973).
- 3. In only two cases do we actually see the presumably fairly recently ejected envelope surrounding the stars: V348 Sgr, where the nebulosity is seen only when the central star is faint, and V605 Aql, the "unique variable," where a bubble-like envelope remains clearly visible even though the central star has long since disappeared from view. In both cases the envelopes appear to have plenty of hydrogen.
- 4.Most of the hotter stars are not variable; however, V348 Sgr and MV Sgr are dramatic exceptions to the general rule.
- 5. In general, the objects seem to be single stars. However, at least two are unquestionably binaries, KS Per and  $\upsilon$  Sgr. These stars do not share the usual excessive abundance of carbon of most of the rest of the group, but appear to be nitrogen-abundant instead. The

P. S. Conti and C. W. H. de Loore (eds.), Mass Loss and Evolution of O-Type Stars, 305-308. Copyright © 1979 by the IAU.

306 WILLIAM P. BIDELMAN

TABLE 1
LUMINOUS HYDROGEN-DEFICIENT STARS

Name	α	(19	00) δ	Mag.	Туре	References and Notes
+37 <sup>0</sup> 442	1	52	+38	10.0	O	CR 262, 1105 (H.P. 8, No. 19)
XX Cam	4	0	+53	8.2-10.3	F(C)	A+A 31, 203
KS Per	4	41	+43	7.6-7.8	A-F	PASJ 24,495; SB: P=363 <sup>d</sup> ; HD 30353; br Hα
W Men	5	27	-71	13.8-<18.3	F(C)	MNRAS 158, 11P; LMC member
SU Tau	5	43	+19	9.1-16.0	F(C)	MKK Atlas
+37 <sup>0</sup> 1977	9	18	+37	10.1:	0	CR 278, 227
+10 <sup>o</sup> 2179	10	33	+10	10.0	В	A+A 37,87
-58 <sup>0</sup> 2721	10	44	<b>-</b> 58	10.5	В	JSD; br $H\alpha$
UW Cen	12	37	<b>-</b> 53	9.6-<13	K(C?)	MNRAS 161, 293
Y Mus	12	59	-64	10.5-12.1	F(C)	IBVS 1453
-37 <sup>0</sup> 9248	14	7	-37	8.8:	A-F	PASP 84, 388; br Hα
HD 124448	14	8	<b>-</b> 45	10.0	В	A+A 37, 87
S Aps	14	59	-71	9.6-15.2	С	MNRAS 158, 11P
HD 137613	15	21	-24	7.6	С	MNRAS 137, 119
-48 <sup>0</sup> 10153	15	31	-48	11.5	В	ApJ Lett. 179, L31
R CrB	15	44	+28	5.8-14.8	F(C)	MNRAS 137, 119
RT Nor	16	15	-59	11.3-16.3	С	НВ 920, 32
-9 <sup>0</sup> 4395	16	23	-9	10.6	B:	PASP 84, 388
RZ Nor	16	24	-53	11.1-<12.7	F(C)	IAU Symp. 67, 135
LR Sco	17	20	-43	10.9-12.3	F(C)	IBVS 1453
-35 <sup>0</sup> 11760	17	31	-35	9.8	В	JSD: HDE 320156; br $H\alpha$
V2076 Oph	17	36	-17	9.9	0	Liege No. 357, 337; HD 160641
LS IV -1°2	17	46	-1	11.0	В	JSD

308 WILLIAM P. BIDELMAN

strong  $H\alpha$  emission seen in these stars may perhaps originate from hydrogen supplied by the other component of the binary.

It is clear that any theories of mass loss and stellar evolution will have to explain the existence of these fascinating objects.

And, in conclusion, though it has nothing to do with this subject, I would like to comment that we should be prepared for some surprises in the interpretation of our early mass-loss observations. It is not clear to what extent some of our favorite stars are typical of the general stellar population. P Cygni and  $\eta$  Carinae are certainly unusual objects, and one might also be somewhat suspicious of such high-mass-loss stars as  $\rho$  Leo and  $\tau$  Sco. Are we certain that these objects are what we think they are?

## REFERENCES

- Feast, M.W.: 1975, in V.E. Sherwood and L. Plaut (eds.), "Variable Stars and Stellar Evolution," IAU Symp. 67, 129.
- Feast, M.W. and Glass, I.S.: 1973, Monthly Notices Roy. Astron. Soc. 161, 293.
- Hack, M.: 1967, in M. Hack (ed.), "Modern Astrophysics," Gordon and Breach, New York, p. 163.

## DISCUSSION FOLLOWING BIDELMAN

Bidelman: I did not mean to imply that  $\tau$  Sco is actually peculiar; it is certainly generally considered perfectly normal. Nonetheless, there are several evolved ( $\alpha$  Sco) and spectroscopically peculiar (3 Cen and others) objects in the same cluster and, with its very sharp lines, it might perhaps not be a typical BO V star in all respects.

Bolton: Some of the OBN stars are also H-deficient. HDE 235679 is definitely so, and there are comments in the literature that suggest mild H deficiencies for HD 72754 and V453 Sco. All show evidence that mass transfer has influenced their evolution.