

Muhammad Ahmad¹, Malik W.Z. Khan²  and Aizaz Ali¹¹Department of Medicine, Khyber Medical University, Peshawar, Pakistan and ²Department of Biomedical Imaging, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, USA

Letter to the Editor

Cite this article: Ahmad M, Khan MWZ, and Ali A (2024). Lipoprotein[a]: a novel therapeutic target for cardiovascular disease management. *Cardiology in the Young*, page 1 of 2. doi: [10.1017/S1047951124026611](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1047951124026611)

Received: 12 June 2024
Revised: 5 September 2024
Accepted: 7 September 2024

Keywords: lipoprotein; atherosclerosis; cardiovascular disease

Corresponding author: Malik W.Z. Khan; Email: Malik.khan@yale.edu

Dear Editor,

We were intrigued by the study conducted by Björnson et al.¹ which highlighted the considerably higher atherogenicity of lipoprotein[a] (Lp[a]) compared to low-density lipoprotein. The researchers employed a unique technique to measure the concentration of Lp[a] in patients, addressing the challenge of accurately measuring Lp[a] due to the repetitive structure of its component, apolipoprotein[a] (apo[a]). Each apo[a] is covalently bound to an apo[B] molecule on low-density lipoprotein particles to form Lp[a]; therefore, quantifying the contained apo[B] enabled them to measure Lp[a] indirectly. This approach allowed them to determine the relative atherogenicity of apo[B] in Lp[a] versus low-density lipoprotein. The results revealed a significant disparity in atherogenicity between Lp[a] and low-density lipoprotein, with Lp[a] being 6.6 times more atherogenic per particle compared to low-density lipoprotein.¹

Lp[a] is a complex plasma lipoprotein like low-density lipoprotein, but with the addition of apo[a]. Apo[a] is a protein like plasminogen that is covalently bonded to the apolipoprotein B-100 (apoB-100) of a low-density lipoprotein particle.² The serum levels of Lp[a] are primarily determined by genetic factors rather than lifestyle choices. Understanding the relative atherogenicity of Lp[a] and low-density lipoprotein holds crucial implications for risk assessment and therapeutic interventions in coronary heart disease. Studies have shown that elevated Lp[a] levels are independently linked to an increased risk of cardiovascular disease regardless of traditional risk factors.^{3,4} This establishes Lp[a] as a significant risk factor for multiple cardiovascular endpoints, each with varying levels of association. Additionally, individuals with high levels of both Lp[a] and low-density lipoprotein, such as those with familial hypercholesterolaemia, are at an even greater risk of cardiovascular events.³ This highlights the importance of comprehensive risk assessment in clinical practice. A study conducted by Wohlfahrt et al.⁵ found an association between mortality and recurrent cardiovascular events after myocardial infarction, both in individuals with high and very low levels of Lp[a]. The association of low Lp[a] levels with increased mortality raises interesting questions that warrant further research.⁵ Apart from its role in atherosclerosis, Lp[a] also has prothrombotic effects.

Traditional lipid-lowering therapies, such as statins, ezetimibe, nicotinic acid, and lipoprotein apheresis, have limited effectiveness in lowering Lp[a] levels. In fact, statin therapy is associated with increased Lp[a] levels, making Lp[a] an even stronger predictor of cardiovascular events in patients on this therapy.⁶ Therefore, there is a pressing need for more effective and safer treatments. Recently, emerging therapies specifically aimed at lowering Lp[a] levels have garnered significant attention. One such approach involves using antisense apo[a] and/or apo[B] inhibitors to suppress the production of atherogenic proteins in the liver.⁷ This novel approach has the potential to significantly lower Lp[a] levels by 35–80%, with the added decrease if low-density lipoprotein levels by 6–16%.⁷ thereby mitigating cardiovascular risk.

In conclusion, recent evidence emphasises the importance of addressing elevated levels of Lp[a] as a major target for managing cardiovascular disease. Since Lp[a] levels remain stable throughout life and are primarily determined by genetics, it is advisable to measure Lp[a] at least once in the lifetime of all individuals. This can provide a more accurate evaluation of cardiovascular disease risk, enabling the initiation of a more effective therapeutic approach. This would be a significant stride towards preventing the estimated 29% of global deaths caused by cardiovascular disease.

References

1. Björnson E, Adiels M, Taskinen MR et al. Lipoprotein(a) Is markedly more atherogenic than LDL: an apolipoprotein B-based genetic analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2024; 83: 385–395. DOI: [10.1016/j.jacc.2023.10.039](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2023.10.039).
2. Ugovšek S, Šešelj M. Lipoprotein(a)-the crossroads of atherosclerosis, atherothrombosis and inflammation. *Biomolecules* 2021; 12: 26. DOI: [10.3390/biom12010026](https://doi.org/10.3390/biom12010026).
3. Wang ZW, Li M, Li JJ, Liu NF. Association of lipoprotein(a) with all-cause and cause-specific mortality: a prospective cohort study. *Eur J Intern Med* 2022; 106: 63–70. DOI: [10.1016/j.ejim.2022.09.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2022.09.010).

4. Ellis KL, Pang J, Chieng D et al. Elevated lipoprotein(a) and familial hypercholesterolemia in the coronary care unit: between scylla and charybdis. *Clin Cardiol* 2018; 41: 378–384. DOI: [10.1002/clc.22880](https://doi.org/10.1002/clc.22880).
5. Wohlfahrt P, Jenča D, Melenovský V et al. Very low lipoprotein(a) and increased mortality risk after myocardial infarction. *Eur J Intern Med* 2021; 91: 33–39. DOI: [10.1016/j.ejim.2021.04.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2021.04.012).
6. Feng T, Li Y, Xue X et al. Association of statin use and increase in lipoprotein(a): a real-world database research. *Eur J Med Res* 2023; 28: 212. DOI: [10.1186/s40001-023-01155-x](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40001-023-01155-x).
7. Lippi G, Favaloro EJ, Sanchis-Gomar F. Antisense lipoprotein[a] therapy: state-of-the-art and future perspectives. *Eur J Intern Med* 2020; 76: 8–13. DOI: [10.1016/j.ejim.2020.04.036](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2020.04.036).