---

42 Behavioral Correlates of Action-Control in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder

Uriel L Richman[1], Michael Dacanay[2], Amira Herstic[1], Joman Y Natsheh[1,2,3,4]
[1]Children's Specialized Hospital Research Center, New Brunswick, NJ, USA. [2]Kessler Foundation, East Hanover, NJ, USA. [3]Palestinian Neuroscience Initiative Al-Quds University, Jerusalem, Palestine. [4]Departments of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation; and Neurology, Rutgers, New Jersey Medical School, Newark, NJ, USA

Objective: Children with attention/deficit-hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) exhibit motivational and cognitive impairments that affect daily life functioning. These impairments may reflect a deficit in action-control; the process by which voluntary actions are selected and executed based on prior reinforcement learning. It consists of parallel opposing processes; goal-direction and habit formation. Using the outcome-devaluation paradigm, we previously showed that children with ADHD rely on reflexive habitual, at the expense of goal-directed, behavior to deploy their actions. The current study investigates action-control using a contingency degradation paradigm, which involves outcome overvaluation as opposed to outcome devaluation. We hypothesize that children with ADHD will display a habitual behavior, while healthy controls (HC) will use goal-directed behavior to control their actions.

Participants and Methods: We tested 19 ADHD and 14 HC participants (age 6-10 years) for this study. Children with ADHD were recruited from Children's Specialized Hospital and underwent a structured clinical diagnosis. All participants were screened for ADHD and other neurologic or psychiatric conditions that could contribute to attention impairment using the SNAP-IV rating scale. Participants completed a set of the Woodcock-Johnson® IV assessments. They were tested using an outcome-overvaluation computer-based task. During learning, participants acquired stimulus-reward associations in the acquisition phase, as well as the overvaluation phase. In the latter, one of the rewards was delivered in a similar contingency to the acquisition phase (valued), while the other reward was randomly accompanied by an extra reward in 10% of the trials (overvalued). After the overvaluation phase, participants were presented with two stimuli (associated with a valued, and an overvalued outcome) and were asked to choose one stimulus in extinction. Choosing the overvalued at a higher rate was assigned as goal-directed behavior, while choosing both stimuli at the same rate was assigned as habitual behavior.

Results: Independent-samples t-test showed that children with ADHD scored significantly higher than HC in the following measures: ADHD_inattention, ADHD_hyperactive/Impulsive, ADHD_combined, inattention/overactivity, Conner's index, inattention domain, hyperactive/impulsive domain, and general anxiety disorder screening (P-value for all is <0.001). Results from the computer-based task showed that both groups acquired action-outcome associations during the first two phases of the task. During the extinction phase, HC, as compared to ADHD, responded at a higher rate on the stimuli that were associated with the overvalued outcome (t(31)=2.1, p=0.043); indicating higher tendency to show goal-directed behavior. Further, paired-samples t-test showed that there was no significant difference between response rate on the valued vs. overvalued stimuli in the ADHD group (t(18)=1.027, p=0.318), while there was a difference trending towards significance in response rate in the HC group (t(13)=-2.00, p=0.067). These results show that ADHD responded habitually, while HC responses were goal-directed.

Conclusions: Our results indicate that children with ADHD are less likely than HC to engage in goal-directed behavior as opposed to habitual responding. This is consistent with our previous research highlighting a deficit in action-control in ADHD.

Correspondence: Uriel Richman, Children's Specialized Hospital, URichman@childrens-specialized.org

---

43 Interactions of Decoding, Working Memory, and Mind Wandering on Reading Comprehension

Abigail Farrell[1], Paul T. Cirino[1], Marcia A. Barnes[2], Steven P. Woods[1]
[1]University of Houston, Houston, TX, USA.
[2]Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA

Objective: Reading is an important skill, and becomes even more so beyond elementary years, when the focus shifts to comprehension as a means of learning and understanding academic material across subjects (Kamil et al., 2008; Shanahan et al., 2010; Snow, 2002). One construct receiving much recent interest in research, especially that related to academic achievement, is mind wandering (MW). MW has been defined as "a shift away from a primary task toward internal information" (Smallwood & Schooler, 2006). Though it is known to be ubiquitous among people (McVay & Kane, 2012), there are numerous theories about why MW occurs, in different contexts, and in relation to various other factors, and no one theory is currently dominant. MW and other factors such as working memory (WM) and decoding are all known to influence functional outcomes such as reading comprehension (RC), but there is little information on how all of these factors interact with one another with regard to RC. Most prior work focuses on adults and thus generalization to children is still needed. Therefore, the goals of this project were to examine the roles of WM, MW, decoding, and their interactions in relation to RC. It was hypothesized that each would demonstrate a significant relationship with the outcome of RC and that they would interact with one another beyond their individual main effects.
Participants and Methods: The sample included 214 6th and 7th grade students with a larger proportion of struggling readers. Participants were each administered the Kaufman Test of Educational Achievement – Third Edition (KTEA-3; Kaufman & Kaufman, 2014) Letter Word Recognition subtest (decoding), the Weschler Intelligence Scale for Children – Fifth Edition (WISC-5; Wechsler, 2014) Digit Span and Picture Span subtests (WM), and the Gates-MacGinitie Reading Tests – Fourth Edition (GMRT-4; MacGinitie, 1978) Comprehension subtest (RC). Four measures of MW were administered: the trait-based Mind Wandering Questionnaire (MWQ; Mrazek et al., 2013); two task-based (or state-dependent) retrospective reporting (TBRR) questionnaires (Matthews et al., 2002), and a researcher-generated single-item task-based retrospective report administered after four tasks. Correlations and regression were utilized to evaluate the relationships among predictor variables, and with regard to RC, including how predictors moderate one another.
Results: All three key predictors demonstrated a significant relationship with RC both via zero-order correlations and main effects in the context of interactive relationships. WM and decoding demonstrated positive relationships with RC and MW demonstrated a negative relationship with RC, though only when one (MWQ) measure of MW was used, rather than the TBRR measure. There was a significant interaction of decoding and MW as measured by the TBRR questionnaires on the outcome of RC. Other interactions were not significant.
Conclusions: These results clarify the interactive relationships of these three key predictors on the important academic achievement outcome of RC, ultimately suggesting that intervention strategies for achievement problems in areas such as RC should consider MW in conjunction with decoding abilities in order to implement effective strategies that capitalize on individual children's strengths and build on their particular weaknesses.

Correspondence: Abigail Farrell University of Houston afarrell2@uh.edu

---

44 Shared Cognitive Predictors of Achievement

Paul T Cirino[1], Cassidy M Salentine[1], Abigail Farrell[1], Marcia A Barnes[2], Greg Roberts[3]
[1]University of Houston, Houston, Texas, USA.
[2]Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee,