## M-PRIMARY ELEMENTS OF A LOCAL NOETHER LATTICE

## E. W. JOHNSON AND J. A. JOHNSON

**Introduction.** In this paper, we consider the extent to which a local Noether lattice  $(\mathscr{L}, M)$  is characterized by the sub-multiplicative lattice, denoted  $\delta \mathscr{L}$ , of *M*-primary elements. (Here we use the notation  $(\mathscr{L}, M)$  to indicate that *M* is the maximal element of  $\mathscr{L}$ .) In particular, we call  $\mathscr{L}$  *M*-complete if, given any decreasing sequence  $\{A_i\}$  of elements and any  $n \geq 1$ , it follows that  $A_i \leq A \vee M^n$  for large *i*, where  $A = \bigwedge A_i$ . And we show that, given two  $M_i$ -complete local Noether lattices  $(\mathscr{L}_1, M_1)$  and  $(\mathscr{L}_2, M_2)$ , with  $\delta \mathscr{L}_1 \cong \delta \mathscr{L}_2$ , it follows that  $\mathscr{L}_1 \cong \mathscr{L}_2$ . Further, we show that any local Noether lattice  $(\mathscr{L}, M)$  is a sublattice of a local Noether lattice  $(\mathscr{L}^*, M)$  which is *M*-complete and such that  $\delta \mathscr{L} = \delta \mathscr{L}^*$ .

**1.** Our first lemma is a basic tool.

LEMMA 1.1. Let  $(\mathcal{L}, M)$  be a local Noether lattice. If  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $k \ge 0$ , then

(i)  $(A \lor M^n): B \leq (A:B) \lor M^k$ and

(ii)  $(A \lor M^n) \land (B \lor M^n) \leq (A \land B) \lor M^k$ for some n.

*Proof.* Let k be fixed. Then by the descending chain condition in  $\mathscr{L}/M^{k}$  [1],  $((A \lor M^{n}):B) \lor M^{k}$  is constant for large n, say for  $n \ge K \ge k$ . It follows that for  $n \ge K$ ,  $(((A \lor M^{n}):B) \lor M^{k})B = (((A \lor M^{K}):B) \lor M^{k})B \le A \lor M^{n} \lor M^{k}B$ . Hence  $(((A \lor M^{n}):B) \lor M^{k})B \le A \lor M^{k}B$ , by the Intersection Theorem. If now B is assumed to be principal, then  $((A \lor M^{n}):B) \lor M^{k} \le (A:B) \lor M^{k}$ , and also  $(A \lor M^{n}) \land B \le (A \lor M^{k}B) \land B \le (A \land B) \lor M^{k}$ .

We now assume that there exist elements for which (ii) fails, that A is maximal in this respect, and that B is an arbitrary element for which  $(A \lor M^n) \land (B \lor M^n) \leqq (A \land B) \lor M^k$  for all n. Then  $B \leqq A$ ; hence there exists a principal element  $E \leqq B$  with  $E \leqq A$ . Then  $A < A \lor E$ , and hence it follows from the maximality of A that for each integer h there exists an integer  $K(h) \ge h$  such that

$$((A \lor E) \lor M^{K(h)}) \land (B \lor M^{K(h)}) \leq ((A \lor E) \land B) \lor M^{h}.$$

Received December 31, 1968 and in revised form, May 12, 1969.

Then for  $n \ge K(h)$  and for h sufficiently large,

$$(A \lor M^{n}) \land (B \lor M^{n}) \leq (A \lor M^{n}) \land (A \lor E \lor M^{n}) \land (B \lor M^{n})$$
$$\leq (A \lor M^{h}) \land (((A \lor E) \land B) \lor M^{h})$$
$$\leq (A \lor M^{h}) \land ((A \land B) \lor (E \lor M^{h}))$$
$$\leq (A \land B) \lor ((A \lor M^{h}) \land (E \lor M^{h}))$$
$$\leq (A \land B) \lor ((A \land E) \lor M^{k})$$
$$\leq (A \land B) \lor M^{k},$$

by the principal case. This establishes (ii).

Now, let  $B_1, \ldots, B_r$  be principal elements. By an easy induction on (ii) we can choose K so that

$$\bigwedge_{i=1}^{r} ((A:B_i) \lor M^n) \leq \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{r} (A:B_i)\right) \lor M^k$$

for  $n \ge K$ . Hence, if  $B = B_1 \lor \ldots \lor B_r$ , then for sufficiently large n,

$$(A \lor M^{n}):B = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{r} ((A \lor M^{n}):B_{i}) \leq \bigwedge_{i=1}^{r} ((A:B_{i}) \lor M^{k})$$
$$\leq \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{r} (A:B_{i})\right) \lor M^{k} = (A:B) \lor M^{k},$$

by the principal case of (i).

THEOREM 1.2. Let  $(\mathcal{L}_1, M_1)$  and  $(\mathcal{L}_2, M_2)$  be local Noether lattices and  $\varphi: \delta \mathcal{L}_1 \to \delta \mathcal{L}_2$  a multiplicative lattice homomorphism such that  $\varphi(M_1) = M_2$ . If  $\mathcal{L}_2$  is  $M_2$ -complete, then

- (i)  $\varphi$  extends to a homomorphism  $\overline{\varphi}$  of  $\mathcal{L}_1$  into  $\mathcal{L}_2$ ,
- (ii)  $\bar{\varphi}$  is one-to-one if  $\varphi$  is one-to-one,
- (iii)  $\bar{\varphi}$  is onto if  $\varphi$  is onto and  $\mathcal{L}_1$  is  $M_1$ -complete,
- (iv)  $\bar{\varphi}$  preserves residual division if  $\varphi$  does.

*Proof.* Define  $\bar{\varphi}(A) = \bigwedge_i \varphi(A \vee M_1^i)$ . Then since  $\mathscr{L}_2$  is  $M_2$ -complete,

$$\bar{\varphi}(A) \lor M_2^n \ge \varphi(A \lor M_1^i) \lor M_2^n = \varphi(A \lor M_1^n) \ge \bar{\varphi}(A) \lor M_2^n$$

for large *i*, and hence  $\bar{\varphi}(A) \vee M_2^n = \varphi(A \vee M_1^n)$ . Using this, we have  $\bar{\varphi}(A) \vee \bar{\varphi}(B) \vee M_2^n = \varphi(A \vee M_1^n) \vee \varphi(B \vee M_1^n)$  $= \varphi(A \vee B \vee M_1^n) = \varphi(A \vee B) \vee M_2^n$ ,

for all *n*, so that  $\bar{\varphi}(A) \vee \bar{\varphi}(B) = \bar{\varphi}(A \vee B)$ , by the Intersection Theorem. Similarly, we see that  $(\bar{\varphi}(A)\bar{\varphi}(B)) \vee M_2^n = \varphi(AB) \vee M_2^n$  for all *n*, so that  $\bar{\varphi}(A)\bar{\varphi}(B) = \bar{\varphi}(AB)$ . To see that  $\bar{\varphi}$  preserves the meet operation, we use

## Lemma 1.1. Hence

$$\begin{split} [\bar{\varphi}(A) \wedge \bar{\varphi}(B)] \vee M_2{}^k &= ((\bar{\varphi}(A) \vee M_2{}^n) \wedge (\bar{\varphi}(B) \vee M_2{}^n)) \vee M_2{}^k \\ &= (\varphi(A \vee M_1{}^n) \wedge \varphi(B \vee M_1{}^n)) \vee \varphi(M_1{}^k) \\ &= \varphi(((A \vee M_1{}^n) \wedge (B \vee M_1{}^n)) \vee M_1{}^k) \\ &= \varphi(((A \wedge B) \vee M_1{}^k) \\ &= \bar{\varphi}(A \wedge B) \vee M_2{}^k \end{split}$$

for some *n*, so that  $\bar{\varphi}(A) \wedge \bar{\varphi}(B) = \bar{\varphi}(A \wedge B)$ . This establishes (i). Now, assume that  $\varphi$  is one-to-one. If  $\bar{\varphi}(A) = \bar{\varphi}(B)$ , then

$$\varphi(A \vee M_1^n) = \bar{\varphi}(A) \vee M_2^n = \bar{\varphi}(B) \vee M_2^n = \varphi(B \vee M_1^n),$$

and  $A \vee M_1^n = B \vee M_1^n$  for all *n*. Hence A = B, which establishes (ii).

We now assume that  $\varphi$  maps  $\delta \mathscr{L}_1$  onto  $\delta \mathscr{L}_2$  and that  $\mathscr{L}_1$  is  $M_1$ -complete. Assume that  $D \in \mathscr{L}_2$ . For each *i*, let  $C_i$  be the least element of  $\mathscr{L}_1$  such that  $C_i \geq M_1^i$  and  $\varphi(C_i) = D \vee M_2^i$ . Set  $C = \bigwedge_i C_i$ . We see that  $C \vee M_1^i = C_i$  for all *i*, and hence  $\bar{\varphi}(C) = D$ , which establishes (iii).

To see that  $\bar{\varphi}$  preserves residuation when  $\varphi$  does, we observe that

$$(\bar{\varphi}(A):\bar{\varphi}(B)) \lor M_2{}^k = ((\bar{\varphi}(A) \lor M_2{}^n):(\bar{\varphi}(B) \lor M_2{}^n)) \lor M_2{}^k$$

and  $((A \lor M_1): (B \lor M_1^n)) \lor M_1^k = (A:B) \lor M_1^k$  for large *n*, from which the relation follows.

COROLLARY 1.3. Let  $(\mathcal{L}_1, M_1)$  and  $(\mathcal{L}_2, M_2)$  be local Noether lattices and  $\{\varphi_i: \mathcal{L}_1/M_1^i \to \mathcal{L}_2/M_2^i\}$  a sequence of homomorphisms of  $\mathcal{L}_1/M_1^i$  onto  $\mathcal{L}_2/M_2^i$  such that  $\varphi_{i+1}$  extends  $\varphi_i$  for all *i*. If  $\mathcal{L}_2$  is  $M_2$ -complete, then  $\mathcal{L}_1$  is embeddable in  $\mathcal{L}_2$ . If also  $\mathcal{L}_1$  is  $M_1$ -complete, then  $\mathcal{L}_1$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}_2$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\delta \varphi: \delta \mathscr{L}_1 \to \delta \mathscr{L}_2$  by  $\delta \varphi(Q) = \bigwedge_i \varphi_i(Q \vee M^i)$ . It is easily seen that  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism.

If the main concern is the embedding of  $\mathscr{L}_1$  in the lattice of ideals of a local ring, then the assumption of  $M_2$ -completeness is not restrictive.

COROLLARY 1.4. Let (R, p) be a local ring and  $(\mathcal{L}, M)$  a local Noether lattice. If there exists a sequence  $\varphi_i$  of isomorphisms of  $\mathcal{L}/M^i$  onto the ideals of  $R/p^i$  in such a way that  $\varphi_{i+1}$  extends  $\varphi_i$  for all *i*, then  $\mathcal{L}$  is embeddable in the lattice of ideals of the p-adic completion  $(R^*, p^*)$  of R. If  $\mathcal{L}$  is M-complete, then this embedding is onto.

*Proof.* The ideals of  $R/p^i$  are the same as the ideals of  $R^*/p^{*i}$ , and the lattice of ideals of  $R^*$  is  $p^*$ -complete.

2. Let  $(\mathcal{L}, M)$  be a Noether lattice. In this section we construct a local Noether lattice  $(\mathcal{L}^*, M^*)$  which is  $M^*$ -complete and in which  $\mathcal{L}$  is embedded in such a way that  $\mathcal{L}^*/M^{*i} = \mathcal{L}/M^i$  for all *i*, thus generalizing Corollary 1.4.

To begin, we let  $\mathscr{L}^*$  be the collection of all formal sums  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  of elements

of  $\mathscr{L}$  such that  $A_i = A_{i+1} \vee M^i$ , for all *i*. We denote the elements of  $\mathscr{L}^*$  by capital letters  $A, B, \ldots$ , and for  $A \in \mathscr{L}^*$  we let  $A = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ . On  $\mathscr{L}^*$  we define

(2.2) 
$$AB = \sum_{i} (A_{i}B_{i} \lor M^{i}).$$

Then it is easily seen that any family  $\mathscr{F}$  of elements of  $\mathscr{L}^*$  has least upper bound  $\sum S_i$ , where  $S_i = \bigvee_{A \in \mathscr{F}} A_i$ . And it is immediate that  $0^* = \sum M^i$  is a least element for  $\mathscr{L}^*$ ; thus  $\mathscr{L}^*$  is a lattice. Actually,  $\mathscr{L}^*$  can be seen to be a collection of representatives of equivalence classes of Cauchy sequences of  $\mathscr{L}$  under the metric  $d(C, D) = 1/2^i$  if  $C \vee M^i = D \vee M^i$  and  $C \vee M^{i+1} \neq$  $D \vee M^{i+1}$ .

THEOREM 2.1.  $\mathcal{L}^*$  satisfies the ascending chain condition.

*Proof.* Let  $C(1) \leq C(2) \leq \ldots$  be an ascending chain in  $\mathscr{L}^*$ , so that for each j,  $C(1)_j \leq C(2)_j \leq \ldots$  is an ascending chain in  $\mathscr{L}$ . Choose N so that  $C(N)_1 = C(N+i)_1$  for  $i \geq 0$ , and set  $B(n)_i = C(n)_{i+1} \wedge M^i$  for all  $i, n \geq 1$ . Then

$$M^{i} \geq B(n)_{i} \geq B(n)_{i+1} \geq MB(n)_{i};$$

thus  $B(n) = \sum_i B(n)_i$  is an element of the Noether lattice  $R(\mathcal{L}, M)$  of [2]. Moreover,  $B(n) \leq B(n+1)$  in  $R(\mathcal{L}, M)$ , and hence there is an integer  $K \geq N$  such that B(K) = B(n) for all  $n \geq K$ . Hence

$$C(K)_{i+1} \wedge M^{i} = B(K)_{i} = B(n)_{i} = C(n)_{i} \wedge M^{i+1}$$

for  $n \ge K$  and for  $i \ge 0$ . Now, assume that  $C(K)_r = C(K+i)_r$  for all  $i \ge 0$ . Then

$$C(K + i)_{r+1} = C(K + i)_{r+1} \wedge C(K + i)_r = C(K + i)_{r+1} \wedge C(K)_r$$
  
=  $C(K + i)_{r+1} \wedge (C(K)_{r+1} \vee M^r) = C(K)_{r+1} \vee (C(K + i)_{r+1} \wedge M^r)$   
=  $C(K)_{r+1} \vee (C(K)_{r+1} \wedge M^r) = C(K)_{r+1}.$ 

Since  $C(K)_1 = C(K + i)_1$  for all  $i \ge 0$ , the theorem follows.

Note that if  $E = \{E_i\}$  is any sequence of elements of  $\mathscr{L}$  such that, for each n,

(2.3) 
$$E_{i+1} \leq E_i \lor M^n$$
 for large  $i$ 

and if  $D_n = \bigwedge_i (E_i \vee M^n)$ , then  $D = \sum D_n \in \mathscr{L}^*$ . We call D the *derived* element in  $\mathscr{L}^*$  of  $\{E_i\}$ . The following lemma gives some basic properties of  $\mathscr{L}^*$ . We omit the proof.

LEMMA 2.2. Let A, B be elements of  $\mathcal{L}^*$ . Then

- (i)  $A \wedge B$  is the element of  $\mathcal{L}^*$  derived from  $\{A_i \wedge B_i\}$ ,
- (ii) A:B is the element of  $\mathscr{L}^*$  derived from  $\{A_i:B_i\}$ ,
- (iii)  $\mathcal{L}^*$  is modular,

(iv) If  $\{A_i\}$  is a sequence of principal elements of  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfying (2.3), then the derived element of  $\mathcal{L}^*$  is principal.

We can now prove the following result.

THEOREM 2.3.  $\mathcal{L}^*$  is a local Noether lattice with maximal element  $M^* = \sum M$ .

*Proof.* We must show that every element of  $\mathscr{L}^*$  is the join of principal elements. Hence, assume that  $B, C \in \mathscr{L}^*$  with B < C. We will show that there exists a principal element  $F \in \mathscr{L}^*$  with  $F \leq C$  and  $F \leq B$ . Now, since  $B_i < C_i$  for sufficiently large *i*, say for  $i \geq K$ , we choose  $E_K$  principal in  $\mathscr{L}$  so that  $E_K \leq C_K, E_K \leq B_K$ . Then

$$[C_{K+1} \wedge (E_K \vee M^K)] \vee M^K = (E_K \vee M^K) \wedge (C_{K+1} \vee M^K)$$
$$= (E_K \vee M^K) \wedge C_K = E_K \vee M^K,$$

and hence  $C_{K+1} \wedge (E_K \vee M^K) \not\leq B_K$  and there exists a principal element  $E_{K+1} \leq C_{K+1} \wedge (E_K \vee M^K)$ ,  $E_{K+1} \not\leq B_K$ . If now  $E_{K+1}$ , ...,  $E_{K+n}$  are chosen so that  $E_{K+i+1} \leq C_{K+i+1} \wedge (E_{K+i} \vee M^{K+i})$  and  $E_{K+i+1} \not\leq B_K$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ , then also  $C_{K+n+1} \wedge (E_{K+n} \vee M^{K+n}) \not\leq B_K$ , and thus  $E_{K+n+1}$  can similarly be chosen. Setting  $E_i = E_K$  for  $1 \leq i \leq K$ , the element F of  $\mathscr{L}^*$  derived from  $\{E_i\}$  is principal with  $F \leq C$ . And since  $E_{K+i} \vee M^K \not\leq B_K$ ,  $F \not\leq B$ . It follows that every element of  $\mathscr{L}^*$  is the finite join of principal elements.

Now, for  $C \in \mathscr{L}$ , set  $C^* = \sum (C \vee M^i)$ . By Lemma 1.1, it follows that  $(B \vee C)^* = B^* \vee C^*$ ,  $(B \wedge C)^* = B^* \wedge C^*$ ,  $(BC)^* = B^*C^*$ , and  $(B:C)^* = B^*:C^*$ ; thus if we identify C with C\* we have the following result.

THEOREM 2.4. Let  $(\mathcal{L}, M)$  be a local Noether lattice. Then  $\mathcal{L}$  can be extended to a local Noether lattice  $(\mathcal{L}^*, M)$  such that

(i)  $\mathcal{L}^*$  is M-complete, (ii)  $\mathcal{L}^*/M^i = \mathcal{L}/M^i$  for all *i*, and (iii)  $\delta \mathcal{L}^* = \delta \mathcal{L}$ .

\_

## References

- 1. R. P. Dilworth, Abstract commutative ideal theory, Pacific J. Math. 12 (1962), 481-498.
- E. W. Johnson, A-transforms and Hilbert functions on local lattices, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (1969), 125–139.

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa; The University of Houston, Houston, Texas