

## ON DENSENESS OF CERTAIN NORMS IN BANACH SPACES

M. JIMÉNEZ SEVILLA AND J.P. MORENO

We give several results dealing with denseness of certain classes of norms with many vertex points. We prove that, in Banach spaces with the Mazur or the weak\* Mazur intersection property, every ball (convex body) can be uniformly approximated by balls (convex bodies) being the closed convex hull of their strongly vertex points. We also prove that given a countable set  $F$ , every norm can be uniformly approximated by norms which are locally linear at each point of  $F$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The problem of approximation of plane convex compacta by polygons has been investigated by many authors and there exist also some results in  $n$ -dimensional spaces for approximation of convex bodies by polytopes. In the infinite dimensional case, spaces where the unit ball of each of its finite dimensional subspaces is a polytope, called *polyhedral* spaces, have been largely studied (see, for instance [3] and references therein). However, the unit ball of a polyhedral space is not the only possible generalisation of polytopes for infinite dimensional spaces. Our approach to these questions finds its motivation in the following simple fact: it is well known that every ball in a finite dimensional space can be uniformly approximated by balls being the convex hull of its *vertex* points. The concept of *vertex* point in a polygon can be easily generalised to infinite dimensional Banach spaces. Thus, it is a natural question to ask whether every convex body in these spaces can be approximated by convex bodies being the closed convex hull of their vertex points. Assuming the continuum hypothesis, Kunen [10] constructed a non separable Banach space for which it has been shown in [9] that, for every equivalent norm, the set of denting points of the unit ball lies in a separable subspace. Therefore, no ball of this space can be expressed as the closed convex hull of its *denting* points. This property is shared by the Shelah space [15], constructed assuming the diamond principle for  $\aleph_1$ .

Nevertheless, we shall prove that there exists a wide class of infinite dimensional Banach spaces satisfying our desired approximation property. This problem is closely related to a geometric property, first studied by Mazur, [11] and later called the Mazur

---

Received 9th October, 1995.

Partially supported by DGICYT PB 93-0452

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/96 \$A2.00+0.00.

intersection property: *every bounded closed convex set can be represented as an intersection of closed balls*, and with its dual property, introduced in the remarkable paper of Giles, Gregory and Sims [6], called the weak\* Mazur intersection property: *every bounded weak\* closed convex set can be represented as an intersection of closed dual balls*.

During recent years, some authors focussed their attention on the study of these properties and their connections with differentiability (among others topics) in the geometry of Banach spaces. It is shown in this paper that every ball (convex body) in a Banach space with the Mazur intersection property can be uniformly approximated by balls (convex bodies) being the closed convex hull of their strongly vertex points. Also, we prove the same approximation property in Banach spaces having a dual with the weak\* Mazur intersection property. We give also some other results of approximation by norms with many vertex points in their unit ball. For instance, every ball in a separable Banach space can be uniformly approximated by norms having a *dense* set of vertex points in their unit sphere.

The second part of this paper concerns approximation by locally smooth norms. Recently, Georgiev [4] and Vanderwerff [16] proved that, given a Banach space  $X$  and a countable set  $F \subset X \setminus \{0\}$ , almost all (in the Baire sense) equivalent norms in  $X$  are Fréchet differentiable at each point of  $F$ . Then, it is quite natural to consider the validity of this result if we replace Fréchet by a higher order of smoothness. The answer is negative due to the fact that the set of Lipschitz smooth norms at a fixed point is always of first Baire category. This implies, for instance, that the set of twice Gâteaux smooth norms in a Banach space is always of first Baire category (and may be empty). On the other hand, the set of equivalent norms which are locally linear on an open set containing  $F$  is dense. To prove this result, a different argument than that provided in [13] to construct locally linear norms on open dense sets is required. Some results of this paper have been announced in [8].

## 2. VERTEX POINTS

We consider only infinite dimensional real Banach spaces. Given a Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  we denote by  $B_{\|\cdot\|}$  its closed unit ball and by  $(X^*, \|\cdot\|^*)$  its dual space. We denote by  $(N(X), \rho)$  the complete metric space of all equivalent norms on  $X$  endowed with the metric induced by the uniform convergence on bounded sets. Similarly,  $(N^*(X^*), \rho)$  denotes the complete metric space of all equivalent *dual* norms on  $(X^*, \|\cdot\|^*)$  endowed with the same metric. Given a functional  $f \in X^* \setminus \{0\}$  and  $0 < \rho \leq 1$ , the set

$$K(f, \rho) = \{x \in X : f(x) \geq \rho \|f\| \|x\|\}$$

is the cone generated by  $f$ . Let  $C$  be a subset of  $X$  and  $x \in C$ . The point  $x$  is a *vertex point* of  $C$  if there exist  $f \in X^* \setminus \{0\}$  and  $0 < \rho \leq 1$  such that  $C \subseteq x - K(f, \rho)$ ,

that is,

$$(2.1) \quad f(x - y) \geq \rho \|f\|^* \|x - y\|$$

for every  $y \in C$ . We also say that  $x$  is a vertex point of  $C$  with respect to  $f$ .

Let  $C$  be a closed, bounded convex set and  $x \in C$ . The point  $x$  is said to be a *strongly vertex point* of  $C$  if there exist a closed bounded convex subset  $D \subset C$  with  $x \notin D$  satisfying  $C = \text{conv}(\{x\} \cup D)$ . The set of (strongly) vertex points of  $C$  will be denoted as  $(\text{strver } C) \text{ ver } C$ . Given  $f \in X^* \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $\delta < \sup_C f$ , the set  $S(C, f, \delta) = \{x \in C : f(x) > \delta\}$  is a *slice* of  $C$ . A point  $x \in C$  is said to be a *denting point* of  $C$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $f \in X^*$  and  $0 < \delta < f(x)$  such that  $\text{diam } S(C, f, \delta) < \varepsilon$ . It is obvious that strongly vertex points of  $C$  are vertex points. Also, it can be easily deduced from (2.1) that vertex points are denting points. Let  $f \in X^* \setminus \{0\}$  be a functional attaining its maximum on  $C$ . The set

$$C_f = \left\{ x \in C : f(x) = \sup_C f \right\}$$

is called a *face* (with respect to  $f$ ) whenever it has nonempty interior in their relative topology. We denote this interior by  $\text{int } C_f$ . Suppose  $C \subset X^*$  a weak\* closed and bounded set. A point  $f \in C$  is said to be a *weak\* denting point* of  $C$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $x \in X$  and  $0 < \delta < f(x)$  such that  $\text{diam } S(C, x, \delta) < \varepsilon$ . The following lemma establishes the duality between vertex points and faces.

**LEMMA 2.1.** [12] *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $C$  a bounded, closed, convex set of  $X$  with  $0 \in \text{int } C$ ,  $C^\circ$  its polar set,  $f \in C^\circ$  and  $x \in C$ .*

- (i) *The set  $C_f = \{y \in C : f(y) = 1\}$  is a face of  $C$  with  $x \in \text{int } C_f$  if and only if  $f$  is a vertex point of  $C^\circ$  with respect to  $x$ .*
- (ii) *The set  $C_x^\circ = \{g \in C^\circ : g(x) = 1\}$  is a face of  $C^\circ$  with  $f \in \text{int } C_x^\circ$  if and only if  $x$  is a vertex point of  $C$  with respect to  $f$ .*

Our first approximation result shows that, given a Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  and a countable set  $\{x_n\} \subset X \setminus \{0\}$ , we can approximate  $\|\cdot\|$  by a norm  $|\cdot|$  with  $\{x_n/|x_n|\} \subset \text{ver } B_{|\cdot|}$ . To prove this result, we need the following simple but useful lemma.

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $C$  a bounded closed convex subset of  $X$  with  $0 \in \text{int } C$  and boundary  $\partial C$ . Let  $f \in X^* \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $F = \{x \in C : f(x) = \sup_C f\}$  is a face of  $C$ . Then, if  $g \in X^* \setminus \{0\}$  attains its maximum on  $C$  in the interior of  $F$ , there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $f = \alpha g$ .*

**PROOF:** Let us consider  $\ker f = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}$  and  $\ker g$ . If  $H = \ker f \cap \ker g$  is an hyperplane, obviously  $f = \alpha g$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume now that  $H$  is a subspace

of codimension two. If we consider  $y \in \ker f \setminus H$ , clearly  $|g(y)| > 0$ . Take  $x \in \text{int } F$  with  $g(x) = \sup_C g$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  with  $x + \varepsilon B_{\|\cdot\|} \cap \{x \in X : f(x) = \sup_C f\} \subset C$ . Defining

$$z = x + \varepsilon \text{ sign}(g(y)) \frac{y}{\|y\|} \quad ,$$

we have that  $z \in F \subset C$  and  $g(z) > g(x) = \sup_C g$ , a contradiction. □

Recall that, given  $\lambda > 1$ , the norm  $|\cdot|$  is said to be  $\lambda$ -isometric to the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  provided  $B_{\|\cdot\|} \subset B_{|\cdot|} \subset \lambda B_{\|\cdot\|}$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space and  $\{x_n\} \subset X \setminus \{0\}$ . Then, for each  $\lambda > 1$ , there exist a  $\lambda$ -isometric norm  $|\cdot|$  satisfying  $\{x_n / |x_n|\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \text{ver } B_{|\cdot|}$ .*

**PROOF:** We may assume that  $x_n \|x_n\|^{-1} \neq \pm x_m \|x_m\|^{-1}$  for every  $n \neq m$ . Consider  $B_0 = \lambda B_{\|\cdot\|}^*$  and define

$$B_1 = \{f \in B_0 : |f(x_1)| \leq \delta_1\}$$

where  $\|x_1\| < \delta_1 < \lambda \|x_1\|$ . Clearly,  $B_{\|\cdot\|}^* \subset \text{int } B_1$  and  $B_1$  is the unit ball of a dual norm containing the face  $\{f \in B_0 : f(x_1) = \delta_1\}$  in its unit sphere. Take  $0 < \mu_1 < 1$  so that  $\{f \in \mu_1 B_0 : |f(x_1)| > \delta_1\} \neq \emptyset$  and consider the set  $F_1 = \mu_1 B_0 \cap \partial B_1 \subset \{f \in B_1 : |f(x_1)| = \delta_1\}$ . Suppose that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\left\{ f \in B_1 : |f(x_2)| \geq \sup_{B_1} x_2 - \frac{1}{n} \right\} \cap F_1 \neq \emptyset .$$

Then  $F_1$  is weak\* compact and there exists  $f_0 \in F_1$  such that  $|f_0(x_2)| = \sup_{B_1} x_2$  which is impossible by Lemma 2.2. Analogously, it is not possible that

$$\left\{ f \in B_1 : |f(x_2)| > \sup_{B_1} x_2 - (1/n) \right\} \cap B_{\|\cdot\|}^* \neq \emptyset$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  since  $B_{\|\cdot\|}^* \subset \text{int } B_1$ . Hence, there is  $0 < \delta_2 < \sup_{B_1} x_2$  such that, defining

$$B_2 = \{f \in B_1 : |f(x_2)| \leq \delta_2\},$$

then  $B_{\|\cdot\|}^* \subset \text{int } B_2$  and  $F_1 \subset \partial B_2$ . We procede now inductively. Assume that  $B_n$  is already defined satisfying

$$(2.2) \quad B_{\|\cdot\|}^* \subset \text{int } B_n$$

$$(2.3) \quad F_k = \mu_k B_{k-1} \cap \partial B_k \subset \text{int}\{f \in \partial B_n : |f(x_k)| = \delta_k\} \quad k = 1, \dots, n - 1 .$$

Take  $0 < \mu_n < 1$  such that  $\{f \in \mu_n B_{n-1} : |f(x_n)| > \delta_n\} \neq \emptyset$  and define

$$F_{k+1} = \{f \in \mu_n B_{n-1} : |f(x_n)| > \delta_n\} \cap \partial B_n .$$

Using again that  $F_{k+1}$  is weak\* compact and Lemma 2.2, we can find, for each  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $0 < \delta_{n+1}^k < \sup_{B_n} x_{n+1}$  such that

$$\{f \in B_n : |f(x_{n+1})| \geq \delta_{n+1}^k\} \cap F_k = \emptyset$$

since, by (2.3),  $F_k$  lies in the interior of a face in  $B_n$ . Also, as  $B_{\|\cdot\|^*} \subset \text{int } B_n$ , there is  $\delta_{n+1}^0$  satisfying

$$B_{\|\cdot\|^*} \subset \{f \in B_n : |f(x_{n+1})| > \delta_{n+1}^0\} .$$

Let us consider now  $\delta_{n+1} = \max\{\delta_{n+1}^0, \delta_{n+1}^1, \dots, \delta_{n+1}^n\}$  and

$$B_{n+1} = \{f \in B_n : |f(x_{n+1})| \leq \delta_{n+1}\} .$$

The set  $B_{n+1}$  also satisfies (2.2) and (2.3). If we define  $B = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ , then the set  $B$  is a unit ball of a dual norm  $|\cdot|^*$  ( $B$  is weak\* closed) and  $B_{\|\cdot\|^*} \subset B \subset \lambda B_{\|\cdot\|^*}$ . Also, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $F_n \subset S_{|\cdot|^*}$ , and therefore every point of the sequence  $\{x_n/|x_n|\}$  induces a face in  $B_{|\cdot|}$ . This implies that  $x_n/|x_n| \in \text{ver } B_{|\cdot|}$ , as we wanted to prove.  $\square$

Note that  $x_n/|x_n|$  is a strongly vertex point of the dual (and predual) unit ball of  $B_m$ , for each  $m \geq n$ . Nevertheless, in general, it is not true that  $x_n/|x_n|$  is a strongly vertex point of  $B_{|\cdot|}$ , as the following corollary points out.

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a separable Banach space and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a dense set of points in the unit sphere. Then, for every  $\lambda > 1$ , there is a  $\lambda$ -isometric norm  $|\cdot|$  such that  $\{x_n/|x_n|\} \subseteq \text{ver } B_{|\cdot|}$ .*

On the other hand, it can be easily proved that the set of vertex points of a ball is always of first Baire category.

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space and  $F$  a countable dense set in  $X$ . Then, norms which are not Gâteaux differentiable in each point of  $F$  are dense in  $(N(X), \rho)$ .*

There exist some classical Banach spaces whose unit ball is the closed convex hull of its strongly vertex points. For instance,  $\ell_1$  and the Lorentz sequence space  $d(\omega, 1)$  with their usual norms have this property. Moreover, in both cases, every extreme point of the unit ball is a strongly vertex point. The class of Banach spaces admitting an equivalent norm with the unit ball being the closed convex hull of its strongly vertex points is considerably wide. Actually, this class includes every Banach space with

property  $\alpha$  [12] and, therefore, every Banach space admitting a biorthogonal system with cardinal equal to the density of the space [7]. Unfortunately, norms with the property  $\alpha$  are only known to be dense in superreflexive Banach spaces [14]. However, it is possible to give some positive results in this direction provided the space has the Mazur intersection property or the dual has the weak\* Mazur intersection property.

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space with the Mazur intersection property. Then, every equivalent norm in  $X$  can be uniformly approximated by norms with their unit balls being the closed convex hull of their strongly vertex points.*

**PROOF:** In [6] Banach spaces with the Mazur intersection property are characterised as those having in the unit sphere of its dual ball a dense set of weak\* denting points. It is shown in [5] that the set of all equivalent norms with the Mazur intersection property is residual in  $(N(X), \rho)$ . Thus, we only need consider an equivalent norm  $\|\cdot\|$  with a dense set of weak\* denting points in its dual unit sphere.

Let  $0 < \delta < 1$  and find a family  $(f_\alpha, x_\alpha, \rho_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ , with  $f_\alpha \in X^*$ ,  $\|f_\alpha\|^* = 1$ ,  $x_\alpha \in X$ ,  $\|x_\alpha\| = 1$ ,  $1 > \rho_\alpha \geq 1 - \delta$  and  $f_\alpha \in S(B_{\|\cdot\|^*, x_\alpha, \rho_\alpha})$ , which is maximal with respect to the condition

$$(2.4) \quad S(B_{\|\cdot\|^*, x_\alpha, \rho_\alpha}) \cap S(B_{\|\cdot\|^*, \varepsilon x_\beta, \rho_\beta}) = \emptyset, \quad \alpha \neq \beta, \varepsilon = \pm 1.$$

This family induces a dual equivalent norm  $|\cdot|^*$  in  $X^*$  with unit ball

$$B_{|\cdot|^*} = B_{\|\cdot\|^*} \setminus \bigcup_{\substack{\alpha \in I \\ \varepsilon = \pm 1}} S(B_{\|\cdot\|^*, \varepsilon x_\alpha, \rho_\alpha).$$

Obviously,  $(1 - \delta) \|\cdot\| \leq |\cdot| \leq \|\cdot\|$ . The maximality and the density of the weak\* denting points in  $S_{\|\cdot\|^*}$  imply that the subset

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \{f \in B_{\|\cdot\|^*} : |f(x_\alpha)| = \rho_\alpha\}$$

is dense in the unit sphere of  $B_{|\cdot|^*}$ . From this fact it can be deduced in the usual way that  $B_{|\cdot|} = \overline{\text{conv}}(\{\pm y_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I})$ , where  $y_\alpha = (1/\rho_\alpha)x_\alpha$ . Finally, we shall show that the points  $\{\pm y_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  are strongly vertex points. Consider  $\alpha \in I$ ; it follows from (2.4) that  $f_\alpha(y_\alpha) > 1$  and  $|f_\alpha(y_\beta)| \leq 1$  for every  $\beta \in I$ ,  $\beta \neq \alpha$ . This means that

$$y_\alpha \notin B_\alpha = \overline{\text{conv}}(\{\pm y_\beta\}_{\beta \in I \setminus \{\alpha\}} \cup \{-y_\alpha\}),$$

and obviously,  $B_{|\cdot|} = \text{conv}(B_\alpha \cup \{y_\alpha\})$ . The point  $-y_\alpha$  is also a strongly vertex point by symmetry. □

Let us denote by  $C_D$ ,  $C_V$  and  $C_S$  the classes of Banach spaces where every equivalent norm can be uniformly approximated by norms whose unit balls are the closed convex hull of their denting, vertex and strongly vertex points, respectively. Obviously,  $C_S \subseteq C_V \subseteq C_D$  and next proposition shows that, actually, these classes are the same.

**PROPOSITION 2.7.** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space such that its unit ball  $B_{\|\cdot\|}$  is the closed convex hull of its denting points. Then the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  can be uniformly approximated by norms with their unit balls being the closed convex hull of their strongly vertex points.*

**PROOF:** Let  $0 < \delta < 1$  and find a family of denting points  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  in  $X$ ,  $\|x_\alpha\| = 1$ , which is maximal with respect to the condition that for  $\alpha \neq \beta$

$$(2.5) \quad \|x_\alpha - x_\beta\| \geq \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \|x_\alpha + x_\beta\| \geq \delta.$$

Let  $B$  be the closed convex hull of  $(\pm x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  and  $|\cdot|$  the Minkowski functional of  $B$ . Clearly  $\|\cdot\| \leq |\cdot|$ . On the other hand, if we denote by  $|\cdot|^*$  the dual Minkowski functional of  $|\cdot|$  on  $X^*$ , for every denting point  $x$  of  $B_{\|\cdot\|}$  there is an  $\alpha \in I$  such that  $\|x - x_\alpha\| < \delta$  or  $\|x + x_\alpha\| < \delta$  and then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|^* &= \sup\{|f(x)|, \|x\| = 1\} \\ &= \sup\{|f(x)|, \|x\| = 1, x \in \text{dent } B_{\|\cdot\|}\} \\ &\leq \sup\{|f(x_\alpha)| + |f(y)| : \alpha \in I, \|y\| < \delta\} \\ &\leq |f|^* + \delta \|f\|^*, \end{aligned}$$

and this implies that  $\|\cdot\| \leq |\cdot| \leq (1 - \delta)^{-1} \|\cdot\|$ . From the fact that the points  $(\pm x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  are denting in  $B_{\|\cdot\|}$  and condition (2.5), we get that

$$x_\alpha \notin B_\alpha = \overline{\text{conv}}(\{\pm x_\beta\}_{\beta \in I \setminus \{\alpha\}} \cup \{-x_\alpha\})$$

and obviously,  $B_{|\cdot|} = \text{conv}(B_\alpha \cup \{x_\alpha\})$ . The point  $-x_\alpha$  is also a strongly vertex point by symmetry. □

**COROLLARY 2.8.** *Let  $X^*$  be a dual Banach space with the weak\* Mazur intersection property. Then, every equivalent norm in  $X$  can be uniformly approximated by norms with their unit balls being the closed convex hull of their strongly vertex points.*

**PROOF:** Banach spaces with the weak\* Mazur intersection property are characterised in [6] as those having in the unit sphere of their predual ball a dense set of denting points and it is shown in [5] that the set of all equivalent norms with the weak\* Mazur intersection property is residual in  $(N^*(X^*), \rho)$ . Therefore, we only need to apply Proposition 2.7. □

**COROLLARY 2.9.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space with a fundamental biorthogonal system. Then every norm can be uniformly approximated by norms with their unit balls being the closed convex hull of their strongly vertex points.*

**PROOF:** It is proved in [13] that for a Banach space  $X$  with a fundamental biorthogonal system,  $X^*$  can be equivalent renormed to have the weak\* Mazur intersection property. Hence, the assertion follows from Corollary 2.8. □

Recall that the Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  has property  $\alpha$  if there is  $\lambda$  with  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$  and a family  $\{x_\alpha, x_\alpha^*\}_{\alpha \in I} \subset X \times X^*$  with  $\|x_\alpha\| = \|x_\alpha^*\| = x_\alpha^*(x_\alpha) = 1$  such that,

(i) for  $\beta \neq \alpha$ ,  $|x_\alpha^*(x_\beta)| \leq \lambda$ ,

(ii)  $B_{\|\cdot\|} = \overline{\text{conv}}(\{\pm x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I})$ .

This property was introduced by Schachermayer [14] in the study of norm attaining operators. It could be interesting to know the relation between the class of Banach spaces with the Mazur intersection property or with dual having the weak\* Mazur intersection property and the class of Banach spaces admitting an equivalent norm with the property  $\alpha$ . The next result goes in this direction.

If  $\eta$  is a cardinal, recall that  $\text{cf}(\eta)$  is the smallest cardinal  $\beta$  such that there exists a sequence of cardinals  $\{\beta_i\}_{i < \beta}$  strictly less than  $\eta$  such that  $\eta = \sup\{\beta_i : i < \beta\}$ .

**COROLLARY 2.10.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space such that  $\text{dens} X = \eta$  with  $\text{cf}(\eta) > \aleph_0$  and  $X$  does not contain  $\ell_1$ . Suppose that  $X$  has the Mazur intersection property or  $X^*$  has the weak\* Mazur intersection property. Then,  $X$  can be equivalently renormed with the property  $\alpha$ .*

**PROOF:** By Proposition 2.6 and Corollary 2.8 there is a bounded family  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  in  $X$  with  $\text{card}(I) = \eta$  such that  $x_i \notin \overline{\text{conv}}(\{x_j\}_{j \in I \setminus \{i\}})$ . That means that there is a bounded family  $\{x_i, f_i\}_{i \in I} \subset X \times X^*$  and, for every  $i \in I$ , there is a  $\delta_i > 0$  satisfying  $f_i(x_i) = 1$  and  $|f_i(x_j)| \leq 1 - \delta_i$  for every  $j \neq i$ . Consider for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the set  $I_n = \{i \in I : \delta_i \geq 1/n\}$ . Clearly,  $I = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n$  and the hypothesis that  $\text{cf}(\eta) > \aleph_0$  implies that there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\text{card}(I_{n_0}) = \eta = \text{dens} X$ . Finally, we relabel the family  $\{x_i, f_i\}_{i \in I_{n_0}}$  as  $\{x_i^n, f_i^n\}_{i \in I, n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and apply [14, Theorem 4.1] to construct a norm with the property  $\alpha$ . □

A convex body is a bounded closed convex set having nonempty interior. Most of the previous approximation results for balls can be expressed in terms of convex bodies as is proved in the following results. We denote the boundary of  $C$  by  $\partial C$  and the interior of  $C$  by  $\text{int} C$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}(X)$  be the set of all convex, closed, bounded and nonempty subsets of  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{V}'(X) = \{C \in \mathcal{V}(X) : 0 \in \text{int} C\}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}(X^*)$  the set of all

convex, weak\*-compact and nonempty subsets of the dual space  $X^*$ , and  $\mathcal{V}'(X^*) = \{C \in \mathcal{V}(X^*) : 0 \in \text{int } C\}$ . Recall that  $C^\circ = \{f \in X^* : f(x) \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in C\}$  is the polar set of  $C \in \mathcal{V}(X)$  and, for  $C \in \mathcal{V}'(X^*)$ , the set  $C_\circ$  is  $C^\circ \cap X$ . The Hausdorff metric between two subsets of  $\mathcal{V}(X)$  is defined as follows:

$$h(C_1, C_2) = \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 : C_1 \subset C_2 + \varepsilon B_{\|\cdot\|}, C_2 \subset C_1 + \varepsilon B_{\|\cdot\|} \} .$$

It is well known that  $(\mathcal{V}(X), h)$  is a complete metric space and that  $\mathcal{V}'(X)$  is an open set of  $\mathcal{V}(X)$ . Given  $C \in \mathcal{V}'(X)$ , we say that  $X$  has the Mazur intersection property with respect to  $C$  if for every closed, convex, bounded set  $D$  and every point  $x \notin D$  there exists  $y \in X$  and  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $D \subset y + \lambda C$  and  $x \notin y + \lambda C$ . For a dual Banach space and  $C \in \mathcal{V}'(X^*)$  we consider the corresponding weak\* Mazur intersection property with respect to  $C$ . These two properties can be characterised in the same manner that the Mazur and weak\* Mazur intersection properties are in Theorem 2.1 and 3.1 of [6], with a similar proof.

**PROPOSITION 2.11.** (i) *A Banach space  $X$  has the Mazur intersection property with respect to  $C \in \mathcal{V}'(X)$  if and only if the weak\* denting points of  $C^\circ$  are dense in  $\partial C^\circ$ .*

(ii) *A dual Banach space  $X^*$  has the weak\* Mazur intersection property with respect to  $C \in \mathcal{V}'(X^*)$  if and only if the denting points of  $C_\circ$  are dense in  $\partial C_\circ$ .*

**PROPOSITION 2.12.** (i) *A Banach space  $X$  admits a norm with the Mazur intersection property if and only if there exists  $C \in \mathcal{V}'(X)$  such that the set of weak\* denting points of  $C^\circ$  is dense in  $\partial C^\circ$ .*

(ii) *A dual Banach space  $X^*$  admits a dual norm with the weak\* Mazur intersection property if and only if there exists  $C \in \mathcal{V}'(X^*)$  such that the set of denting points of  $C_\circ$  is dense in  $\partial C_\circ$ .*

**PROOF:** We prove only (i). Let us consider in  $X^*$  the functional  $\sigma_C(f) = \sup_{x \in C} f(x)$  and the dual norm

$$\|f\|^{*2} = \sigma_C^2(f) + \sigma_C^2(-f) .$$

It can be easily verified that  $f \|f\|^{*-1}$  is a weak\* denting point of  $B_{\|\cdot\|}^*$  whenever  $f\sigma_C(f)^{-1}$  or  $-f\sigma_C(-f)^{-1}$  is a weak\* denting point of  $C^\circ$ . □

The following result is similar to a characterisation given in [5]. The proof can be carried out in one direction directly from Theorem 2.12 and, in the other direction, using Proposition 2.11 and the techniques used in [5].

**PROPOSITION 2.13.** (i) *A Banach space  $X$  admits a norm with the Mazur intersection property if and only if there exists a dense  $G_\delta$  subset  $\mathcal{V}_0 \subset \mathcal{V}'(X)$  such that for every  $C \in \mathcal{V}_0$  the set of weak\* denting points of  $C^\circ$  is dense in  $\partial C^\circ$ .*

(ii) A dual Banach space  $X^*$  admits a norm with the weak\* Mazur intersection property if and only if there exists a dense  $G_\delta$  subset  $\mathcal{V}_0 \subset \mathcal{V}'(X^*)$  such that for every  $C \in \mathcal{V}_0$  the set of denting points of  $C_o$  is dense in  $\partial C_o$ .

As a consequence of the previous results and using analogous arguments to those in Theorem 2.6 and Proposition 2.7 for approximation of balls, we have the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 2.14.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space with the Mazur intersection property or such that  $X^*$  has the weak\* Mazur intersection property. Then, every convex body in  $X$  can be approximated (in the Hausdorff metric) by convex bodies being the closed convex hull of its strongly vertex points.*

Given a Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ , the set  $\text{strver } B_{\|\cdot\|}$  is discrete and this implies that  $\text{card}(\text{strver } B_{\|\cdot\|}) \leq \text{dens } X$ . Surprisingly, this is not the case with the set of vertex points, as we can see in the following example.

**EXAMPLE 2.15.** The usual norm of  $\ell_1$  can be uniformly approximated by equivalent norms with uncountably many vertex points.

**PROOF:** For each  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \{-1, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$ , set  $x_\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_j/2^j)_{j=1}^\infty$ . Then  $x_\varepsilon \in \ell_1$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \ell_\infty$  and  $\|x_\varepsilon\|_1 = 1 = \|\varepsilon\|_\infty = \varepsilon(x_\varepsilon)$ . For every  $0 < \delta < 1/4$ , we consider the family of cones  $K_\varepsilon = x_\varepsilon - K(\varepsilon, \delta)$  for  $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$ . We shall show that for every  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \{-1, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$ ,

- (1) the point  $x_{\varepsilon'} \in K_\varepsilon$ ,
- (2) if  $B_1$  is the usual unit ball of  $\ell_1$ , then  $(1 - 4\delta)B_1 \subseteq K_\varepsilon$ .

The first assertion follows from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(x_\varepsilon - x_{\varepsilon'}) &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\varepsilon_j(\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon'_j)}{2^j} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{|\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon'_j|}{2^j} = \|x_\varepsilon - x_{\varepsilon'}\|_1 \\ &\geq \delta \|x_\varepsilon - x_{\varepsilon'}\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain (1). Consider now  $x \in (1 - 4\delta)B_1$ , then

$$\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon - x) = 1 - \varepsilon(x) \geq 1 - (1 - 4\delta) > 2\delta \geq \delta \|x_\varepsilon - x\|_1,$$

and this implies (2). The set  $B = \bigcap_{\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^\mathbb{N}} K_\varepsilon$  is closed, convex, symmetric, bounded and contains a neighbourhood of 0. More precisely

$$(2.6) \quad (1 - 4\delta)B_1 \subseteq B \subseteq B_1.$$

The first inclusion is obvious from (2). For the second, let us consider  $x \in B$  and  $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|x\|_1 = \varepsilon(x)$ . The point  $x \in K_\varepsilon$ , so we have

$$1 - \|x\|_1 = 1 - \varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon(x_\varepsilon - x) \geq \delta \|x_\varepsilon - x\|_1,$$

and we obtain  $1 \geq 1 - \delta \|x_\epsilon - x\|_1 \geq \|x\|_1$ . It follows from (2.6) that  $B$  is the unit ball of an equivalent norm  $\|\cdot\|$  in  $\ell_1$ . Using (1) we have that  $x_\epsilon \in B$ . The fact that  $B \subseteq K_\epsilon$  implies that  $x_\epsilon$  is a vertex point of the unit ball of  $\|\cdot\|$ .  $\square$

Observe that, according to Lemma 2.1,  $\text{card}(\text{ver } B_{\|\cdot\|}) \leq \text{dens } X^*$  since different vertex points produce different faces with disjoint interiors in  $B_{\|\cdot\|}$ . On the other hand, if we consider the Kunen space  $\mathcal{K}$  mentioned above and an equivalent norm  $\|\cdot\|$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ , there is a separable subspace  $H \subseteq \mathcal{K}$  such that  $\text{ver } B_{\|\cdot\|} \subseteq H \cap B_{\|\cdot\|}$  [9]. Therefore,  $\text{ver } B_{\|\cdot\|} \subseteq \text{ver}(H \cap B_{\|\cdot\|})$ . Also,  $\mathcal{K}$  is a nonseparable Asplund space and thus

$$\text{card}(\text{ver } B_{\|\cdot\|}) \leq \text{dens } H^* = \text{dens } H = \aleph_0 < \text{dens } \mathcal{K}^*.$$

It could be interesting then to determine the class of Banach spaces  $X$  for which there exists an equivalent norm  $\|\cdot\|$  with  $\text{card}(\text{ver } B_{\|\cdot\|}) = \text{dens } X^*$ .

3. APPROXIMATION BY LOCALLY LINEAR NORMS. DIFFERENTIABILITY PROPERTIES

We say that a norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on a Banach space  $X$  is locally linear at a point  $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$  provided there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  and a functional  $f \in X^* \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\|y\| = f(y)$  for all  $y \in U$ . Every Banach space admits a locally linear norm on a dense open set [12]. Moreover, the set of norms with this property is dense in Banach spaces with dual having the weak\* Mazur intersection property [13]. The following theorem, in the same direction as these results, is the key to proving the validity of the Georgiev and Vanderwerff result [5, 16] concerning denseness of smooth norms at a fixed sequence for higher orders of smoothness.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space and  $\{x_n\}$  a sequence of points in  $X \setminus \{0\}$ . For each  $\lambda > 1$  there exist a  $\lambda$ -isometric norm  $|\cdot|$  which is locally linear in a neighbourhood of  $\{x_n\}$ .*

**PROOF:** We construct in  $X^*$  a sequence of dual norms  $\|\cdot\|_n^*$  in the following way. Choose a strictly decreasing sequence  $\{\gamma_n\}$  converging to  $1/2$  such that  $1/2 < \gamma_n < 1$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that  $\|x_1\| = 1$  and take  $f_1 \in X^*$ , with  $\|f_1\|^* = 1 = f_1(x_1)$ . Then, if we select  $1 < \lambda_1 < 1 + (\log \lambda)/2$ , the set

$$B_{\|\cdot\|_1^*} = \text{conv}(\{\pm \lambda_1 f_1\} \cup B_{\|\cdot\|})$$

which is obviously weak\* closed is the unit ball of  $\|\cdot\|_1^*$ . The point  $g_1 = \lambda_1 f_1$  is a vertex with respect to  $x_1$ . Then, there exist  $0 < \rho_1 \leq 1$  such that

$$B_{\|\cdot\|_1^*} \subseteq g_1 - K(x_1, \rho_1).$$

Suppose we have constructed a dual norm  $\|\cdot\|_n^*$ , such that there are different points  $h_j^n$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k_n$ , in  $S_{\|\cdot\|_n^*}$  such that for every  $x_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , there exists  $j(i) \in \{1, \dots, k_n\}$  satisfying

$$(3.1) \quad B_{\|\cdot\|_n^*} \subseteq h_{j(i)}^n - K(x_i, \rho_i \gamma_n).$$

Assume that  $\|x_{n+1}\|_n = 1$  and take  $f_{n+1}$  such that  $\|f_{n+1}\|_n^* = 1 = f_{n+1}(x_{n+1})$ . Then:

- (a) if  $f_{n+1} \notin \{\pm h_j^n, j = 1, \dots, k_n\}$ , we take  $1 < \lambda_{n+1} < 1 + (\log \lambda)/2^{n+1}$  such that, if  $g_{n+1} = \lambda_{n+1} f_{n+1}$ ,

$$(3.2) \quad \pm g_{n+1} \in h_{j(i)}^n - K(x_i, \rho_i \gamma_{n+1}) \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

- (b) if  $f_{n+1} \in \{\pm h_j^n, j = 1, \dots, k_n\}$ , say  $f_{n+1} = h_1^n = g_1$ , we can find  $1 < \lambda_{n+1} < 1 + (\log \lambda)/2^{n+1}$  such that  $g_{n+1} = \lambda_{n+1} f_{n+1}$  satisfies (3.2) whenever  $j(i) \neq 1$ . If  $j(i) = 1$  then

$$(3.3) \quad g_1 - K(x_i, \rho_i \gamma_{n+1}) \subseteq g_{n+1} - K(x_i, \rho_i \gamma_{n+1}).$$

Define now the unit ball of  $\|\cdot\|_{n+1}^*$  as

$$B_{\|\cdot\|_{n+1}^*} = \text{conv}(\{\pm g_{n+1}\} \cup B_n^*).$$

In case (a), by (3.1) and (3.2) we have that there exist  $h_j^{n+1}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k_{n+1}$ , vertex points in the unit sphere of  $B_{\|\cdot\|_{n+1}^*}$  such that for every  $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$ , there exists  $j(i) \in \{1, \dots, k_{n+1}\}$  satisfying

$$B_{\|\cdot\|_{n+1}^*} \subseteq h_{j(i)}^{n+1} - K(x_i, \rho_i \gamma_{n+1}).$$

In the second case we can deduce it from (3.2) for  $j(i) \neq 1$  and (3.3) for  $j(i) = 1$ .

Now, it can be easily proved that the sequence of dual norms  $\|\cdot\|_n^*$  converges in  $(N^*(X^*), \rho)$  to a dual norm  $|\cdot|^*$  which is  $\lambda$ -isometric to  $\|\cdot\|_n^*$ . The norm  $|\cdot|^*$  satisfies the property that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a vertex point  $h_n$  in its unit sphere such that

$$B_{|\cdot|^*} \subseteq h_n - K(x_n, \rho_n/2).$$

By Lemma 2.1(i), the predual norm  $|\cdot|$  in  $X$  is locally linear in a neighbourhood of  $\{x_n\}$ . □

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a separable Banach space and  $F$  a countable dense subset of  $X \setminus \{0\}$ . For every  $\lambda > 1$  there exists a  $\lambda$ -isometric norm which is locally linear in an open dense set containing  $F$ .*

Once we have solved the problem of denseness, we are concerned with a Baire category question. Let  $\varphi$  be a real valued function defined on an open subset  $D$  of a

Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  and  $x \in D$ . The function  $\varphi$  is Lipschitz smooth at  $x$  if there exist  $M > 0$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , and  $f \in X^*$  such that

$$|\varphi(x+h) - \varphi(x) - f(h)| \leq M \|h\|^2$$

whenever  $h \in X$ ,  $\|h\| \leq \delta$ . It can be deduced [2] that the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $X$  is Lipschitz smooth at  $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$  if and only if there exists  $M > 0$  such that

$$\|x+h\|^2 + \|x-h\|^2 - 2\|x\|^2 \leq M \|h\|^2, \quad \text{for every } h \in X.$$

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $F$  a countable subset of  $X \setminus \{0\}$ . The set of norms in  $(N(X), \rho)$  Lipschitz smooth in a neighbourhood of  $F$  is dense and first Baire category.*

**PROOF:** The denseness follows from Theorem 3.1. The second assertion follows from the fact that the set  $\mathcal{L}_{x_0}$  of Lipschitz smooth norms at a fixed point  $x_0$  is always of first Baire category. Indeed, let us consider, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \left\{ \|\cdot\| \in (N(X), \rho) : \|x_0+h\|^2 + \|x_0-h\|^2 - 2\|x_0\|^2 \leq n \|h\|^2, \text{ for } h \in X \right\}.$$

Obviously,  $\mathcal{L}_{x_0} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n$ . The sets  $\mathcal{F}_n$  are closed with empty interior since the set of norms which are not Gâteaux smooth at  $x_0$  is dense in  $(N(X), \rho)$ .  $\square$

It seems to be still an open question whether the set of Fréchet differentiable norms is of second category. This is the case, for instance, in Banach spaces with dual locally uniformly rotund.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Deville, G. Godefroy and V. Zizler, *Smoothness and renormings in Banach spaces*, Monograph Surveys Pure Appl. Math. **64** (Pitman, 1993).
- [2] M. Fabian, 'Lipschitz smooth points of convex functions and isomorphic characterizations of Hilbert spaces', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **51** (1985), 113–126.
- [3] V. Fonf, 'Three characterizations of polyhedral Banach spaces', *Ukrain. Math. J.* **42** (1990), 1145–1148.
- [4] P.G. Georgiev, 'Fréchet differentiability of convex functions in separable Banach spaces', *C.R. Acad. Bulgare. Sci.* **43** (1990), 13–15.
- [5] P.G. Georgiev, 'On the residuality of the set of norms having Mazur's intersection property', *Math. Balkanica* **5** (1991), 20–26.
- [6] J.R. Giles, D.A. Gregory and B. Sims, 'Characterization of normed linear spaces with Mazur's intersection property', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **18** (1978), 471–476.

- [7] B.V. Godun and S.L. Troyanski, 'Renorming Banach spaces with fundamental biorthogonal system', *Contemp. Math.* **144** (1993), 119–126.
- [8] M. Jiménez Sevilla and J.P. Moreno, 'The Mazur intersection property and Asplund spaces', *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Série. I Math.* **321** (1995), 1219–1223.
- [9] M. Jiménez Sevilla and J.P. Moreno, 'Renorming Banach spaces with the Mazur intersection property', *J. Funct. Anal.* (to appear).
- [10] K. Kunen and J. Vaughan, *Handbook of set theoretic topology* (North Holland, 1984).
- [11] S. Mazur, 'Über schwache Konvergenz in den Raumen  $L^p$ ', *Studia Math.* **4** (1933), 128–133.
- [12] J.P. Moreno, 'Geometry of Banach spaces with  $(\alpha, \varepsilon)$ -property or  $(\beta, \varepsilon)$ -property', *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* (to appear).
- [13] J.P. Moreno, 'On the weak\* Mazur intersection property and Fréchet differentiable norms on dense open sets', *Bull. Sci. Math.* (to appear).
- [14] W. Schachermayer, 'Norm attaining operators and renormings of Banach spaces', *Israel J. Math.* **44** (1983), 201–212.
- [15] S. Shelah, 'Uncountable constructions for B. A., e. c. and Banach spaces', *Israel J. Math.* **51** (1985), 273–297.
- [16] J. Vanderwerff, 'Fréchet differentiable norms on spaces of countable dimension', *Arch. Math.* **58** (1992), 471–476.

Departamento de Analisis Matematico  
Facultad de Matematicas  
Universidad Complutense de Madrid  
Madrid 28040  
Spain  
e-mail: marjim@sunam1.mat.ucm.es  
moreno@sunam1.mat.ucm.es