

SOME TRIANGLE INEQUALITIES AND GENERALIZATIONS

BY

C. E. CARROLL, C. C. YANG AND S. AHN

ABSTRACT. Let $D_{n,s}(x) = \Pi(-sa_1^x + a_2^x + \dots + a_n^x)$, where a_i, s , and x are real, and Π denotes the product over cyclic rearrangements of the subscripts. We show that, in five special cases, $D_{n,s}(x)D_{n,s}(y)$ is greater than a fixed multiple of $D_{n,s}(x+y)$.

Introduction and results. A plane triangle ABC has an incircle of radius r and a circumcircle of radius R . By a known trigonometric formula [5, p. 200],

$$2r^2 - 4R^2 \cos A \cos B \cos C = IP^2 \geq 0.$$

In terms of the sides of the triangle, we obtain

$$(1) \quad (-a+b+c)^2(a-b+c)^2(a+b-c)^2 \geq (-a^2+b^2+c^2)(a^2-b^2+c^2)(a^2+b^2-c^2).$$

The functions appearing here are special cases of the general function

$$D_{n,s}(x) = \Pi(-sa_1^x + a_2^x + a_3^x + \dots + a_n^x),$$

where s and x are real and Π denotes the product over the n cyclic rearrangements of the subscripts. Although (1) holds for all real a, b , and c , our theorems 1, 2, 3, and 5 are valid only for positive values of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n . The inequalities connecting $D_{n,s}(x)D_{n,s}(y)$ and $D_{n,s}(x+y)$ seem not to appear in standard treatises on inequalities [1, 4, 7], but we shall mention a slight connection between the work of Gårding [3] and the proof of

THEOREM 1. *If $n > 1$ and $s \leq 0$, then $D_{n,s}(x)D_{n,s}(y) > (-s)D_{n,s}(x+y)$.*

The inequalities for positive values of s are more interesting and more difficult.

THEOREM 2.

$$(2) \quad [D_{4,1}(1)]^2 > 3D_{4,1}(2).$$

We remark that (2) becomes an equality if we set $a_1 = a_2 = a_3$ and take the limit as $a_4 \rightarrow 0$. If we let $a_4 \rightarrow 0$ and then use the elementary inequality $3(a^2 + b^2 + c^2) \geq (a+b+c)^2$, we recover (1).

Received by the editors September 30, 1977 and, in revised form, February 20, 1979.

Jensen’s inequality [6] suggests the following generalizations:

THEOREM 3. *If x and y are positive, then*

$$(3) \quad D_{3,1}(x)D_{3,1}(y) \geq D_{3,1}(x+y),$$

with equality iff $a_1 = a_2 = a_3$.

THEOREM 4. *If $a_1, a_2, s, x,$ and y are positive, or if $s > 0$ and x and y are positive integers,*

$$(4) \quad D_{2,s}(x)D_{2,s}(y) \geq (1-s)^2 D_{2,s}(x+y),$$

with equality only if $|a_1| = |a_2|$.

Finally, we shall prove

THEOREM 5. *Suppose $a_1^{x+y}, a_2^{x+y}, a_3^{x+y}$ are the sides of a triangle. If x and y are positive and $s \leq 1,$ then*

$$(5) \quad D_{3,s}(x)D_{3,s}(y) > 2(1-s)^2 D_{3,s}(x+y).$$

Proof of Theorem 1. The proof is trivial if $s = 0$. If $n > 1$ and $s < 0,$ we have $D_{n,s}(x)D_{n,s}(y) > \Pi(s^2 a_1^{x+y} + a_2^{x+y} + \dots + a_n^{x+y})$. The quantity on the right is greater than $(-s)D_{n,s}(x+y),$ except that it is equal to $D_{n,s}(x+y)$ when $s = -1$. To prove this, we need

LEMMA 1. *If A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are positive, $n > 1, s < 0,$ and $s \neq -1,$ then*

$$(6) \quad \Pi(s^2 A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_n) + s \Pi(-s A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_n)$$

is positive.

The proof can be done by induction on n or by use of Cauchy’s inequality followed by Hölder’s inequality. We plan to give full details and extensions of Lemma 1 in a separate publication. We conjecture that the polynomial (6) is hyperbolic in the sense of Gårding [3].

Proof of Theorem 2. If the numbers $a_1 \dots a_4$ are not all distinct, the inequality is easily proved. Let $a_1 = a_2$. Then

$$D_{4,1}(1) = 4a_2^2(a_3 + a_4)^2 - (a_3^2 - a_4^2)^2$$

and

$$D_{4,1}(2) = 4a_2^4(a_3^2 + a_4^2)^2 - (a_3^2 - a_4^2)^2(a_3^2 + a_4^2)^2.$$

Hence,

$$12a_2^4(a_3 + a_4)^4 - 3(a_3^2 - a_4^2)^4 > 3D_{4,1}(2).$$

Finally,

$$[D_{4,1}(1)]^2 - 12a_2^4(a_3 + a_4)^4 + 3(a_3^2 - a_4^2)^4 = [2a_2^2(a_3 + a_4)^2 - 2(a_3^2 - a_4^2)^2]^2 \geq 0.$$

In the general case, we can write $D_{4,1}(1)$ and $D_{4,1}(2)$ in terms of the elementary symmetric functions $\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_4$. They are defined by

$$(7) \quad \Pi(x - a_i) \equiv x^4 - \sigma_1 x^3 + \sigma_2 x^2 - \sigma_3 x + \sigma_4.$$

Some computations give

$$\begin{aligned} D_{4,1}(1) &= -\sigma_1^4 + 4\sigma_1^2\sigma_2 - 8\sigma_1\sigma_3 + 16\sigma_4, \\ D_{4,1}(2) &= -(\sigma_1^2 - 2\sigma_2)^4 + 4(\sigma_1^2 - 2\sigma_2)^2(\sigma_2^2 - 2\sigma_1\sigma_3 + 2\sigma_4) \\ &\quad - 8(\sigma_1^2 - 2\sigma_2)(\sigma_3^2 - 2\sigma_2\sigma_4) + 16\sigma_4^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(8) \quad [D_{4,1}(1)]^2 - 3D_{4,1}(2) = P(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3) - 8(7\sigma_1^4 - 22\sigma_1^2\sigma_2 + 32\sigma_1\sigma_3 - 26\sigma_4)\sigma_4,$$

where $P(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ is a polynomial. We shall show that (8) is a decreasing function of σ_4 , when $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ are fixed. Then, if (8) is positive at the largest allowed value of σ_4 , it is always positive. If (7) has distinct real zeroes, its value at each local minimum must be negative. We may increase σ_4 until the value at one of the local minima is zero; then two zeroes coincide and we have the case first considered. Thus, (8) is always positive.

To show that (8) is a decreasing function of σ_4 , we need inequalities relating the symmetric functions. We shall use the method of Breusch [2] to show that

$$(9) \quad 13\sigma_1^3 - 44\sigma_1\sigma_2 + 64\sigma_3 > 0.$$

By the arithmetic-geometric inequality, $\sigma_1^4 \geq 4^4\sigma_4 > 104\sigma_4$. Combining this with (9) gives $7\sigma_1^4 - 22\sigma_1^2\sigma_2 + 32\sigma_1\sigma_3 - 52\sigma_4 > 0$, showing that (8) is a decreasing function of σ_4 .

Our last step is to prove (9). Since the left side is a homogeneous polynomial, we can set $\sigma_1 = 1$ without loss of generality. Since σ_3 is positive, (9) holds when $\sigma_2 < \frac{1}{4}$. Hence, we assume $\sigma_2 \geq \frac{1}{4}$. The polynomial (7) has four real zeroes. Its derivative,

$$4[(x - \frac{1}{4})^3 + (\frac{1}{2}\sigma_2 - \frac{3}{16})(x - \frac{1}{4}) + (\frac{1}{8}\sigma_2 - \frac{1}{4}\sigma_3 - \frac{1}{32})],$$

must have three real zeroes. We compute the discriminant of this cubic polynomial, as suggested by Breusch [2], obtaining

$$(10) \quad 32(\sigma_2 - \frac{3}{8})^3 + 27(\sigma_2 - 2\sigma_3 - \frac{1}{4})^2 \leq 0.$$

But the quantity on the left is positive, unless $\sigma_2 \leq \frac{3}{8}$. This bound for σ_2 could be obtained more easily from Maclaurin's inequality [1, 4]. From (10), we have

$$13 - 44\sigma_2 + 64\sigma_3 \geq 5 - 12\sigma_2 - 8(1 - \frac{8}{3}\sigma_2)^{3/2}.$$

We now minimize the function on the right side, using the bounds for σ_2 . Since this function has a negative second derivative at interior points of the interval $[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{8}]$, the minimum is at one of the end points. The values at both end points are positive, which completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 3. We shall prove (3) and

$$(11) \quad D_{3,1}(x)D_{3,1}(y) \leq \left[D_{3,1}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \right]^2$$

by use of Jensen’s inequality [6]. Most of the relevant properties of $D_{3,1}(x)$ are stated in two lemmas.

LEMMA 2. *If $D_{3,1}(x) \neq 0$, then*

$$(12) \quad \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \log |D_{3,1}(x)| \leq 0,$$

with equality iff $a_1 = a_2 = a_3$.

Proof. We may assume $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3$ without loss of generality. Since $D_{3,1}(x) \neq 0$, no vanishing denominators appear in

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \log |D_{3,1}(x)| = & \frac{-a_1^x a_2^x \left(\log \frac{a_1}{a_2}\right)^2 + a_2^x a_3^x \left(\log \frac{a_2}{a_3}\right)^2 - a_3^x a_1^x \left(\log \frac{a_3}{a_1}\right)^2}{(-a_1^x + a_2^x + a_3^x)^2} \\ & + \frac{-a_1^x a_2^x \left(\log \frac{a_1}{a_2}\right)^2 - a_2^x a_3^x \left(\log \frac{a_2}{a_3}\right)^2 + a_3^x a_1^x \left(\log \frac{a_3}{a_1}\right)^2}{(-a_1^x + a_2^x + a_3^x)^2} \\ & + \frac{a_1^x a_2^x \left(\log \frac{a_1}{a_2}\right)^2 - a_2^x a_3^x \left(\log \frac{a_2}{a_3}\right)^2 - a_3^x a_1^x \left(\log \frac{a_3}{a_1}\right)^2}{(a_1^x + a_2^x - a_3^x)^2} \end{aligned}$$

The first numerator is never positive, and $(-a_1^x + a_2^x + a_3^x)^{-2} \geq (a_1^x - a_2^x + a_3^x)^{-2}$. Hence, $-2a_1^x a_2^x \left(\log \frac{a_1}{a_2}\right)^2 (a_1^x - a_2^x + a_3^x)^{-2}$ is an upper bound for the first two terms on the right side of (13). Further estimation gives

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \log |D_{3,1}(x)| \leq \frac{-a_1^x a_2^x \left(\log \frac{a_1}{a_2}\right)^2 - a_2^x a_3^x \left(\log \frac{a_2}{a_3}\right)^2 - a_3^x a_1^x \left(\log \frac{a_3}{a_1}\right)^2}{(a_1^x + a_2^x - a_3^x)^2},$$

which is negative unless $a_1 = a_2 = a_3$. We note that (12) is also valid when $x \leq 0$.

The uniqueness of the positive real zero of $D_{3,1}(x)$ is essential for the proof of Theorem 3. This uniqueness follows from

LEMMA 3. $D_{3,1}(x)/(a_1 a_2 a_3)^x$ is a monotonic decreasing function of x , unless $a_1 = a_2 = a_3$.

Proof. If x is less than the first positive zero of $D_{3,1}(x)$, we note that $\frac{d}{dx} \log \frac{D_{3,1}(x)}{(a_1 a_2 a_3)^x}$ vanishes at $x = 0$, and use Lemma 2 to show that $\log \frac{D_{3,1}(x)}{(a_1 a_2 a_3)^x}$

is decreasing, unless $a_1 = a_2 = a_3$. If $D_{3,1}(x) \leq 0$, we may assume $a_1 > a_2 \geq a_3$ without loss of generality. Then

$$-\frac{D_{3,1}(x)}{(a_1 a_2 a_3)^x} = \left[1 - \left(\frac{a_2}{a_1}\right)^x - \left(\frac{a_3}{a_1}\right)^x \right] \left[\left(\frac{a_1}{a_2}\right)^x + 1 - \left(\frac{a_3}{a_2}\right)^x \right] \left[\left(\frac{a_1}{a_3}\right)^x - \left(\frac{a_2}{a_3}\right)^x + 1 \right]$$

is the product of three increasing functions; the first factor is non-negative and the other two are positive.

We can now prove (11). If $D_{3,1}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) = 0$ and $x \neq y$, then Lemma 3 implies $D_{3,1}(x)D_{3,1}(y) < 0$. If $D_{3,1}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \neq 0$, then either $D_{3,1}(x)$ or $D_{3,1}(y)$ has the same sign as $D_{3,1}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)$. If all three of them have the same sign, we obtain (11) from Lemma 2 and Jensen's inequality; if not, (11) still holds.

Theorem 3 is easily verified if $D_{3,1}(x)D_{3,1}(y)D_{3,1}(x+y) = 0$. If $D_{3,1}(x+y) > 0$, then $D_{3,1}(x)$ and $D_{3,1}(y)$ are positive. Using Lemma 2 and Jensen's inequality, we find

$$(14) \quad \log D_{3,1}(x) \geq \frac{x}{x+y} \log D_{3,1}(x+y) + \frac{y}{x+y} \log D_{3,1}(0) = \frac{x}{x+y} \log D_{3,1}(x+y)$$

and, similarly,

$$(15) \quad \log D_{3,1}(y) \geq \frac{y}{x+y} \log D_{3,1}(x+y).$$

In both cases, equality holds iff $a_1 = a_2 = a_3$. Addition of (14) and (15) gives (3). If $D_{3,1}(x+y) < 0$, the proof is trivial unless $D_{3,1}(x)$ and $D_{3,1}(y)$ have opposite signs. we assume $D_{3,1}(x) < 0 < D_{3,1}(y)$, and use Lemma 3 to show

$$\frac{|D_{3,1}(x)|}{(a_1 a_2 a_3)^x} < \frac{|D_{3,1}(x+y)|}{(a_1 a_2 a_3)^{x+y}}$$

and

$$\frac{D_{3,1}(y)}{(a_1 a_2 a_3)^y} < D_{3,1}(0) = 1.$$

Therefore, $|D_{3,1}(x)| D_{3,1}(y) < |D_{3,1}(x+y)|$, which completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 4. If a_1 and a_2 are positive, then $D_{2,s}(0) = (1-s)^2$,

$$\frac{D_{2,s}(x)}{(a_1 a_2)^x} = 1 + s^2 - s \left[\left(\frac{a_1}{a_2}\right)^x + \left(\frac{a_2}{a_1}\right)^x \right]$$

is a non-increasing function of x , and the proof of (4) is similar to that of (3). If $a_1 a_2 \geq 0$, or if $a_1 + a_2 = 0$, (4) is easily verified. Thus, we assume $a_1 a_2 < 0$ and $a_1 + a_2 \neq 0$. If x is an odd integer, then $D_{2,s}(x) < 0$ and

$$\frac{|D_{2,s}(x)|}{|a_1 a_2|^x} = 1 + s^2 + s \left(\left| \frac{a_1}{a_2} \right|^x + \left| \frac{a_2}{a_1} \right|^x \right)$$

is an increasing function of x . If y is even, this gives

$$\frac{|D_{2,s}(x)|}{|a_1 a_2|^x} < \frac{|D_{2,s}(x+y)|}{|a_1 a_2|^{x+y}},$$

and we also have

$$\frac{D_{2,s}(y)}{(a_1 a_2)^y} < D_{2,s}(0) = (1-s)^2.$$

Hence, $|D_{2,s}(x)| D_{2,s}(y) < (1-s)^2 |D_{2,s}(x+y)|$. In this way, (4) is proved when x and y are integers of opposite parity. If x and y are both odd, $D_{2,s}(x+y)$ is unchanged when we replace a_1 and a_2 by their absolute values, while $D_{2,s}(x)D_{2,s}(y)$ decreases, so (4) must hold. Finally, (4) holds when x and y are both even.

Proof of Theorem 5. Since $a_1^{x+y}, a_2^{x+y}, a_3^{x+y}$ form a triangle, $D_{3,1}(x+y)$ is positive; but (5) remains valid if it vanishes. To prove this, we shall use

$$(16) \quad 0 \leq D_{3,1}(x+y) \leq (a_1 a_2 a_3)^{x+y}.$$

To prove the second half of this inequality, let $x+y=1$; then

$$2a_1 a_2 a_3 - 2D_{3,1}(1) = (-a_1 + a_2 + a_3)(a_2 - a_3)^2 + (a_1 - a_2 + a_3)(a_1 - a_3)^2 + (a_1 + a_2 - a_3)(a_1 - a_2)^2$$

is non-negative.

The inequality published by Jensen [6] and Pringsheim [8], and attributed to Lüröth, is useful. It implies that both triples (a_1^x, a_2^x, a_3^x) and (a_1^y, a_2^y, a_3^y) satisfy triangle inequalities. Hence,

$$(17) \quad D_{3,1}(x) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D_{3,1}(y) > 0,$$

and (5) holds when $s=1$.

If $s \leq 0$, we note that the sign of the inequality in Lemma 2 is reversed. Jensen’s inequality gives $D_{3,s}(x)D_{3,s}(y) \geq \left[D_{3,s}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \right]^2$. To prove (5), we shall show that

$$\left[D_{3,s}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \right]^2 > 2(1-s)^2 D_{3,s}(x+y).$$

As in the previous paragraph, we have $D_{3,s}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) > 0$. We set $x+y=2$, without loss of generality. Then

$$D_{3,s}(1) = -s\sigma_1^3 + (s+1)^2\sigma_1\sigma_2 - (s+1)^3\sigma_3$$

and

$$D_{3,s}(2) = -s(\sigma_1^2 - 2\sigma_2)^3 + (s+1)^2(\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2 - 2\sigma_2^3\sigma_3 + 4\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3) - (s+1)^3\sigma_3^2,$$

where $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ are the elementary symmetric functions of a_1, a_2, a_3 . We shall use $s \leq 0$ and

$$(18) \quad D_{3,1}(1) = 4\sigma_1\sigma_2 - \sigma_1^3 - 8\sigma_3 > 0$$

to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [D_{3,s}(1)]^2 - 2(1-s)^2 D_{3,s}(2) \\
 &= [(s-1)^4 \sigma_2^2 + s^2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 - s(2s^2 - 3s + 2) \sigma_1^2 (\sigma_1^2 - 3\sigma_2)](4\sigma_2 - \sigma_1^2) \\
 &\quad + 2(s+1)^2 (3s^2 - 3s + 2) (\sigma_1^2 - 3\sigma_2) \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \\
 &\quad + 2(s+1)^2 (-s^3 + 2s^2 - 4s + 1) D_{3,0}(1) \sigma_3 \\
 (19) \quad &\quad + (s+1)^2 (s^4 + 4s^3 + 8s^2 - 6s + 5) \sigma_3^2
 \end{aligned}$$

is positive. From (18), $4\sigma_2 - \sigma_1^2$ must be positive. Also, $\sigma_1^2 - 3\sigma_2$ is non-negative and $D_{3,0}(1)$ is positive. Therefore, (19) is always positive and (5) holds when $s \leq 0$. In particular,

$$(20) \quad D_{3,0}(x)D_{3,0}(y) > 2D_{3,0}(x+y).$$

Also, $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \geq 9\sigma_3$ gives

$$D_{3,0}(1) = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 - \sigma_3 \geq 8\sigma_3,$$

which can be written in the useful form

$$(21) \quad D_{3,0}(x) \geq 8(a_1 a_2 a_3)^x \quad \text{and} \quad D_{3,0}(y) \geq 8(a_1 a_2 a_3)^y.$$

We now use

$$D_{3,s}(x) = sD_{3,1}(x) + (s-1)^2 D_{3,0}(x) - s(s-1)(s+3)(a_1 a_2 a_3)^x$$

to generate a lengthy expression for

$$\delta = D_{3,s}(x)D_{3,s}(y) - 2(1-s)^2 D_{3,s}(x+y).$$

If $0 < s < 1$, (3) and (20) give

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta &> s(2s-1)(2-s)D_{3,1}(x+y) + s(s-1)^2 [D_{3,1}(x)D_{3,0}(y) + D_{3,0}(x)D_{3,1}(y)] \\
 &\quad + s^2(1-s)(s+3)[D_{3,1}(x)(a_1 a_2 a_3)^y + D_{3,1}(y)(a_1 a_2 a_3)^x] \\
 &\quad + s(1-s)^3(s+3)[D_{3,0}(x)(a_1 a_2 a_3)^y + D_{3,0}(y)(a_1 a_2 a_3)^x] \\
 &\quad + s(s-1)^2(s+3)(s^2 + 5s - 2)(a_1 a_2 a_3)^{x+y}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then (17) and (21) give

$$\delta > s(2s-1)(2-s)D_{3,1}(x+y) + s(s-1)^2(s+3)(s^2 - 11s + 14)(a_1 a_2 a_3)^{x+y}.$$

Finally, (16) is used to show that δ is positive when $0 < s < 1$.

If $s > 1$, and $s \neq 2$ then $D_{3,s}(x)$ can change sign more than once as x increases from 0 to $+\infty$. If $s > 1$, (5) cannot hold for all positive x and y . But a generalization from (4) and (5) to larger n appears possible. Suppose a_1^{x+y} , a_2^{x+y} , a_3^{x+y} , a_4^{x+y} are the sides of a quadrangle. If x and y are positive and $s \leq 1$, we conjecture that

$$D_{4,s}(x)D_{4,s}(y) > 4(1-s)^2 D_{4,s}(x+y).$$

FINAL REMARK. The reader may have some difficulty to see that Theorems 1, 2, 3, and 5, are valid when a_1 is negative. We have the following cases in which the inequalities are reversed. In Theorem 1, $a_1 = -2$, $a_2 = 1$, $n = 2$, $s = -\frac{1}{4}$, $x = 1$ and $y = 3$. In Theorem 2, $a_1 = -1$, $a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 1$. In Theorem 3, $a_1 < 0$, $x = 1$, $y = 2$; then let $a_2 \rightarrow 0$ and $a_3 \rightarrow 0$. In Theorem 5, $a_1 = -1$, $a_2 = a_3 = 1$, $s = 0$, $x = y = 1$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. This work was stimulated by correspondence with Professor Dr. H. König, and supported by the Office of Naval Research. The exposition was improved by the labors of a referee, who also suggested a second proof of Lemma 1.

REFERENCES

1. E. F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, *Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1965.
2. R. Breusch, *American Mathematical Monthly* **80**, 809, (1973).
3. Lars Gårding, *Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics* **8**, 957, (1959).
4. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, 1952.
5. E. W. Hobson, *A Treatise on Plane and Advanced Trigonometry*, Dover Publications, New York, 1928.
6. J. L. W. V. Jensen, *Acta Mathematica* **30**, 175, (1905).
7. D. S. Mitrinović, *Analytic Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
8. A. Pringsheim, *Sitzungsberichte der mathematisch-physikalischen Classe der königlichen, Bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu München* **32**, 295, (1903).

C. E. CARROLL*

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS
UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19174

C. C. YANG AND S. AHN†

NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20375

* Present address: Research School of Chemistry, Australian National University Canberra, A. C. T. 2600.

† Also at Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.