Cycle of abuse in young adolescents

DEAR SIRS

Child psychiatrists working in child guidance clinics provide assessments and therapy for abused children through the team-workers in their clinics. But for the past year or more I have noticed that adolescents referred for inappropriate sexualised behaviour or aggressive behaviour have disclosed abuse of physical, verbal and sexual kind.

Case

- (a) A 14-year-old girl looking older than her age was referred for aggression and abuse towards her younger brother and parents for about 16 months. There was no history of mental illness within the family but the girl felt deprived and neglected because of her parents' busy life-style. She showed lack of interest in schooling and running away behaviour. She was associating with older peers and disclosed she has been raped three times in past six months and also had received abusive treatment over longer period from older peers in the community.
- (b) A 16-year-old boy was referred for self-destruction, overdose, abuse to family members and very aggressive attitude. His parents have split up since sister disclosed a year ago that father has sexually abused her. The boy also disclosed that father had abused him and he had received bullying, rough treatment and some physical abuse from his peers.
- (c) A 15-year-old girl living with father and stepmother started behaving oddly with aggression, spitefulness towards younger half sib, and rudeness towards parents for over a 10 month period. She gave a history of general abuse and sexual harassments for over 18 months.
- (d) A 14-year-old girl from a broken home started behaving badly since her liaison with much older boyfriends. Aggression, running away and abuse towards herself were associated with poor quality relationships and work at school. Apart from inappropriate treatment from older people in the community she also showed evidence of physical abuse by father at home.

The above cases were referred to a clinic specifically for abusive and/or sexualised behaviour and where in the assessment stages they had disclosed abuse from others. There are many other cases where abuse has contributed to their symptomatology of being abused.

The relationship between abusive and sexualised behaviour to probable physical/sexual abuse received by younger children needs to be examined further. The abused may become the abuser and the cycle continues.

J. KATWALA

Child and Family Guidance Clinic Thundersley, Benfleet, Essex SS7 3AT

See page 39.

More psychiatric casualties of the ambulance dispute

DEAR SIRS

I read with interest Dr Jones' report (*Psychiatric Bulletin*, July 1990) in which he drew attention to a psychiatric casuality of the ambulance dispute.

It would be fair to say that many psychiatric patients are dependent on a network of services to maintain their life in the community. The elderly mentally infirm in particular have long been recognised as being especially vulnerable when any part of their support system breaks down; an apparently minor event can be the trigger for the collapse of the whole network and thus can precipitate hospital admission. In the Day Hospital for the Elderly in a deprived London borough in which I work, we assessed the effect of the ambulance strike on our elderly psychiatrically ill patients and their relatives. Many of our patients are too frail to be able to attend for day care without ambulance transport so the strike effectively meant that they stayed at home.

Forty-three mentally infirm were attending for day care between one and three days per week at the onset of the strike. A minority of these patients were able to attend by other forms of transport, irregularly, throughout the dispute.

Three patients with functional illness relapsed, two with depression and one with schizophrenia. They had all become very anxious about being unable to attend the Day Hospital and related their decline to this. The depressed patients both required admission; the schizophrenic patient was managed with home visits and frequent reviews.

Four other functionally ill patients showed deterioration in their mental states but were supported through domiciliary visits. Of the demented, nine caused significant concern because of the resultant stress on relatives when the patients could not attend. Two of these patients were admitted to long stay beds during the strike and one shortly after, purely because their relatives could not adequately cope without day care. They might still be living at home were it not for the dispute.

The 16 patients cited were our particular casualties of the ambulance strike; these patients received little news coverage in comparison to some of the more acutely ill users of the service. However, the effect of the strike on these patients and their relatives has been profound and far reaching; the cost of lengthy in-patient stays and permanent long term care is the price that the health service has had to pay.

KIM DAVIDSON

Margaret Scott Day Hospital Appleby Road, London E16; and Medical College of St Bartholomew's Hospital West Smithfield, London EC1