

FULL COACTIONS ON HILBERT C^* -MODULES

HUU HUNG BUI

(Received 12 January 1993; revised 19 April 1993)

Communicated by I. Raeburn

Abstract

We introduce a natural notion of full coactions of a locally compact group on a Hilbert C^* -module, and associate each full coaction in a natural way to an ordinary coaction. We also introduce a natural notion of strong Morita equivalence of full coactions which is sufficient to ensure strong Morita equivalence of the corresponding crossed product C^* -algebras.

1991 *Mathematics subject classification* (*Amer. Math. Soc.*): 46L05, 46L60.

Introduction

Coactions of a Hopf C^* -algebra on a Hilbert C^* -module were introduced by Baaj and Skandalis in their study of equivariant Kasparov theory in [1]. A coaction of a locally compact group G on a Hilbert C^* -module X is then defined to be a coaction of the Hopf C^* -algebra $(C_r^*(G), \delta_G)$ on X . On the other hand, Raeburn introduced in [6] the notion of full coactions of G on C^* -algebras and the crossed products by such full coactions. He showed that each full coaction ϵ of G on B is associated to an ordinary coaction δ of G on a quotient B/I , and the full crossed product $B \times_\epsilon G$ is isomorphic to the crossed product $(B/I) \times_\delta G$.

In this paper, we introduce a notion of full coactions of a locally compact group G on a Hilbert C^* -module X which is an analogue of the notion of Baaj and Skandalis' coactions and a generalization of the notion of Raeburn's full coactions. Each full coaction of G on X is then associated to an ordinary coaction of G on a quotient of X . Applying this result and [1, Proposition 6.9] we obtain criteria for strong Morita equivalence of crossed products by full coactions.

This paper forms part of the author's doctoral thesis, which was submitted to the University of New South Wales, in August 1992. The author would like to thank his graduate adviser, Professor I. Raeburn.

© 1995 Australian Mathematical Society 0263-6115/95 \$A2.00 + 0.00

Our work is organized as follows. In Section 1 we recall some definitions concerning crossed products by coactions and full coactions, and Hilbert C^* -modules. In Section 2 we study the ‘maximal tensor product’ of Hilbert C^* -modules. We then define the notion of full coactions on a Hilbert C^* -module, and establish some basic properties for them. In Section 3 we present Theorem 3.4, concerning strong Morita equivalence of crossed products by full coactions.

1. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper G will be a locally compact group, and λ denotes the left regular representation of G . We will denote by \otimes the minimal tensor product.

Let $C_r^*(G)$ denote the reduced group C^* -algebra. The *comultiplication* δ_G on $C_r^*(G)$ is the integrated form of the representation $s \mapsto \lambda(s) \otimes \lambda(s)$. Let $C^*(G)$ denote the full group C^* -algebra, and $i_G : G \rightarrow UM(C^*(G))$ denote the natural strictly continuous homomorphism. The *comultiplication* ϵ_G on $C^*(G)$ is the integrated form of the homomorphism $s \mapsto i_G(s) \otimes_{\max} i_G(s)$. We denote by $\tilde{W}_G \in UM(C_0(G) \otimes C^*(G))$ the *multiplier* determined by $\tilde{W}_G(s) = i_G(s), \forall s \in G$. If A and B are C^* -algebras, and ν denotes the minimal C^* -norm or the maximal C^* -norm, we put

$$\tilde{M}(A \otimes_{\nu} B) = \{m \in M(A \otimes_{\nu} B) : m(1 \otimes_{\nu} b), (1 \otimes_{\nu} b)m \in A \otimes_{\nu} B, \forall b \in B\}.$$

Let B be a C^* -algebra. A *coaction* of G on B is an injective non-degenerate homomorphism $\delta : B \rightarrow \tilde{M}(B \otimes C_r^*(G))$ such that

$$(\delta \otimes \text{id})^- \circ \delta = (\text{id} \otimes \delta_G)^- \circ \delta.$$

See [5, Definition 2.1]. The *crossed product* $B \rtimes_{\delta} G$ of (B, G, δ) is the C^* -subalgebra of $M(B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))$ generated by the set

$$\{\delta(b)(1 \otimes M_f) : b \in B, f \in C_0(G)\}.$$

See [5, Definition 2.4].

A *full coaction* of G on B is a non-degenerate homomorphism $\epsilon : B \rightarrow \tilde{M}(B \otimes_{\max} C^*(G))$ such that

$$(\epsilon \otimes_{\max} \text{id})^- \circ \epsilon = (\text{id} \otimes_{\max} \epsilon_G)^- \circ \epsilon.$$

See [6, Definition 2.1]. A *covariant representation* of (B, G, ϵ) is a pair of non-degenerate representations $\pi : B \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mu : C_0(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, such that for all $b \in B$

$$(\pi \otimes \text{id})^- \circ \epsilon(b) = (\mu \otimes \text{id})^-(\tilde{W}_G)(\pi(b) \otimes 1)(\mu \otimes \text{id})^-(\tilde{W}_G)^*,$$

as elements of $M(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes C^*(G))$. See [6, Definition 2.4]. A *full crossed product* for (B, G, ϵ) is a C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} together with non-degenerate homomorphisms $\hat{j}_B : B \rightarrow M(\mathcal{B})$ and $\hat{j}_{C(G)} : C_0(G) \rightarrow UM(\mathcal{B})$ satisfying

- (i) for every non-degenerate representation ρ of \mathcal{B} , the pair $(\rho \circ \hat{j}_B, \rho \circ \hat{j}_{C(G)})$ is a covariant representation of (B, G, ϵ) ;
- (ii) for every covariant representation (π, μ) of (B, G, ϵ) , there is a non-degenerate representation $\pi \times \mu$ of \mathcal{B} such that

$$\pi = (\pi \times \mu)^- \circ \hat{j}_B \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = (\pi \times \mu)^- \circ \hat{j}_{C(G)};$$

$\pi \times \mu$ is called the *integrated form* of (π, μ) .

- (iii) the linear span of $\{\hat{j}_B(b)\hat{j}_{C(G)}(f) : b \in B, f \in C_0(G)\}$ is dense in \mathcal{B} .

See [6, Definition 2.8]. There always exists a full crossed product for each system (B, G, ϵ) , unique up to isomorphism. See [6, Proposition 2.13].

Suppose that ϵ_B is a full coaction of G on a C^* -algebra B . Let $q_B : B \otimes_{\max} C^*(G) \rightarrow B \otimes_{\min} C^*(G)$ be the canonical quotient map. We define

$$\delta_B^1 = (\text{id}_B \otimes_{\min} \lambda)^- \circ \bar{q}_B \circ \epsilon_B.$$

Then δ_B^1 is a non-degenerate homomorphism of B into $\tilde{M}(B \otimes_{\min} C_r^*(G))$. Put $I_B = \ker(\delta_B^1)$ and $\dot{B} = B/I_B$, and let $q_B : B \rightarrow \dot{B}$ denote the canonical quotient map. We define

$$\delta_{\dot{B}}(q_B(b)) = (q_B \otimes_{\min} \text{id}_{C_r^*(G)})^- \circ \delta_B^1(b) = (q_B \otimes_{\min} \lambda)^- \circ \bar{q}_B \circ \epsilon_B(b),$$

for all $b \in B$. Then $\delta_{\dot{B}} : \dot{B} \rightarrow \tilde{M}(\dot{B} \otimes_{\min} C_r^*(G))$ is a coaction of G on \dot{B} . See [6, Lemma 3.1].

Let $(B \times_{\epsilon} G, \hat{j}_B, \hat{j}_{C(G)})$ be the full crossed product for (B, G, ϵ) . We represent $B \times_{\epsilon} G$ on a Hilbert space by a faithful non-degenerate representation. By [6, Proposition 3.4], there is a non-degenerate representation π of \dot{B} such that $\hat{j}_B = \pi \circ q$ and $(\pi, \hat{j}_{C(G)})$ is a covariant representation of (\dot{B}, G, δ) . The integrated form $\Psi = \pi \times \hat{j}_{C(G)}$ is called the *reduction map*.

THEOREM 1.1. *The reduction map Ψ is an isomorphism of the crossed product $\dot{B} \times_{\delta_{\dot{B}}} G$ onto the full crossed product $B \times_{\epsilon_B} G$.*

PROOF. See [6, Theorem 4.1].

Let B_0 be a dense $*$ -subalgebra of a C^* -algebra B , and X_0 a complex vector space. A right (respectively, left)-prehilbert B_0 -module is a right (respectively, left) B_0 -module X_0 equipped with a B_0 -valued pre-inner product $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{B_0}$ (respectively, ${}_{B_0} \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$) such that

- (i) $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{B_0}$ (respectively, ${}_{B_0} \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$) is linear in the second (respectively, first) variable;
- (ii) $\langle y | xb \rangle_{B_0} = \langle y | x \rangle_{B_0} b$ (respectively, ${}_{B_0} \langle bx | y \rangle = b {}_{B_0} \langle x | y \rangle$) for all $x, y \in X_0$ and $b \in B_0$.

We will say that X_0 or $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{B_0}$ is *full* if the linear span of $\{ \langle y | x \rangle_{B_0} : x, y \in X_0 \}$ is dense in B_0 . Note that a full right-prehilbert B_0 -module is a right B_0 -rigged space in the sense of [7, Definition 2.8]. A right-prehilbert B -module X is called a *right-Hilbert B -module* if $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_B$ is definite and X is complete under the norm $x \mapsto \| \langle x | x \rangle_B \|^{1/2}$. Left-Hilbert B -modules are defined similarly.

Let X and Y be right-Hilbert B -modules. We will denote by $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ the set of maps $T : X \rightarrow Y$ which admit an adjoint $T^* : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $\langle Tx | y \rangle_B = \langle x | T^* y \rangle_B$, $\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y$. Put $\mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{L}(X, X)$. For any $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, put

$$\theta_{x,y}(y') = x \langle y | y' \rangle_B, \quad \forall y' \in Y.$$

Then $\theta_{x,y} \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$ and $\theta_{x,y}^* = \theta_{y,x}$. We will denote by $\mathcal{K}(Y, X)$ the closure in $\mathcal{L}(Y, X)$ of the linear span of $\{ \theta_{x,y} : x \in X, y \in Y \}$. Put $\mathcal{K}(X) = \mathcal{K}(X, X)$.

For more information on Hilbert C^* -modules we refer the reader to [2, Chapter VI, §13], [4] and [9, Chapter 1].

2. Full coactions on Hilbert C^* -modules

Suppose that B_0 and D_0 are dense $*$ -subalgebras of C^* -algebras B and D . Let ν be a C^* -norm on the algebraic tensor product $B \odot D$. We will denote by $B_0 \odot_\nu D_0$ the $*$ -algebra $B_0 \odot D_0$ equipped with the norm ν . Suppose that $(X_0, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{B_0})$ is a right-prehilbert B_0 -module and $(Y_0, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{D_0})$ is a right-prehilbert D_0 -module. Then $X_0 \odot Y_0$ becomes a right-prehilbert $B_0 \odot_\nu D_0$ -module in the natural way:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x \odot y | x' \odot y' \rangle_{B_0 \odot_\nu D_0} &= \langle x | x' \rangle_{B_0} \odot \langle y | y' \rangle_{D_0}, \\ (x \odot y)(b \odot d) &= xb \odot yd, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, x' \in X_0, y, y' \in Y_0, b \in B_0$ and $d \in D_0$. We will denote by $X_0 \hat{\odot}_\nu Y_0$ the quotient of $X_0 \odot Y_0$ by the subspace of vectors of length zero. The Hausdorff-completion $X_0 \hat{\otimes}_\nu Y_0$ of $X_0 \odot Y_0$ is a right-Hilbert $B \otimes_\nu D$ -module. The image of each element $\sum_i x_i \odot y_i$ under the canonical quotient map is denoted by $\sum_i x_i \hat{\odot}_\nu y_i$. Suppose that X and \mathcal{Y} are right-Hilbert B -modules, and Y and \mathcal{W} are right-Hilbert D -modules. Then for any $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, \mathcal{Y})$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(Y, \mathcal{W})$, there is a $S \hat{\otimes}_\nu T \in \mathcal{L}(X \hat{\otimes}_\nu Y, \mathcal{Y} \hat{\otimes}_\nu \mathcal{W})$ such that

$$S \hat{\otimes}_\nu T(x \hat{\odot}_\nu y) = Sx \hat{\odot}_\nu Ty, \quad \forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y.$$

The proof of the above assertions can be found in [9, 1.1.14(d)] when ν is the minimal C^* -norm. The general case is proved in the same way.

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose that X is a right-Hilbert B -module, and Y is a right-Hilbert D -module. Let ν denote the minimal C^* -norm or the maximal C^* -norm. Then there is a homomorphism $\Psi_\nu : \mathcal{L}(X) \otimes_\nu \mathcal{L}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X \hat{\otimes}_\nu Y)$ such that*

$$(1) \quad \Psi_\nu(S \odot T) = S \hat{\otimes}_\nu T, \quad \forall S \odot T \in \mathcal{L}(X) \odot \mathcal{L}(Y).$$

PROOF. If ν is the minimal C^* -norm, the result is well-known; see [2, 13.5], [9, 1.1.14(d)]. Assume that ν is the maximal C^* -norm. Put

$$\phi(S) = S \hat{\otimes}_{\max} I, \quad \varphi(T) = I \hat{\otimes}_{\max} T,$$

Then ϕ and φ are homomorphisms with commuting ranges, and hence there is a homomorphism $\Psi_{\max} : \mathcal{L}(X) \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{L}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} Y)$ satisfying (1).

Let A_0 and B_0 be dense $*$ -subalgebras of C^* -algebras A and B , respectively. A right-prehilbert B_0 -module X_0 is called a *right-prehilbert A_0, B_0 -bimodule* if X_0 is an A_0, B_0 -bimodule and

- (i) $\langle ax|y \rangle_{B_0} = \langle x|a^*y \rangle_{B_0}, \quad \forall a \in A_0, \forall x, y \in X_0;$
- (ii) $\langle ax|ax \rangle_{B_0} \leq \|a\|^2 \langle x|x \rangle_{B_0}, \quad \forall a \in A_0, \forall x \in X_0.$

Similarly, we can define left-prehilbert A_0, B_0 -bimodules.

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let A, B, C and D be C^* -algebras. Suppose that X is a right-Hilbert A, B -bimodule, and Y is a right-Hilbert C, D -bimodule. Let ν denote the minimal C^* -norm or the maximal C^* -norm. Then $X \odot Y$ is a right-prehilbert $A \odot_\nu C, B \odot_\nu D$ -bimodule. Furthermore, if X is an A, B -imprimitivity bimodule and Y is a C, D -imprimitivity bimodule, then $X \hat{\otimes}_\nu Y$ is an $A \otimes_\nu C, B \otimes_\nu D$ -imprimitivity bimodule.*

PROOF. The proof follows from Lemma 2.1 and some routine computations.

COROLLARY 2.3. *Suppose that X is a right-Hilbert B -module, and Y is a right-Hilbert D -module. Let ν denote the minimal C^* -norm or the maximal C^* -norm. Then the map $\theta \odot \theta' \mapsto \theta \hat{\otimes}_\nu \theta'$ is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{K}(X) \otimes_\nu \mathcal{K}(Y)$ onto $\mathcal{K}(X \hat{\otimes}_\nu Y)$.*

PROOF. Put $A = \mathcal{K}(X)$ and $C = \mathcal{K}(Y)$. Observe that X is a left- and right-Hilbert A, B -bimodule and Y is a left and right-Hilbert C, D -bimodule. By Corollary 2.2, $X \odot Y$ is a left and right-Hilbert $A \odot_\nu C, B \odot_\nu D$ -bimodule. Put $E = A \otimes_\nu C$ and $F = B \otimes_\nu D$. Then $X \hat{\otimes}_\nu Y$ is a left- and right-Hilbert E, F -bimodule. Since $A \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ and $B \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ are full, it follows that $E \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ is full. Therefore the natural map $\theta_{x,y} \odot \theta_{x',y'} \mapsto \theta_{x \hat{\otimes}_\nu y, x' \hat{\otimes}_\nu y'}$ extends to an isomorphism from E onto $\mathcal{K}(X \hat{\otimes}_\nu Y)$. Since $\theta_{x \hat{\otimes}_\nu y, x' \hat{\otimes}_\nu y'} = \theta_{x,y} \hat{\otimes}_\nu \theta_{x',y'}$ for all $x, x' \in X$ and $y, y' \in Y$, we get the desired result.

Let X be a right-Hilbert B -module, and \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} right-Hilbert C -modules. Suppose that $f : B \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$ is a homomorphism, and $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ is a linear map. We say that ϕ is *compatible with f* (or *f -compatible*) if

- (i) $\phi(xb) = \phi(x)f(b), \quad \forall x \in X, \forall b \in B,$
- (ii) $\phi(x)^*\phi(x') = f(\langle x|x' \rangle_B), \quad \forall x, x' \in X.$

We say that ϕ is *non-degenerate* if the linear span of $\{\phi(x)\xi : x \in X, \xi \in \mathcal{V}\}$ is dense in \mathcal{W} .

Recall from [3, Proposition 2.2] that if $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ is an f -compatible non-degenerate linear map, then there is a unique unital homomorphism $h : \mathcal{L}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W})$ such that

$$h(T)\phi(x) = \phi(Tx), \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{L}(X), \forall x \in X.$$

We will refer to h as the *natural homomorphism* corresponding to ϕ .

Furthermore if f is non-degenerate, then there is a unique linear map $\bar{\phi} : \mathcal{L}(B, X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ such that

$$\bar{\phi}(P)f(b) = \phi(Pb), \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{L}(B, X), \forall b \in B.$$

See [3, Proposition 2.3].

LEMMA 2.4. *Let X be a right-Hilbert A -module, Y a right-Hilbert B -module, \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{X} right-Hilbert C -modules, and \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{Y} right-Hilbert D -modules. Assume that $f : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$ and $g : B \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W})$ are homomorphisms. Suppose that $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{X})$ is an f -compatible linear map, and $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Y})$ is a g -compatible linear map. Let ν denote the minimal C^* -norm or the maximal C^* -norm. Then there is a homomorphism $f \hat{\otimes}_\nu g : A \otimes_\nu B \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V} \hat{\otimes}_\nu \mathcal{W})$, and an $f \hat{\otimes}_\nu g$ -compatible linear map $\phi \hat{\otimes}_\nu \varphi : X \hat{\otimes}_\nu Y \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V} \hat{\otimes}_\nu \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{X} \hat{\otimes}_\nu \mathcal{Y})$ such that*

- (1) $(f \hat{\otimes}_\nu g)(a \otimes b) = f(a) \hat{\otimes}_\nu g(b), \quad \forall a \in A, \forall b \in B,$
- (2) $(\phi \hat{\otimes}_\nu \varphi)(x \hat{\otimes}_\nu y) = \phi(x) \hat{\otimes}_\nu \varphi(y), \quad \forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y.$

PROOF. Let $f \otimes_\nu g : A \otimes_\nu B \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes_\nu \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W})$ be the natural homomorphism and let $\Psi_\nu : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes_\nu \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V} \hat{\otimes}_\nu \mathcal{W})$ be the homomorphism defined in Lemma 2.1. Put $f \hat{\otimes}_\nu g = \Psi_\nu \circ (f \otimes_\nu g)$. Then $f \hat{\otimes}_\nu g : A \otimes_\nu B \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V} \hat{\otimes}_\nu \mathcal{W})$ is a homomorphism satisfying (1). Let $\Lambda_\nu : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{X}) \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V} \hat{\otimes}_\nu \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{X} \hat{\otimes}_\nu \mathcal{Y})$ be defined by

$$\Lambda_\nu(S \otimes T) = S \hat{\otimes}_\nu T, \quad \forall S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{X}), \forall T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Y}).$$

Let $\phi \otimes \varphi : X \otimes Y \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{X}) \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Y})$ denote the linear map defined by

$$(\phi \otimes \varphi)(x \otimes y) = \phi(x) \otimes \varphi(y), \quad \forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y.$$

Then $\Phi_0 = \Lambda_\nu \circ (\phi \otimes \varphi)$ is linear and compatible with $h_0 = (f \hat{\otimes}_\nu g)|A \odot B$, and hence $\|\Phi_0(z)\| \leq \|z\|_\nu, \forall z \in X \odot Y$. Thus we can define a linear map $\phi \hat{\otimes}_\nu \varphi : X \hat{\otimes}_\nu Y \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y} \hat{\otimes}_\nu \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{X} \hat{\otimes}_\nu \mathcal{Z})$ satisfying (2). Since Φ_0 is compatible with h_0 , the map $\phi \hat{\otimes}_\nu \varphi|X \hat{\otimes} Y$ is compatible with h_0 , and hence $\phi \hat{\otimes}_\nu \varphi$ is compatible with $f \hat{\otimes}_\nu g$.

Let X be a right-Hilbert B -module and Y a right-Hilbert D -module. Suppose that $f : B \rightarrow D$ is a homomorphism, and $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a linear map. We say that ϕ is compatible with f (or f -compatible) if

- (i) $\phi(xb) = \phi(x)f(b), \quad \forall x \in X, \forall b \in B,$
- (ii) $\langle \phi(x)|\phi(x') \rangle_D = f(\langle x|x' \rangle_B), \quad \forall x, x' \in X.$

We say that ϕ is non-degenerate if the linear span of $\{\phi(x)d : x \in X, d \in D\}$ is dense in Y .

LEMMA 2.5. Let X, Y, \mathcal{Y} and \mathcal{W} be right-Hilbert modules over C^* -algebras A, B, C and D , respectively. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow C$ and $g : B \rightarrow D$ are homomorphisms, $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is an f -compatible linear map, and $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is a g -compatible linear map. Let ν denote the minimal C^* -norm or the maximal C^* -norm, and $f \otimes_\nu g : A \otimes_\nu B \rightarrow C \otimes_\nu D$ the natural homomorphism. Then there is an $f \otimes_\nu g$ -compatible linear map

$$\phi \hat{\otimes}_\nu \varphi : X \hat{\otimes}_\nu Y \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \hat{\otimes}_\nu \mathcal{W}$$

such that

$$(1) \quad (\phi \hat{\otimes}_\nu \varphi)(x \hat{\otimes}_\nu y) = \phi(x) \hat{\otimes}_\nu \varphi(y), \quad \forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y.$$

PROOF. Apply similar arguments as in Lemma 2.4.

We put

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M}(X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} C^*(G)) = \{ & T \in \mathcal{L}(B \otimes_{\max} C^*(G), X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} C^*(G)) : \\ & (1_X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} s)T, T(1_B \otimes_{\max} s) \in \mathcal{K}(B \otimes_{\max} C^*(G), X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} C^*(G)), \\ & \forall s \in C^*(G)\}. \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 2.6. Let $\epsilon_B : B \rightarrow \tilde{M}(B \otimes_{\max} C^*(G))$ be a full coaction of G on B . An ϵ_B -compatible full coaction of G on X is a linear map $\epsilon_X : X \rightarrow \tilde{M}(X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} C^*(G))$ such that

- (i) $\epsilon_X(xb) = \epsilon_X(x)\epsilon_B(b), \quad \forall x \in X, \forall b \in B,$
 $\epsilon_X(y)^*\epsilon_X(x) = \epsilon_B(\langle y|x \rangle_B), \quad \forall x, y \in X;$
- (ii) the linear span of $\{\epsilon_X(x)\gamma : x \in X, \gamma \in B \otimes_{\max} C^*(G)\}$ is dense in $X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} C^*(G);$

- (iii) $(\epsilon_X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} \text{id})^- \circ \epsilon_X = (\text{id} \hat{\otimes}_{\max} \epsilon_G)^- \circ \epsilon_X$ as maps from X into $\mathcal{L}(B \otimes_{\max} C^*(G) \otimes_{\max} C^*(G), X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} C^*(G) \hat{\otimes}_{\max} C^*(G))$.

We note that the existence of $(\epsilon_X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} \text{id})^-$ and $(\text{id} \hat{\otimes}_{\max} \epsilon_G)^-$ follows from Lemma 2.4 and [3, Proposition 2.3].

Let X be a right-Hilbert B -module. We define maps P_1 from $X \oplus B$ into X and P_2 from $X \oplus B$ into B by

$$P_1(x \oplus b) = x, \quad P_2(x \oplus b) = b, \quad \forall x \in X, \forall b \in B.$$

Next we define maps \bar{c}_{ij} from $P_i \mathcal{L}(X \oplus B) P_j^*$ into $\mathcal{L}(X \oplus B)$ by

$$\bar{c}_{ij}(T_{ij}) = P_i^* T_{ij} P_j, \quad \forall T_{ij} \in P_i \mathcal{L}(X \oplus B) P_j^*.$$

We will denote by c_{ij} the restriction of \bar{c}_{ij} to $P_i \mathcal{K}(X \oplus B) P_j^*$.

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Suppose that $\epsilon_X : X \rightarrow \tilde{M}(X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} C^*(G))$ is an ϵ_B -compatible full coaction of G on X . Then there is a unique full coaction $\epsilon_{\mathcal{K}(X)} : \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \tilde{M}(\mathcal{K}(X) \otimes_{\max} C^*(G))$ of G on $\mathcal{K}(X)$ satisfying the following equivalent conditions:*

- (i) $\epsilon_X(\theta x) = \epsilon_{\mathcal{K}(X)}(\theta) \epsilon_X(x), \quad \forall \theta \in \mathcal{K}(X), \forall x \in X;$
- (ii) $\epsilon_{\mathcal{K}(X)}(\theta_{x,y}) = \epsilon_X(x) \epsilon_X(y)^*, \quad \forall x, y \in X.$

PROOF. Apply similar arguments as in [3, Proposition 2.8].

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Suppose that $\epsilon_X : X \rightarrow \tilde{M}(X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} C^*(G))$ is an ϵ_B -compatible full coaction of G on X . Then there is a unique full coaction $\epsilon_{\mathcal{K}(X \oplus B)} : \mathcal{K}(X \oplus B) \rightarrow \tilde{M}(\mathcal{K}(X \oplus B) \otimes_{\max} C^*(G))$ of G on $\mathcal{K}(X \oplus B)$ such that*

- (i) $\epsilon_{\mathcal{K}(X \oplus B)} \circ c_{2,2} = (c_{2,2} \otimes_{\max} \text{id})^- \circ \epsilon_B;$
- (ii) $\epsilon_{\mathcal{K}(X \oplus B)} \circ c_{1,2} = (c_{1,2} \hat{\otimes}_{\max} \text{id})^- \circ \epsilon_X.$

PROOF. Apply similar arguments as in [3, Proposition 2.9].

Suppose that ϵ_B is a full coaction of G on B . As in Section 1 we get a coaction $\delta_{\hat{B}} : \hat{B} \rightarrow \tilde{M}(\hat{B} \otimes_{C^*} C^*(G))$ of G on \hat{B} .

Now we want to generalize this result to the context of Hilbert C^* -modules. Suppose that $\epsilon_X : X \rightarrow \tilde{M}(X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} C^*(G))$ is an ϵ_B -compatible full coaction of G on X . Let $\varrho_X : X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} C^*(G) \rightarrow X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} C^*(G)$ denote the canonical quotient map. We put

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_X^1 &= (\text{id}_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \lambda)^- \circ \bar{\varrho}_X \circ \epsilon_X; & V_X &= \{x \in X : \delta_X^1(x) = 0\}; \\ \dot{X} &= X/V_X, & q_X : X &\rightarrow \dot{X} \text{ the canonical quotient map}; \\ \delta_{\dot{X}}(q_X(x)) &= (q_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \text{id}_{C^*(G)})^- \circ \delta_X^1(x) = (q_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \lambda)^- \circ \bar{\varrho}_X \circ \epsilon_X(x). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.9. *With the above notation, we have*

- (i) δ_X^1 is compatible with δ_B^1 and $V_X = \{x \in X : \langle x|x \rangle_B \in I_B\}$;
- (ii) \dot{X} is a right-Hilbert \dot{B} -module in the obvious way;
- (iii) δ_X is a linear map from \dot{X} into $\tilde{M}(\dot{X} \hat{\otimes}_{\min} C_r^*(G))$.

PROOF. (i) Since ϵ_X , $\bar{\varrho}_X$ and $(\text{id}_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \lambda)^-$ are compatible with ϵ_B , $\bar{\varrho}_B$ and $(\text{id}_B \otimes_{\min} \lambda)^-$, respectively, it follows that $(\text{id}_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \lambda)^- \circ \bar{\varrho}_X \circ \epsilon_X$ is compatible with $(\text{id}_B \otimes_{\min} \lambda)^- \circ \bar{\varrho}_B \circ \epsilon_B$. The other assertion follows from the fact that $\|\delta_B^1(\langle x|x \rangle_B)\| = \|\delta_X^1(x)\|^2$.

(ii) This follows from routine computations.

(iii) Observe that $\delta_X \circ q_X = (q_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \lambda)^- \circ \bar{\varrho}_X \circ \epsilon_X$, and ϵ_X maps X into $\tilde{M}(X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} C^*(G))$. Thus it is enough to show that

- (1) $\bar{\varrho}_X(S) \in \tilde{M}(X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} C_r^*(G))$, $\forall S \in \tilde{M}(X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} C_r^*(G))$;
- (2) $(q_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \lambda)^-(T) \in \tilde{M}(\dot{X} \hat{\otimes}_{\min} C_r^*(G))$, $\forall T \in \tilde{M}(X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} C^*(G))$.

Let $g : \mathcal{L}(X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} C^*(G)) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} C^*(G))$ be the natural unital homomorphism corresponding to the ϱ_B -compatible non-degenerate linear map $\varrho_X : X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} C^*(G) \rightarrow X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} C^*(G)$. For any $u \in C^*(G)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} u) \bar{\varrho}_X(S) &= g(1_X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} u) \bar{\varrho}_X(S) \\ &= \bar{\varrho}_X((1_X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} u)S) \in X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} C^*(G); \\ \bar{\varrho}_X(S)(1_B \otimes_{\min} u) &= \bar{\varrho}_X(S) \bar{\varrho}_B(1_B \otimes_{\max} u) \\ &= \bar{\varrho}_X(S(1_B \otimes_{\max} u)) \in X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} C^*(G). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\bar{\varrho}_X(S) \in \tilde{M}(X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} C^*(G))$, and hence (1) is proved. Assertion (2) can be proved in a very similar way.

PROPOSITION 2.10. δ_X is a $\delta_{\dot{B}}$ -compatible coaction of G on \dot{X} .

PROOF. It is clear that $\delta_X \circ q_X$ is compatible with $\delta_{\dot{B}} \circ q_B$, and hence δ_X is compatible with $\delta_{\dot{B}}$. Since $(q_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \lambda) \circ \varrho_X : X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} C^*(G) \rightarrow \dot{X} \hat{\otimes}_{\min} C_r^*(G)$ is surjective and ϵ_X is non-degenerate, it follows that δ_X is non-degenerate.

Now it remains to check the coaction identity

$$(\delta_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \text{id}_{C_r^*(G)})^- \circ \delta_X = (\text{id}_{\dot{X}} \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \delta_G)^- \circ \delta_X.$$

Put $R = C_r^*(G)$ and $F = C^*(G)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &: (X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} F) \hat{\otimes}_{\max} F \rightarrow (X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} F) \hat{\otimes}_{\min} F, \\ \chi &: F \otimes_{\max} F \rightarrow F \otimes_{\min} F, \\ \omega &: X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} (F \otimes_{\max} F) \rightarrow X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} (F \otimes_{\max} F) \end{aligned}$$

be the canonical quotient maps. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\delta_{\dot{X}} \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \text{id}_R)^{-} \circ \delta_{\dot{X}} \circ q_X &= (\delta_{\dot{X}} \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \text{id}_R)^{-} \circ (q_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \lambda)^{-} \circ \bar{q}_X \circ \epsilon_X \\
 &= ((q_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \lambda)^{-} \circ \bar{q}_X \circ \epsilon_X) \hat{\otimes}_{\min} [\bar{\lambda} \circ \text{id}_F]^{-} \circ \bar{q}_X \circ \epsilon_X \\
 &= ((q_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \lambda) \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \lambda)^{-} \circ (\varrho_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \text{id}_F)^{-} \circ (\epsilon_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \text{id}_F)^{-} \circ \bar{q}_X \circ \epsilon_X \\
 &= ((q_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \lambda) \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \lambda)^{-} \circ (\varrho_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \text{id}_F)^{-} \circ \bar{v} \circ (\epsilon_X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} \text{id}_F)^{-} \circ \epsilon_X \\
 &= (q_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} (\lambda \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \lambda))^{-} \circ (\text{id}_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \chi)^{-} \circ \bar{\omega} \circ (\text{id}_X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} \epsilon_G)^{-} \circ \epsilon_X \\
 &= (q_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} (\lambda \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \lambda))^{-} \circ (\text{id}_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \chi)^{-} \circ (\text{id}_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \epsilon_G)^{-} \circ \bar{q}_X \circ \epsilon_X \\
 &= ([\bar{q}_X \circ \text{id}_X] \hat{\otimes}_{\min} [(\lambda \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \lambda)^{-} \circ \bar{\chi} \circ \epsilon_G])^{-} \circ \bar{q}_X \circ \epsilon_X \\
 &= (\text{id}_{\dot{X}} \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \delta_G)^{-} \circ (q_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \lambda)^{-} \circ \bar{q}_X \circ \epsilon_X \\
 &= (\text{id}_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \delta_G)^{-} \circ \delta_{\dot{X}} \circ q_X.
 \end{aligned}$$

3. Morita equivalence of crossed products by full coactions

In this section X is a Banach A, B -imprimitivity bimodule, and ϵ_A and ϵ_B are full coactions of G on A and B , respectively. If ϵ_D is a full coaction of G on a C^* -algebra D , then we get a coaction $\delta_{\dot{D}} : \dot{D} \rightarrow \dot{M}(\dot{D} \otimes C_r^*(G))$ of G on \dot{D} as described in Section 1.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let ϵ_X be an ϵ_B -compatible full coaction of G on X . We say that ϵ_X is an ϵ_A, ϵ_B -compatible full coaction of G on X if

$$\epsilon_X(x)\epsilon_X(y)^* = (\vartheta \hat{\otimes}_{\max} \text{id}_{C_r^*(G)})^{-} \circ \epsilon_A(A(x|y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X,$$

where $\vartheta : A \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ is the natural isomorphism. The full coactions ϵ_A and ϵ_B , or the dynamical systems (A, G, ϵ_A) and (B, G, ϵ_B) , are said to be *strongly Morita equivalent* by means of the imprimitivity system (X, ϵ_X) .

LEMMA 3.2. Suppose that ϵ_X is an ϵ_A, ϵ_B -compatible full coaction of G on X . Then we have

- (i) $\delta_{\dot{X}}^1(x)\delta_{\dot{X}}^1(y)^* = (\vartheta \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \text{id}_{C_r^*(G)})^{-} \circ \delta_A^1(A(x|y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X,$
 where $\vartheta : A \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ is the natural isomorphism.
- (ii) I_A is the ideal of A corresponding to I_B via the A, B -imprimitivity bimodule X . Therefore \dot{X} is a Banach \dot{A}, \dot{B} -imprimitivity bimodule.

PROOF. (i) Put $R = C_r^*(G)$ and $F = C^*(G)$. Let $g : \mathcal{L}(X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} F),$
 $k : \mathcal{L}(X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} R)$ be the natural unital homomorphisms corresponding to the non-degenerate linear maps $\varrho_X : X \hat{\otimes}_{\max} F \rightarrow X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} F$ and $\text{id}_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \lambda :$

$X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} F \rightarrow X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} R$, respectively. Then it is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} g \circ (\vartheta \hat{\otimes}_{\max} \text{id}_F) &= (\vartheta \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \text{id}_F) \circ \varrho_A; \\ k \circ (\vartheta \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \text{id}_F) &= (\vartheta \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \text{id}_R) \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes_{\min} \lambda); \\ k \circ g \circ (\vartheta \hat{\otimes}_{\max} \text{id}_F)^- &= (\vartheta \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \text{id}_R)^- \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes_{\min} \lambda)^- \circ \bar{\varrho}_A. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_X^1(x)\delta_X^1(y)^* &= [(\text{id}_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \lambda)^- \circ \bar{\varrho}_X \circ \epsilon_X(x)] [(\text{id}_X \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \lambda)^- \circ \bar{\varrho}_X \circ \epsilon_X(y)]^* \\ &= k(\bar{\varrho}_X(\epsilon_X(x))\bar{\varrho}_X(\epsilon_X(y))^*) \\ &= (k \circ g)(\epsilon_X(x)\epsilon_X(y)^*) \\ &= k \circ g \circ (\vartheta \hat{\otimes}_{\max} \text{id}_F)^- \circ \epsilon_A(A\langle x|y \rangle) \\ &= (\vartheta \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \text{id}_R)^- \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes_{\min} \lambda)^- \circ \bar{\varrho}_A \circ \epsilon_A(A\langle x|y \rangle) \\ &= (\vartheta \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \text{id}_R)^- \circ \delta_A^1(A\langle x|y \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Recall from [8, Theorem 3.1] that the closed A, B -submodule of X corresponding to the ideal I_A is $Y = \{x \in X : {}_A\langle x|x \rangle \in I_A\}$. Recall from Lemma 2.9(i) that the closed A, B -submodule of X corresponding to the ideal I_B is V_X . By (i), we have

$$\|\delta_A^1(A\langle x|x \rangle)\| = \|\delta_X^1(x)\|^2, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Hence, $Y = V_X$. This proves (ii).

THEOREM 3.3. *Suppose that ϵ_X is an ϵ_A, ϵ_B -compatible full coaction of G on X . Then we have*

$$(1) \quad \delta_{\dot{X}}(\dot{x})\delta_{\dot{X}}(\dot{y})^* = (\vartheta \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \text{id}_{C_r^*(G)})^- \circ \delta_{\dot{A}}(\dot{x}|\dot{y}), \quad \forall x, y \in X,$$

where $\dot{\vartheta} : \dot{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\dot{X})$ is the natural isomorphism. Therefore if ϵ_A and ϵ_B are strongly Morita equivalent then the corresponding ordinary coactions $\delta_{\dot{A}}$ and $\delta_{\dot{B}}$ are strongly Morita equivalent.

PROOF. The proof of (1) is very similar to that in Lemma 3.2(i). The last assertion is a consequence of Proposition 2.10, Lemma 3.2(ii) and Condition (1).

THEOREM 3.4. *Suppose that the full coactions ϵ_A and ϵ_B are strongly Morita equivalent. Then the full crossed products $A \times_{\epsilon_A} G$ and $B \times_{\epsilon_B} G$ are strongly Morita equivalent.*

PROOF. By Theorem 3.3, the coactions δ_A and δ_B are strongly Morita equivalent. It then follows from [1, Proposition 6.9] (or [3, Theorem 2.16]) that the ordinary crossed products $\dot{A} \times_{\delta_A} G$ and $\dot{B} \times_{\delta_B} G$ are strongly Morita equivalent. We then deduce from Raeburn's theorem (Theorem 1.1) that the full crossed products $A \times_{\epsilon_A} G$ and $B \times_{\epsilon_B} G$ are strongly Morita equivalent.

References

- [1] S. Baaj and G. Skandalis, ' C^* -algèbres de Hopf et théorie de Kasparov équivariante', *K-theory* **2** (1989), 683–721.
- [2] B. Blackadar, *K-theory for operator algebras*, Math. Sci. Research Inst. Pub. (Springer, New York, 1986).
- [3] H. H. Bui, 'Morita equivalence of twisted crossed products by coactions', *J. Funct. Anal.* **123** (1994), 59–98.
- [4] G. G. Kasparov, 'Hilbert C^* -modules: theorem of Stinespring and Voiculescu', *J. Operator Theory* **4** (1980), 133–150.
- [5] M. B. Landstad, J. Phillips, I. Raeburn and C. E. Sutherland, 'Representations of crossed products by coactions and principal bundles', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **299** (1987), 747–784.
- [6] I. Raeburn, 'On crossed products by coactions and their representation theory', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **64** (1992), 625–652.
- [7] M. A. Rieffel, 'Induced representations of C^* -algebras', *Adv. Math.* **13** (1974), 176–257.
- [8] ———, 'Unitary representations of group extension; an algebraic approach to the theory of Mackay and Blattner', in: *Studies in analysis*, Advances in Math., Suppl. Studies 4 (Academic Press, New York, 1979) pp. 43–82.
- [9] K. Thomsen, *Hilbert C^* -modules, KK -theory and C^* -extensions*, Various Publication Series 43 (Aarhus Universitet, Aarhus, 1988).

School of MPCE
 Macquarie University
 Sydney NSW 2109
 Australia