Authors' reply to Cognitive-behavioural therapy for chronic fatigue syndrome: neither efficacious nor safe

In their letter,¹ Twisk & Corsius raise several issues with respect to our study testing the efficacy of internet-based cognitive-behavioural therapy (iCBT) for chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS).² We will respond point by point to the most important issues raised with respect to efficacy and safety of iCBT.

First, Twisk & Corsius suggest that many patients who improved following iCBT had depression. All patients met Centers for Disease Control and Prevention criteria for CFS. Psychiatric comorbidity that could explain the presence of fatigue, including a depressive disorder, was ruled out using the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI). A subgroup reported clinically significant levels of depressive symptoms (Beck Depression Inventory for Primary Care score of ≥4) but only about 10% met the criteria of any depressive disorder (MINI). Far more patients, about 40%, reported fatigue scores within the normal range following iCBT. Furthermore, 44% of patients without significant levels of depressive symptoms reported fatigue levels in the normal range. These data show that patients with CFS without depressive symptoms also improve following iCBT and that it is not true that many patients who improved had depression.

Second, Twisk & Corsius state that iCBT is insufficient to achieve normal levels of fatigue. We used a cut-off score of 35 or higher on the Checklist Individual Strength fatigue subscale for severe fatigue. A recent study showed that this score discerns between severe fatigue and 'fatigue levels in the normal range'. A substantial subgroup of patients score below this cut-off after iCBT. For these patients iCBT is sufficient to achieve normal levels of fatigue.

Third, Twisk & Corsius state the effects of iCBT on objective measures are not reported.

CFS is diagnosed on the basis of symptoms and functional impairments reported by patients and the fact that there is no known medical condition that can explain the presence of symptoms. To determine if an intervention for CFS is effective one needs to determine if symptoms and functional impairments have decreased. For this, self-report measures were used. To assess symptoms one has to rely on self-report.

Finally, Twisk & Corsius state iCBT contains graded exercise and is unsafe. Graded activity is an element of CBT^4 and is aimed

at increasing levels of activity. Graded exercise is also aimed at increasing the fitness of a patient, this is not the case in graded activity. The safety of CBT for CFS has been studied, analysing data from seven randomised controlled trials. ^{2,5–7} There was no evidence suggesting that CBT for CFS is unsafe.

We thank Twisk & Corsius for their feedback and for providing us with the opportunity to clarify our findings that show that iCBT for CFS is an efficacious and safe intervention.

- 1 Twisk F, Corsius L. Cognitive–behavioural therapy for chronic fatigue syndrome: neither efficacious nor safe. *Br J Psychiatry* 2018; 213:
- 2 Janse A, Worm-Smeitink M, Bleijenberg G, Donders R, Knoop H. Efficacy of webbased cognitive-behavioural therapy for chronic fatigue syndrome: randomised controlled trial. *Br J Psychiatry* 2018; 212: 112–8.
- 3 Worm-Smeitink M, Gielissen M, Bloot L, van Laarhoven HWM, van Engelen BGM, van Riel P, et al. The assessment of fatigue: psychometric qualities and norms for the Checklist Individual Strength. J Psychosom Res 2017; 98: 40–6.
- 4 Knoop H, Bleijenberg G. Het Chronisch Vermoeidheidssyndroom. Behandelprotocol Cognitieve Gedragstherapie voor CVS. [Chronic Fatigue Syndrome. Treatment Protocol for Cognitive Behavioural Therapy with CFS Patients.] Bohn Stafleu Van Loghum, 2010.
- 5 Heins MJ, Knoop H, Prins JB, Stulemeijer M, van der Meer JW, Bleijenberg G. Possible detrimental effects of cognitive behaviour therapy for chronic fatigue syndrome. *Psychother Psychosom* 2010; 79: 249–56.
- 6 Dougall D, Johnson A, Goldsmith K, Sharpe M, Angus B, Chalder T, et al. Adverse events and deterioration reported by participants in the PACE trial of therapies for chronic fatigue syndrome. J Psychosom Res 2014; 77: 20–6
- 7 Nijhof SL, Bleijenberg G, Uiterwaal CS, Kimpen JL, van de Putte EM. Effectiveness of internet-based cognitive behavioural treatment for adolescents with chronic fatigue syndrome (FITNET): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2012; 379: 1412–8.

Anthonie Janse, MSc, Department of Medical Psychology, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam and Academic Medical Center (AMC), University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands; Gijs Bleijenberg, PhD, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands; Hans Knoop, PhD, Department of Medical Psychology, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam and Academic Medical Center (AMC), University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands. Fmail: hans knoop@amc.uva.nl

doi:10.1192/bjp.2018.215