

## ON DUNFORD-PETTIS OPERATORS

BY  
ELIAS SAAB

ABSTRACT. Let  $X$  be a complemented subspace of a Banach lattice  $E$ . It is shown that if every Dunford-Pettis operator from  $L_1[0, 1]$  into  $X$  is Pettis-representable then  $X$  has the Radon-Nikodym property.

In [1] Bourgain showed that if every Dunford-Pettis operator from  $L_1[0, 1]$  to a Banach space  $X$  is Bochner-representable then  $X$  has the Radon-Nikodym property. In this paper we show that if  $X$  is complemented in a Banach lattice  $E$  and if every Dunford-Pettis operator from  $L_1[0, 1]$  into  $X$  is Pettis-representable then  $X$  has the Radon-Nikodym property.

All the notions used in this paper and not defined can be found in ([2], [4], [6]). Let  $E$  be a Banach space and let  $T$  be an operator from  $L_1[0, 1]$  into  $E$ .

DEFINITION 1. (i) The operator  $T$  is said to be Dunford-Pettis if the set  $\{T(1_A); A \text{ is a measurable subset of } [0, 1]\}$  is relatively compact in  $E$ .

(ii) The operator  $T$  is said to be Bochner- (resp. Pettis) representable if there exists  $g: [0, 1] \rightarrow E$  Bochner integrable and essentially bounded (resp. Pettis integrable and scalarly essentially bounded) such that for every  $f$  in  $L_1[0, 1]$ ,  $T(f) = \text{Bochner-}\int_0^1 fg \, d\lambda$  (resp.,  $T(f) = \text{Pettis-}\int_0^1 fg \, d\lambda$ ).

It is well known that the Dunford-Pettis operators are precisely those which map weakly convergent sequences into norm convergent sequences, it is also known that a Pettis representable operator is Dunford-Pettis and that a Dunford-Pettis operator is not in general Pettis-representable.

Bourgain showed in [1] that a Banach space  $E$  has the Radon-Nikodym property if and only if every Dunford-Pettis operator is Bochner-representable; he also constructed an operator  $T: L_1[0, 1] \rightarrow c_0$  such that  $T$  is Dunford-Pettis but  $T$  is not even Pettis-representable in  $l_\infty$ .

Because this operator is useful in the sequel we are going to describe it quickly. First construct a sequence  $(A_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of measurable subsets of  $[0, 1]$  such

---

Received by the editors May 12, 1980 in revised form, January 20, 1981.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications. 46G10, 46B22.

Key words and phrases. Dunford-Pettis operators, Radon-Nikodym property.

that

(i)  $\lim_n \lambda(A_n) = 0$

(ii)  $\{1_{A_n}\}_{n \geq 1}$  is dense in  $\{0, 1\}^{[0,1]}$  endowed with the product topology.

It is clear that  $T: L_1[0, 1] \rightarrow c_0$  defined by  $T(f) = (\int_{A_n} f d\lambda)_{n \geq 1}$  is Dunford–Pettis and by using a non measurable cluster point of the sequence  $\{1_{A_n}\}_{n \geq 1}$  one can show that  $T$  is not Pettis-representable in  $l_\infty$ .

DEFINITION 2. A Banach space  $E$  has the separable complementation property if every separable subspace  $Y$  of  $E$  is contained in a complemented separable subspace  $Z$  of  $E$ .

LEMMA 3. Let  $E$  be a Banach space having the separable complementation property and let  $F$  be a subspace of  $E$ . If  $T: L_1[0, 1] \rightarrow F$  is Pettis-representable in  $F$ , then it is Bochner-representable in  $F$ .

**Proof.** Let  $Y =$  the closure of  $T(L_1[0, 1])$ . Let  $Z$  be a separable subspace of  $E$  containing  $Y$  and complemented in  $E$  by a projection  $V: E \rightarrow Z$ . Let  $g$  be the Pettis derivative of  $T$ . The map  $t \rightarrow V(g(t))$  from  $[0, 1] \rightarrow Z$  is strongly measurable and essentially bounded and hence is Bochner integrable. Therefore for every  $f$  in  $L_1[0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} T(f) &= V(T(f)) = V\left(\text{Pettis-}\int_0^1 fg d\lambda\right) \\ &= \text{Pettis-}\int_0^1 fV(g) d\lambda = \text{Bochner-}\int_0^1 fV(g(t)) d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the map  $t \rightarrow V(g(t))$  takes its values  $\lambda$ -almost everywhere in  $F$  and it is the Bochner derivative of  $T$  in  $F$ .

PROPOSITION 4. Let  $E$  be a Banach space such that every Dunford–Pettis operator from  $L_1[0, 1]$  into  $E$  is Pettis-representable, then  $E$  does not contain a subspace isomorphic to  $c_0$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $c_0$  is isomorphic to a subspace of  $E$ , let  $S: c_0 \rightarrow E$  be this isomorphism, the double adjoint  $S^{**}$  of  $S$  embeds  $l_\infty$  in  $E^{**}$ . Let  $U$  be a projection from  $E^{**}$  to  $S^{**}(l_\infty)$  and consider the following diagram:

$$c_0 \xrightarrow{S} E \xrightarrow{Q} E^{**} \xrightarrow{U} S^{**}(l_\infty).$$

Let  $T: L_1[0, 1] \rightarrow c_0$  the Dunford–Pettis operator constructed by Bourgain. By hypothesis  $SoT$  is Pettis-representable in  $E$  and hence by the above diagram  $T$  will be Pettis-representable in  $l_\infty$ , a contradiction that finishes the proof.

COROLLARY 5. If a Banach space has the weak-Radon Nikodym property then  $E$  does not contain any isomorphic copy of  $c_0$ .

The only known proofs of the above Corollary 5 ([4], [5]) rely heavily either on a deep result of Fremlin [3] or of Sierpinski [7].

The following fact was shown in [4]. We are going to give a slightly different proof of it.

**PROPOSITION 6.** *Let  $E$  be an order continuous Banach lattice. Then  $E$  has the separable complementation property.*

**Proof.** Let  $Y$  be a separable subspace of  $E$ . Let  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a dense subset of the positive unit ball of  $Y$ . Consider

$$u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_n}{2^n}.$$

Let  $F$  be the closed ideal generated by  $u$ , i.e.

$$F = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [-nu, nu]}.$$

It is clear that  $F$  contains  $Y$ . Since  $E$  is order continuous, the space  $F$  is weakly compactly generated and  $F$  is complemented in  $E$ . Choose  $Z$  a separable subspace of  $F$  which is complemented in  $F$  and containing  $Y$ . It is clear that  $Z$  is complemented in  $E$ .

Combining the results of [1] and ([6], p. 36) with Lemma 3, Proposition 4, and Proposition 6 we get

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $X$  be a complemented subspace of a Banach lattice  $E$ . If every Dunford-Pettis operator from  $L_1$  to  $X$  is Pettis-representable then  $X$  has the Radon-Nikodym property.*

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Bourgain, Dunford-Pettis Operators and the Radon-Nikodym property, (preprint).
2. J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, Jr., *Vector measures*, Mathematical Survey No. 15, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1977.
3. D. H. Fremlin, *Pointwise compact subsets of measurable functions*, Manuscripts Math. **15**, 219-242 (1975).
4. N. Ghoussoub and E. Saab, *On the weak Radon-Nikodym property*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1981), 81-84.
5. L. Janicka, *Wlasnosci Typu Radona-Nikodyma dla Przestrzeni Banacha*, Thesis, 1978, Wroclaw.
6. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces II*, Springer-Verlag (1979).
7. W. Sierpinski, *Fonctions additives non completement additives et fonctions non mesurables*. Fund. Math. **30** (96-99) 1938.

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
VANCOUVER, B.C. V6T 1Y4  
CANADA

*Current address:*  
THE UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI  
COLUMBIA, MO 65211