

Significant influence of fluid viscoelasticity on flow dynamics past an oscillating cylinder

Faheem Hamid^{[1](#page-0-0)} and C. Sasmal^{1,}[†](#page-0-1)

1Department of Chemical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Ropar, Rupnagar, Punjab 140001, India

(Received 15 January 2023; revised 25 June 2023; accepted 10 October 2023)

This study focuses on numerically investigating the impact of fluid viscoelasticity on the flow dynamics around a transversely forced oscillating cylinder operating in the laminar vortex shedding regime at a fixed Reynolds number of *Re* = 100. Specifically, we explore how fluid viscoelasticity affects the boundary between the lock-in and no lock-in regions and the corresponding wake characteristics compared with a simple Newtonian fluid. Our findings reveal that fluid viscoelasticity enables the synchronization of the vortex street with the cylinder motion at lower oscillation frequencies than those required for a Newtonian fluid. Consequently, the lock-in region boundary for a viscoelastic fluid differs from that of a Newtonian fluid and expands in the non-dimensional cylinder oscillation amplitude and frequency parameter space. In the primary synchronization region, the wake of a Newtonian fluid exhibits '2S' (two single vortices) and 'P+S' (a pair of vortices and a single vortex) shedding modes. In contrast, a '2P' (two pairs of vortices) vortex mode is observed for a viscoelastic fluid within the same region. To gain a deeper understanding of the differences in the coherent flow structures and their associated frequencies between the two fluids, we employ the data-driven reduced-order modelling technique, known as the dynamic mode decomposition (DMD) technique. Utilizing this technique, we successfully extract and visualize the two competing fundamental frequencies (cylinder oscillation and natural vortex shedding frequencies) and their associated flow structures in the case of the no lock-in state, whereas only the dominant cylinder oscillation frequency and associated flow structure in the case of the lock-in state. Furthermore, we propose that the presence of excess strain resulting from the stretching of polymer molecules in viscoelastic fluids leads to a distinct difference in the wake structure compared with Newtonian fluids. This observation aligns with the findings obtained from the *Q*-criterion and vorticity transport analysis of the wake.

Key words: viscoelasticity, vortex shedding

† Email address for correspondence: csasmal@iitrpr.ac.in

1. Introduction

The flow past a cylinder is a widely studied problem in fluid mechanics, both from the pragmatic and fundamental points of view. This benchmark problem provides essential information on several aspects of flow past a bluff body, such as boundary layer separation and vortex shedding, hydrodynamic drag and lift forces, transition among several flow states, etc (Zdravkovich & Bearman [1997\)](#page-22-0). Above a critical Reynolds number (the ratio of the inertial to that of viscous forces), vortex-shedding from the cylinder results in the oscillatory variations of the fluid forces acting on it. The frequency of these fluctuations is related to the natural shedding frequency of the vortices, also called the Strouhal frequency (f_{st}) (Gabbai & Benaroya [2005\)](#page-20-0). From an engineering perspective, understanding this phenomenon is essential as it is the underlying cause of the vortex-induced vibrations (VIVs) in many structures (Zhu, Zhang & Liu [2019\)](#page-22-1). The VIVs occur in both flexibly mounted and deformable bodies when the vortex shedding frequency lies in the close-by range of their natural frequency (Triantafyllou *et al.* [2016\)](#page-22-2). These are characterized by synchronizing the wake with the body's motion, commonly called the 'lock-in' condition. The importance of studying the 'lock-in' phenomenon cannot be overstated due to its many applications in structural, offshore and thermal power engineering (Sarpkaya [2004;](#page-21-0) Williamson & Govardhan [2004\)](#page-22-3).

To gain insights into the fundamental mechanism underlying VIVs, researchers commonly employ a simplified model involving an elastically mounted rigid cylinder that is forced to oscillate either in the spanwise (cross-flow) or streamwise (in-line) direction of the incoming flow (Dahl *et al.* [2007;](#page-20-1) Bearman [2011;](#page-20-2) Wang, Fan & Triantafyllou [2021\)](#page-22-4). This model allows for a controlled investigation of the relationship between various parameters, such as streaming velocity, oscillation amplitude and frequency. Previous studies have extensively focused on vertically forced oscillating cylinders (Bourguet, Karniadakis & Triantafyllou [2011;](#page-20-3) Wang *et al.* [2021\)](#page-22-4), and a comprehensive collection of these works can be found in Bourguet $\&$ Jacono [\(2015\)](#page-20-4). It should be noted that in this configuration, the formation of the wake and the phenomenon of vortex shedding differ significantly from those observed with a stationary cylinder (Griffin & Ramberg [1974;](#page-20-5) Anagnostopoulos [2000](#page-20-6)*b*), consequently affecting the lift and drag forces acting on the cylinder (Bishop & Hassan [1964](#page-20-7)*b*; Kumar *et al.* [2013\)](#page-21-1).

The advent of the lock-in condition depends on the amplitude and frequency of the oscillations and leads to distinct changes in the wake topology. Numerous experimental (Bishop & Hassan [1964](#page-20-8)*a*; Koopmann [1967;](#page-21-2) Carberry, Sheridan & Rockwell [2001,](#page-20-9) [2005;](#page-20-10) Morse & Williamson [2009;](#page-21-3) Dahl *et al.* [2010\)](#page-20-11) and numerical (Karniadakis & Triantafyllou [1989;](#page-21-4) Blackburn & Henderson [1999;](#page-20-12) Anagnostopoulos [2000](#page-20-13)*a*; Al-Jamal & Dalton [2004;](#page-20-14) Alam *et al.* [2021\)](#page-20-15) investigations have been performed to understand and characterize the lock-in phenomenon for a wide range of Reynolds numbers (Jones [1968\)](#page-21-5), cylinder oscillation amplitude and frequency (Koopmann [1967\)](#page-21-2). Sufficient information is now available on various flow aspects such as different wake structures (e.g. '2S'-two single vortices, '2P'-two pairs of vortices and 'P+S'-a pair of vortices and a single vortex shed in one oscillation cycle) (Williamson & Roshko [1988;](#page-22-5) Leontini *et al.* [2006\)](#page-21-6), mechanisms of vorticity production (Blackburn & Henderson [1999\)](#page-20-12) and hydrodynamic forces (Konstantinidis *et al.* [2019\)](#page-21-7) acting on the cylinder. Several works also aimed to map the boundary between the lock-in and no lock-in conditions on the cylinder oscillation amplitude and frequency space (Meneghini & Bearman [1995;](#page-21-8) Anagnostopoulos [2000](#page-20-13)*a*; Nobari & Naderan [2006\)](#page-21-9).

However, to date, almost all of these studies have dealt with simple Newtonian fluids like water. On the other hand, most of the fluids encountered from our daily lives to several industrial settings exhibit various non-Newtonian behaviours, such as shear-thinning, shear-thickening, viscoplasticity, viscoelasticity, etc (Chhabra & Richardson [2011\)](#page-20-16). Despite this, almost no study is available on how the complex rheological behaviour of a fluid could influence the flow dynamics past a forced oscillating cylinder, particularly the lock-in and no lock-in boundary and the associated vortex dynamics and hydrodynamic forces. Only very recently, Alam *et al.* [\(2021\)](#page-20-15) investigated the effect of the shear-thinning rheological behaviour and found a significant difference in the vortex structures depending upon the values of the cylinder oscillation amplitude and frequency. Viscoelasticity is another non-Newtonian behaviour often seen in many complex fluids (Bird, Armstrong & Hassager [1987\)](#page-20-17). Adding a minute amount of solid polymers, even in parts per million (ppm) quantity, into a Newtonian solvent like water dramatically changes the flow behaviour compared with that seen in water alone due to the fluid viscoelasticity (Bird *et al.* [1987;](#page-20-17) Phan-Thien & Mai-Duy [2013;](#page-21-10) Malkin & Isayev [2022\)](#page-21-11). This is because polymer molecules change their shape and undergo stretching and relaxation under flow deformation, which causes nonlinear elastic stresses in these fluids and, consequently, varying resistance to the flow. In the creeping flow regime and/or vanishingly small Reynolds number regime where the inertial forces are less dominant, the presence of these elastic stresses often results in the generation of purely elastic instability (McKinley, Pakdel & Öztekin [1996;](#page-21-12) Pakdel & McKinley [1996\)](#page-21-13), which can transit to a more chaotic and fluctuating flow state on further increasing the fluid viscoelasticity, known as the elastic turbulence (Groisman & Steinberg [2000;](#page-20-18) Steinberg [2021\)](#page-21-14).

On the other hand, many experimental and numerical investigations have also attempted to explore the effect of this fluid viscoelasticity on the flow dynamics at finite Reynolds number regime, particularly for the problem of flow past a cylinder. It has been observed that adding polymer molecules into a Newtonian fluid causes suppression of wake instability for a wide range of Reynolds numbers. Cadot's [\(2001\)](#page-20-19) experimental observations of the cylinder wake for a dilute polymeric liquid revealed that the vortex shedding frequency is attenuated, the vortex formation length increases, and clear separation of vorticity is inhibited due to viscoelasticity. These findings were later confirmed by the two-dimensional simulations carried out by Oliveira [\(2001\)](#page-21-15) and Sahin & Atalik [\(2019\)](#page-21-16) for several viscoelastic fluid models and at various Reynolds numbers. Richter, Iaccarino & Shaqfeh [\(2010\)](#page-21-17) also got similar results to those of Oliveira [\(2001\)](#page-21-15) at $Re = 100$ using three-dimensional numerical simulations based on the FENE-P (finitely extensible nonlinear elastic-Peterlin) viscoelastic fluid model at low extensibility parameter. Furthermore, they observed the stabilizing effect of fluid viscoelasticity on the wake structures as the Reynolds number increases to 300.

In recent experiments conducted by Dey, Modarres-Sadeghi & Rothstein [\(2017\)](#page-20-20), the inertialess flow of viscoelastic fluid past a flexible sheet at various inclination angles was investigated. Their findings revealed that the presence of viscoelastic stresses in the fluid induces periodic oscillations in the structure, without the occurrence of vortex shedding. Similar observations were made for a flexible cylinder immersed in the low Reynolds number flow of viscoelastic worm-like micellar solutions (Dey, Modarres-Sadeghi & Rothstein [2018\)](#page-20-21). Furthermore, in the subcritical Reynolds number regime (at $Re =$ 19), vortex shedding was observed for a flexibly mounted cylinder, leading to the lock-in phenomenon (Boersma *et al.* [2021\)](#page-20-22). Subsequently, Xiong *et al.* [\(2019\)](#page-22-6) conducted numerical simulations of VIVs of a cylinder in viscoelastic fluids across a Reynolds number range of 30–500. Their simulations revealed significant modifications to the vortex pattern in the wake, with stratified and elongated vortices aligned in the flow direction. These changes were attributed to the elongation of polymer molecules in the

F. Hamid and C. Sasmal

fluid, resulting in an elongated recirculation region behind the cylinder. Moreover, they observed a suppression of vibration amplitudes and the dissipation of flow fluctuations due to the influence of fluid viscoelasticity.

However, there has been a notable absence of research exploring the impact of fluid viscoelasticity on the flow dynamics of a periodically forced oscillating cylinder in comparison with that available for simple Newtonian fluids. This study aims to address this knowledge gap in the literature. Specifically, we numerically investigate how introducing elasticity in a viscous fluid can modify the boundary between the lock-in and no lock-in regimes and the associated vortex dynamics in flows past a forced oscillating cylinder. These findings hold significance for various practical applications involving oscillating structures and viscous fluids. To achieve this, we utilize the Oldroyd-B constitutive model, which accurately represents the rheological behaviour of the viscoelastic fluid in our study. The choice of this model is justified by its simplicity, depending on a single conformation tensor and two parameters related to polymer concentration and relaxation time. Additionally, the Oldroyd-B model is derived from the simplest kinetic theory of polymers, assuming a dumbbell-like polymer molecule connected by a stretchable elastic spring (Shaqfeh & Khomami [2021;](#page-21-18) Sánchez *et al.* [2022\)](#page-21-19). It successfully mimics the rheological behaviour of constant shear viscosity viscoelastic fluids, such as the Boger fluid (James [2009\)](#page-21-20).

Furthermore, we employ dynamic mode decomposition (DMD), a widely used reduced-order modelling technique, to gain a visual and comprehensive understanding of the differences in flow dynamics between viscoelastic and Newtonian fluids, particularly within the lock-in and no lock-in regimes. By combining DMD with other analysis techniques, such as vorticity transport rate, *Q*-criterion and polymer stretching analysis, we aim to enhance our understanding and visualization of the distinct flow behaviour exhibited by these two types of fluids in the present study.

2. Problem statement and governing equations

We investigate a two-dimensional, laminar and unbounded flow of viscoelastic fluid past a periodically forced oscillating cylinder of diameter *d*, as shown schematically in [figure 1\(](#page-4-0)*a*). The cylinder is placed at the centre of a fictitious circular domain of viscoelastic fluid of diameter 100*d*, wherein the fluid enters the domain with a uniform velocity of U_{∞} . The cylinder is considered to be infinitely long in the *z*-direction so that no gradient exists in this direction, i.e. ∂ ()/ $\partial z^* = 0$ and also there is no flow in this direction, i.e. $u_z^* = 0$. Furthermore, the cylinder is forcefully oscillated by applying a harmonic oscillation with an amplitude of A^* (normalized) and a frequency of f_y^* such that the normalized displacement (Y) of the cylinder with respect to time (t^*) is given by $Y = A^* \sin(2\pi f_y^* t^*)$. Here, the cylinder oscillation amplitude and frequency are normalized with the cylinder diameter $(A^* = A/d)$ and the Strouhal frequency (f_{st}) of the stationary cylinder ($f^* = f^*_{y}/f_{st}$), respectively. The incompressible flow of the viscoelastic fluid, whose rheological behaviour is mimicked with the Oldroyd-B constitutive equation (Oldroyd [1950\)](#page-21-21), is governed by the following dimensionless equations: the continuity equation,

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0; \tag{2.1}
$$

the Cauchy momentum equation,

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla u = -\nabla p + \frac{\beta}{Re} \nabla \cdot \tau_s + \frac{(1-\beta)}{Wi} \frac{1}{Re} \nabla \cdot \tau_p; \tag{2.2}
$$

975 A26-4

Figure 1. (*a*) Flow schematic of the present problem, (*b*) a typical grid used in the present study (inset shows the zoomed view of the Mesh).

the Oldroyd-B constitutive equation,

$$
Wi\left(\frac{\partial \tau_p}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla \tau_p - \tau_p \cdot \nabla u - (\nabla u)^T \cdot \tau_p\right) + \tau_p = (1 - \beta)(\nabla u + \nabla u^T). \tag{2.3}
$$

The above equations have been non-dimensionalized using parameters *d*, d/U_{∞} , U_{∞} , ρU_∞^2 , $\eta_0 U_\infty / d$ for length (*x**), time (*t**), velocity (*u**), pressure (*p**) and stress tensor (*τ**), respectively. Here, ρ is the fluid density, η_0 is the zero-shear rate viscosity of the polymer solution given by the sum of the solvent viscosity, and the contribution of the polymer, i.e. $\eta_0 = \eta_s + \eta_p$. The total deviatoric stress tensor in the fluid is a linear combination of the viscous term τ_s^* (the solvent contribution) and the viscoelastic extra-stress term τ_p^* (the polymer contribution). The Newtonian solvent contribution to the stress tensor is given by $\tau_s^* = 2\eta_s D^*$, where D^* is the rate of deformation tensor given by $\frac{1}{2} [(\nabla^* u^*) + (\nabla^* u^*)^T]$ and η_s is the viscosity of the Newtonian solvent. It can be seen that the present flow is governed by three dimensionless numbers, namely, $(Re = \rho U_{\infty} d/\eta_0)$, Weissenberg number (*Wi* = $\lambda U_{\infty}/d$) and polymer viscosity ratio ($\beta = \eta_s/(\eta_s + \eta_p)$). Here, λ is the characteristic relaxation time of polymer molecules. Apart from these, normalized cylinder oscillation amplitude (A^*) and frequency (f^*) are two additional dimensionless numbers that will govern the present flow. The present investigation is carried out at a fixed value of $Re = 100$ and for a range of values of the cylinder oscillation amplitude and frequency as $0.4 \leq A^* \leq 1.2$ and $0.6 \leq f^* \leq 1.2$, respectively. The extent of fluid viscoelasticity in the present simulations is controlled by varying the Weissenberg number and polymer viscosity ratio in the ranges of $0 \le Wi \le 2$ and $1 \le \beta \le 0.5$, respectively. These ranges of parameters also include the limiting case of Newtonian fluids ($Wi = 0$, $\beta = 1$) in order to directly compare the flow dynamics with viscoelastic fluids under identical conditions.

The hydrodynamic drag (C_D) and lift coefficients (C_L) per unit length of the cylinder are calculated from the following relations:

$$
C_D = \frac{2}{\rho U_{\infty}^2 d} \int_s [(-p^* \delta + \tau_s^* + \tau_p^*) \cdot n_s]_x \, dS,
$$
 (2.4)

$$
C_L = \frac{2}{\rho U_{\infty}^2 d} \int_S [(-p^* \delta + \tau_s^* + \tau_p^*) \cdot n_s]_y \, dS. \tag{2.5}
$$

Where n_s is the outward unit normal vector drawn on the cylinder surface and *S* is the cylinder surface area.

3. Numerical method and validation

A detailed description of the numerical method employed in the present study is available elsewhere (Khan, Sasmal & Chhabra [2020;](#page-21-22) Hamid, Sasmal & Chhabra [2022\)](#page-21-23). However, a summary of the essential techniques utilized in this study to discretize different terms of the governing equations is presented here. Broadly, we have used the finite volume method based open-source code OpenFOAM (Weller *et al.* [1998\)](#page-22-7) coupled with RheoTool (Pimenta & Alves [2016\)](#page-21-24) to solve the governing mass, momentum and Oldroyd-B viscoelastic constitutive equations. To discretize the advective terms in the momentum equation, the CUBISTA (convergent and universally bounded interpolation scheme for treatment of advection) scheme (Alves, Oliveira & Pinho [2003\)](#page-20-23) has been employed. The Gauss linear orthogonal interpolation scheme was used to discretize all the diffusion terms of the governing equations. The discretization of the time derivatives was carried out using the Euler scheme. The linear systems of the pressure and velocity fields were solved using the preconditioned conjugate solver with diagonal-based incomplete Cholesky preconditioner and the stress fields using the preconditioned biconjugate gradient solver with diagonal-based incomplete LU precondition. The SIMPLE (semi-implicit method for pressure linked equations) algorithm was used to attain the pressure-velocity coupling. The present study used an automatic mesh motion scheme (dynamicFvMesh) to treat the fluid–structure interaction. In this scheme, the mesh at the outer boundary was fixed, but the mesh near the cylinder was deformed due to the motion of the cylinder. Furthermore, the log-conformation tensor formulation was used to ensure the positive definiteness of the polymeric conformation tensor (Afonso *et al.* [2009\)](#page-20-24). The relative tolerance level was set to 10^{-10} for all the fields. To maintain the stability and accuracy of the present numerical solution, the Courant number (ratio of the time step size to the characteristic convective time scale) was kept at $Co < 0.5$ in all our simulations.

An optimum grid density is always imperative in any computational fluid dynamics study, which on the one hand, will not warrant excessive computational resources and, on the other hand, will provide results with sufficient accuracy. Therefore, in the present study, the standard grid independence study procedure was followed by making three different grids consisting of regular hexahedral elements, namely, G1, G2 and G3. [Table 1](#page-6-0) presents the details of these grids used to carry out this test. [Figure 2](#page-6-1) shows the temporal variations of the drag (C_D) and lift (C_L) coefficients at extreme values of cylinder oscillation parameters for two oscillation cycles. After carefully inspecting the results obtained with different grid densities, G2 (see [figure 1](#page-4-0)*b*) was chosen for the present study as the results for G2 and G3 show a nearly perfect match with each other. The deviations in the average drag coefficient $(C_{D,ave})$ and the root-mean-square values of the lift coefficient $(C_{L,rms})$ were 2.74 % and 1.41 %, respectively, between these two grid densities. Furthermore, a time step size of $\Delta t = 0.0001(d/U_{\infty})$ was selected to carry out all the present simulations

Grid	N_r	N_c	$\Delta S \times 10^{-5}$	N
G1	270	200	1.60	54 000
G2	340	300	0.80	102 000
G ₃	470	440	0.38	206 800

Table 1. Details of different grids used in the grid independence study. Here, N_r and N_c are the number of grid points in the radial and circumferential directions, respectively. Here, *N* is the total number of hexahedral cells in the whole computational domain, and ΔS = is the minimum cell area.

Figure 2. (*a*) Temporal variations of the drag (C_D) and (*b*) lift (C_L) coefficients for three different grid densities. The following values of the parameters are chosen to carry out the grid independence study: $Re = 100$; $Wi = 2$; $\beta = 0.5$; $A^* = 1.2$; $f^* = 1.2$.

	$\overline{C_D}$		<i>St</i>		
Wi	Peng <i>et al.</i> (2021)	Present	Peng <i>et al.</i> (2021)	Present	
0.1	1.425	1.424	0.165	0.169	
0.2	1.454	1.451	0.163	0.162	
0.5	1.469	1.463	0.154	0.155	
	1.564	1.551	0.138	0.139	
\overline{c}	1.876	1.964	0.111	0.106	

Table 2. Comparison of the time-averaged drag coefficient $(\overline{C_D})$ and Strouhal frequency (*St*) in the case of a stationary cylinder with Peng *et al.* [\(2021\)](#page-21-25) at $Re = 100$ and $\beta = 0.1$.

after performing a rigorous time independence study, likewise, the grid independence study.

Extensive validation studies have also been carried out to establish the accuracy and reliability of the present numerical set-up. Our earlier study (Hamid *et al.* [2022\)](#page-21-23) already presented an extensive validation for the steady flow past a stationary cylinder up to $Re = 40$. For an unsteady flow of viscoelastic Oldroyd-B fluid, the results obtained with the present numerical set-up have further been validated with the study of Peng *et al.* [\(2021\)](#page-21-25) for a stationary cylinder. [Table 2](#page-6-2) compares the time-averaged drag coefficient and Strouhal number variations with the Weissenberg number between the two studies. A good correspondence can be seen between the two results with a maximum deviation well below

Figure 3. Comparison of the temporal variation of the drag coefficient (C_D) between the present results and that of Alam *et al.* [\(2021\)](#page-20-15) for an oscillating cylinder in Newtonian fluids.

A^*	f^*	Alam <i>et al.</i> (2021) $C_{D,avg}$	Present $C_{D,avg}$
0.4	0.6	1.360	1.348
0.4		1.817	1.771
$\mathbf{1}$		2.374	2.316
1.2.		2.502	2.403

Table 3. Comparison of the time-averaged drag coefficient $C_{D,avg}$ between the present results and that of Alam *et al.* [\(2021\)](#page-20-15) at different combinations of cylinder oscillation amplitude and frequency.

5 %. Furthermore, we have also extensively validated the present numerical settings for the case of an oscillating cylinder in simple Newtonian fluids. While [figure 3](#page-7-0) compares the temporal variation of the drag coefficient with the results of Alam *et al.* [\(2021\)](#page-20-15), [table 3](#page-7-1) compares its time-averaged values over each cycle. Once again, a very good agreement can be seen between the two results with a maximum deviation of 3.96 % in comparing the time-averaged values.

Finally, the following boundary conditions were employed to facilitate the present simulations. At the inlet, $u_x = 1$ and $u_y = 0$ are used. Furthermore, the pressure gradient and the extra stresses due to the polymeric contribution are set to zero at this boundary. At the outlet, the pressure is set to zero, and the Neumann boundary condition is used for the rest of the variables. At the cylinder surface, the standard no-slip boundary condition and a zero gradient for the pressure are applied. The polymeric stresses are extrapolated linearly onto this surface. The initial values of velocity, pressure and stress fields in the whole computational domain are set to zero.

The DMD analysis has been carried out using the algorithm proposed by Schmid [\(2011\)](#page-21-26), which is also detailed in our recent study (Hamid *et al.* [2022\)](#page-21-23). Briefly, temporally equispaced (with 0.1 s interval) snapshots (a total of $N = 501$) of the vorticity field in the unsteady periodic regime $(t \geq 500)$ are vectorized and assembled to form a matrix $S_1^N = \{s_j\}_{j=1}^N$. The DMD assumes a linear map M that connects the consecutive vorticity fields as $s_{j+1} = Ms_j$. Therefore, the system can be arranged as $S_2^N = MS_1^{N-1}$ CS_1^{N-1} + *r*. Here, *r* is the residual, which is minimized to compute the eigenvalues (Ritz values denoted by λ_i) and eigenvectors (DMD modes denoted by ϕ_i) of *C* by the

singular value decomposition. The DMD modes capture the coherent spatial features of the flow field, whereas the Ritz values (λ_i) provide information about the growth rate $(\sigma_i = \text{Re}(\log(\lambda_i/t)))$ and frequency $(St_i = \text{Im}(\log(\lambda_i)/2\pi t))$ of these modes. The energy contribution of each mode is quantified using the mode amplitude b_i , which is obtained by $\mathbf{b} = \Phi^{\dagger} s_1$. Here, **b** is the matrix of amplitude vectors, Φ^{\dagger} denotes the Moore–Penrose pseudoinverse of mode matrix, and $s₁$ is the initial snapshot vector.

4. Results and discussion

We initiate the discussion by comparing the lock-in and no lock-in states between viscoelastic and Newtonian fluids, focusing on specific combinations of *A*[∗] and *f* [∗]. We provide a detailed illustration of how the associated vortex dynamics differ in viscoelastic and Newtonian fluids for these selected combinations. We analyse vorticity transport, *Q*-criterion and polymeric stretching to investigate the underlying reasons for the discrepancies in vortex dynamics between the two fluids. Furthermore, we employ the DMD analysis in two scenarios: (i) when both the Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids exhibit the lock-in condition ($A^* = 0.4$ and $f^* = 0.8$); and (ii) when the viscoelastic fluid deviates from the Newtonian fluid (no lock-in) and subsequently exhibits the lock-in condition $(A^* = 0.4$ and $f^* = 0.6$). In this study, we have selected seven sets of A^* and f^* based on the work of Alam *et al.* [\(2021\)](#page-20-15) to investigate the influence of fluid viscoelasticity on the lock-in and no lock-in behaviours.

4.1. *Determination of lock-in and no lock-in states*

The determination of the lock-in and no lock-in states in the current flow system is based on the criterion proposed by Kumar, Navrose $\&$ Mittal [\(2016\)](#page-21-27), which was also utilized by Alam *et al.* [\(2021\)](#page-20-15) in their study. These authors compiled and examined various criteria put forth by previous researchers, thoroughly discussing the discrepancies. In this study, we adopt the subsequent criterion for the lock-in state in the current flow system: (i) the dominant peak in the power spectrum of the lift coefficient corresponds to the cylinder oscillation frequency (f_y^*) ; (ii) if any additional peaks are present in the power spectrum, they must be integer multiples of f_y^* . This criterion was further validated by determining the lock-in region for both forced and free vibrating cylinders, as documented in the literature (Kumar *et al.* [2016\)](#page-21-27).

First, the non-dimensional frequency–amplitude map exhibiting the lock-in and no lock-in behaviours of Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids is presented in [figure 4.](#page-9-0) From this plot, one can clearly observe that at a combination of $A^* = 0.4$ and $f^* = 0.6$, viscoelastic fluid ($Wi = 2$ and $\beta = 0.5$) exhibits the lock-in state, whereas Newtonian fluid is in the no lock-in state. However, as the value of *f* [∗] increases to 0.8 or 1 at the same value of *A*[∗] = 0.4, both fluids display the lock-in behaviour. On further increasing *f*[∗] to 1.2, both fluids move to the no lock-in zone. This suggests a stark difference in the synchronization behaviour of the wake structures for the value of $f^* < 0.8$ between viscoelastic and Newtonian fluids. This is clear from the power spectral density (PSD) plot of the temporal variation of the lift coefficient presented in figure $5(a-d)$. As can be seen, for $f^* < 1$ (figure $5a,b$, which correspond to points 1 and 2 in the map presented in [figure 4\)](#page-9-0), the viscoelastic fluid in both cases has a dominant peak at the cylinder oscillation frequency and another peak at its integer multiple, thereby fulfilling the criteria of the lock-in condition. Contrary to this, at a low frequency of $f^* = 0.6$, the power spectrum of the lift coefficient of Newtonian fluids has several peaks at non-integer multiples of the cylinder

Figure 4. A map illustrating the presence of lock-in and no lock-in states for viscoelastic and Newtonian fluids at selected points in the non-dimensional cylinder oscillation amplitude (*A*∗) and frequency (*f* [∗]) plane. The map focuses on the extreme case of the viscoelastic fluid with $Wi = 2$ and $\beta = 0.5$.

Figure 5. Power spectral density plots of the lift coefficient at a fixed value of the cylinder oscillation amplitude of $A^* = 0.4$ and different values of the cylinder oscillation frequency, namely, (*a*) $f^* = 0.6$, $(b) f^* = 0.8$, $(c) f^* = 1$, $(d) f^* = 1.2$, both for Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids with $Wi = 2$ and $\beta = 0.5$.

oscillation frequency, indicating a no lock-in behaviour of the wake. For $f^* > 1$ [\(figure 4](#page-9-0)*d*), the PSD plots of both fluids are much similar.

On the other hand, at a fixed frequency of $f^* = 1$, as the oscillation amplitude increases from $A^* = 0.4$ to $A^* = 1.2$, both Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids stay in the lock-in state.

Viscoelastic fluid flow over a transversely oscillating cylinder

Figure 6. Power spectral density plots of the lift coefficient at a fixed value of the cylinder oscillation frequency of $f^* = 1$ and different values of the cylinder oscillation amplitude, namely, (*a*) $A^* = 0.4$, (*b*) $A^* = 0.6$, $(c) A^* = 1$, $(d) A^* = 1.2$, both for Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids with $Wi = 2$ and $\beta = 0.5$.

Figure 7. Power spectral density plots of the lift coefficient at *A*[∗] = 0.4 and *f* [∗] = 0.6 both for Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids. (*a*) Effect of the Weissenberg number at a fixed value of $\beta = 0.5$. (*b*) Effect of the polymer viscosity ratio at a fixed value of $Wi = 2$.

Figure $6(a-d)$ shows the PSD plots corresponding to these points, where all of them have major peaks at the cylinder oscillation frequency, and the other minor peaks are its superharmonics.

To thoroughly investigate the effect of viscoelasticity on the lock-in state, we particularly focus on point 1 ($f^* = 0.6$ and $A^* = 0.4$) as shown in [figure 4.](#page-9-0) At this point, the viscoelastic fluid with $Wi = 2$ and $\beta = 0.5$ exhibits a significant deviation from the Newtonian fluid. To analyse the impact of fluid viscoelasticity on the lock-in state, we introduce viscoelastic behaviour gradually into the Newtonian fluid while keeping the oscillation parameters at fixed values. The PSD plots in [figure 7\(](#page-10-1)*a*) demonstrate that as the Weissenberg number is increased at a fixed value of $\beta = 0.5$, the dominant peak in the PSD spectrum shifts towards the cylinder oscillation frequency. Meanwhile, the less

Figure 8. Vortex structures in Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids at a fixed value of *A*[∗] = 0.4 and varying values of f^* corresponding to the points 1, 2, 3 and 4 in the map as shown in [figure 4.](#page-9-0) Here, the polymer viscosity ratio is fixed at $\beta = 0.5$, while the Weissenberg number is varied from 0 (Newtonian) to 2.

prominent peaks either diminish or appear as integer multiples of the dominant frequency, indicating the establishment of the lock-in state. This behaviour can be attributed to the increase in the relaxation time of the polymer molecules. This is because the Weissenberg number is increased here by increasing the polymer relaxation time. As a result, they remain stretched for a longer time within the flow, and the elastic stresses transmitted through these polymer chains sustain the inertial effects generated by the cylinder motion, which will be explained in detail later with the help of other postprocessing techniques such as the *Q*-criterion and polymer stretching. The consequence is the synchronization of the wake with the cylinder motion in the viscoelastic fluid, whereas, in the Newtonian fluid, the wake is dominated by the stationary shedding frequency. A similar trend is also observed in [figure 7\(](#page-10-1)*b*), where the extent of fluid viscoelasticity is gradually increased by varying the polymer contribution from 0% (Newtonian) to 50% ($\beta = 0.5$) while maintaining a fixed Weissenberg number of 2.

4.2. *Effect of fluid viscoelasticity on wake structures*

We now analyse the effect of fluid viscoelasticity on the wake generated past the oscillating cylinder. To do so, we first vary the value of *Wi* at a constant β of 0.5. Later, we vary β , keeping the *Wi* number fixed at 2. [Figure 8](#page-11-0) represents the changes in the wake as we vary f^* and *Wi* at fixed values of $A^* = 0.4$ and $\beta = 0.5$. For the Newtonian fluid at $f^* = 0.6$, it is obvious that the wake is in the non-synchronized state, with a pair of vortices ('2P') being shed in one oscillation cycle. On moving towards the viscoelastic fluids, at a low value of *Wi* number of 0.1, the wake is not much different from that seen in the Newtonian fluid due to a low effect of fluid viscoelasticity. However, as we increase the Weissenberg number (i.e. increase in the polymer relaxation time) to 1, a remarkable change in the wake structure can be seen. The two same-signed vortices coalesce (which will also be seen from the *Q*-criterion discussed in the later section), resulting in a Kármán-type wake street with a '2S' configuration. The shed vortices align along the wake centreline and move in a synchronized fashion. Increasing the *Wi* number further to 2 does not affect the synchronization state of the wake, and it continues to be in the lock-in state. This effect is similar to that seen in the Newtonian fluid when f^* increases from 0.6 to 0.8. Williamson & Roshko [\(1988\)](#page-22-5) showed that four vorticity regions are formed in a given cycle for an oscillating cylinder. Below the critical lock-in frequency, same-sign vortices move away from each other and then pair with an opposite-sign vortex to form a '2P'

Figure 9. Vortex structures in Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids at a fixed value of *f* [∗] = 1 and varying values of *A*[∗] corresponding to the points 3, 5, 6 and 7 in the map as shown in [figure 4.](#page-9-0) Here, the polymer viscosity ratio is fixed at $\beta = 0.5$, while the Weissenberg number is varied from 0 (Newtonian) to 2.

vortex street. As the frequency increases to 0.8, the same-sign vortices amalgamate to form a '2S' wake structure. On further moving to higher oscillation frequencies, i.e. $f^* = 1$, both fluids exhibit the lock-in behaviour (see [figure 5](#page-9-1) for the corresponding PSD plots) with a '2S' mode of vortex shedding. However, the vortex alignment is still notably different between the two fluids. While for a Newtonian fluid, the wake at $f^* = 0.8$ resembles the von Kármán vortex street, the vortices in the far wake organize into rows for an Oldroyd-B viscoelastic fluid. Moreover, this trend of a viscoelastic fluid is replicated by the Newtonian fluid at $f^* = 1$, although with a higher rate of vortex dissipation. At this point $(f^* = 1)$, with the increase in the *Wi* number, the row formation point moves closer to the cylinder. Finally, at $Wi = 2$, the vortices are shed into two rows from the near wake of the cylinder itself. Here, the vortices display substantial stretching in the downstream direction before being shed with higher lateral spacing. A similar trend is observed at $f^* = 1.2$ where the non-synchronized vortices advect behind the cylinder with a '2P' configuration regardless of the fluid type. However, the Oldroyd-B vortices are noticeably stronger than the Newtonian ones, and the narrow stretches interconnecting them also become more prominent.

The effect of increasing cylinder oscillation amplitude at a fixed frequency is illustrated in [figure 9.](#page-12-0) The wake remains in the '2S' mode for Newtonian fluids as we increase the value of *A*[∗] from 0.4 to 1. Finally, it shifts into a synchronized 'P+S' wake state, as reported by many earlier studies as well (Williamson & Roshko [1988;](#page-22-5) Leontini *et al.* [2006\)](#page-21-6). However, a notable difference is again seen in the vortex dynamics for an Oldroyd-B fluid. At $A^* = 0.4$ and 0.6, the vortices are shed with a '2S' mode but in parallel rows from the near wake itself, whereas this partition occurs at some distance for a Newtonian fluid. With the further increment in the amplitude to $A^* = 1$, a marked difference appears in the wake topology. While for a Newtonian fluid, the wake still remains in the '2S' mode, it shifts to a '2P' mode for a viscoelastic fluid, for instance, see the results for $Wi = 2$ and $A^* = 1$ or 1.2. This is a significant deviation from the Newtonian behaviour where only '2S' or 'P+S' modes have been observed in the primary synchronization region at multiple Reynolds numbers in earlier studies (Leontini *et al.* [2006\)](#page-21-6). A possible explanation for this, as also provided by Govardhan $\&$ Williamson [\(2000\)](#page-20-25), is that the single vortices in the near wake split due to excess strain, forming a pair of vortices. At $Wi = 1$ and *A*[∗] = 1 or 1.2, this splitting effect is more obvious for viscoelastic fluids, where it can be seen that the single vortices start to divide into two regions. This results in a '2P' wake as we increase the Weissenberg number to 2 at the same oscillation parameters.

Figure 10. Vortex structures in Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids at a fixed value of *A*[∗] = 0.4 and varying values of f^* corresponding to the points 3, 5, 6 and 7 in the map as shown in [figure 4.](#page-9-0) Here, the Weissenberg number is fixed at $Wi = 2$, while the polymer viscosity ratio is varied from 1 (Newtonian) to 0.5.

Figure 11. Vortex structures in Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids at a fixed value of *f* [∗] = 1 and varying values of *A*[∗] corresponding to the points 3, 5, 6 and 7 in the map as shown in [figure 4.](#page-9-0) Here, the Weissenberg number is fixed at $Wi = 2$, while the polymer viscosity ratio is varied from 1 (Newtonian) to 0.5.

Since polymer molecules undergo considerable stretching in the cylinder vicinity in a viscoelastic fluid, the excess straining effect enhances the breaking of the vortices at lower cylinder oscillation amplitude and frequency values than the Newtonian fluid.

Moving further, [figures 10](#page-13-0) and [11](#page-13-1) show the effect of changing the polymer viscosity ratio on the wake at a constant *Wi* number. From [figure 10,](#page-13-0) it can be seen that a decreasing β , i.e. increasing polymer concentration in the fluid, has a similar impact as that of increasing the *Wi* number in the wake irrespective of the cylinder oscillation frequency. Similarly, as we increase A^* and decrease β [\(figure 11\)](#page-13-1), the same trend is again observed as earlier seen in [figure 9.](#page-12-0) Therefore, inducing viscoelasticity in the fluid either by increasing the Weissenberg number (or increasing the polymer relaxation time) or decreasing the polymer viscosity ratio (or increasing the polymer concentration) has the same impact on the wake topology.

4.3. *Dynamic mode decomposition analysis*

Fluid flows, including the one under investigation in this study, are characterized by their inherent flow complexity. However, despite this complexity, the transport processes in these flows are still majorly governed by organized motions of fluid elements possessing

Figure 12. (*a*) The Ritz values (λ_j) both for Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids at $A^* = 0.4$ and different values of f^* , (the dashed line represents the unit circle $|\lambda_i| = 1$). (*b*) The normalized magnitudes corresponding to the most dominant modes (excluding the mean mode) are plotted against the non-dimensional frequency of each mode. Fundamental frequencies in the fluid flow are denoted by the dashed lines. The rest of the frequencies are higher harmonics of these fundamental frequencies in the flow.

spatial and temporal coherence (Kutz *et al.* [2016\)](#page-21-28). Recognizing and extracting these features, also known as coherent structures, from the trivial background flow is crucial for better understanding the flow system and developing effective flow models. Like stability analyses, data-driven approaches, such as proper orthogonal decomposition and DMD, offer valuable tools for approximating these coherent structures in terms of spatial and temporal modes. By applying these analyses, we can gain valuable insights into the evolution and interactions of the underlying flow features, enhancing our understanding of the flow dynamics.

With this aim, we now utilize the DMD technique to analyse the differences in the coherent flow structures of both fluids at $f^* = 0.6$, where they exhibit different synchronization behaviour. We also perform the DMD analysis at $f^* = 0.8$, where both fluids are in the lock-in state. The DMD extracts the underlying structures of dynamic relevance from the global flow field data and their associated frequencies prevalent in the domain. This will aid in visualizing the competition between the cylinder oscillation and the natural vortex shedding frequencies in the wake. First, the Ritz values of all the cases under consideration are plotted in [figure 12\(](#page-14-0)*a*). Irrespective of the fluid type and oscillation parameters, most of these neutrally stable values are clustered around the unit circle $|\lambda_i| = 1$ (zoomed view shown using a dashed line) with a few strongly damped values lying inside it. It denotes the convergence towards a linear representation of the nonlinear flow. Also, these values are symmetrical with respect to the real axis due to real flow field data. In our analysis, the sorting of dominant modes is done based on the amplitude (b_i) , which is determined by projecting the modes back to the original data sequence. The modes with larger projections are more significant and are depicted by larger sizes in [figure 12\(](#page-14-0)*a*). The largest circle shows the mode with zero imaginary Ritz value for all fluids and captures the mean vorticity field. For other modes, the normalized magnitudes are plotted against the associated frequencies (S_t) in [figure 12\(](#page-14-0)*b*). This plot shows that only a few modes capture the maximum flow energy in all four scenarios, which is expected as the flow is periodic. For the lock-in case (i.e. $f^* = 0.8$), both Oldroyd-B and Newtonian fluids have a pronounced peak at the cylinder oscillation frequency. The rest of the frequencies are higher harmonics of this frequency and contribute negligibly to the data sequence as reflected from their amplitudes. Moreover, the natural vortex shedding frequency (f_{st}) of

Figure 13. Visualization of the DMD modes of the vorticity fields both for Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids (*Wi* = 2, β = 0.5) at the lock-in state in the non-dimensional amplitude–frequency plane (A^* = 0.4 and $f^* = 0.8$: (*a*,*c*) mean mode; (*b*,*d*) mode 1.

both fluids does not appear in this spectrum. It further confirms that the vortex shedding synchronizes with the cylinder oscillation in the lock-in condition. Therefore, the cylinder oscillation dominates while the natural shedding frequency perishes in the flow field.

[Figure 13](#page-15-0) shows the real parts of the DMD modes corresponding to the mean flow and the cylinder oscillation frequency both for Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids. In both fluids, the mean mode $(St_i = 0)$ comprises two shear layer-type structures arising behind the cylinder and extending symmetrically into the wake. However, these structures are more prominent for a viscoelastic fluid and extend farther into the wake. Moreover, compared with the stationary cylinder case, these structures are again larger in the streamwise extent for both fluids; see the results of Hamid *et al.* [\(2022\)](#page-21-23). This is because the added acceleration effect in the oscillating cylinder case results in higher vorticity flux from the cylinder wall than in the stationary cylinder case. Mode 1 provides typical structures to capture the vortex-shedding phenomenon and its associated frequency. For both fluids, the bubble-like structures convect in an antisymmetric fashion (with respect to the vertical axis) away from the cylinder with its oscillation frequency. However, the two fluids have a marked difference in the far wake structures of the two fluids.

Contrary to the flow of viscoelastic fluids past a stationary cylinder (Hamid *et al.* [2022\)](#page-21-23) where the strength of these structures is higher than Newtonian fluids, the opposite trend is observed here. This is because, in a Newtonian fluid, single vortices ('S') sustain to a greater distance in the wake than in a viscoelastic fluid. In this fluid, the vortex street is partitioned into two rows in the far wake [\(figure 8\)](#page-11-0), which is successfully captured by the DMD structures. Furthermore, in both cases, the distance between the consecutive bubble-like structures remains constant after moving away in the downstream direction. However, this gap is a little bit less for viscoelastic fluids. [Figure 14](#page-16-0) depicts the modes for Newtonian no lock-in and viscoelastic lock-in cases at $A^* = 0.4$ and $f^* = 0.6$. As seen before, in the lock-in condition, the DMD extracts only one dominant frequency in viscoelastic flows, i.e. the cylinder oscillation frequency [\(figure 12](#page-14-0)*b*), and the other low magnitude frequencies are its higher harmonics. However, for the Newtonian no lock-in case, two fundamental frequencies are visible due to the non-synchronization in the vortex formation. Hence, from the flow field data, it is obvious that these two frequencies, i.e. the cylinder oscillation frequency and the vortex shedding frequency, compete in the flow domain. The associated flow structures at these frequencies are visualized in [figure 14,](#page-16-0) where the mean mode for both fluids has similar structures as explained in the earlier case, with the extent of viscoelastic DMD structures being more

Figure 14. Visualization of the DMD modes of the vorticity field both for Newtonian (no lock-in) and viscoelastic ($Wi = 2$, $\beta = 0.5$, lock-in) fluids at the deviation point in the non-dimensional amplitude–frequency plane $(A^* = 0.4 \text{ and } f^* = 0.6)$: (a,d) mean mode; (b,e) mode 1; (c) mode 2.

in the downstream direction. Moving to mode 1 in a viscoelastic fluid, again, the same vortex-shedding structures appear; however, these resemble the DMD structures of the Newtonian lock-in case [\(figure 13](#page-15-0)*b*), albeit more concentrated due to the viscoelastic effect. This observation of similarity in the DMD structures is also reinforced by the vortex topology at two points in [figure 8,](#page-11-0) see the results at $A^* = 0.4$ and $f^* = 0.6$, and $A^* = 0.4$ and $f^* = 0.8$. It also suggests that inducing viscoelasticity in a Newtonian fluid expedites the transition to the lock-in region for a transversely oscillating cylinder. Now looking at the DMD mode 1 for a Newtonian fluid, which corresponds to the cylinder oscillation frequency, the concentrated structures in the cylinder vicinity signify the dominance of forced oscillations in the near wake zone. These structures fade as we move downstream of the cylinder. Competing with this frequency, the antisymmetric structures in mode 2 move with the natural shedding frequency and are more stretched in the spanwise direction than the lock-in vortex shedding structures, [figure 14\(](#page-16-0)*c*).

4.4. *Vorticity transport, polymer stretching and Q-criterion*

The vorticity in the present flow field is generated only due to the interaction between the fluid and the cylinder surface, as the flow is incompressible in nature. Therefore, the vorticity evolves locally near the cylinder and propagates into the flow field by advection and diffusion. The governing equation for the vorticity transport (VT) obtained after taking the curl of the momentum equation is given as (Kundu, Cohen & Dowling [2015\)](#page-21-29)

$$
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}^*}{\partial t^*} + \nabla^* \times (\boldsymbol{u}^* \cdot \nabla^* \boldsymbol{u}^*) = \nabla^* \times \left(\frac{\nabla^* \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}^*}{\rho} \right). \tag{4.1}
$$

For Newtonian fluids, the stress is only due to τ_s^* , and hence, the VT equation becomes as follows:

$$
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}^*}{\partial t^*} + \boldsymbol{u}^* \cdot \nabla^* \boldsymbol{\omega}^* = \nu \nabla^{2*} \boldsymbol{\omega}^*,
$$
\n(4.2)

where $v (= \eta_s/\rho)$ is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid and $D\omega^*/Dt^* = \frac{\partial \omega^*}{\partial t^*} + u^* \cdot$ ∇∗*ω*[∗] is the vorticity transport rate (VTR). On the contrary, the polymer molecules suspended in the Newtonian solvent in viscoelastic fluids also contribute to the overall stress field. Hence, the VT equation for an Oldroyd-B viscoelastic fluid becomes as follows

Figure 15. Comparison of the VTR ($D\omega^*/Dt^*$) for Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids having $Wi = 2$ and $\beta = 0.5$. Here, the cylinder oscillation parameters are (*a*) $A^* = 0.4$ and $f^* = 0.6$, (*b*) $A^* = 0.4$ and $f^* = 0.8$, $(c) A^* = 0.4$ and $f^* = 1.2$, $(d) A^* = 1.2$ and $f^* = 1$. The top and bottom of each panel represent the results for Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids, respectively.

(Comminal *et al.* [2016\)](#page-20-26):

$$
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}^*}{\partial t^*} + \boldsymbol{u}^* \cdot \nabla^* \boldsymbol{\omega}^* = \nu \nabla^{2*} \boldsymbol{\omega}^* + \nabla^* \times \left(\frac{\nabla^* \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_p^*}{\rho} \right). \tag{4.3}
$$

The existence of this extra term on the right-hand side of the VT equation for the viscoelastic fluids leads to an enhancement in the vorticity generation and its subsequent transport through the domain. This can be clearly observed from [figure 15,](#page-17-0) which illustrates the contours of the VTR both for Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids at different combinations of cylinder oscillation parameters. As already stated above, the vorticity in both fluids is generated at the solid boundary; therefore, the VTR contours are only present near the cylinder vicinity. Overall, the contours are more prominent for viscoelastic fluids, which extend to larger distances in the streamwise direction at lower non-dimensional frequencies; for instance, see the results at $f^* = 0.6$ [\(figure 15](#page-17-0)*a*) and $f^* = 0.8$ (figure 15*b*). At higher frequencies, for instance, at $f^* = 1.2$, although the VTR is higher for viscoelastic fluids, it does not extend to that much in the streamwise direction, as seen at lower values of *f* [∗]. This behaviour can be explained based on the competition between the fluid deformation (due to cylinder oscillation) and polymer relaxation time scales. For a given polymer relaxation time (or at a fixed Weissenberg number), at a lower cylinder oscillation frequency, the fluid deformation time scale is large and sufficient enough for the polymer molecules to stretch and relax the stresses in the fluid. In contrast, at higher

Figure 16. The *Q*-criterion for Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids at $Wi = 2$, $\beta = 0.5$. The plots are superimposed over the polymer stretching $(tr(C))$ for viscoelastic fluids by reducing the opacity. Here, the cylinder oscillation parameters are (*a*) $A^* = 0.4$ and $f^* = 0.6$, (*b*) $A^* = 0.4$ and $f^* = 0.8$, (*c*) $A^* = 0.4$ and $f^* = 1.2$, (*d*) $A^* = 1.2$ and $f^* = 1$. The top and bottom plots in each panel represent the results for Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids, respectively.

cylinder oscillation frequencies, the fluid deformation time scale becomes small, and as a result, the polymer molecules are not getting enough time to relax, and smaller polymer chains are formed. This is why the lock-in phenomenon of viscoelastic fluids deviates substantially from the Newtonian one at frequencies $f^* < 1$, whereas not much difference is seen above $f^* = 1$.

To quantify and visualize this stretching phenomenon and its consequences on wake structure, we present the plots of the polymer stretching superimposed over the *Q*-criterion of the flow field, [figure 16.](#page-18-0) The *Q*-criterion is calculated as per the equation, $Q = ((\|\Omega^*\|^2 - \|\mathcal{S}^*\|^2)/2)$, where Ω^* is the rotation rate in the fluid given by $((\nabla^* u^* - \nabla^* u^{*T})/2)$ and S^* is the strain rate given by $((\nabla^* u^* + \nabla^* u^{*T})/2)$. This criterion is a standard technique to identify the vortical structures in a flow field. Consequently, regions with $Q > 0$ are characterized as rotation-dominated regions, whereas $Q < 0$ indicates that the straining is in excess compared with the rotation. The stretching of polymer molecules is quantified by calculating the trace $(tr(C))$ of the polymeric conformation tensor C , which is obtained from the polymeric stress fields by the equation, $C = ((\lambda/\eta_p)\tau_p^*) + \delta$. For superimposition with polymer stretching, the opacity of the *Q*-criterion fields is reduced in viscoelastic fluids. At cylinder oscillation frequencies of 0.6 and 0.8 [\(figure 16](#page-18-0)*a*,*b*, respectively), it can be seen that highly stretched strands of polymer molecules are formed in the cylinder vicinity, which further extend to larger distances into the downstream wake. Moreover, the positive *Q*-criterion structures are aligned along the tips of these strands. The corresponding *Q*-criterion for Newtonian fluids is also shown

F. Hamid and C. Sasmal

in the same figure for comparison. In contrast, at $f^* = 1.2$, as already stated above, the chains are shorter, less prominent and roll up very close to the downstream cylinder face. The presence of these smaller chains between the shed vortices makes these vortices more interconnected resulting in the elongation of the vortices and a distinct wake structure, as already seen in the earlier vorticity plots [\(figure 10\)](#page-13-0). On increasing the amplitude at a given frequency [\(figure 15](#page-17-0)*d*), a different trend is observed where the high VTR zones are spread more in the spanwise direction. The corresponding polymer stretching and *Q*-criterion structures can be seen in [figure 16\(](#page-18-0)*d*). Here, long chains are oriented in a semivertical fashion, resulting in the deviation of wake structure in viscoelastic fluids from the Newtonian one. Two pairs of *Q*-criterion structures can be seen in viscoelastic fluids as compared with a single and a pair of structures for the Newtonian fluid.

Therefore, the existence of polymer molecules in a viscoelastic fluid significantly affects the vortex dynamics. Furthermore, the elastic stresses generated are stronger at lower oscillation frequencies where the lock-in phenomenon is also altered. The formation of polymer strands suppresses the vortex shedding as the polymer molecules sustain the stresses for a longer duration of time. As a result, the inertial effects generated by the cylinder are not dissipated but sustained in the fluid. Therefore, the cylinder oscillation dominates the flow field in viscoelastic fluids, leading to an early transition into the lock-in condition on a non-dimensional amplitude–frequency plane.

5. Conclusions

In this study, we conducted numerical simulations to investigate the flow characteristics of Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids around a circular cylinder undergoing transverse oscillations in a streaming fluid with a fixed Reynolds number of *Re* = 100. Specifically, we focused on examining the influence of fluid viscoelasticity on the transition between the lock-in and no lock-in regions and the corresponding vortex structures. Our findings indicate that the lock-in region in viscoelastic fluids occurs at a lower non-dimensional cylinder oscillation frequency compared with the no lock-in condition observed in Newtonian fluids. To gain further insights into the interplay between the cylinder oscillation frequency and the natural shedding frequency of vortices in the wake structure, we employed a data-driven technique called DMD analysis. For Newtonian fluids in the no lock-in regime, DMD revealed two coherent flow structures associated with each of these frequencies in the amplitude–frequency plane. In contrast, for viscoelastic fluids at the same point, only the cylinder oscillation frequency and its corresponding structure were extracted, indicating the presence of the lock-in state. Additionally, we observed significant differences in the wake structures between Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids. In the lock-in zone, a distinct '2P' vortex shedding mode, characterized by the presence of two pairs of vortices within one oscillation cycle, was observed exclusively in viscoelastic fluids and not in Newtonian fluids. This deviation can be attributed to the additional elastic stresses generated by the stretching of polymer molecules present in viscoelastic fluids. Our results are consistent with the findings obtained from *Q*-criterion and VT analyses, further supporting our observations.

Declaration of interests. The authors report no conflict of interest.

[Au](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2027-1396)thor ORCIDs.

Faheem Hamid [https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2027-1396;](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2027-1396)

C. Sasmal [https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8518-8599.](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8518-8599)

975 A26-20

REFERENCES

- AFONSO, A., OLIVEIRA, P.J., PINHO, F.T. & ALVES, M.A. 2009 The log-conformation tensor approach in the finite-volume method framework. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* 157 (1–2), 55–65.
- ALAM, M.I., RAJ, A., KHAN, P.M., KUMAR, S. & ROY, S. 2021 Numerical simulation of flow of a shear-thinning Carreau fluid over a transversely oscillating cylinder. *J. Fluid Mech.* 921, A23.
- AL-JAMAL, H. & DALTON, C. 2004 Vortex induced vibrations using large eddy simulation at a moderate Reynolds number. *J. Fluids Struct.* 19 (1), 73–92.
- ALVES, M.A., OLIVEIRA, P.J. & PINHO, F.T. 2003 A convergent and universally bounded interpolation scheme for the treatment of advection. *Intl J. Numer. Meth. Fluids* 41 (1), 47–75.
- ANAGNOSTOPOULOS, P. 2000*a* Numerical study of the flow past a cylinder excited transversely to the incident stream. Part 1. Lock-in zone, hydrodynamic forces and wake geometry. *J. Fluids Struct.* 14 (6), 819–851.
- ANAGNOSTOPOULOS, P. 2000*b* Numerical study of the flow past a cylinder excited transversely to the incident stream. Part 2. Timing of vortex shedding, aperiodic phenomena and wake parameters. *J. Fluids Struct.* 14 (6), 853–882.
- BEARMAN, P.W. 2011 Circular cylinder wakes and vortex-induced vibrations. *J. Fluids Struct.* 27 (5-6), 648–658.
- BIRD, R.B., ARMSTRONG, R.C. & HASSAGER, O. 1987 *Dynamics of Polymeric Liquids. Vol. 1: Fluid Mechanics*, 2nd edn. Wiley.
- BISHOP, R.E.D. & HASSAN, A.Y. 1964*a* The lift and drag forces on a circular cylinder in a flowing fluid. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* A *Math. Phys. Sci.* 277 (1368), 32–50.
- BISHOP, R.E.D. & HASSAN, A.Y. 1964*b* The lift and drag forces on a circular cylinder oscillating in a flowing fluid. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* A *Math. Phys. Sci.* 277 (1368), 51–75.
- BLACKBURN, H.M. & HENDERSON, R.D. 1999 A study of two-dimensional flow past an oscillating cylinder. *J. Fluid Mech.* 385, 255–286.
- BOERSMA, P.R., ZHAO, J., ROTHSTEIN, J.P. & MODARRES-SADEGHI, Y. 2021 Experimental evidence of vortex-induced vibrations at subcritical Reynolds numbers. *J. Fluid Mech.* 922, R3.
- BOURGUET, R. & JACONO, D.L. 2015 In-line flow-induced vibrations of a rotating cylinder. *J. Fluid Mech.* 781, 127–165.
- BOURGUET, R., KARNIADAKIS, G.E. & TRIANTAFYLLOU, M.S. 2011 Vortex-induced vibrations of a long flexible cylinder in shear flow. *J. Fluid Mech.* 677, 342–382.
- CADOT, O. 2001 Partial roll-up of a viscoelastic Kármán street. *Eur. J. Mech.* B*/Fluids* 20 (1), 145–153.
- CARBERRY, J., SHERIDAN, J. & ROCKWELL, D. 2001 Forces and wake modes of an oscillating cylinder. *J. Fluids Struct.* 15 (3-4), 523–532.
- CARBERRY, J., SHERIDAN, J. & ROCKWELL, D. 2005 Controlled oscillations of a cylinder: forces and wake modes. *J. Fluid Mech.* 538, 31–69.
- CHHABRA, R.P. & RICHARDSON, J.F. 2011 *Non-Newtonian Flow and Applied Rheology: Engineering Applications*. Butterworth-Heinemann.
- COMMINAL, R., HATTEL, J.H., ALVES, M.A. & SPANGENBERG, J. 2016 Vortex behavior of the Oldroyd-B fluid in the 4-1 planar contraction simulated with the streamfunction-log-conformation formulation. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* 237, 1–15.
- DAHL, J.M., HOVER, F.S., TRIANTAFYLLOU, M.S., DONG, S. & KARNIADAKIS, G.E. 2007 Resonant vibrations of bluff bodies cause multivortex shedding and high frequency forces. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 99 (14), 144503.
- DAHL, J.M., HOVER, F.S., TRIANTAFYLLOU, M.S. & OAKLEY, O.H. 2010 Dual resonance in vortex-induced vibrations at subcritical and supercritical Reynolds numbers. *J. Fluid Mech.* 643, 395–424.
- DEY, A.A., MODARRES-SADEGHI, Y. & ROTHSTEIN, J.P. 2017 Experimental observation of viscoelastic fluid–structure interactions. *J. Fluid Mech.* 813, R5.
- DEY, A.A., MODARRES-SADEGHI, Y. & ROTHSTEIN, J.P. 2018 Viscoelastic fluid-structure interactions between a flexible cylinder and wormlike micelle solution. *Phys. Rev. Fluids* 3 (6), 063301.
- GABBAI, R.D. & BENAROYA, H. 2005 An overview of modeling and experiments of vortex-induced vibration of circular cylinders. *J. Sound Vib.* 282 (3–5), 575–616.
- GOVARDHAN, R. & WILLIAMSON, C.H.K. 2000 Modes of vortex formation and frequency response of a freely vibrating cylinder. *J. Fluid Mech.* 420, 85–130.
- GRIFFIN, O.M. & RAMBERG, S.E. 1974 The vortex-street wakes of vibrating cylinders. *J. Fluid Mech.* 66 (3), 553–576.
- GROISMAN, A. & STEINBERG, V. 2000 Elastic turbulence in a polymer solution flow. *Nature* 405 (6782), 53–55.
- HAMID, F., SASMAL, C. & CHHABRA, R.P. 2022 Dynamic mode decomposition analysis and fluid-mechanical aspects of viscoelastic fluid flows past a cylinder in laminar vortex shedding regime. *Phys. Fluids* 34 (10), 103114.
- JAMES, D.F. 2009 Boger fluids. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 41, 129–142.
- JONES, G.W. JR. 1968 Unsteady lift forces generated by vortex shedding about a large, stationary, and oscillating cylinder at high Reynolds numbers. *NASA Tech. Rep.* TM-X-61214.
- KARNIADAKIS, G.E. & TRIANTAFYLLOU, G.S. 1989 Frequency selection and asymptotic states in laminar wakes. *J. Fluid Mech.* 199, 441–469.
- KHAN, M.B., SASMAL, C. & CHHABRA, R.P. 2020 Flow and heat transfer characteristics of a rotating cylinder in a FENE-P type viscoelastic fluid. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* 282, 104333.
- KONSTANTINIDIS, E., ZHAO, J., LEONTINI, J., LO JACONO, D. & SHERIDAN, J. 2019 Excitation and damping fluid forces on a cylinder undergoing vortex-induced vibration. *Front. Phys.* 7, 185.
- KOOPMANN, G.H. 1967 The vortex wakes of vibrating cylinders at low Reynolds numbers. *J. Fluid Mech.* 28 (3), 501–512.
- KUMAR, S., LOPEZ, C., PROBST, O., FRANCISCO, G., ASKARI, D. & YANG, Y. 2013 Flow past a rotationally oscillating cylinder. *J. Fluid Mech.* 735, 307–346.
- KUMAR, S., NAVROSE, N. & MITTAL, S. 2016 Lock-in in forced vibration of a circular cylinder. *Phys. Fluids* 28 (11), 113605.
- KUNDU, P.K., COHEN, I.M. & DOWLING, D.R. 2015 *Fluid Mechanics*. Academic.
- KUTZ, J.N., BRUNTON, S.L., BRUNTON, B.W. & PROCTOR, J.L. 2016 *Dynamic Mode Decomposition: Data-Driven Modeling of Complex Systems*. SIAM.
- LEONTINI, J.S., STEWART, B.E., THOMPSON, M.C. & HOURIGAN, K. 2006 Wake state and energy transitions of an oscillating cylinder at low Reynolds number. *Phys. Fluids* 18 (6), 067101.
- MALKIN, A.Y. & ISAYEV, A.I. 2022 *Rheology: Concepts, Methods, and Applications*. Elsevier.
- MCKINLEY, G.H., PAKDEL, P. & ÖZTEKIN, A. 1996 Rheological and geometric scaling of purely elastic flow instabilities. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* 67, 19–47.
- MENEGHINI, J.R. & BEARMAN, P.W. 1995 Numerical simulation of high amplitude oscillatory flow about a circular cylinder. *J. Fluids Struct.* 9 (4), 435–455.
- MORSE, T.L. & WILLIAMSON, C.H.K. 2009 Prediction of vortex-induced vibration response by employing controlled motion. *J. Fluid Mech.* 634, 5–39.
- NOBARI, M.R.H. & NADERAN, H. 2006 A numerical study of flow past a cylinder with cross flow and inline oscillation. *Comput. Fluids* 35 (4), 393–415.
- OLDROYD, J.G. 1950 On the formulation of rheological equations of state. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* A *Math. Phys. Sci.* 200 (1063), 523–541.
- OLIVEIRA, P.J. 2001 Method for time-dependent simulations of viscoelastic flows: vortex shedding behind cylinder. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* 101 (1-3), 113–137.
- PAKDEL, P. & MCKINLEY, G.H. 1996 Elastic instability and curved streamlines. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77 (12), 2459.
- PENG, S., LI, J.-Y., XIONG, Y.-L., XU, X.-Y. & YU, P. 2021 Numerical simulation of two-dimensional unsteady Giesekus flow over a circular cylinder. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* 294, 104571.
- PHAN-THIEN, N. & MAI-DUY, N. 2013 *Understanding Viscoelasticity: An Introduction to Rheology*. Springer.
- PIMENTA, F. & ALVES, M.A. 2016 RheoTool. Available at: [https://github.com/fppimenta/rheoTool.](https://github.com/fppimenta/rheoTool)
- RICHTER, D., IACCARINO, G. & SHAQFEH, E.S.G. 2010 Simulations of three-dimensional viscoelastic flows past a circular cylinder at moderate Reynolds numbers. *J. Fluid Mech.* 651, 415–442.
- SAHIN, C. & ATALIK, K. 2019 Comparison of inelastic and elastic non-newtonian effects on the flow around a circular cylinder in periodic vortex shedding. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* 263, 1–14.
- SÁNCHEZ, H.A.C., JOVANOVIĆ, M.R., KUMAR, S., MOROZOV, A., SHANKAR, V., SUBRAMANIAN, G. & WILSON, H.J. 2022 Understanding viscoelastic flow instabilities: Oldroyd-B and beyond. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* 302, 104742.
- SARPKAYA, T. 2004 A critical review of the intrinsic nature of vortex-induced vibrations. *J. Fluids Struct.* 19 (4), 389–447.
- SCHMID, P.J. 2011 Application of the dynamic mode decomposition to experimental data. *Exp. Fluids* 50 (4), 1123–1130.
- SHAQFEH, E.S.G. & KHOMAMI, B. 2021 The Oldroyd-B fluid in elastic instabilities, turbulence and particle suspensions. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* 298, 104672.
- STEINBERG, V. 2021 Elastic turbulence: an experimental view on inertialess random flow. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 53, 27–58.
- TRIANTAFYLLOU, M.S., BOURGUET, R., DAHL, J. & MODARRES-SADEGHI, Y. 2016 Vortex-induced vibrations. *Springer Handbook of Ocean Engineering*, pp. 819–850. Springer.
- WANG, Z., FAN, D. & TRIANTAFYLLOU, M.S. 2021 Illuminating the complex role of the added mass during vortex induced vibration. *Phys. Fluids* 33 (8), 085120.
- WELLER, H.G., TABOR, G., JASAK, H. & FUREBY, C. 1998 A tensorial approach to computational continuum mechanics using object-oriented techniques. *Comput. Phys.* 12 (6), 620–631.
- WILLIAMSON, C.H.K. & GOVARDHAN, R. 2004 Vortex-induced vibrations. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 36, 413–455.
- WILLIAMSON, C.H.K. & ROSHKO, A. 1988 Vortex formation in the wake of an oscillating cylinder. *J. Fluids Struct.* 2 (4), 355–381.
- XIONG, Y., PENG, S., ZHANG, M. & YANG, D. 2019 Numerical study on the vortex-induced vibration of a circular cylinder in viscoelastic fluids. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* 272, 104170.
- ZDRAVKOVICH, M.M. & BEARMAN, P.W. 1997 *Flow Around Circular Cylinders—Volume 1: Fundamentals*. Oxford Science Publications.
- ZHU, H., ZHANG, C. & LIU, W. 2019 Wake-induced vibration of a circular cylinder at a low Reynolds number of 100. *Phys. Fluids* 31 (7), 073606.