# ON A RESULT OF M. HEINS 

by JAMES A. JENKINS $\dagger$<br>(Received 1st April 1974)

Some years ago Heins (1) proved that a Riemann surface which can be conformally imbedded in every closed Riemann surface of a fixed positive genus $g$ is conformally equivalent to a bounded plane domain. In the proof the main effort is required to prove that a surface satisfying this condition is schlichtartig. Heins gave quite a simple proof of the remaining portion (1; Lemma 1). The main part of the proof depended on exhibiting a family of surfaces of genus $g$ such that a surface which could be conformally imbedded in all of them was necessarily schlichtartig. Another proof using a different construction was recently given by Rochberg (2). We will give here a further proof based on the method of the extremal metric and using a further construction which is in some ways more direct than those previously given.

## 2

Theorem 1. A Riemann surface $\mathscr{R}$ which can be conformally imbedded in every closed Riemann surface of a fixed positive genus $g$ is schlichtartig.

We begin the proof by constructing a family of closed Riemann surfaces of genus $g$. Let $S$ denote the domain on the sphere bounded by the circles $|z-(4 n+1)|=1,|z+(4 n+1)|=1, n=1, \ldots, g$. Let $\Delta_{i}^{(n)}$ denote the ring domains $t<\left|z_{n}\right|<1, n=1, \ldots, g$. Let $\mathscr{S}_{t}$ be the Riemann surface whose elements are the points of $\mathrm{Cl} S$ and $\Delta_{t}^{(n)}, n=1, \ldots, g$, with topology to correspond to identifying $z=-(4 n+1)+e^{i \theta}$ with $z_{n}=-t e^{-i \theta}, 0 \leqq \theta<2 \pi$ and $z=(4 n+1)+e^{i \theta}$ with $z_{n}=e^{i \theta}, 0 \leqq \theta<2 \pi$ and with the evident choice of local uniformising parameters so that $S, \Delta_{t}^{(n)}, n=1, \ldots, g$, are conformally imbedded in $\mathscr{S}_{t}$ and the curves $\mathscr{S}_{t}$ corresponding to the boundary circles on $S$ are analytic. The domains on $\mathscr{S}_{t}$ corresponding to the $\Delta_{t}^{(n)}, n=1, \ldots, g$, will be called handles, the curves in them corresponding to $\left|z_{n}\right|=r, n=1, \ldots, g, t<r<1$, level lines.

If $\mathscr{R}$ were not schlichtartig it would have a finite subsurface of positive genus $\hat{\mathscr{R}}$ with analytic boundary curves $c_{j}, j=1, \ldots, N$. Let $c_{j}^{\prime}, j=1, \ldots, N$, be disjoint analytic curves on $\mathscr{R}$ so that $c_{j}, c_{j}^{\prime}$ bound a doubly-connected subdomain $D_{j}$ of $\mathscr{R}$ and $c_{j}^{\prime}, j=1, \ldots, N$, bound a finite surface $\mathscr{R}^{*}$ in $\hat{\mathscr{R}}$. If $\mathscr{R}$ is conformally imbedded in $\mathscr{S}_{t}$ we may use all the same terms for the images there. It is clear that the module of a doubly-connected domain nontrivially imbedded in $\hat{\mathscr{R}}$ is bounded.

[^0]The level lines $\lambda$ on each handle belong to one of the disjoint categories given by the following conditions:
(i) $\lambda$ contains an open subarc lying in and joining distinct boundary components of some $D_{j}, j=1, \ldots, N$;
(ii) $\lambda$ lies in $\hat{\mathscr{R}}$;
(iii) $\lambda$ does not meet $\mathscr{R}^{*}$.

If each handle contained a level line in (iii), $\mathscr{R}^{*}$ would lie in a schlichtartig subdomain of $\mathscr{S}_{t}$ and thus be itself schlichtartig, a contradiction. Thus there is at least one handle, say $\Delta_{t}^{(n)}$, for which the level lines all belong to (i) or (ii). Those in (i) can be distributed into disjoint classes $\Lambda_{j}, j=1, \ldots, N$, such that for $\lambda \in \Lambda_{j}, \lambda$ contains an open subarc in $D_{j}$ joining the two boundary components. A priori a certain ambiguity might be present but since $c_{j}, c_{j}^{\prime}, j=1, \ldots, N$, are analytic the choices could be made so that each $\Lambda_{j}$ would be composed of level curves corresponding to values of $r$ in a finite number of intervals in $(t, 1)$. On a set $T$ of level curves with the corresponding set of values of $r$, $\tau$, we have the logarithmic measure $L(T)=\int_{r} r^{-1} d r$. Let $M_{j}, j=1, \ldots, N$, be the module of $D_{j}$. At the points in $\lambda \in \Lambda_{j}$, we define the metric $\rho_{j}(z)|d z|$ by $\rho_{j}(z)|d z|=\left(L\left(\Lambda_{j}\right)\right)^{-1}\left|z_{n}\right|^{-1}\left|d z_{n}\right|$ provided $\Lambda_{j}$ is not void. Then defining on $D_{j}$ the metric $\rho(z)|d z|$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(z) & =\rho_{j}(z), & & z \in \lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda_{j} \\
& =0, & & \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain an admissible metric for the problem determining the module $M_{j}$ of $D_{j}$. Thus

$$
M_{j} \leqq 2 \pi\left(L\left(\Lambda_{j}\right)\right)^{-1}
$$

or (a result trivially valid also if $\Lambda_{j}$ is void)

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(\Lambda_{j}\right) \leqq 2 \pi M_{j}^{-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding (1) for $1 \leqq j \leqq N$ we have

$$
L(\mathrm{i}) \leqq 2 \pi \sum_{j=1}^{N} M_{j}^{-1}
$$

the right-hand side being a bound independent of $t$. Thus a set of level lines on $\Delta_{t}^{(n)}$ of logarithmic measure at least

$$
\log (1 / t)-2 \pi \sum_{j=1}^{N} M_{j}^{-1}
$$

consists of curves in $\hat{\mathscr{R}}$. Consider the components of the union of this set of level lines in $\hat{\mathscr{R}}$. Two level lines can belong to different components only if the domain they bound on $\Delta_{t}^{(n)}$ contains a boundary contour of $\mathscr{\mathscr { R }}$. Thus there are
at most $N+1$ such components and one of them has logarithmic measure at least

$$
(N+1)^{-1}\left(\log (1 / t)-2 \pi \sum_{j=1}^{N} M_{j}^{-1}\right)
$$

For small positive $t$ this gives a contradiction. Hence $\mathscr{R}$ must be schlichtartig.

## 3

Both Heins and Rochberg remarked that for the result of Theorem 1 it is sufficient for $\mathscr{R}$ to admit an imbedding only in a certain subclass of closed Riemann surfaces of genus $g$. The same is evidently true in the present proof. Further, it is clear that in the construction of Section 2 we could have taken $S$ as bounded by any $2 g$ disjoint mutually exterior circles and could have allowed their radii to vary in diverse ways with $t$.

## REFERENCES

(1) M. Heins, A problem concerning the continuation of Riemann surfaces, Contributions to the Theory of Riemann Surfaces (Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 30, Princeton University Press, 1953), 55-62.
(2) R. Rochberg, Continuation of Riemann surfaces, to appear.

The Institute for Advanced Study
Princeton, New Jersey 08540
Washington University
St Louis, Missouri 63130


[^0]:    $\dagger$ Research supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

