

# BBS

Behavioral & Brain Sciences

An International journal of current research and theory  
with open peer commentary  
Volume 35 | Issue 1 | February 2012 | ISSN: 0140-525X

**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

# Behavioral and Brain Sciences

## Editors

Paul Bloom  
Barbara L. Finlay

**URL:** <http://www.editorialmanager.com/bbs>

## E-mail: [bbsjournal@cambridge.org](mailto:bbsjournal@cambridge.org)

Behavioral and Brain Sciences  
Journals Department  
Cambridge University Press  
32 Avenue of The Americas  
New York, NY 10013-2473, U.S.A.

## Managing Editor

Gennifer Levey

## Chief Copy Editor

Sumitra Mukerji

## Proofreaders

Sylvia Elvin  
Rashidah Ismaili AbuBakr

## Editorial Board

### *Affective Neuroscience*

Stephanie D. Preston/U. of Michigan

### *Atypical Neurodevelopment*

Simon Baron-Cohen/Cambridge U.

### *Behavioral Neurogenetics*

Wim E. Crusio/CNRS UMR

### *Cognition and Artificial Intelligence*

Zenon Pylyshyn/Rutgers U.

### *Cognitive and Decision Sciences*

Nick Chater/University College London

### *Cognitive Development*

Annette Karmiloff-Smith/Birbeck College

### *Cognitive Neuroscience*

Moshe Bar/Harvard Medical School

### *Computational Neuroscience*

Nestor A. Schmajuk/Duke U.

### *Evolution of Brain and Cognition*

Dean Falk/Florida State U.

### *Evolution of Cognition*

Celia Heyes/Oxford U.

### *Experimental Analysis of Behavior*

A. Charles Catania/U. Maryland, Baltimore County

### *Language and Language Disorders*

Max Coltheart/Macquarie U.

### *Linguistics*

Robert A. Freidin/Princeton U.

### *Perception*

Bruce Bridgeman/U. of California, Santa Cruz

### *Philosophy of Science*

Massimo Piattelli-Palmarini/U. of Arizona

### *Primate Cognition*

Laurie R. Santos/Yale U.

### *Social Cognition*

Mahzarin R. Banaji/Harvard U.

### *Social Cognitive Neuroscience*

Rebecca Saxe/MIT

### *Vision, Language and Computation*

Shimon Edelman/Cornell U.

## FOUNDING EDITOR (1978–2001)

Stevan Harnad

**Editorial Policy** *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* (BBS) is an international journal providing a special service called Open Peer Commentary™ to researchers in any area of psychology, neuroscience, behavioral biology, or cognitive science who wish to solicit, from fellow specialists within and across these BBS disciplines, multiple responses to a particularly significant and controversial piece of work. (See Instructions for Authors and Commentators, inside back cover and also at <http://journals.cambridge.org/BBSJournal/Inst>) The purpose of this service is to contribute to the communication, criticism, stimulation, and particularly the unification of research in the behavioral and brain sciences, from molecular neurobiology to artificial intelligence and the philosophy of mind.

Papers judged by the editors and referees to be appropriate for Commentary are circulated to a large number of commentators selected by the editors, referees, and author to provide substantive criticism, interpretation, elaboration, and pertinent complementary and supplementary material from a full cross-disciplinary perspective. The article, accepted commentaries, and the author's response then appear simultaneously in BBS.

Commentary on BBS articles may be provided by any qualified professional in the behavioral and brain sciences, but much of it is drawn from a large body of BBS Associates who have become formally affiliated with the project (see <http://journals.cambridge.org/BBSJournal/BBSAssoc>).

Qualified professionals are eligible to become BBS Associates if they have (1) been nominated by a current BBS Associate, (2) refereed for BBS, or (3) had a commentary or article accepted for publication. A special subscription rate is available to Associates. Individuals interested in serving as BBS Associates are asked to view the full instructions for joining at <http://journals.cambridge.org/BBSJournal/Inst/Assoc> and then email [bbsjournal@cambridge.org](mailto:bbsjournal@cambridge.org).

© Cambridge University Press 2012. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, in any form or by any means, electronic, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission in writing from Cambridge University Press. Policies, request forms, and contacts are available at: <http://www.cambridge.org/rights/permissions/permission.htm>.

Permission to copy (for users in the U.S.A.) is available from Copyright Clearance Center, <http://www.copyright.com>, email: [info@copyright.com](mailto:info@copyright.com).

**Subscriptions** *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* (ISSN 0140-525X) is published bimonthly in February, April, June, August, October, and December. The subscription price of Volume 35 (2012) for *institutions* is US \$1193.00 for print and online, US \$1005.00 for online only, and US \$1093.00 for print only in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico; and UK £703.00 for print and online, UK £594.00 for online only, and UK £643.00 for print only elsewhere. The subscription price for *individuals* is US \$346.00 for print and online and US \$321.00 for print only in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico; and UK £203.00 for print and online and UK £189.20 for print only elsewhere. For *BBS Associates*, with proof of eligibility with order, US \$132.00 in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico; and UK £83.00 elsewhere. For *students*, with proof of eligibility with order, \$111.00 in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico; and UK £65.00 elsewhere. Subscription price includes surface postage. Single parts cost US \$238.00 (UK £118.00) plus postage. *Institutional orders* may be sent to a bookseller, or, in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico direct to: Cambridge University Press, 32 Avenue of The Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473 email: [journals\\_subscriptions@cambridge.org](mailto:journals_subscriptions@cambridge.org); in the U.K. and rest of the world to: Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8RU, England, e-mail: [journals\\_subscriptions@cambridge.cam.ac.uk](mailto:journals_subscriptions@cambridge.cam.ac.uk). *Individuals* must order direct from the Press. You may also subscribe through the Cambridge Journals website, <http://journals.cambridge.org/bbs>.

**Postmaster:** Send address changes in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico to *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, Cambridge University Press, Journals Dept., 100 Brook Hill Drive, West Nyack, NY 10994-2133, U.S.A. Send address change elsewhere to *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8RU, England.

**Online availability** *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* is part of the Cambridge Journals Online (CJO) service at <http://journals.cambridge.org>.

*Institutional subscribers:* Access to full-text articles online is currently included with the cost of the print subscription. Subscription must be activated; see <http://cambridge.journals.org>.

**Advertising** Inquiries about advertising should be sent to the Journals Advertising Department of the Cambridge or New York Office of Cambridge University Press.

\*Modelled on the "CA Comment" service of the journal *Current Anthropology*.

# Contents Volume 35:1 February 2012

<b>Guala, F.</b> Reciprocity: Weak or strong? What punishment experiments do (and do not) demonstrate		1
<b>Open Peer Commentary</b>		
<b>Adams, G. S. &amp; Mullen, E.</b> The social and psychological costs of punishing	15	
<b>Barclay, P.</b> Proximate and ultimate causes of punishment and strong reciprocity	16	
<b>Baumard, N.</b> The restorative logic of punishment: Another argument in favor of weak selection	17	
<b>Bereby-Meyer, Y.</b> Reciprocity and uncertainty	18	
<b>Boehm, C.</b> Costs and benefits in hunter-gatherer punishment	19	
<b>Bowles, S., Boyd, R., Mathew, S. &amp; Richerson, P. J.</b> The punishment that sustains cooperation is often coordinated and costly	20	
<b>Casari, M.</b> Weak reciprocity alone cannot explain peer punishment	21	
<b>Civai, C. &amp; Langus, A.</b> In medio stat virtus: Theoretical and methodological extremes regarding reciprocity will not explain complex social behaviors	22	
<b>dos Santos, M. &amp; Wedekind, C.</b> Examining punishment at different explanatory levels	23	
<b>Dreber, A. &amp; Rand, D. G.</b> Retaliation and antisocial punishment are overlooked in many theoretical models as well as behavioral experiments	24	
<b>Feinberg, M., Cheng, J. T. &amp; Willer, R.</b> Gossip as an effective and low-cost form of punishment	25	
<b>Ferguson, E. &amp; Corr, P.</b> Blood, sex, personality, power, and altruism: Factors influencing the validity of strong reciprocity	25	
<b>Gächter, S.</b> In the lab and the field: Punishment is rare in equilibrium	26	
<b>Gintis, H. &amp; Fehr, E.</b> The social structure of cooperation and punishment	28	
<b>Güney, Ş. &amp; Newell, B. R.</b> Is strong reciprocity really strong in the lab, let alone in the real world?	29	
<b>Henrich, J. &amp; Chudek, M.</b> Understanding the research program	29	
<b>Jensen, K.</b> Social preference experiments in animals: Strengthening the case for human preferences	30	
<b>Johnson, T.</b> The strategic logic of costly punishment necessitates natural field experiments, and at least one such experiment exists	31	
<b>Nikiforakis, N.</b> Altruistic punishment: What field data can (and cannot) demonstrate	32	
<b>Ostrom, E.</b> Experiments combining communication with punishment options demonstrate how individuals can overcome social dilemmas	33	
<b>Pisor, A. C. &amp; Fessler, D. M. T.</b> Importing social preferences across contexts and the pitfall of over-generalization across theories	34	
<b>Read, D.</b> Culture: The missing piece in theories of weak and strong reciprocity	35	
<b>Rosas, A.</b> Towards a unified theory of reciprocity	36	
<b>Ross, D.</b> Special human vulnerability to low-cost collective punishment	37	
<b>Runciman, W. G.</b> Strong reciprocity is not uncommon in the "wild"	38	
<b>Shaw, A. &amp; Santos, L.</b> Lab support for strong reciprocity is weak: Punishing for reputation rather than cooperation	39	
<b>Sugden, R.</b> Altruistic punishment as an explanation of hunter-gatherer cooperation: How much has experimental economics achieved?	40	
<b>Tennie, C.</b> Punishing for your own good: The case of reputation-based cooperation	40	
<b>Van Lange, P. A. M., Balliet, D. P. &amp; IJzerman, H.</b> What we need is theory of human cooperation (and meta-analysis) to bridge the gap between the lab and the wild	41	
<b>van den Berg, P., Molleman, L. &amp; Weissing, F. J.</b> The social costs of punishment	42	
<b>von Rueden, C. R. &amp; Gurven, M.</b> When the strong punish: Why net costs of punishment are often negligible	43	
<b>Wiessner, P.</b> Perspectives from ethnography on weak and strong reciprocity	44	
<b>Author's Response</b>		
<b>Guala, F.</b> Strong reciprocity is real, but there is no evidence that uncoordinated costly punishment sustains cooperation in the wild		45