# PRODUCTS OF POSITIVE REFLECTIONS IN THE ORTHOGONAL GROUP 

J. MALZAN

Introduction. For $G$ a group, $S$ a subset of $G$ which generates $G$, the length problem in $G$ with respect to $S$ is to find, for $g \in G$, the least integer $r$ such that $g$ can be written as the product of $r$ elements of $S$. For $G$ an orthogonal group $O_{f}(F)$ (here $F$ is a field, and the elements of $O_{f}(F)$ preserve the quadratic form $f$ ) and $S$ the set of reflections in $O_{f}(F)$ the length problem has been studied by E. Cartan [2], J. Dieudonné [4, 5], E. Ellers [7], P. Scherk [8], and others. In all of these investigations, however, the problem posed by requiring that $S$ be a single conjugacy class of reflections in $O_{f}(F)$ has been ignored. And it is generally the case that the reflections in $O_{f}(F)$ fall into several conjugacy classes.

The case $F=\mathbf{R}$, the reals, is the one that will concern us below. Here the reflections are in two conjugacy classes, the elements of which we (naturally) label positive and negative. The group $O_{f}(\mathbf{R})$ is determined by the type $(p, q)$ of the space $\mathbf{R}^{p+q}$, and we write instead $O_{p, q}(\mathbf{R})$ or, more simply, $O_{p, q}$. Such groups are of physical interest, $O_{3,1}$ being the Lorentz group. The positive reflections in this group are the reflections which preserve the direction of time. It seems natural to ask for a solution to the length problem with respect to such reflections.

The restriction to the reals in this paper seems necessary: The techniques used below are wholly inappropriate in the case of a general field.

1. Preliminaries and notation. Let $F$ be a field, $V$ a vector space over $F$ having a symmetric, bilinear, nondegenerate inner product $f$. The orthogonal group, $O_{f}(F)$, is the group of linear transformations of $V$ preserving $f$. If a basis of $V$ be chosen, and $J$ is the nonsingular symmetric matrix representing $f$, then a matrix $A$ belongs to $O_{f}(F)$ if, and only if,

$$
A^{t} J A=J
$$

Clearly $\operatorname{det}(A)= \pm 1$. In the case $F=\mathbf{R}$, the reals, $O_{f}(F)$ is determined up to isomorphism by the signature, $(p, q)$, of $f$ and we write $O_{p, q}(\mathbf{R})$ or $O_{p, q}$ for $O_{f}(\mathbf{R})$. In the sequel we shall assume that $p, q>0$. When this is the case $O_{p, q}$ has four connected components, the identity component of which is simple modulo its centre. (See [5].) The problem of identifying the elements of these four components will be taken up in Section 3.
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Henceforth we will assume that Char $F \neq 2$.
Reflections. A reflection in $O_{f}(F)$ is an element $R \in O_{f}(F)$ such that $R^{2}=I$ and rank $(I-R)=1$. Thus there are nonzero vectors $a, b \in V$ such that

$$
R=I+a b^{t} J
$$

Since $R^{2}=I$ we obtain $b^{t} J a=-2$. As well, since $R^{t} J R=J$ we have $b=\lambda a, \lambda \in F$. Thus

$$
R=R_{a}=I+\lambda a a^{t} J
$$

with

$$
\lambda=-2 / a^{t} J a
$$

The conjugacy classes in $O_{f}(F)$ into which the $R_{a}$ fall are labelled by the elements of $F^{*} /\left(F^{*}\right)^{2}$; the conjugacy class of $R_{a}$ is determined by $a^{t} J a$ $\bmod \left(F^{*}\right)^{2}$. In particular, when $F=\mathbf{R}$, the reflections in $O_{p, q}$ fall into two conjugacy classes distinguished by the sign of the length of the vector $a$ determining $R_{a}$. We shall say that a reflection $R_{a}$ is positive if $a^{t} J a>0$. The positive reflections in $O_{p, q}(p, q>0)$ generate two of the four components of $O_{p, q}$. We write $G_{p, q}$ for the group so generated.

Let $u \in G_{p, q}$. We denote by $l(u)$ the smallest number of positive reflections whose product is $u$. (Here we adopt the convention that $l(1)=0$.) It is the main purpose of this paper to determine $l(u)$ for all $G_{p, q}$ with $p, q>0$. (The cases $p=0$ or $q=0$ are trivial.)

Finally, if $u$ is any linear transformation from $V$ to $V$, we will denote by $r(u)$ the rank of $1-u$, and by $E(u)$ the 1 -eigenspace of $u$.

Lemma 1.1. Let $u, r_{a} \in O_{f}(F)$ with $r_{a}$ a reflection and $\operatorname{char}(F) \neq 2$. Then $E\left(r_{a} u\right) \supset E(u)$ or $E\left(r_{a} u\right) \subset E(u)$ and
(1) $\operatorname{dim} E\left(r_{a} u\right)=\operatorname{dim} E(u) \pm 1$.

Proof. See [3], [5].
We have immediately:
Corollary 1.2. Let $u, r_{a} \in O_{f}(F)$ with $r_{a}$ a reflection. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim} E\left(r_{a} u\right)=\operatorname{dim} E(u)+1
$$

if, and only if, $a \in E(u)^{\perp}$.
Types in $O_{p, q}$. If $u$ and $u^{\prime}$ are in the same conjugacy class of $G_{p, q}$ then $l(u)=l\left(u^{\prime}\right)$. In [1], Bourgoyne and Cushman classified the conjugacy classes of the unitary group $U_{p, q}(\mathbf{C})$, in the following terms: For $u \in U_{p, q}(\mathbf{C})$ acting on $\mathbf{C}^{p+q}$, we have

$$
\mathbf{C}^{p+q}=V_{1} \perp V_{2} \perp \ldots \perp V_{k}
$$

an orthogonal direct sum, in which each $V_{i}$ is $u$-invariant, and irreducible as a $u$-module. Relative to some basis of $V_{i}(i=1, \ldots, k)$ the action of $u$ on $V_{i}$ is that of a Jordan block, or a pair of Jordan blocks. Two elements $u$ and $u^{\prime}$ are in the same conjugacy class if and only if they have the same decomposition into Jordan blocks.

Given this, it is easy to restrict to the subgroup $O_{p, Q}$ of $U_{p, q}$ and classify, in similar terms, the conjugacy classes there. We now list and label these actions together with (sometimes) matrix presentations of them, which will be referred to subsequently as standard. See [6]. The subspace of $V$ on which the action takes place will be called the carrier space of the action. In all cases presented, $m \geqq 0$. The matrices $A$ below yield a real irreducible action, and preserve the metric associated with the matrix $J$ which accompanies, i.e., $A^{t} J A=J$.

Type 1. $\Delta_{m}\left(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}, \lambda^{-1}, \bar{\lambda}^{-1}\right) ;|\lambda| \neq 1, \lambda \notin \mathbf{R}$.
This type can be presented as

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Q & 0 \\
0 & \left(Q^{-1}\right)^{t}
\end{array}\right), J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I \\
I & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $Q$ is a $(2 m+2) \times(2 m+2)$ real matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda$ and $\bar{\lambda}$ having only two (complex) eigenvectors.

Type 2. $\Delta_{m}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\right) ;|\lambda| \neq 1, \lambda \in \mathbf{R}$.
This type can be presented as

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Q & 0 \\
0 & \left(Q^{-1}\right)^{t}
\end{array}\right), J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I \\
I & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $Q$ is an $(m+1) \times(m+1)$ Jordan block with eigenvalue $\lambda$.
Type 3. $\Delta_{m}^{\epsilon}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) ;|\lambda|=1, \lambda \neq \pm 1, \epsilon= \pm$.
The action here is of $(m \times 1) \times(m+1)$ Jordan $\lambda$ and $\bar{\lambda}$ blocks on a (complex) space of complex dimension $2 m+2$ and signature

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (m+1+\epsilon 1, m+1-\epsilon 1) \text { if } m \text { is even; } \\
& (m+1, m+1) \text { if } m \text { is odd. }
\end{aligned}
$$

This action can be realized on a real space of dimension $2 m+2$. In the special case $m=0$ this can be presented as

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right) \quad J=\epsilon I_{2} \quad \lambda=e^{i \theta} .
$$

Type 4. $\Delta_{2 m+1}^{+}(1)+\Delta_{2 m+1}^{-}(1)$.
This can be presented as

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Q & 0 \\
0 & \left(Q^{-1}\right)^{t}
\end{array}\right) \quad J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I \\
I & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $Q$ is a $(2 m+2) \times(2 m+2)$ Jordan block of 1 's.

Type 5. $\Delta_{2 m+1}^{+}(-1)+\Delta_{2 m+1}^{-}(-1)$.
This is similar to the preceding type.
Type 6. $\Delta_{2 m}^{\epsilon}(1) ; \epsilon= \pm$.
The action is that of a $(2 m+1) \times(2 m+1)$ Jordan block of 1 's, on a space of signature $(m+1+\epsilon 1, m+1-\epsilon 1)$.

Type 7. $\Delta_{2 m}^{\epsilon}(-1) ; \epsilon= \pm$.
This is similar to the preceding type.
Every element $u \in O_{p, q}$ induces an orthogonal decomposition

$$
V=V_{1} \perp \ldots \perp V_{k}
$$

of $V$ into subspaces invariant under $u$, such that the action of $u$ is one of the actions described in types 1-7. Accordingly, following [6], we shall say that $u$ belongs to the type $\Delta=\Delta_{1}+\ldots+\Delta_{k}$ associated with the conjugacy class in $O_{p, q}$ of $u$. If $\Delta_{0}^{+}(1)$ appears $m$ times in $\Delta, \Delta_{0}{ }^{-}(1) n$ times, then the effective part, eff ( $\Delta$ ), of $\Delta$ is

$$
\Delta-n \Delta_{0}^{+}(1)-n \Delta_{0}^{-}(1)
$$

eff $(u)$ is defined similarly.
When $r_{a}$ is a reflection such that $E\left(r_{a} u\right) \supset E(u)$ we will write

$$
u \rightarrow r_{a} u .
$$

If $E\left(r_{a} u\right) \subset E(u)$ we will write

$$
u \leftarrow r_{a} u .
$$

2. The main theorem. In this section we state and prove the result concerning lengths of elements in $G_{p, q}$ with respect to positive reflections. We require first

Definition 2.1. $u \in G_{p, q}$ is exceptional if either
(i) $E(u)^{\perp}$ is negative semi-definite, or
(ii) $u^{2}=1$ and $E(u)^{\perp}$ is not positive semidefinite. $(u=1$ is nonexceptional.)

Note. Definition 2.1 could be restated as follows:
$u \in G_{p, q}$ is exceptional if the type of eff $(u)$ is either
(i) $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \Delta_{0}{ }^{-}\left(\lambda_{i}, \bar{\lambda}_{i}\right)+n\left(\Delta_{1}{ }^{+}(1)+\Delta_{1}{ }^{-}(1)\right)$

$$
+p \Delta_{0}-(-1)+q \Delta_{2}^{-}(1), m+n+p+q>0, \text { or }
$$

(ii) $p \Delta_{0}{ }^{-}(-1)+q \Delta_{0}{ }^{+}(-1), p>0$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $u, r_{a} \in G_{p, q}$ with $r_{a}$ a reflection and $f(a, a)=1$. Then $\operatorname{trace}\left(r_{a} u\right)=\operatorname{trace}(u)-2 f(a, u a)$.

Furthermore, to show that $u \rightarrow r_{a} u$ with $r_{a} u$ having eigenvalues off the unit circle, it suffices to show that $f(a, u a)$ can be made arbitrarily large subject to $f(a, a)=1$ and $a \in E(u)^{\perp}$.

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}\left(r_{a} u\right) & =\operatorname{tr}\left(u-2-a a^{t} J_{a}\right) \text { if } f(a, a)=1 \\
& =\operatorname{tr}(u)-2 a^{t} J u a \\
& =\operatorname{tr}(u)-2 f(a, u a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The remaining assertion of the lemma is obvious in view of Lemma 2.1.
We can now state the main theorem. The proof will proceed by a series of lemmas.

Theorem 2.3. For $u \in G_{p, q}, p, q>0, l(u)=r(u)$ unless $u$ is exceptional, when $l(u)=r(u)+2$.

The following lemmas will establish this fact: If $u$ is nonexceptional, then there is a positive reflection $r_{a}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u \rightarrow r_{a} u \text { and } \\
& r_{a} u \text { is nonexceptional. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The first assertion of the theorem will then follow by induction on $r(u)$.
Lemma 2.4. If $u \in G_{p, q}$ has type $\Delta=\Delta_{m}\left(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}, \lambda^{-1}, \bar{\lambda}^{-1}\right)$ with $|\lambda| \neq 1$ and $\lambda \notin \mathbf{R}$, then $u \rightarrow u^{\prime}$ with $u^{\prime}$ nonexceptional.

Proof. $u^{\prime}=r_{a} u$ with $a$ any vector of (say) length 1 . Then $u^{\prime}$ has a single +1 eigenvector by Lemma 1.2 , and $E\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}$ contains positive vectors since the carrier space of $\Delta$ has a subspace of positive type of dimension at least 2 . Thus we are done unless $\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}=1$. In this last case the carrier space of $u^{\prime}$ admits a basis of $\pm 1$ eigenvectors of $u^{\prime}$, with the -1 eigenvectors spanning a space of dimension at least 3 . But since $r\left(r_{a}\right)=1$, and $u=r_{a} u^{\prime}$,

$$
\operatorname{dim} E(-u) \geqq 2
$$

and this is impossible since $u$ has no -1 eigenvectors.
Lemma 2.5. If $u$ contains a type $\Delta_{n}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\right)$ with $\lambda \in \mathbf{R},|\lambda| \neq 1$, then $u \rightarrow u^{\prime}$ with $u^{\prime}$ nonexceptional.

Proof. If $m>0$ we may proceed as in the last lemma, choosing a vector $a$ in the carrier space of $\Delta_{m}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\right)$ so that $u^{\prime}=r_{a} u$ and $f(a, a)=1$. If $m=0$ we let

$$
\Delta_{0}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\right) \rightarrow \Delta_{0} e^{\epsilon}(1)+\Delta_{0}^{-\epsilon}(-1), \epsilon= \pm .
$$

It the type of eff $(u)$ is $\Delta_{0}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\right)$ then, as will be seen in the next section,
$\lambda>0$. In this case we must choose $a$ in the carrier space of $\Delta_{0}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\right)$. We may present the 2 -dimensional problem as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\operatorname{eff}(u)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda & 0 \\
0 & \lambda^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \quad J=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& a=\binom{\xi}{\eta} \quad \text { with } 2 \xi \eta=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
R_{a}=I-2\binom{\xi}{\eta}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\xi & \eta
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)=-2\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \xi^{2} \\
\eta^{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
R_{a} A=-2\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \xi^{2} \lambda^{-1} \\
\eta^{2} \lambda & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and elementary computation shows that the +1 eigenvector of $R_{a} A$ is of negative type. Thus $\epsilon=-1$, and since $\Delta_{0}{ }^{-}(1)+\Delta_{0}{ }^{+}(-1)$ corresponds to a positive reflection, we are done. Otherwise, $E\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}$ is negative semidefinite, or else $\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}=1$. In either case, $u$ must contain one of the types

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{1}=\Delta_{0}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\right)+\Delta_{0}^{\epsilon}(-1), \epsilon= \pm \\
& \Delta_{2}=\Delta_{0}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\right)+\Delta_{0}-(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}),|\lambda|=1, \lambda \neq \pm 1 ; \\
& \Delta_{3}=\Delta_{0}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\right)+\Delta_{1}^{+}(1)+\Delta_{1}^{-}(1), \text { or } ; \\
& \Delta_{4}=\Delta_{0}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\right)+\Delta_{2}-(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the first case we can present eff $(u)$ and $a$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\lambda & & \\
& \lambda^{-1} & \\
& & -1
\end{array}\right) \quad J_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & \\
1 & 0 & \\
& & \epsilon 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& a_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\xi \\
\eta \\
\zeta
\end{array}\right) \text { with } 2 \xi \eta+\epsilon \zeta^{2}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We choose $a_{1}$ so that

$$
a_{1}^{t} J_{1} A_{1} a_{1}=\xi \eta\left(\lambda+\lambda^{-1}\right)-\epsilon \zeta^{2}=\frac{\lambda+\lambda^{-1}}{2}-\epsilon \zeta^{2}\left(\frac{\lambda+\lambda^{-1}}{2}+1\right)
$$

is arbitrarily large, which is possible since

$$
\frac{\lambda+\lambda^{-1}}{2}+1 \neq 0
$$

This shows, by Lemma 2.2 , that we can choose $r_{a}$ so that $u \rightarrow u^{\prime}$ with $u^{\prime}$
having eigenvalues off the unit circle. Hence $u^{\prime}$ is nonexceptional, and we are done.

In the second case we can take

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\lambda & & & 0 \\
& \lambda^{-1} & & 0 \\
& 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\
& & \sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right) \quad J_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & & \\
1 & 0 & & \\
& & -1 & \\
& & & -1
\end{array}\right) \\
& a_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\xi \\
\eta \\
\zeta \\
\delta
\end{array}\right) \text { with } 2 \xi \eta-\zeta^{2}-\delta^{2}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{2}^{t} J_{2} A_{2} a_{2}=\xi \eta\left(\lambda+\lambda^{-1}\right)-\left(\zeta^{2}+\delta^{2}\right) \cos \theta \\
& \quad=\left(\zeta^{2}+\delta^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda+\lambda^{-1}}{2}-\cos \theta\right)+\frac{\lambda+\lambda^{-1}}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\cos (\theta) \neq \pm 1$, this can be made arbitrarily large by choice of $\zeta$ and $\delta$ and we are again done by Lemma 2.2.

In the third case we note that the contribution to the invariant (see Section 3) of $\Delta_{3}$ is +1 if $\lambda>0,-1$ if $\lambda<0$. Hence, in order that $u \in G_{p, q}$ it is necessary either that $\lambda>0$, whence

$$
\Delta \rightarrow \Delta_{0}^{-}(1)+\Delta_{0}^{+}(-1)+\Delta_{1}^{+}(1)+\Delta_{1}^{-}(1)
$$

(which is nonexceptional) or that $u$ contains types different from $\Delta_{1}{ }^{+}(1)$ $+\Delta_{1}{ }^{-}(1)$. Accordingly, we proceed to type 4, which may be presented as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
\lambda & & & & \\
& \lambda^{-1} & & & \\
& & 1 & & \\
& & 1 & 1 & \\
& & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad J_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrr}
0 & 1 & & & \\
1 & 0 & & & \\
& & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \\
& & \frac{1}{2} & -1 & 0 \\
& & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& a_{4}
\end{aligned}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\xi \\
\eta \\
0 \\
\zeta \\
\delta
\end{array}\right) \text { with } 2 \xi \eta-\zeta^{2}=1 .
$$

(Note that $a_{4} \in E(u)^{\perp}$.) We have

$$
a_{4}{ }^{\imath} J_{4} A_{4} a_{4}=\xi \eta\left(\lambda+\lambda^{-1}\right)-\zeta^{2}=\zeta^{2}\left(\frac{\lambda+\lambda^{-1}}{2}-1\right)+\frac{\lambda+\lambda^{-1}}{2}
$$

and since $\lambda \neq 1$, this can be made arbitrarily large. Again by Lemma 2.2 we are done.

Lemma 2.6. If $u \in G_{p, q}$ contains any of the types
(i) $\Delta_{m}{ }^{\epsilon}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}),|\lambda|=1, \lambda \neq \pm 1, m>0$
(ii) $\Delta_{2 k+1}^{+}(-1)+\Delta_{2 k+1}^{-}(-1), k \geqq 0$
(iii) $\Delta_{2 k}^{\epsilon}(-1), k \geqq 1, \epsilon= \pm$
(iv) $\Delta_{2 k+1}^{+}(1)+\Delta_{2 k+1}^{-}(1), k \geqq 1$
(v) $\Delta_{2 k}{ }^{+}(1), k \geqq 1$
(vi) $\Delta_{2 k}-(1), k \geqq 2$
then $u \rightarrow u^{\prime}$ with $u^{\prime}$ nonexceptional.
Proof. (i) The signature of the carrier space of $\Delta_{m}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$ is $(m+2, m)$ or $(m, m+2)$ if $m$ is even, and $(m+1, m+1)$ if $m$ is odd. Since $m>0$, the claim follows as in the proof of Lemma 2.4.
(ii) For $k \geqq 1$ the claim follows as before (noting that the dimension of the -1 eigenspace corresponding to $\Delta_{2 k+1}^{+}(-1)+\Delta_{2 k+1}^{-}(-1)=\Delta$ is only 2 ). For $k=0$ (using $r_{a}$ so that $a$ lies in the carrier space of $\Delta$ ) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\Delta_{1}^{+}(-1)+\Delta_{1}^{-}(-1) \rightarrow \Delta_{0}{ }^{\epsilon}(1)+\Delta^{\prime} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\Delta_{1}^{+}(-1)+\Delta_{1}^{-}(-1) \rightarrow \Delta_{2}^{\epsilon}(1)+\Delta_{0}^{-\epsilon}(-1)=\Delta^{\prime \prime} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the restrictions on the right hand side are imposed by the fact that $\operatorname{dim} E\left(r_{a} u\right)=\operatorname{dim} E(u)+1$; the choice of $-\epsilon$ in $\Delta_{0}^{-\epsilon}(-1)$ is forced by considerations in Section 3.

Now (3) is impossible since $E(-\Delta)$ contains a totally isotropic subspace of dimension 2 while $E\left(-\Delta^{\prime \prime}\right)$ contains none. In the former case (2) it suffices, by the signature argument, that

$$
\Delta^{\prime} \neq r \Delta_{0}^{+}+(-1)+s \Delta_{0}-(-1)
$$

But, letting $u^{\prime}=r_{n} u$, we must choose the reflection vector $a$ so that $a \notin E^{\perp}(-\Delta)$, since $E^{\perp}(-\Delta)$ is totally isotropic. Hence, by Corollary 1.2,

$$
\operatorname{dim} E\left(-\Delta^{\prime}\right)<\operatorname{dim} E(-\Delta)
$$

This case therefore cannot arise.
(iii) If $a$ is any vector of unit length in the carrier space of $\Delta_{2 k}{ }^{\epsilon}(-1)$ then $u \rightarrow r_{a} u$. Choose $a$ so that

$$
a \notin E^{\perp}\left(-\Delta_{2 k}^{\epsilon}(-1)\right)
$$

Then if

$$
\Delta_{2 k}^{\epsilon}(-1) \rightarrow \Delta^{\prime}
$$

then $E\left(-\Delta^{\prime}\right)=(0)$ by Corollary 1.2 and

$$
\operatorname{dim} E\left(-\Delta_{2 k}^{\epsilon}(-1)\right)=1
$$

Thus, in order to show that $r_{a} u$ is nonexceptional, we need only consider the case when $E^{\perp}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$ is negative semidefinite. Since the carrier space of $\Delta_{2 k}^{\epsilon}(-1)$ has signature $(k, k+1)$ or $(k+1, k)$, it suffices to consider the case $k=1$. For $\Delta=\Delta_{2}{ }^{+}(-1)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta^{\prime}=\Delta_{2}{ }^{+}(1) \text { or } \\
& \Delta^{\prime}=\Delta_{0}^{\epsilon}(1)+\Delta^{\prime \prime}, \epsilon= \pm
\end{aligned}
$$

and in both cases $E\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}$ contains positive vectors. For $\Delta=\Delta_{2}{ }^{-}(-1)$ we have the matrix presentation

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
-1 & & \\
1 & -1 & \\
0 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right) \quad J=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \\
-\frac{1}{2} & -1 & \\
1 & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

With coordinates $\xi, \eta, \zeta$ for the reflection vector $a$ we find that

$$
a^{t} J A a=-\xi^{2} / 2+\xi \eta+\eta^{2}-2 \xi \zeta
$$

subject to

$$
-\xi \eta-\eta^{2}+2 \xi \xi=1
$$

Hence

$$
a^{t} J A a=-\xi^{2} / 2-1
$$

and $a^{t} J A a$ can be made arbitrarily large and so (Lemma 2.2) we have, by proper choice of $a$,

$$
\Delta \rightarrow \Delta_{0}^{-}(1)+\Delta_{0}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\right) ; \lambda \in \mathbf{R},|\lambda| \neq 1
$$

This is of the required form.
Before proceeding we require the following
Lemmata. If $V$ is a space of signature $(p, q)$ and $W$ is a subspace of $V$ of dimension $<p$, then $W^{\perp}$ is not negative semidefinite.

Proof. $\operatorname{dim}\left(W^{\perp}\right)=p+q-\operatorname{dim}(W)>q$. Let $R$ be the radical of $W^{\perp}$. Then, assuming that $W^{\perp}$ is negative semidefinite, $W^{\perp}=R \oplus T$ where $T$ is negative definite, and $R \subset T^{\perp}$. But

$$
\operatorname{dim}(R) \leqq \min (p, q-\operatorname{dim} T)
$$

and in particular

$$
\operatorname{dim}(R) \leqq q-\operatorname{dim}(T)
$$

so that

$$
\operatorname{dim}(W)=\operatorname{dim}(T)+\operatorname{dim}(R) \leqq q
$$

a contradiction.

We now return to the proof of the lemma.
(iv) The result is clear, as in Lemma 2.4, unless $k=1$. We have

$$
\Delta_{3}{ }^{+}(1)+\Delta_{3}^{-}-(1) \rightarrow \Delta^{\prime}
$$

with $\operatorname{dim} E\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)=3$ and, since the carrier space of $\Delta^{\prime}$ has signature $(4,4)$, the possibility that $E\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}$ is negative semidefinite is excluded by the lemmata. The possibility

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta^{\prime}=p \Delta_{0}+(1)+(3-p) \Delta_{0}-(1)+q \Delta_{0}{ }^{+}(-1) \\
& +(5-q) \Delta_{0}^{-}-(-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

is excluded by

$$
\operatorname{dim} E\left(-\Delta^{\prime}\right) \leqq 1
$$

in view of Lemma 1.1.
(v) The result follows from the lemmata unless $k=1$. We have

$$
\Delta_{2}{ }^{+}(1) \rightarrow \Delta^{\prime}
$$

and, since $E\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$ contains an isotropic vector and has dimension 2 , and $\omega\left(\Delta_{2}{ }^{+}(1)\right)=1$ (see Section 3)

$$
\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta_{0}{ }^{+}(1)+\Delta_{0}^{-}-(1)+\Delta_{0}{ }^{+}(-1)
$$

and $u^{\prime}$ is nonexceptional unless the types contained in $u$ are $\Delta_{2}{ }^{+}(1)$, $\Delta_{0}{ }^{e}(-1), \Delta_{0}{ }^{\epsilon}(\epsilon= \pm)$ with $\Delta_{0}{ }^{-}(-1)$ present. We consider then

$$
\Delta_{2}^{+}(1)+\Delta_{0}^{-}-(-1) \rightarrow \Delta^{\prime}
$$

which we claim we can do with $\Delta^{\prime}$ having eigenvalues off the unit circle. We can represent $\Delta_{2}{ }^{+}(1)+\Delta_{0}{ }^{-}(-1)$ and the reflection vector $a$ of $r_{a}$ in the form

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & & & \\
1 & 1 & & \\
0 & 1 & 1 & \\
& & & -1
\end{array}\right) \quad J=\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -1 & \\
-\frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 & \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & \\
& & & -1
\end{array}\right) \quad a=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\xi \\
\eta \\
\zeta \\
\delta
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We require $a \in E(u)^{\perp}$ and this yields $\xi=0$. For $a^{\imath} J a=1$ we require $\eta^{2}=1+\delta^{2}$. Finally,

$$
a^{2} J A a=\eta^{2}+\delta^{2}=1+2 \delta^{2}
$$

and this can be made arbitrarily large. The claim follows.
(vi) The claim follows from the lemmata unless $k=2$. We then have $\Delta_{4}^{-}-(1) \rightarrow \Delta^{\prime}, \operatorname{dim} E\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)=2$, and $E\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$ contains isotropic vectors. If

$$
\Delta^{\prime} \supset \Delta_{0}{ }^{+}(1)+\Delta_{0}-(1)
$$

then $E\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}$ is not negative semidefinite (since $E\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$ has signature $(1,2)$ ) and $\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} \neq 1$ (since $\operatorname{dim} E\left(-\Delta^{\prime}\right)^{\perp} \leqq 1$ in the carrier space of
$\left.\Delta_{4}^{-}(1)\right)$. The only other possibility is

$$
\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta_{1}^{+}(1)+\Delta_{1}^{-}(1)+\Delta_{0}^{-}(-1)
$$

(the term $\Delta_{0}-(-1)$ being dictated by the determinant, its sign by Section 3), when $E\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$ is totally isotropic. We seek to avoid this case by choice of $a=(1-u) x, x \in E\left(r_{a} u\right) \backslash E(u)$. It suffices to find such a vector $x$ which is nonisotropic. Otherwise,

$$
f((1-u) x,(1-u) x)>0 \Rightarrow f(x, x)=0
$$

or

$$
2 f(x, x)>f\left(x,\left(u+u^{-1}\right) x\right) \Rightarrow f(x, x)=0
$$

or

$$
2 f(x, x) \leqq f\left(x,\left(u+u^{-1}\right) x\right) \text { whenever } f(x, x) \neq 0 .
$$

Continuity then gives

$$
2 f(x, x) \leqq f\left(x,\left(u+u^{-1}\right) x\right) \text { for all } x .
$$

But this implies $f(a, a) \leqq 0$ for all choices of $x$, and this is false since $E\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}$ is not negative semidefinite.

This completes the proof of the lemma.
The remaining cases are now all of low dimension.
Lemma 2.7. If $u \in G_{p, q}$, with $\Delta_{0}{ }^{+}(-1)$ belonging to the type of $u$, as well as any of
(i) $\Delta_{0}{ }^{\epsilon}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}),|\lambda|=1, \lambda \neq \pm 1, \epsilon= \pm$
(ii) $\Delta_{1}^{+}(1)+\Delta_{1}^{-}(1)$
(iii) $\Delta_{2}^{-}(1)$
then $u \rightarrow u^{\prime}$ with $u^{\prime}$ nonexceptional.
Proof. If $\Delta$, the type of $u$, contains $\Delta_{0}{ }^{+}(-1)+\Delta_{0}{ }^{+}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})=\Delta_{1}$ then we have, by choosing the reflection vector $a$ in the carrier space of $\Delta_{0}{ }^{+}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$,

$$
\Delta_{1} \rightarrow \Delta_{0}{ }^{+}(1)+2 \Delta_{0}{ }^{+}(-1)
$$

and we are done unless the remaining types of $u$ are $\Delta_{0}{ }^{\epsilon}( \pm 1)$ with $\Delta_{0}{ }^{-}(-1)$ occurring. In this case we take $\Delta=\Delta_{0}{ }^{-}(-1)+\Delta_{0}{ }^{+}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$ and show that $\Delta \rightarrow \Delta^{\prime}$ with $\Delta^{\prime}$ having eigenvalues off the unit circle. We have matrices and reflection vector given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\
-\sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \quad J=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & & \\
& 1 & \\
& & -1
\end{array}\right) \\
& a=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\xi \\
\eta \\
\zeta
\end{array}\right) \text { with } \xi^{2}+\eta^{2}-\zeta^{2}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, $\cos \theta \neq \pm 1$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{t} J A a & =\cos \theta\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)+\zeta^{2} \\
& =(1+\cos \theta)\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)-1
\end{aligned}
$$

which can be made arbitrarily large, proving the last assertion, and hence the claim of the lemma.

The case $u \supset \Delta=\Delta_{0}+(-1)+\Delta_{0}-(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$ is the same as above with $-J$ in place of $J$.

If $u \supset \Delta=\Delta_{0}{ }^{+}(-1)+\Delta_{2}{ }^{-}(1)$, we can proceed as in the proof of (v), Lemma 2.6, using $-J$ in place of $J$, to show that $\Delta \rightarrow \Delta^{\prime}$ with $\Delta^{\prime}$ nonexceptional.

Finally, suppose that $u \supset \Delta=\Delta_{0}{ }^{+}(-1)+\Delta_{1}{ }^{+}(1)+\Delta_{1}{ }^{-}(1)$. We claim that

$$
\Delta \rightarrow \Delta^{\prime}=\Delta_{2}^{+}(1)+\Delta_{0}^{+}(1)+\Delta_{0}^{--}(1)
$$

via $u^{\prime}=r_{a} u$, with $a$ a unit vector in the carrier space of $\Delta$. Again, we let $A$ be a matrix representing $\Delta$, preserving a symmetric form represented by a matrix $J$. We can take

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrr}
1 & 0 & & & \\
1 & 1 & & & \\
& & 1 & -1 & \\
& & 0 & 1 & \\
& & & & -1
\end{array}\right) \quad J=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
0 & I_{2} & \\
I_{2} & 0 & \\
& & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad x=\left(\begin{array}{l}
b \\
c \\
d \\
e \\
f
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here $a=(1-A) x$. The condition $a^{t} J a=1$ is $f^{2}=1$. We choose $x$ not orthogonal to $E(u)$. Now $E\left(u^{\prime}\right)=E(u) \oplus(x)$ is not totally isotropic, and in fact has radical of dimension 1 . Hence

$$
\Delta^{\prime} \not \supset \Delta_{1}^{+}(1)+\Delta_{1}^{-}(1)+\Delta_{0}{ }^{+}(1)
$$

and so

$$
\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta_{2}{ }^{\epsilon}(1)+\Delta_{0}^{+}(1)+\Delta_{0}^{-\epsilon}(1), \epsilon= \pm
$$

and $\omega(\Delta)=1$ forces (see Section 3) $\epsilon=+$. The conclusion follows.
Lemma 2.8. If $u \in G_{p, q}$ and $u$ contains the type $\Delta_{0}+(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$ with $|\lambda|=1$, $\lambda \neq \pm 1$ and $u$ contains as well one of the types
(i) $\Delta_{0}{ }^{-}(-1)$
(ii) $\Delta_{1}{ }^{+}(1)+\Delta_{1}^{-}(1)$
(iii) $\Delta_{2}{ }^{-}(1)$
then $u \rightarrow u^{\prime}$ with $u^{\prime}$ non-exceptional.
Proof. We have

$$
\Delta_{0}{ }^{+}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) \rightarrow \Delta_{0}{ }^{+}(1)+\Delta_{0}+(-1)
$$

and the result follows from Lemma 2.7 unless we have the case (i) above, which was dealt with in the proof of Lemma 2.7.

Lemma 2.9. If $u \in G_{p, q}$ contains $\Delta=\Delta_{1}{ }^{+}(-1)+\Delta_{1}{ }^{-}(-1)$ then $u \rightarrow u^{\prime}$ with $u^{\prime}$ nonexceptional.

Proof. For any reflection vector $a$ chosen in the carrier space of $\Delta$ we have $\Delta \rightarrow \Delta^{\prime}$. If $\Delta^{\prime} \supset \Delta_{0}{ }^{\epsilon}(1)$ then we are done unless

$$
\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta_{0}^{\epsilon}(1)+\Delta_{0}^{\epsilon}(-1)+2 \Delta_{0}^{-\epsilon}(-1) .
$$

This can be avoided by choosing the reflection vector outside of $E(-u)^{\perp}$. Otherwise we have

$$
\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta_{2}{ }^{\epsilon}(1)+\Delta_{0}^{-\epsilon}(-1), \epsilon= \pm
$$

and again $u^{\prime}$ is nonexceptional.
Proof. (of the theorem). By Lemmas $2.4-2.9$ we have $u \rightarrow u^{\prime}$ with $u^{\prime}$ nonexceptional unless (by Lemmas 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9) the types contained in $u$ are $\Delta_{0} \epsilon^{\epsilon}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}),|\lambda|=1, \lambda \neq \pm 1 ; \Delta_{0}{ }^{\epsilon}(-1) ; \Delta_{1}{ }^{+}(1)+\Delta_{1}{ }^{-}(1) ; \Delta_{2}{ }^{-}(1)$; $\Delta_{0}{ }^{\epsilon}(1)$. The types $\Delta_{0}{ }^{\epsilon}(1)$ can be ignored. By Lemma 2.7, if $\Delta_{0}{ }^{+}(-1)$ belongs to the type of $u$, as well as one of the types above (other than $\Delta_{0}{ }^{\epsilon}(-1)$ ), then $u \rightarrow u^{\prime}$ with $u^{\prime}$ nonexceptional. Hence either $u$ is exceptional, or we have $u^{2}=1$ with $\Delta_{0}-(-1)$ not in the type of $u$. But in this case clearly $l(u)=r(u)$ since the type of eff $(u)$ is $k \Delta_{0}+(-1)$. Hence we can remove $\Delta_{0}{ }^{+}(-1)$ from the list above. By Lemma 2.8 we can remove $\Delta_{0}{ }^{+}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$ from the shortened list which now is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{0}-(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}),|\lambda|=1, \lambda \neq \pm 1 ; \\
& \Delta_{0}^{-}-(-1) ; \Delta_{1}^{+}(1)+\Delta_{1}-(1) ; \Delta_{2}^{-}(1) ; \Delta_{0}^{\epsilon}(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

However, if these are the types in $u$, then $u$ is exceptional.
It only remains to show that if $u$ is exceptional, then $l(u)=r(u)+2$. If $E(u)^{\perp}$ is negative semidefinite then (Corollary 1.2) for any choice of a positive reflection we have

$$
u \leftarrow u^{\prime}
$$

and since $\operatorname{dim} E\left(u^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dim} E(u)-1$, we have

$$
l(u) \geqq r(u)+2 .
$$

On the other hand, we can choose a positive reflection $r_{a}$ so that $\operatorname{tr}\left(r_{a} u\right)$ is arbitrarily large (this is easily checked) so that $r_{a} u$ has eigenvalues off the unit circle. The result follows in this case.

If $u^{2}=1$ with $E(u)^{\perp}$ not positive definite, and $u \rightarrow u^{\prime}$ then also $\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}=1$ with $E\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}$ not positive definite. (This follows from the observation that $\operatorname{dim} E\left(-u^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dim} E(-u)-1$ and that the reflection vector $a$ is a positive vector in $E(-u)$.) The result now follows by
induction, and the observation that if

$$
u \rightarrow u^{\prime} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow v
$$

then we must arrive at a transformation $v$ for which $E(v)^{\perp}$ is negative definite.

This completes the proof.
3. The invariant. In another paper [6], with D. Ž. Djokovic, concerning the length problem with respect to reflections in $U_{p, q}(\mathbf{C})$, it was necessary to introduce a construction called the invariant. This was defined as follows: For $u \in U_{p, q}(\mathbf{C})$, if $\operatorname{det}(1-u) \neq 0$, then the invariant, $\omega(u)$, is given by

$$
\omega(u)=(-1)^{2} \operatorname{det}(1-u) /|\operatorname{det}(1-u)| .
$$

In the case $\operatorname{det}(1-u)=0, \operatorname{let} d=\operatorname{dim} E(u)$. Then there are $d$ positive reflections $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{d}$ so that $\hat{u}=r_{1} \ldots r_{d} u$ satisfies $\operatorname{det}(1-\hat{u}) \neq 0$ and we define $\omega(u)=\omega(\hat{u}) \cdot \omega(u)$ is well-defined and $\omega(u)= \pm 1, \pm i$ when $\operatorname{det}(u)= \pm 1 . \omega(u)$ is called the invariant in [6] because if

$$
u \rightarrow u^{\prime} \text { or } u \leftarrow u^{\prime}
$$

then $\omega(u)=\omega\left(u^{\prime}\right)$. (There is in $U_{p, q}(\mathbf{C})$ the further possibility that if $u^{\prime}=r_{a} u$ then $E\left(u^{\prime}\right)=E(u)$. In this case $\omega(u)= \pm i \omega\left(u^{\prime}\right)$.)
The mapping $u \rightarrow \omega(u)$ is not a homomorphism in $U_{p, q}(\mathbf{C})$. However, the construction is "inherited" by $O_{p, q}(\mathbf{R})$, with the same properties. Since now $\omega(u)$ is real we have $\omega(u)= \pm 1$. Furthermore, the mapping $u \rightarrow \omega(u)$ is a homomorphism. Also, as we shall see, together with the mapping $u \rightarrow \operatorname{det}(u)$ the four connected components of $O_{p, Q}(\mathbf{R})(p, q>0)$ are distinguished.

Notation. We label the identity component of $O_{p, q}(p, q>0)$ by $A_{p, q} ; G_{p, q} \backslash A_{p, q}$ by $B_{p, q}$; the part generated by negative reflections and having determinant -1 we label by $C_{p, q}$, and; $S O_{p, q} \backslash A_{p, q}$ by $D_{p, q}$.

Lemma 3.1. The mapping $u \rightarrow \omega(u)$ of $O_{p, q}$ to $\pm 1$ is a homomorphism in which $G_{p, q} \rightarrow+1$ and $C_{p, q}, D_{p, q} \rightarrow-1$. Thus together with the mapping $u \rightarrow \operatorname{det}(u)$, all of the connected components of $O_{p, q}$ have been distinguished.

Proof. If $r_{a}$ is a positive reflection then, if $u^{\prime}=r_{a} u$,

$$
u \rightarrow u^{\prime} \text { or } u \leftarrow u^{\prime}
$$

and so $\omega(u)=\omega\left(u^{\prime}\right)$ and it follows that $\omega(u)=\omega(v)$ for any $u, v \in G_{p, e}$ since $G_{p, q}$ is generated by positive reflections. Since, [6], $\omega(u)=\omega$ (eff $u$ ), and the type eff $\left(r_{a}\right)$ is $\Delta_{0}{ }^{+}(-1)$ it is easy to check that $\omega\left(r_{a}\right)=1$. Now let $r_{b}$ be a negative reflection; i.e., $f(b, b)=-1$. Each element of $O_{p, \triangleleft} \backslash G_{p, q}$ is in the coset $r_{b} G_{p, q}$. Again since $G_{p, q}$ is generated by positive
reflections it follows that $\omega(u)=\omega(v)$ for any element $u$, v of $O_{p, q} \backslash G_{p, q}$. In particular, $\omega(u)=\omega\left(r_{b}\right)$, and since the type of eff $\left(r_{b}\right)$ is $\Delta_{0}-(-1)$ we find that $\omega\left(r_{b}\right)=-1$. This completes the proof.

If the type, $\Delta$, of $u \in O_{p, q}$ decomposes into irreducible types $\Delta=$ $\Delta_{1}+\ldots+\Delta_{k}$ then

$$
\omega(\Delta)=\omega(u)=\omega\left(\Delta_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times \omega\left(\Delta_{k}\right)
$$

Thus $\omega(u)$ can be computed from a knowledge of the irreducible types contained in $u$. The computation of $\omega(\Delta)$ for $\Delta$ irreducible is the subject of the next lemma.

Lemma 3.2. If $\Delta$ is an irreducible type, then $\omega(\Delta)$ is as given in the list below:

| $\omega(\Delta)$ | $\Delta$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\Delta_{m}\left(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}, \lambda^{-1}, \bar{\lambda}^{-1}\right)$ | $\|\lambda\| \neq 1, \lambda \notin \mathbf{R}$ |
| 1 if $\lambda>0$ | $\Delta_{m}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\right)$ | $\|\lambda\| \neq 1, \lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ |
| $(-1)^{m+1}$ if $\lambda<0$ | $\Delta_{m}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$ | $\|\lambda\|=1, \lambda \neq \pm 1$ |
| 1 | $\Delta_{2 m+1}^{+}(-1)+\Delta_{2 m+1}^{-}(-1)$ |  |
| 1 | $\Delta_{2 m+1}^{+}(-1)+\Delta_{2 m+1}^{-}(-1)$ | $\epsilon= \pm$ |
| 1 | $\Delta_{2 m}^{\epsilon}(1)$ | $\epsilon= \pm$ |
| 1 | $\Delta_{2 m}^{\epsilon}(-1)$ |  |
| $(-1)^{m}$ if $\epsilon=+$ |  |  |

Proof. We remark first that $\Delta_{2 m}{ }^{\epsilon}(1)$, for example, acts on a space of signature $(m+1, m)$ if $\epsilon$ is + , and a space of signature $(m, m+1)$ if $\epsilon$ is - . The computation of $\omega(\Delta)$ is straightforward when $\Delta$ has no +1 eigenvalues. For example,

$$
\omega\left(\Delta_{m}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\right)\right)=(-1)^{m+1} \frac{(1-\lambda)^{m+1}\left(1-\lambda^{-1}\right)^{m+1}}{\left|(1-\lambda)^{m+1}\left(1-\lambda^{-1}\right)^{m+1}\right|} .
$$

If $\lambda<0$ this is just $(-1)^{m+1}$, as claimed. If $\lambda>0$ then exactly one of $(1-\lambda),\left(1-\lambda^{-1}\right)$ is negative, and

$$
\omega\left(\Delta_{m}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\right)\right)=1
$$

If $\Delta$ is one of the types $\Delta_{2 m+1}^{+}(1)+\Delta_{2 m+1}^{-}(1)$ or $\Delta_{2 m}{ }^{\epsilon}(1)$ then we can
represent these using Jordan blocks of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & & & & & & \\
\alpha & 1 & & & & & \\
& \cdot & & \cdot & & & \\
& & \cdot & & \cdot & & \\
& 0 & & \cdot & & \cdot & \\
& 0 & & & & \alpha & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $0 \neq \alpha$ small. In this way we see that these blocks are in the connected component of the identity, and so $\omega(\Delta)=1$ in these cases.

We have immediately:
Corollary 3.3. An element $u \in O_{p, q}$ belongs to $G_{p, q}$ if and only if the types of $u$ having negative eigenvalues act on a space of type $(r, s)$ with sodd.

Note. The connected components of $O_{f}(F), F$ a field with Char $F \neq 2$ are usually distinguished by the spinor norm: If $u \in O_{f}(F)$ is a product of reflections $u=r_{a_{1}} \ldots r_{a_{k}}$ then the mapping

$$
\phi: u \rightarrow f\left(a_{1}, a_{1}\right) \ldots f\left(a_{k}, a_{k}\right) \bmod \left(F^{*}\right)^{2}
$$

of $O_{f}(F)$ into $F^{*} /\left(F^{*}\right)^{2}$ is a homomorphism of $O_{f}(F)$ which, together with $u \rightarrow \operatorname{det}(u)$, distinguishes the components of $O_{f}(F)$. When $F=\mathbf{R}$, $\phi(u)=\omega(u)$. For a general field $F$ we have

$$
\phi(u)=\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{1+u}{2}\right) \bmod \left(F^{*}\right)^{2}
$$

provided that det $(1+u) \neq 0$.
Added in proof. D. Ž. Djoković has recently proved this result using other methods, generalizing it to the case where the form $f$ is possibly degenerate.
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