COMMUTATORS OF MATRICES WITH PRESCRIBED DETERMINANT

R. C. THOMPSON

1. Introduction. Let K be a commutative field, let GL(n, K) be the multiplicative group of all non-singular $n \times n$ matrices with elements from K, and let SL(n, K) be the subgroup of GL(n, K) consisting of all matrices in GL(n, K) with determinant one. We denote the determinant of matrix A by |A|, the identity matrix by I_n , the companion matrix of polynomial $p(\lambda)$ by $C(p(\lambda))$, and the transpose of A by A^T . The multiplicative group of non-zero elements in K is denoted by K^* . We let $GF(p^n)$ denote the finite field having p^n elements.

The goal of this paper is to prove Theorem 1.

THEOREM 1. Let $x, y \in K^*$ and let $A \in SL(n, K)$. Then $X, Y \in GL(n, K)$ exist such that

(1)
$$A = X Y X^{-1} Y^{-1}$$

$$|X| = x, \qquad |Y| = y,$$

unless: (i) n = 2, K is GF(2), or GF(3), x = y = 1, and A is similar within GL(2, K) to $C((\lambda \pm 1)^2)$; or (ii) $A = fI_n$ where f has order n in K^{*} and K has infinitely many elements.

The cases (i) and (ii) are genuinely exceptional. In case (i) the matrices $C((\lambda \pm 1)^2)$ do not lie in the commutator group of SL(2, K). Whether (1) and (2) possess a solution X, $Y \in GL(n, K)$ in case (ii) depends very much on the field K. In Theorems 2 and 3 and their corollaries we produce criteria that can be used to determine the solvability of (1) and (2) in case (ii).

Theorem 1, in the case x = y = 1, was the result obtained in (1; 2; 3). The methods used to prove Theorem 1 are extensions of the methods of (1; 2). It does not, however, appear to be the case that Theorem 1 follows from the results of (1; 2; 3). Without further explanation we use notation, terminology, and results from (1; 2; 3).

2. The scalar case. Let $f \in K^*$ have order n and let $A = fI_n$. Suppose (1) and (2) hold. Let $x_1 = (-1)^{n-1}x$, $y_1 = (-1)^{n-1}y$. From (1) we get

Received June 28, 1965.

The preparation of this paper was supported in part by the U.S. Air Force under Contract 698-65.

 $fY = XYX^{-1}$. Let ξ be an eigenvalue of Y in some extension field of K. Then $f\xi$ is an eigenvalue of fY, hence of Y also as fY is similar to Y. Thus ξ , $f\xi$, ..., $f^{n-1}\xi$ are all eigenvalues of Y. Since f has order n and $\xi \neq 0$, these eigenvalues are distinct and therefore are all the eigenvalues of Y. Thus Y must be non-derogatory and is therefore similar within GL(n, K) to the companion matrix of its characteristic polynomial. Note that, if n is even, $f^{n(n-1)/2} = (f^{n/2})^{n-1} = (-1)^{n-1}$; and if n is odd, $f^{n(n-1)/2} = (f^n)^{(n-1)/2} = 1 = (-1)^{n-1}$. Multiplying together the eigenvalues of Y we find that

$$|Y| = (-1)^{n-1}y_1 = (-1)^{n-1}\xi^n.$$

Thus $\xi^n = y_1$ and so each eigenvalue of Y is a zero of the polynomial $\lambda^n - y_1$. Consequently $\lambda^n - y_1$ is the characteristic polynomial of Y, and so

$$SYS^{-1} = C(\lambda^n - y_1)$$

for some $S \in GL(n, K)$. Now from (1) we get

$$fI_n = (SXS^{-1})(SYS^{-1})(SXS^{-1})^{-1}(SYS^{-1})^{-1},$$

and so, after a change of notation, we may assume that $Y = C(\lambda^n - y_1)$. Let

(3)
$$\Delta = (f^{n-1}) \dotplus (f^{n-2}) \dotplus \dots \dotplus (f) \dotplus (1).$$

Then $|\Delta| = (-1)^{n-1}$ and $fY = \Delta Y \Delta^{-1}$. So (1) becomes $\Delta Y \Delta^{-1} = X Y X^{-1}$ and thus $Z = \Delta^{-1}X$ commutes with Y. Conversely, if for any non-singular Z commuting with Y we put $X = \Delta Z$, then $fI_n = X Y X^{-1} Y^{-1}$. Since Y is non-derogatory, the only matrices commuting with Y are polynomials in Y. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

THEOREM 2. Let $f \in K^*$ have order n. Let $y \in K^*$, and put $y_1 = (-1)^{n-1}y$. Then all solutions of

$$fI_n = X Y X^{-1} Y^{-1}$$

with |Y| = y are given by

(5)
$$Y = SC(\lambda^{n} - y_{1})S^{-1},$$
$$X = S\Delta\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} z_{i} C(\lambda^{n} - y_{1})^{i}\right)S^{-1}$$

where $z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}$ are arbitrary elements of K (such that X is non-singular), S is an arbitrary element of GL(n, K), and Δ is defined by (3).

COROLLARY 1. Let $x_1 \in K^*$. The necessary and sufficient condition that (4) have a solution X, $Y \in GL(n, K)$ with $|X| = (-1)^{n-1}x_1$, $|Y| = (-1)^{n-1}y_1$ is that the polynomial equation

(6)
$$\left|\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} z_i C(\lambda^n - y_1)^i\right| = x_1$$

have a solution $z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1} \in K$.

COROLLARY 2. (i) Let y be fixed in K^{*}. The set of all $x_1 \in K^*$ such that (4) has a solution X, $Y \in GL(n, K)$ with $|X| = (-1)^{n-1}x_1$, |Y| = y forms a multiplicative group G_y in K^{*} containing y and z^n for each $z \in K^*$. (ii) Let x be fixed in K^{*}. The set of all $y_1 \in K^*$ such that (4) has a solution X, $Y \in GL(n, K)$ with |X| = x, $|Y| = (-1)^{n-1}y_1$ forms a multiplicative group H_x in K^{*} containing x and z^n for each $z \in K^*$.

Proofs. Corollary 1 is clear from (5). Corollary 2(i) is also immediate since all X are given by $X = S\Delta ZS^{-1}$ where Z runs over the multiplicative group of matrices in GL(n, K) commuting with $C(\lambda^n - y_1)$, with $y_1 = (-1)^{n-1}y$. If we put $Z = C(\lambda^n - y_1)$ or $Z = zI_n$ we find that |Z| = y or $|Z| = z^n$. This proves (i). We may deduce (ii) from (i) by noting that (4) holds if and only if $fI_n = Y^{-1}XYX^{-1}$ holds, since from (4), we get

$$fI_n = Y^{-1}(fI_n) Y = Y^{-1}(XYX^{-1}Y^{-1}) Y = Y^{-1}XYX^{-1}.$$

THEOREM 3. Let $f \in K^*$ have order n. Let m|n and let $x, \gamma \in K^*$. Then (4) has a solution $X, Y \in GL(n, K)$ with

(7)
$$|X| = (-1)^{n-1}x, \quad |Y| = (-1)^{n-1}\gamma^{n/m}$$

if and only if

(8)
$$f^{n/m}I_m = X Y X^{-1} Y^{-1}$$

has a solution X, $Y \in GL(m, K)$ with

(9)
$$|X| = (-1)^{m-1}x, \quad |Y| = (-1)^{m-1}\gamma.$$

Proof. Let $\xi, f\xi, \ldots, f^{n-1}\xi$ be the eigenevalues of $C(\lambda^n - \gamma^{n/m})$, where we choose ξ so that $\xi^m = \gamma$. Then, by Corollary 1, (4) has a solution $X, Y \in GL(n, K)$ satisfying (7) if and only if

$$\sum_{j=1}^n z_{j-1} C(\lambda^n - \gamma^{n/m})^{j-1}$$

has determinant equal to x. Since the eigenvalues of $C(\lambda^n - \gamma^{n/m})$ are $f^{i-1}\xi$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, this condition is equivalent to

(10)
$$x = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j-1} f^{(i-1)(j-1)} \xi^{j-1} \right).$$

Put $j-1 = t-1 + m(\sigma - 1)$ and $i-1 = \rho - 1 + (\mu - 1)n/m$, where $1 \leq \rho, \sigma \leq n/m, 1 \leq t, \mu \leq m$. Then, upon setting $\zeta = f^{n/m}$ and using $\xi^m = \gamma$ and $f^n = 1$, (10) becomes

(11)
$$x = \prod_{\mu=1}^{m} \prod_{\rho=1}^{n/m} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{m} \zeta^{(\mu-1)(t-1)} \xi^{t-1} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n/m} f^{(\rho-1)(t-1+m(\sigma-1))} \gamma^{\sigma-1} z_{t-1+m(\sigma-1)} \right).$$

Introduce new variables $w_{t\rho}$ by setting, for each fixed t, $1 \le t \le m$, and variable ρ , $1 \le \rho \le n/m$:

(12)
$$\sum_{\sigma=1}^{n/m} f^{(\rho-1)(t-1+m(\sigma-1))} \gamma^{\sigma-1} z_{t-1+m(\sigma-1)} = w_{t\rho}.$$

If we view (12) as a linear system linking variables $\gamma^{\sigma-1}z_{t-1+m(\sigma-1)}$, $1 \leq \sigma \leq n/m$, with variables $w_{t\rho}$, $1 \leq \rho \leq n/m$, then the coefficient matrix

 $\left(f^{(\rho-1)(t-1+m(\sigma-1))}\right)_{1 \leq \rho, \sigma \leq n/m}$

is non-singular since, upon removing $f^{(\rho-1)(t-1)}$ from row ρ , the resulting matrix $(f^{(\rho-1)(\sigma-1)m})_{1 \leqslant \rho, \sigma \leqslant n/m}$ is a Vandermonde matrix and is non-singular because f^m is a primitive root of unity of order n/m. Thus for fixed t, as the $z_{t-1+m(\sigma-1)}, 1 \leqslant \sigma \leqslant n/m$, run freely over K, so also do the $w_{t\rho}, 1 \leqslant \rho \leqslant n/m$. We now write (11) as

(13)
$$x = \prod_{\rho=1}^{n/m} \left\{ \prod_{\mu=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{m} \xi^{(\mu-1)(t-1)} w_{t\rho} \xi^{t-1} \right) \right\}.$$

The expression in braces in (13) is the determinant of the matrix

(14)
$$\sum_{t=1}^{m} w_{t\rho} C(\lambda^{m} - \gamma)^{t-1}.$$

So we may rewrite (13) as

(15)
$$x = \prod_{\rho=1}^{n/m} \left| \sum_{l=1}^{m} w_{l\rho} C(\lambda^m - \gamma)^{l-1} \right|.$$

Since any polynomial in $C(\lambda^m - \gamma)$ has the form

$$\sum_{t=1}^m W_{t-1} C(\lambda^m - \gamma)^{t-1},$$

it follows that if (4), (7) hold, then

(16)
$$x = \left| \sum_{t=1}^{m} W_{t-1} C (\lambda^{m} - \gamma)^{t-1} \right|$$

has a solution $W_0, W_1, \ldots, W_{m-1} \in K$. By Corollary 1, this implies that (8) has a solution $X, Y \in GL(m, K)$ satisfying (9). Conversely, if X, Y exist in GL(m, K) satisfying (8) and (9), then Corollary 1 implies that (16) has a solution $W_0, W_1, \ldots, W_{m-1} \in K$. From this solution we construct a solution of (15): simply put $w_{t1} = W_{t-1}$ for $1 \leq t \leq m, w_{1\rho} = 1$ for all $\rho > 1, w_{t\rho} = 0$ for t and $\rho > 1$. With this choice of the $w_{t\rho}$, (16) coincides with (15). We may then use (12) to find values for the $z_{t-1+m(\sigma-1)}, 1 \leq \sigma \leq n/m, 1 \leq t \leq m$, for which (10) holds. Corollary 1 and (10) then imply that X, Y exist in GL(n, K) satisfying (4) and (7). COROLLARY 3. Let $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, let f have order n in K^* , and let $x, \gamma \in K^*$. Then $X, Y \in GL(n, K)$ exist satisfying (4) and |X| = -x, $|Y| = -\gamma^{n/2}$ if and only if

$$(17) xu^2 + \gamma v^2 = 1$$

has a solution $u, v \in K$.

Proof. By Theorem 3, with m = 2, (4) holds with |X| = -x, $|Y| = -\gamma^{n/2}$ if and only if $-I_2 = XYX^{-1}Y^{-1}$ has a solution $\in GL(2, K)$ with |X| = -x, $|Y| = -\gamma$. By Corollary 1 this latter event will hold if and only if

$$\begin{vmatrix} z_0 & z_1 \\ \gamma z_1 & z_0 \end{vmatrix} = x$$

has a solution in K. This equation in turn is $z_0^2 - \gamma z_1^2 = x$ and is easily shown to have a solution $z_0, z_1 \in K$ if and only if (17) has a solution $u, v \in K$. (Consider separately the cases $z_0 = 0, z_0 \neq 0$; $u = 0, u \neq 0$.)

If we put $x = \gamma = -1$, then we find from Corollary 3 that for $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, fI_n is a commutator of SL(n, K) if and only if -1 is a sum of two squares in K. This is part of Theorem 1 of (1).

COROLLARY 4. Let $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, let $x\gamma \in K^*$, and let f have order n in K^* . Suppose that (17) does not have a solution $u, v \in K$. Then (4) does not have a solution $X, Y \in GL(n, K)$ with |X| = -x, $|Y| = -\gamma$.

Proof. Suppose $\gamma^{n/2} \in H_{-x}$. Then (4) would have a solution $X, Y \in GL(n, K)$ with |X| = -x, $|Y| = -\gamma^{n/2}$. By Corollary 3 this implies that (17) has a solution $u, v \in K$. This contradiction implies $\gamma^{n/2} \notin H_{-x}$. If $\gamma \in H_{-x}$, then, as H_{-x} is a group, $\gamma^{n/2} \in H_{+x}$. Hence $\gamma \notin H_{-x}$.

COROLLARY 5. Let Q be the rational number field Let $x, \gamma \in Q^*$. Let f be a primitive root of unity of order $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ in the complex number field. If there exists a prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ such that (17) does not have a solution in the p-adic number field, then (4) has no solution X, $Y \in GL(n, Q(f))$ with $|X| = -x, |Y| = -\gamma$.

Proof. Let Q_p be the *p*-adic number field. It is known that if $n \mid (p-1)$ then Q_p^* contains an element of order *n*. So we may assume that $f \in Q_p^*$, and hence $Q(f) \subset Q_p$. If (17) does not have a solution in Q_p , then surely it has no solution in Q(f).

We remark that well-known techniques are available for determining the solvability of (17) in Q_p . These techniques and Corollary 5 suffice to show that many combinations of determinants cannot be reached in Q(f) to satisfy (1) and (2).

THEOREM 4. Let $K = GF(p^k)$ be a finite field. Let $n \mid (p^k - 1)$. Let $x, y \in K^*$. Then (4) has a solution $X, Y \in GL(n, K)$ with |X| = x, |Y| = y. Note that $GF(p^k)^*$ contains an element f of order n if and only if $n \mid (p^k - 1)$.

Proof. Let Ψ be a generator of the cyclic multiplicative group K^* . First note by Corollary 2 that $\Psi \in H_{\Psi}$. Since H_{Ψ} is a group, this implies that $H_{\Psi} = K^*$. Thus $(-1)^{n-1}y \in H_{\Psi}$. Hence there exist elements X, $Y \in GL(n, K)$ such that (4) holds with $|X| = \Psi$, |Y| = y. In turn this says that $(-1)^{n-1} \Psi \in G_y$. Consequently G_{ν} contains the cyclic group generated by $(-1)^{n-1}\Psi$. If K has characteristic 2 or if n is odd, we have $(-1)^{n-1} = 1$ and hence $G_y = K^*$. Thus $(-1)^{n-1}x \in G_y$ so that a solution of (4) with |X| = x, |Y| = y exists in GL(n, K). Now let n be even and p be odd. Let $m = p^k - 1$ be the order of K*. Then $\Psi^m = 1$ and $-1 = \Psi^{m/2}$. The order of $(-1)^{n-1}\Psi = -\Psi = \Psi^{1+m/2}$ is m/(m, 1 + m/2) = m if 4 | m, = m/2 if 2 | | m. Thus if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $(-1)^{n-1}\Psi$ is also a generator of K^* ; consequently $G_y = K^*$ and hence again we may solve (4) within GL(n, K) with |X| = x, |Y| = y. Now let $m \equiv 2$ (mod 4). In this case G_y contains the cyclic group of order m/2 generated by $-\Psi$; therefore $[K^*: G_y] \leq 2$. Since the order of Ψ^2 is m/(m, 2) = m/2, the cyclic group generated by $-\Psi$ is also generated by Ψ^2 , hence consists of exactly the even powers of Ψ . We now find an odd power of Ψ in G_y . Note the following chain of equivalences: $fI_n = XYX^{-1}Y^{-1}$ with $|X| = -\Psi^{n/2}$, $|Y| = y \Leftrightarrow fI_n = Y^{-1}XYX^{-1}$ with $|Y^{-1}| = y^{-1}$,

$$|X| = -\Psi^{n/2} \Leftrightarrow -y^{-1}u^2 + \Psi v^2 = 1$$

has a solution in $K \Leftrightarrow -yu^2 + \Psi v^2 = 1$ has a solution in K. That this equation always has a solution in a finite field is well known and can be seen as follows: the map $u \to u^2$ is 2: 1 in K^* and $0 \to 0$. Thus $-yu^2$ assumes 1 + m/2 values as u runs over K. So also does $1 - \Psi v^2$ as v runs over K. If these two sets of values were disjoint, K would have m + 2 elements. Since K has only m + 1 elements, $-yu^2 = 1 - \Psi v^2$ has a solution in K. Consequently we know that $\Psi^{n/2} \in G_v$. But $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and n even implies $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$; thus $\Psi^{n/2}$ is an odd power of Ψ . Hence G_v is properly larger than the subgroup of index two in K^* , and hence $G_v = K^*$.

Now let K be again an arbitrary field.

THEOREM 5. Let f have order n in K^* , let m > 1, and let $x, y \in K^*$. Then $fI_{mn} = XYX^{-1}Y^{-1}$ has a solution X, $Y \in GL(mn, K)$ with |X| = x, |Y| = y.

Proof. Since for any α , $1 \in H_{\alpha}$ and for any β , $1 \in G_{\beta}$, it follows that we can find $X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2, X_3, Y_3 \in GL(n, K)$ such that

$$fI_n = X_1 Y_1 X_1^{-1} Y_1^{-1} = X_2 Y_2 X_2^{-1} Y_2^{-1} = X_3 Y_3 X_3^{-1} Y_3^{-1}$$

with $|X_1| = (-1)^{n-1}$, $|Y_1| = (-1)^{n-1}y$, $|X_2| = (-1)^{(m-1)(n-1)}x$, $|Y_2| = (-1)^{n-1}$, $|X_3| = (-1)^{n-1}$, $|Y_3| = 1$. Put

$$X = X_1 \dotplus X_2 \dotplus X_3 \dotplus X_3 \dotplus \dots \dotplus X_3,$$

$$Y = Y_1 \dotplus Y_2 \dotplus Y_3 \dotplus Y_3 \dotplus \dots \dotplus Y_3,$$

 $(X_3, Y_3 \text{ each appear } m-2 \text{ times.})$ Then $fI_{mn} = XYX^{-1}Y^{-1}$ and |X| = x, |Y| = y.

3. The non-scalar case. Let $A \in SL(n, K)$. To avoid conflict with notation used in (1; 2) we now let $\phi, \tau \in K^*$ and we attempt to find matrices S, D such that

(18) $A = SDS^{-1}D^{-1}, \quad S, D \in GL(n, K),$

(19)
$$|S| = \phi, \quad |D| = \tau.$$

Following the discussion in (1, §4) we let $A = A_1 + \ldots + A_m$ where $A_i \in GL(j(i), K)$ is a companion matrix, $1 \le i \le m$, and

$$j(1) \leq j(2) \leq \ldots \leq j(m)$$

If we can locate a matrix $D \in GL(n, K)$ with $|D| = \tau$, possessing a linear elementary divisor $\lambda - \alpha$ where $\alpha \in K$, and such that AD is similar to D, then (1, Lemma 6) can be used to find $S \in GL(n, K)$ with $|S| = \phi$ such that $AD = SDS^{-1}$. Hence (18) and (19) will hold. The rest of this paper is devoted to locating matrix D.

Note that, in several places in (1; 2), the determinant of a direct sum $A_1 + \ldots + A_m$ is written as $|A_1 \ldots A_m|$ when a better notation would be $|A_1| \ldots |A_m|$ or $|A_1 + \ldots + A_m|$.

Case 1. m = 1. If $\tau \neq 1$ construct, by (1, Lemma 4) a standard matrix $D \in \operatorname{GL}(n, K)$ such that both D and AD have elementary divisors $\lambda - \tau$, $(\lambda - 1)^{n-1}$. This finishes the case $m = 1, \tau \neq 1$ for any field. This argument also works if $\tau = 1$ and n = 1. If n > 1 and $\tau = 1$ choose $\rho \in K^*$ such that $\rho^2 \neq 1$. This is possible if $K \neq \operatorname{GF}(2)$ or $\operatorname{GF}(3)$. By (1, Lemma 4) construct $D \in \operatorname{SL}(n, K)$ such that both D and AD have elementary divisors $\lambda - \rho$, $\lambda - \rho^{-1}$, $(\lambda - 1)^{n-2}$. This finishes the case $m = 1, \tau = 1, n > 1$ over any field except GF(3) or GF(2).

Case 2. m > 1, $j(m) \ge 3$ or j(m) = j(m-1) = 2, K has more than six elements. These cases go almost exactly the same as cases 2 and 3 of (1). We need only make the following small changes: replace equations (13) and (15) of (1) by (13') and (15') below:

(13')
$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \delta_i\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \gamma_i^{j(i)-1}\right) \gamma_m^{j(m)-2} \gamma^{\prime\prime\prime} = \tau,$$

(15')
$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \delta_{i}\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \gamma_{i}^{j(i)-1}\right) \gamma_{m}^{j(m)-3} \gamma' \gamma'' = \tau.$$

Construct matrices D_1, \ldots, D_m as in (1, Cases 2 and 3). Put

$$D = D_1 + \ldots + D_m.$$

Then D and DA are similar. D has a linear elementary divisor, and $|D| = \tau$. This finishes Case 2.

Case 3. As in the discussion at the end of Case 3 of (1), it only remains to consider the case $A = fI_{n-2} + C((\lambda - f)(\lambda - g))$ where $f, g \in K$ and $f^{n-1}g = 1, n \ge 3$. The following proof is valid if $K \ne GF(2)$ or GF(3). First let $f \neq 1$. Let a_2 be any element of K^* such that $a_2 \neq 2 + 2(-1)^n \tau - n$. Put $a_3 = 1 + (-1)^n \tau - a_2$ and let $p(\lambda) = \lambda^n - a_3 \lambda^2 - a_2 \lambda + (-1)^n \tau$. Then p(1) = 0 and $dp(\lambda)/d\lambda \neq 0$ when $\lambda = 1$. Thus $\lambda = 1$ is a simple zero of $p(\lambda)$. Set $x = a_2(1 - f^{-1})f^{2-n}$, $y = a_3(1 - f^{-2})f^{3-n}$. Then $x \neq 0$, Let $B = (b_{ii}) \in SL(n, K)$ where $b_{ii} = f$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $b_{nn} = g$, $b_{n1} = x$, $b_{n2} = y$; and all other $b_{ij} = 0$. Then B is similar to A. This is most easily seen by reducing $\lambda I_n - B$ to Smith canonical form. In $\lambda I^n - B$ add $x^{-1}(\lambda - f)$ times row n and yx^{-1} times row 2 to row 1. Then add $x^{-1}(\lambda - g)$ times column 1 to column *n*. Finally multiply column 1 by $-x^{-1}$ and row 1 by *x*. Interchange rows 1 and n and add y times column one to column two to display invariant factors $\lambda - f, \ldots, \lambda - f$ (n - 2 times) together with the invariant factor $(\lambda - f)(\lambda - g)$. Thus *B* is similar to *A*. Now $\Delta^{-1}BC(p(\lambda))\Delta = C(p(\lambda))$. Thus $BC(p(\lambda))$ is similar to $C(p(\lambda))$ and has $\lambda - 1$ as an elementary divisor. Moreover, $|C(p(\lambda))| = \tau$. Thus B, and hence and A, is a commutator of the required type. The case f = 1 follows from Lemma 1 below.

LEMMA 1. Let $K \neq GF(2)$ or GF(3), let $\phi, \tau \in K^*$, and let $A \in SL(n, K)$ have $\lambda - 1$ as an elementary divisor. Then S, D may be found to satisfy (18) and (19).

Proof. Within GL(n, K), A is similar to a matrix of the form $W \dotplus I_1$ where W is a direct sum of companion matrices: $W = W_1 \dotplus W_2 \dotplus \dots \dotplus W_k$, where $W_i \in GL(w(i), K)$, say, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Select $\delta_1 \in K^*$, define $\delta_{i+1} = |W_i|\delta_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, select $\gamma_i \in K^*$ such that $\gamma_i \neq \delta_i, \delta_{i+k}$. Construct, by (1, Lemma 4), a standard matrix $D_i \in GL(w(i), K)$ such that D_i has elementary divisors $\lambda - \delta_i$, $(\lambda - \gamma_i)^{w(i)-1}$ and such that $W_i D_i$ has elementary divisors $\lambda - |W_i| \delta_i$, $(\lambda - \gamma_i)^{w(i)-1}$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Put $E = D_1 \dotplus \dots \dotplus D_k$. Then WE is similar to E, so that $WE = TET^{-1}$ for some $T \in GL(n-1, K)$. Put $S = T \dotplus (|T|^{-1}\phi)$, $D = E \dotplus (|E|^{-1}\tau)$. Then $W \dotplus I_1 = SDS^{-1}D^{-1}$ and $|S| = \phi$, $|D| = \tau$, as required.

Theorem 1 is now completely established, except when K has five or fewer elements. The rest of this paper is devoted to finishing the proof of Theorem 1 when K is one of the exceptional fields GF(3), $GF(2^2)$, GF(5). The case K = GF(2) was treated completely in **(3)**.

4. The case K = GF(3). We use the notation of (2).

LEMMA 2. Let K = GF(3) and let $A \in SL(n, K)$ be a companion matrix. Then matrices S, D satisfying (18), (19) exist where $(\phi, \tau) = (1, -1), (-1, 1), (-1, -1), as$ demanded. If $A \neq C((\lambda \pm 1)^2)$, then we may also have $(\phi, \tau) = (1, 1)$.

Proof. By § 3, case 1 above with $\tau = -1$ we have (18), (19) with $(\phi, \tau) = (1, -1)$ or (-1, -1), at will. If we apply this result to A^T (which

is similar to A) we achieve (18), (19) with $(\phi, \tau) = (-1, 1)$. By (2, Lemma 5) we obtain (18), (19) with $\phi = \tau = 1$, if $n \neq 2$. Finally

$$C(\lambda^2 + 1) = SDS^{-1}D^{-1}$$

where

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

LEMMA 3. Let K = GF(3). Let $A = A_1 + A_2 \in SL(n, K)$ where A_i is similar to the companion matrix of a power of a polynomial irreducible over K and $|A_i| = -1$, i = 1, 2. Then (18), (19) hold where, as demanded, we have $(\phi, \tau) = (1, 1), (1, -1), (-1, 1), (-1, -1)$.

Proof. That we can achieve $(\phi, \tau) = (1, 1)$ is the result of (2, Lemma 7). The proof of (2, Lemma 8) shows how to construct a matrix $D \in GL(n, K)$ such that D and AD have elementary divisors $\lambda + 1$, $(\lambda - 1)^{n-1}$. This shows we can achieve $\phi = \pm 1$, $\tau = -1$. Applying this result to A^{T} , we achieve $\phi = -1$, $\tau = 1$.

LEMMA 4. Let K = GF(3). Let $A = C((\lambda \pm 1)^2) \dotplus C((\lambda \pm 1)^2)$, where either sign may appear in each direct summand. Then (18), (19) hold, where, at will, $(\phi, \tau) = (1, 1), (1, -1), (-1, 1), (-1, -1)$.

Proof. Let C_1 , C_2 each be either $C((\lambda + 1)^2)$ or $C((\lambda - 1)^2)$. By Lemma 2, $C_1 = S_1 D_1 S_1^{-1} D_1^{-1}$ where $(|S_1|, |D_1|) = (-\phi, -1)$, and $C_2 = S_2 D_2 S_2^{-1} D_2^{-1}$ where $(|S_2|, |D_2|) = (-1, -\tau)$. Put $S = S_1 + S_2$, $D = D_1 + D_2$. Then $A = SDS^{-1}D^{-1}$ and $(|S|, |D|) = (\phi, \tau)$.

We now prove Theorem 1 in the case K = GF(3) and A not scalar. Let $A = A_1 + \ldots + A_m$ where, here, either A_i is the companion matrix of a power of a polynomial irreducible over GF(3) and $|A_i| = 1$, or else $A_i = A_{i1} + A_{i2}$ where A_{i1} and A_{i2} are each companion matrices of powers of polynomials irreducible over GF(3) and $|A_{i1}| = |A_{i2}| = -1$. If an A_i appears which is not $C((\lambda \pm 1)^2)$, choose the notation so that A_m is not $C((\lambda \pm 1)^2)$. If each A_i is $C((\lambda \pm 1)^2)$, then $m \ge 2$. (Since, if m = 1, the result follows from Lemma 2 above.) In this event change notation so that $A_m = C((\lambda \pm 1)^2) + C((\lambda \pm 1)^2)$. By Lemmas 2, 3 we may find S_i, D_i with elements in GF(3) so that $A_i = S_i D_i S_i^{-1} D_i^{-1}$, $1 \le i \le m - 1$. By Lemmas 2, 3, 4 we may express $A_m = S_m D_m S_m^{-1} D_m^{-1}$, where

 $|S_m| = |S_1| \dots |S_{m-1}|\phi, \qquad |D_m| = |D_1| \dots |D_{m-1}|\tau.$ Put $S = S_1 \dotplus \dots \dotplus S_m, D = D_1 \dotplus \dots \dashv D_m$. Then (18), (19) are satisfied. This proves Theorem 1 when K = GF(3).

4. Some lemmas. To handle the cases K = GF(4) and GF(5) we require the following rather complicated lemmas. The proofs of these lemmas are extensions of the method used to prove Lemmas 7 and 8 of **(2)**. For the moment K will still be an arbitrary field. Let $e_i = (0, 0, \ldots, 0, 1)$ have *i* components, of which all but the last are zero.

LEMMA 5. Let $t \ge 2$. Suppose matrices A_i , U_i , $\Delta_i \in \operatorname{GL}(j(i), K)$ and polynomials $p_i(\lambda)$ over K are given satisfying $U_i A_i \Delta_i U_i^{-1} = C(p_i(\lambda))$, such that A_i is a companion matrix, the last column of U_i is $e_{j(i)}^T$, and Δ_i is upper triangular, $1 \le i \le t$. Suppose also that vectors v_i with j(i + 1) components from K are given, such that whenever j(i) = 1, $v_i = |A_i|^{-1}\rho_{i+1}$, ρ_{i+1} being the first row of U_{i+1} , $1 \le i \le t - 1$. Let D be a triangular matrix, presented in partitioned form as

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1 & D_{12} & D_{13} & \dots & D_{1t} \\ 0 & \Delta_2 & D_{23} & \dots & D_{2t} \\ 0 & 0 & \Delta_3 & \dots & D_{3t} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \Delta_t \end{bmatrix}$$

We suppose that v_i is the last row of $D_{i,i+1}$, $1 \le i \le t-1$. Let $A = A_1 \dotplus \dots \dashv A_i$. Then it is possible to select the as yet unspecified elements in $D_{12}, D_{13}, \dots, D_{t-1,t}$ from K in such a manner that AD is non-derogatory and has $p_1(\lambda) \dots p_t(\lambda)$ as its characteristic polynomial.

Proof. Let $v_i = (v_{i1}, v_{i2}, \ldots, v_{i,j(i+1)})$. Let α be fixed, $\alpha < t$. We first specify the elements of $D_{\alpha,\alpha+1}$. If $j(\alpha) = 1$, this has already been done by the hypotheses. Let $j(\alpha) > 1$ and for this fixed α let $R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_{j(\alpha+1)}$ denote the rows of $A_{\alpha+1} \Delta_{\alpha+1}$, and let

Let δ_{α} denote the bottom right corner element of Δ_{α} . As Δ_{α} is triangular and non-singular, $\delta_{\alpha} \neq 0$. Let C_{α} be the last column of $A_{\alpha} \Delta_{\alpha}$. Because A_{α} is a companion matrix, the next to bottom element of C_{α} is δ_{α} . Now $A_{\alpha} D_{\alpha,\alpha+1}$ has the form

$$A_{\alpha} D_{\alpha,\alpha+1} = \begin{pmatrix} d_{21} & d_{22} & \dots & d_{2,j(\alpha+1)} \\ d_{31} & d_{32} & \dots & d_{3,j(\alpha+1)} \\ & & & \ddots & & \ddots \\ & & & \ddots & & \ddots \\ & & & \ddots & & \ddots \\ d_{j(\alpha)-1,1} & d_{j(\alpha)-1,2} & \dots & d_{j(\alpha)-1,j(\alpha+1)} \\ v_{\alpha 1} & v_{\alpha 2} & \dots & v_{\alpha,j(\alpha+1)} \\ z_{1} & z_{2} & \dots & z_{j(\alpha+1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

(20)
$$z_s = (-1)^{j(\alpha)-1} |A_{\alpha}| d_{1s} + a \text{ fixed linear combination of} \\ d_{2s}, d_{3s}, \dots, d_{j(\alpha)-1,s}, v_{\alpha s}, \qquad 1 \leq s \leq j(\alpha+1).$$

We now impose the following condition upon the elements of $D_{\alpha,\alpha+1}$:

(21)
$$\begin{bmatrix} O_{j(\alpha)-1,j(\alpha+1)} \\ \rho_{\alpha+1} \end{bmatrix} + \delta_{\alpha}^{-1} (v_{\alpha 1} C_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha 2} C_{\alpha}, \dots, v_{\alpha,j(\alpha+1)} C_{\alpha}) \\ - \delta_{\alpha}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} O_{j(\alpha)-1,j(\alpha+1)} \\ \sum_{\mu=1}^{j(\alpha+1)} v_{\alpha\mu} R_{\mu} \end{bmatrix} = A_{\alpha} D_{\alpha,\alpha+1}.$$

Here, in (21), the first matrix in the left member has $j(\alpha)$ rows, the first $j(\alpha) - 1$ of which are zero vectors and the last $\rho_{\alpha+1}$; the second matrix on the left has $j(\alpha + 1)$ columns, each of which is the indicated multiple of C_{α} ; the third matrix on the left has $j(\alpha) - 1$ rows of zeros, followed by a row which is the indicated linear combination of $R_1, \ldots, R_{j(\alpha+1)}$. From an examination of the form of $A_{\alpha} D_{\alpha,\alpha+1}$, we see that (21) immediately determines all rows of $D_{\alpha,\alpha+1}$ except the first; and then (20) can be used to determine the first row of $D_{\alpha,\alpha+1}$ in such a manner that (21) is satisfied. All this can be done for $\alpha = 1, 2, \ldots, t - 1$. Hence $D_{12}, D_{23}, \ldots, D_{t-1,t}$ are now constructed.

Now form AD. We find that

Here $E_{\alpha\beta} = A_{\alpha} D_{\alpha\beta}$. Let α be fixed, $1 \leq \alpha \leq t-1$. We now perform the following similarity transformations on AD. If $j(\alpha) = 1$, we do nothing. If $j(\alpha) > 1$, we subtract $\delta_{\alpha}^{-1} v_{\alpha s}$ times column $j(1) + j(2) + \ldots + j(\alpha)$ of AD from column $j(1) + j(2) + \ldots + j(\alpha) + s$, then add $\delta_{\alpha}^{-1} v_{\alpha s}$ times row $j(1) + j(2) + \ldots + j(\alpha) + s$ to row $j(1) + \ldots + j(\alpha)$, for $s = 1, 2, \ldots, j(\alpha + 1)$. Owing to (21) this results in converting the block $A_{\alpha} D_{\alpha,\alpha+1}$ into a block whose last row is $\rho_{\alpha+1}$ and whose other rows are all zero. If $j(\alpha) = 1$, it is already true that $A_{\alpha} D_{\alpha,\alpha+1}$ has $\rho_{\alpha+1}$ for its only row. In addition observe that these similarity transformations leave all diagonal blocks $A_1\Delta_1, \ldots, A_t\Delta_t$ unchanged. The only block in the block diagonal just above and parallel to the main block diagonal that changes is $A_{\alpha} D_{\alpha,\alpha+1}$. Also observe that while certain of the E matrices change, they do so only in the following way. If an E matrix, say E_{pq} , becomes altered, the only alteration is to add to the

elements of E_{pq} certain known linear combinations of elements from matrices which lie in block row p and which are to the left of E_{pq} , or to add to some of the elements of E_{pq} certain known linear combinations of elements from matrices which lie in block column q and which are below E_{pq} .

We now perform the above similarities on AD with $\alpha = t - 1$, then on the result perform the above similarities with $\alpha = t - 2$, then $t - 3, \ldots, 1$. The result of all of this is to find a non-singular W such that

$$WADW^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \Delta_1 & F_{12} & G_{13} & G_{14} & \dots & G_{1t} \\ 0 & A_2 \Delta_2 & F_{23} & G_{24} & \dots & G_{2t} \\ 0 & 0 & A_3 \Delta_3 & F_{34} & \dots & G_{3t} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & A_t \Delta_t \end{bmatrix}.$$

Owing to our construction of $D_{\alpha,\alpha+1}$, we have

$$F_{\alpha,\alpha+1} = \begin{bmatrix} O_{j(\alpha)-1, j(\alpha+1)} \\ \rho_{\alpha+1} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad 1 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant t-1.$$

Now set $G_{13} = 0$, $G_{24} = 0$, ..., $G_{t-2, t} = 0$. Because of the manner in which the *G* matrices arise from the *E* matrices, this amounts to setting

$$A_{\alpha} D_{\alpha,\alpha+2} = T_{\alpha}, \qquad 1 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant t - 2,$$

where the T_{α} are some matrices of known elements. So we may solve for $D_{13}, D_{24}, \ldots, D_{t-2,t}$ such that $G_{13} = 0, G_{24} = 0, \ldots, G_{t-2,t} = 0$. Now set $G_{14} = 0, G_{25} = 0, \ldots, G_{t-3,t} = 0$. By the same kind of argument this amounts to putting $A_{\alpha} D_{\alpha,\alpha+3} = V_{\alpha}, 1 \leq \alpha \leq t-3$, where the V_{α} are certain matrices of known elements. In this manner we construct in succession the block side diagonals of D parallel to the main block diagonal such that all the G matrices are zero. D is now completely specified.

Now, for $\alpha < t$, note that because of the special forms of U_{α} and $F_{\alpha,\alpha+1}$, we have $U_{\alpha} F_{\alpha,\alpha+1} = F_{\alpha,\alpha+1}$. And also observe that since the last row of $F_{\alpha,\alpha+1}$ is the first row of $U_{\alpha+1}$, $F_{\alpha,\alpha+1} U_{\alpha+1}^{-1} = N_{\alpha}$, say, is a matrix consisting entirely of zeros except for its extreme lower left corner element, which is a one. Now put $U = U_1 + U_2 + \ldots + U_t$. Then

$$UWADW^{-1}U^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} C(p_1(\lambda)) & N_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & C(p_2(\lambda)) & N_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & C(p_1(\lambda)) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The proof is now complete since $UWADW^{-1}U^{-1}$ clearly has the required characteristic polynomial and is non-derogatory since the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$

subdeterminant of $\lambda I_n - UWADW^{-1}U^{-1}$ obtained by deleting column 1 and row *n* is a non-zero constant.

The next lemma uses notation explained in (2, pp. 144-145).

LEMMA 6. Let $A = C(p(\lambda)) \in GL(n, K)$, where $K \neq GF(2)$. Let $g_1, g_3 \in K^*$. Then it is possible to choose $g_2, g_4 \in K$ and a vector d with elements in K so that $U \in GL(n, K)$ exists satisfying: (i) the last column of U is e_n^T ; (ii) if $n \ge 2$, $UA\Delta_n(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, d) U^{-1} = C((\lambda - |A|g_1)(\lambda - g_3)(\lambda - 1)^{n-2})$; (iii) if n = 1, $UA\Delta_n(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, d) U^{-1} = C(\lambda - |A|g_1)$; (iv) if $n \ge 3$, $g_2, g_4 \neq 0$; (v) if n = 2 and $g_1 = g_3$, then $g_2 = 0$ if and only if $p(\lambda) = (\lambda - |A|)(\lambda - 1)$.

Proof. This is a specialization of Lemma 2 of (2). The matrix U is the matrix ST whose existence is asserted in (2, Lemma 2). Let $-a_2$ be the coefficient of λ in $p(\lambda)$. When $n \ge 3$ to get g_2 , g_4 we require that the coefficient of λ in $(\lambda - |A|g_1)(\lambda - g_3)(\lambda - 1)^{n-2}$ be

$$(-1)^{n}|A|(g_{2}+g_{1}g_{4}+g_{1}g_{3}(n-3))-a_{2}g_{3}$$

This is a linear equation in two unknowns g_2 , g_4 . Set $g_2 = 1$ and solve for g_4 . If $g_4 = 0$, set instead g_2 equal to any other non-zero value in K. Then solve for g_4 ; it must now turn out that $g_4 \neq 0$. When n = 2 we determine g_2 from $-|A|g_1 - g_3 = |A|g_2 - a_2g_3$. If $g_2 = 0$ and $g_1 = g_3$, we get $a_2 = |A| + 1$. This implies that $p(\lambda) = (\lambda - |A|)(\lambda - 1)$.

LEMMA 7. Let $A = A_1 + A_2 \in SL(n, K)$ where A_i is a $j(i) \times j(i)$ companion matrix and $|A_i| \neq 1$ for i = 1, 2. Let $j(1) \ge 2, j(1) \ge j(2)$. Suppose $\lambda - 1$ is not an elementary divisor of A. Let $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3 \in K^*$ be such that one of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) holds: (i) $1 \neq \delta_2 \neq \delta_1 = \delta_3 \neq 1$; (ii) $\delta_3 = \delta_2 = 1 \neq \delta_1$; (iii) $\delta_1, \delta_2,$ $\delta_3, 1$ are all different; (iv) $\delta_1 = \delta_3 = 1 \neq \delta_2$. Then we may find $D \in GL(n, K)$ such that D and AD are both non-derogatory with characteristic polynomials $(\lambda - \delta_1)(\lambda - \delta_2)(\lambda - \delta_3)(\lambda - 1)^{n-3}, (\lambda - |A_1|\delta_1)(\lambda - |A_2|\delta_2)(\lambda - \delta_3)(\lambda - 1)^{n-3}$ respectively.

Proof. Use Lemma 6 to construct matrices $U_1, \Delta_{j(1)}(\delta_1, g_2, \delta_3, g_4, d) \in GL(j(1), K)$ satisfying (i), (ii), (iv), (v) of Lemma 6. Note that if j(1) = 2 and $\delta_1 = \delta_3$, then $g_2 \neq 0$ since $g_2 = 0$ implies $A_1 = C((\lambda - |A_1|)(\lambda - 1))$, hence $\lambda - 1$ is an elementary divisor of A, contrary to hypothesis. If j(1) = 2, set $g_4 = 1$. Use Lemma 6 to construct matrices

$$U_2, \Delta_{j(2)}(\delta_2, h_2, 1, h_4, d') \in \mathrm{GL}(j(2), K)$$

satisfying the five conditions of Lemma 6. Note that if j(2) = 2 and $\delta_2 = 1$, then $h_2 \neq 0$, since $h_2 = 0$ implies $A_2 = C((\lambda - |A_2|)(\lambda - 1))$, so that $\lambda - 1$ is an elementary divisor of A, contrary to hypothesis. If $j(2) \leq 2$, set $h_4 = 1$, and if j(2) = 1, set $h_2 = 1$. Now put

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{j(1)}(\delta_1, g_2, \delta_3, g_4, d) & D_{12} \\ 0 & \Delta_{j(2)}(\delta_2, h_2, 1, h_4, d') \end{bmatrix}$$

where the last row of D_{12} is $(0, 1, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ if j(2) > 1 and $\delta_2 \neq 1$; otherwise the last row of D_{12} is $(|A_1|^{-1}, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Then the conditions of Lemma 5 are satisfied and hence we may choose the other elements of D_{12} so that AD is non-derogatory and has the required characteristic polynomial. Since D is triangular, it is clear that D has the required characteristic polynomial. It is only necessary to show that D is non-derogatory. This will be accomplished by showing that the greatest common divisor of the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ sub-determinants of $\lambda I_n - D$ is one. Let $D[\alpha|\beta]$ denote the subdeterminant of $\lambda I_n - D$ obtained by deleting row α and column β , and let $D[\alpha|\beta]_{\lambda=1}$ denote this subdeterminant evaluated when $\lambda = 1$. In case (i) consider

$$D[j(1) + 1|j(1) + 1] = (\lambda - \delta_1)^2 (\lambda - 1)^{n-3};$$

 $\begin{array}{l} D[2|1] = -g_2(\lambda - \delta_2)(\lambda - 1)^{n-3} \neq 0; \ D[n|3]_{\lambda=1} = \pm (1 - \delta_1)^2(1 - \delta_2)h_4 \neq 0 \\ \text{(when } j(1) > 2 \ \text{and} \ j(2) > 1), \ D[n - 1|3]_{\lambda=1} = \pm (1 - \delta_1)^2(1 - \delta_2) \neq 0 \\ \text{(when } j(1) > 2 \ \text{and} \ j(2) = 1), \text{ or } D[n|n] = (\lambda - \delta_1)^2(\lambda - \delta_2) \text{ when } n = 4. \text{ In } \\ \text{case (ii) consider } D[1|1] = (\lambda - 1)^{n-1}; \text{ and} \end{array}$

$$D[n|2]_{\lambda=1} = \pm (1 - \delta_1) |A_1|^{-1} g_4 h_2 h_4 \neq 0.$$

In case (iii) consider $D[1|1] = (\lambda - \delta_2)(\lambda - \delta_3)(\lambda - 1)^{n-3}$;

$$D[2|2] = (\lambda - \delta_1) (\lambda - \delta_2) (\lambda - 1)^{n-3};$$

$$D[j(1) + 1|j(1) + 1] = (\lambda - \delta_1) (\lambda - \delta_3) (\lambda - 1)^{n-3};$$

$$D[n|3]_{\lambda=1} = \pm (1 - \delta_1) (1 - \delta_2) (1 - \delta_3) h_4 \neq 0$$

(when j(1) > 2, j(2) > 1), or

$$D[n-1|3]_{\lambda=1} = \pm (1-\delta_1)(1-\delta_2)(1-\delta_3) \neq 0$$

(when j(1) > 2, j(2) = 1), or $D[4|4] = (\lambda - \delta_1)(\lambda - \delta_2)(\lambda - \delta_3)$ (when j(1) = j(2) = 2). In case (iv) consider $D[j(1) + 1|j(1) + 1] = (\lambda - 1)^{n-1}$; $D[n|1]_{\lambda=1} = \pm g_2 g_4 h_4 (1 - \delta_2) \neq 0$ (when j(2) > 1) or

$$D[n-1|1]_{\lambda=1} = \pm g_2 g_4(1-\delta_2) \neq 0$$

(when j(2) = 1). In all four cases we have computed sufficiently many subdeterminants of $\lambda I_n - D$ to show that D is non-derogatory.

The rest of this paper is devoted to finishing the proof of Theorem 1 when K = GF(4) or when K = GF(5). We may, by Lemma 1, assume that $\lambda - 1$ is not an elementary divisor of A. We may also assume that $\tau \neq 1$ since in (1, §§ 5, 6) it was shown how to construct a matrix $D \in SL(n, K)$ possessing a linear elementary divisor $\lambda - \alpha$ with $\alpha \in K$ such that AD is similar to D. Hence (18) and (19) can be satisfied when $\tau = 1$. If A is a companion matrix, the required proof to complete Theorem 1 is supplied by § 3, case 3 above. If $A = A_1 + A_2$ when $A_i \in GL(j(i), K)$ is a companion matrix, i = 1, 2, we may assume that $j(1) \ge j(2)$, by use of the following device.

Since the inverse of a companion matrix is similar to a companion matrix, let B_1, B_2 be companion matrices similar to A_2^{-1}, A_1^{-1} , respectively. Put $B = B_1 + B_2$. If, for A, j(1) < j(2), then, for B, j(1) > j(2), and moreover $(|B_1|, |B_2|) = (|A_1|, |A_2|)$ since $|A_1| |A_2| = 1$. Since B is similar to A^{-1} , if $B = SDS^{-1}D^{-1}$ where S, D have arbitrary prescribed determinant, the same will hold for A also. In general we may suppose $A = A_1 + \ldots + A_m$ where $A_i \in GL(j(i), K)$ is a companion matrix. Thus when m = 2 we may take $j(1) \ge j(2)$. We shall take advantage of the simplifying assumption explained in (1, §§ 5, 6). By rearranging the A_i , we can order the integers $j(1), \ldots, j(m)$ in any manner that is convenient at the moment and by considering A^{-1} instead of A we can eliminate some cases. By virtue of these remarks we need consider only the following possibilities when K = GF(4): $\tau = \theta$ or $\tau = \theta^2; \ m = 2, \ |A_1| = \theta, \ |A_2| = \theta^2, \ j(1) \ge j(2); \ m = 3, \ |A_1| = |A_2| = |A_3| = \theta,$ $j(1) \ge j(2) \ge j(3)$. And when K = GF(5) we need consider only the following possibilities: $\tau = 2, 3, 4; m = 2, |A_1| = 2, |A_2| = 3, j(1) \ge j(2); m = 2,$ $|A_1| = |A_2| = 4, \ j(1) \ge j(2); \ m = 3, \ |A_1| = 2, \ |A_2| = 2, \ |A_3| = 4, \ \text{and}$ j(1), j(2) ordered in any convenient manner;

$$m = 4$$
, $|A_1| = |A_2| = |A_3| = |A_4| = 2$,

and j(1), j(2), j(3), j(4) ordered in any convenient manner.

5. The case K = GF(4). Let K = GF(4) and first suppose m = 2, $|A_1| = \theta$, $|A_2| = \theta^2$, $j(1) \ge j(2)$. Let $\delta_1 = \delta_3 = \theta$, $\delta_2 = \theta^2$. Then by Lemma 7, part 1, we may find non-derogatory $D \in GL(n, GF(4))$ with characteristic polynomial $(\lambda - \theta)^2(\lambda - \theta^2)(\lambda - 1)^{n-3}$ such that AD is non-derogatory and has characteristic polynomial $(\lambda - \theta^2)(\lambda - \theta)^2(\lambda - 1)^{n-3}$. Thus D and ADare similar, D has a linear elementary divisor, and $|D| = \theta$, finishing the case $\tau = \theta$, m = 2. Now let $\delta_1 = \theta^2$, $\delta_2 = \delta_3 = 1$. Then by Lemma 7, part (ii), we may find $D \in GL(n, GF(4))$ such that D and AD are non-derogatory and both have $(\lambda - \theta^2)(\lambda - 1)^{n-1}$ as characteristic polynomial. Since $|D| = \theta^2$ and D has a linear elementary divisor, this completes the case m = 2.

Let m = 3, $|A_1| = |A_2| = |A_3| = \theta$, $j(1) \ge j(2) \ge j(3)$. If j(1) = 1, A is scalar and § 2 supplies the result. So let $j(1) \ge 2$. Let $\mu = 1$ or $-1 \pmod{3}$, to be specified later. Use Lemma 6 to choose

$$U_1, \Delta_{j(1)}(\theta^{\mu}, g_2, \theta^{\mu}, g_4, d) \in GL(j(1), GF(4))$$

with $g_2 \neq 0$ such that

$$U_1 A_1 \Delta_{j(1)} U_1^{-1} = C((\lambda - \theta^{1+\mu})(\lambda - \theta^{\mu})(\lambda - 1)^{j(1)-2}).$$

Use Lemma 6 to choose $U_2, \Delta_{j(2)}(1, h_2, 1, h_4, d') \in GL(j(2), GF(4))$ with $h_2 \neq 0, h_4 \neq 0$ such that $U_2 A_2 \Delta_{j(2)} U_2^{-1} = C((\lambda - \theta)(\lambda - 1)^{j(2)-1})$. Use Lemma 6 to choose $U_3, \Delta_{j(3)}(\theta^{-\mu}, k_2, 1, k_4, d'') \in GL(j(3), GF(4))$ with $k_4 \neq 0$ such that $U_3 A_3 \Delta_{j(3)} U_3^{-1} = C((\lambda - \theta^{1-\mu})(\lambda - 1)^{j(3)-1})$. Put

(22)
$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{j(1)} & D_{12} & D_{13} \\ 0 & \Delta_{j(2)} & D_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & \Delta_{j(3)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here the last row of D_{12} is $(6^2, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and the last row of D_{23} is $(0, 1, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ when $j(3) \ge 2$ and (θ^2) when j(3) = 1. Then by Lemma 5 we may construct the remaining elements of D such that AD is non-derogatory and has $(\lambda - \theta^{\mu})^2(\lambda - \theta^{-\mu})(\lambda - 1)^{n-3}$ as its characteristic polynomial. This is also the characteristic polynomial of D. Now

$$\begin{split} D[2|1] &= -g_2(\lambda - \theta^{-\mu})(\lambda - 1)^{n-3} \neq 0; \\ D[j(1) + j(2) + 1|j(1) + j(2) + 1] &= (\lambda - \theta^{\mu})^2(\lambda - 1)^{n-3}; \end{split}$$

and D[n|3] (when j(3) > 1) or D[n - 1|3] (when j(3) = 1) is a polynomial in λ not vanishing when $\lambda = 1$. Hence D is non-derogatory and has a linear elementary divisor, so that (18) holds with $|S| = \phi$, $|D| = \theta^{\mu}$. By choosing $\mu = 1$ or -1 we get $|D| = \theta$ or θ^2 as required. This completes the case K = GF(4).

6. The case K = GF(5). First let $|A_1| = 2$, $|A_2| = 3$, $j(1) \ge j(2)$. If j(1) = j(2) = 1, then A is similar to $C((\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3))$ which falls into case 1 of § 3. So suppose $j(1) \ge 2$. Let $\delta_1 = \delta_3 = \delta$, $\delta_2 = 2\delta$, where $\delta = 1, 2, \text{ or } 4$. Then by Lemma 7, part (i) or (iv), we may find $D \in GL(n, GF(5))$ such that D and AD are both non-derogatory with characteristic polynomial $(\lambda - \delta)^2(\lambda - 2\delta)(\lambda - 1)^{n-3}$. As D has the linear elementary divisor $\lambda - 2\delta$, we can satisfy (18), (19) with $|D| = 2\delta^3 = 2$, 1, or 3. (This supplies a second proof for the case $\tau = 1$, $|A_1| = 2$, $|A_2| = 3$.) Now set $\delta_1 = 2$, $\delta_2 = 4$, $\delta_3 = 3$. By Lemma 7, part (iii), we get $D \in GL(n, GF(5))$ such that both D and AD are non-derogatory and both have $(\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3)(\lambda - 4)(\lambda - 1)^{n-3}$ as characteristic polynomial. So we can satisfy (18) with $\tau = 4$. This finishes the case $(|A_1|, |A_2|) = (2, 3)$.

Now let $|A_1| = |A_2| = 4$, $j(1) \ge j(2)$. Let j(1) > 1 and let $\delta_1 = \delta_3 = \delta$, $\delta_2 = 4\delta$ where δ is 1, 2, or 3. Then by Lemma 7, part (i) or (iv) we get $D \in GL(n, GF(5))$ with D and AD both non-derogatory and having the same characteristic polynomial $(\lambda - \delta)^2(\lambda - 4\delta)(\lambda - 1)^{n-3}$. Thus again (18), (19) are satisfied, with $\tau = 4\delta^3 = 4$, 2, or 3. This completes the case

$$|A_1| = |A_2| = 4, \quad j(1) > 1, \quad j(1) \ge j(2)$$

If j(1) = j(2) = 1, A is scalar and § 2 supplies the result. This finishes all m = 2 cases.

We now suppose m = 3 and $(|A_1|, |A_2|, |A_3|) = (2, 2, 4)$. Using (1, Lemma 4) construct a standard matrix $D_1 \in \operatorname{GL}(j(1), \operatorname{GF}(5))$ with elementary divisors $\lambda - 2$, $(\lambda - 1)^{j(1)-1}$ such that the elementary divisors of $A_1 D_1$ are $\lambda - 4$, $(\lambda - 1)^{j(1)-1}$. Similarly construct $D_2 \in \operatorname{GL}(j(2), \operatorname{GF}(5))$ with elementary divisors $\lambda - 4$, $(\lambda - 1)^{j(2)-1}$ such that $A_2 D_2$ has elementary divisors $\lambda - 3$, $(\lambda - 1)^{j(2)-1}$. Construct $D_3 \in \operatorname{GL}(j(3), \operatorname{GF}(5))$ with elementary divisors $\lambda - 3$, $(\lambda - 1)^{j(3)-1}$ such that $A_3 D_3$ has elementary divisors $\lambda - 2$, $(\lambda - 1)^{j(3)-1}$. Set $D = D_1 + D_2 + D_3$. Then D and AD have the same

218

elementary divisors, including a linear elementary divisor, and |D| = 4. So (18), (19) can be satisfied when $\tau = 4$.

If not both j(1) = 1, j(2) = 1, we arrange A_1, A_2 so that j(1) > 1. Construct by (1, Lemma 4) a standard matrix $D_1 \in \operatorname{GL}(j(1), K)$ with elementary divisors $\lambda - 2$, $\lambda - 3$, $(\lambda - 1)^{j(1)-2}$ such that A_1, D_1 has elementary divisors $\lambda - 4$, $\lambda - 3$, $(\lambda - 1)^{j(1)-2}$. Similarly construct $D_2 \in \operatorname{GL}(j)(2)$, GF(5)) with elementary divisors $\lambda - 4$, $(\lambda - 1)^{j(2)-1}$ such that the elementary divisors of $A_2 D_2$ are $(\lambda - 3), (\lambda - 1)^{j(2)-1}$. Construct $D_3 \in \operatorname{GL}(j(3), \operatorname{GF}(5))$ with elementary divisors $\lambda - 3$, $(\lambda - 1)^{j(2)-1}$. Such that $A_3 D_3$ has elementary divisors $\lambda - 2$, $(\lambda - 1)^{j(3)-1}$. Set $D = D_1 + D_2 + D_3$. Then D and AD are similar and |D| = 2. So (18), (19) are satisfied with $\tau = 2$. However, this computation fails when j(1) = j(2) = 1. If also j(3) = 1, then A is similar to $C(\lambda - 2) + C((\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 4))$, which falls under the already treated case m = 2. So let $j(1) = j(2) = 1 \neq j(3)$. Use Lemma 6 to construct $U_3, \Delta_{j(3)}(3, g_2, 3, g_4, d) \in \operatorname{GL}(j(3), \operatorname{GF}(5))$ with $g_2 \neq 0$, such that

$$U_3 A_3 \Delta_{j(3)} U_3^{-1} = C((\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3)(\lambda - 1)^{j(3)-2}).$$

Set $U_1 = U_2 = I_1$, and set

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & D_{13} \\ 0 & 4 & D_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & \Delta_{j(3)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here $D_{23} = 3$ (top row of U_3). Use Lemma 5 to construct D_{13} such that AD is non-derogatory with $(\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 4)(\lambda - 3)^2(\lambda - 1)^{n-4}$ as characteristic polynomial. This is also the characteristic polynomial of D. Moreover D is non-derogatory since 2, 4 are simple eigenvalues of D,

$$D[4|3] = -g_2(\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 4)(\lambda - 1)^{n-4} \neq 0,$$

and $D[n|5]_{\lambda=1} \neq 0$ (when n > 5). Then, in the usual way, D and AD are similar and |D| = 2. This shows that (18), (19) can always be solved with $\tau = 2$.

If not both j(1) = 1, j(2) = 1, let j(2) > 1. Use Lemma 6 to construct $U_1, \Delta_{j(1)}(2, g_2, 1, g_4, d) \in GL(j(1), GF(5))$ with $g_4 \neq 0$ such that

$$U_1 A_1 \Delta_{j(1)} U_1^{-1} = C((\lambda - 4)(\lambda - 1)^{j(1)-1}).$$

Use Lemma 6 to construct U_2 , $\Delta_{j(2)}(1, h_2, 1, h_4, d') \in \operatorname{GL}(j(2), \operatorname{GF}(5))$ with $h_2 \neq 0$, $h_4 \neq 0$ such that $U_2 A_2 \Delta_{j(2)} U_2^{-1} = C((\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 1)^{j(2)-1})$. Use Lemma 6 to construct U_3 , $\Delta_{j(3)}(4, k_2, 1, k_4, d'') \in \operatorname{GL}(j(3), \operatorname{GF}(5))$ with $k_4 \neq 0$ such that $U_3 A_3 \Delta_{j(3)} U_3^{-1} = C((\lambda - 1)^{j(3)})$. Define D by (22). We let the last row of D_{12} be $(1, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ if j(1) > 1, and 3 (first row of U_2) if j(1) = 1. We let the last row of D_{23} be $(0, 1, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ if j(3) > 1, and (1) if j(3) = 1. We use Lemma 5 to construct the remaining elements of D so that AD is non-derogatory with characteristic polynomial $(\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 4) \times (\lambda - 1)^{n-2}$. This is also the characteristic polynomial of D. Since 2, 4 are

simple eigenvalues of D, and $D[n - 1|2]_{\lambda=1} \neq 0$ (if j(3) = 1) or $D[n|2]_{\lambda=1} \neq 0$ (if j(3) > 1), it follows that D is non-derogatory also. Thus AD is similar to D and as D has a linear elementary divisor and |D| = 3, we can satisfy (18), (19) when $\tau = 3$. However, this computation fails if j(1) = j(2) = 1. Assume j(1) = j(2) = 1 and let $A_3 = C(p(\lambda))$. If $p(2) \neq 0$, then A is similar to $C(\lambda - 2) + C((\lambda - 2)p(\lambda))$, already treated under case m = 2. Let p(2) = 0 (hence j(3) > 1). If j(3) = 2, then $A = 2I_2 + C((\lambda - 2)^2)$, which has already been handled in § 3, case 3. So let j(3) > 2. Use (2, Lemma 2) to construct U_3 , $\Delta_{j(3)}(2, g_2, 2, g_4, d) \in GL(j(3), GF(5))$ with $g_2 \neq 0$, such that $U_3 A_3 \Delta_{j(3)} U_3^{-1} = C((\lambda - 2)^2(\lambda - 4)(\lambda - 1)^{j(3)-3})$. (U_3 is the matrix ST of (2, Lemma 2).) Then set

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 & D_{13} \\ 0 & 3 & D_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & \Delta_{j(3)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here $D_{23} = 3$ (first row of U_3). Then by Lemma 5 we may construct D_{13} so that AD is non-derogatory with $(\lambda - 2)^2(\lambda - 4)(\lambda - 3)(\lambda - 1)^{n-4}$ as characteristic polynomial. This is also the characteristic polynomial of D. Moreover, D is non-derogatory since 4, 3 are simple eigenvalues,

$$D[4|3] = -g_2(\lambda - 4)(\lambda - 3)(\lambda - 1)^{n-4} \neq 0,$$

and $D[n|5]_{\lambda=1} \neq 0$ (when n > 5). Since |D| = 3, we have now solved (18), (19) when $\tau = 3$. This completely finishes all m = 3 cases.

Now let m = 4 and $|A_1| = |A_2| = |A_3| = |A_4| = 2$. As in (1, § 5), we need only find an element $\delta_1 \in GF(5)^*$ and integers e(i) satisfying

$$0 \leqslant e(i) \leqslant j(i) - 1$$

such that

(23)
$$\delta_1^n 3^{2(1+j(1)+j(4))-e(1)+e(2)+3e(3)+e(4)} = \tau.$$

If some j(i) is ≥ 4 , let $j(2) \geq 4$. Set $\delta_1 = 1$, e(1) = e(3) = e(4) = 0, e(2) = 0, 1, 2, 3 so as to satisfy (23). Now suppose all j(i) are ≤ 3 . Suppose j(2) = 3 and $j(4) \geq 2$. Then put $\delta_1 = 1$, e(1) = e(3) = 0, e(2) = 0, 1, or 2, and e(4) = 0 or 1 so that e(2) + e(4) = 0, 1, 2, or 3 as necessary to satisfy (23). Now suppose j(2) = 3 and j(1) = j(3) = j(4) = 1. Hence n = 6 and the left member of (23) becomes $\delta_1^{23^{2+e(2)}}$. If $\tau = 1$, take $\delta_1 = 1$, e(2) = 2. If $\tau = 2$, take $\delta_1 = 1$, e(2) = 1. If $\tau = 3$, take $\delta_1 = 3$, e(2) = 1. If $\tau = 4$, take $\delta_1 = 1$, e(2) = 0. Hence we may assume each $j(i) \leq 2$. If there exist at least three j(i) not one, let j(2) = j(3) = j(4) = 2. Set $\delta_1 = 1$ and take e(2), e(3), e(4) to be 0 or 1 so that $e(2) + 3e(3) + e(4) \equiv 0$, 1, 2, or 3 (mod 4) as required to satisfy (23). If exactly two j(i) are two and exactly two are one, let j(1) = j(2) = 2, j(3) = j(4) = 1. Then n = 6 and (23) becomes $\delta_1^{23^{2+e(1)+e(2)}} = \tau$. If $\tau = 1$, take $\delta_1 = 1$, e(1) = e(2) = 0. If $\tau = 2$, take $\delta_1 = 1$, e(1) = 1, e(2) = 1. If $\tau = 3$, take $\delta_1 = 1$, e(1) = 0, e(2) = 1. If $\tau = 4$, take $\delta_1 = 1$, e(1) = e(2) = 0. If $\tau = 2$, take $\delta_1 = 1$, e(1) = 1, e(2) = 0. If $\tau = 3$, take $\delta_1 = 1$, e(1) = 0, e(2) = 1. If $\tau = 4$, take $\delta_1 = 2$, e(1) = e(2) = 0. Now suppose j(1) = j(2) = j(3) = 1, e(2) = 0. Now suppose j(1) = j(2) = j(3) = 1.

j(4) = 2. Then n = 5 and if we put e(4) = 0 and $\delta_1 = \tau$, (23) will be satisfied. Finally if j(1) = j(2) = j(3) = j(4) = 1, then A is scalar and this case was handled in § 2. This completes the m = 4 case and the proof of Theorem 1.

References

- 1. R. C. Thompson, Commutators in the special and general linear groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 101 (1961), 16-33.
- On matrix commutators, Portugal. Math., 21 (1962), 143–153.
 Commutators of matrices with coefficients from the field of two elements, Duke Math. J., 29 (1962), 367-374.

University of California, Santa Barbara