

FINITE p -GROUPS WITH NORMAL NORMALISERS

ELIZABETH A. ORMEROD AND GEMMA PARMEGGIANI

We consider the class N of groups in which the normaliser of every subgroup is normal, and the class C of groups in which the commutator subgroup normalises every subgroup. It is clear that $C \subseteq N$, and it is known that groups in the class N are nilpotent of class at most 3. We show that every finite p -group in N is also in C , provided that $p \geq 5$, and we give an example showing that this is not true for $p = 2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the class N of groups in which the normaliser of every subgroup is normal, and the class C of groups in which the commutator subgroup normalises every subgroup. Clearly $C \subseteq N$.

By a result of Heineken [3] and Mahdavianary [5], groups in the class N are nilpotent with nilpotency class at most 3.

In this paper we prove:

THEOREM. *If $p \geq 5$ and P is a finite p -group in the class N , then P is in the class C .*

For 2-generators groups this result was obtained by Hobby [2], and Mahdavianary [6] proved a corresponding result for finite 2-generator 3-groups. Moreover Parmeggiani proved in [7] that for $p \geq 3$ finite p -groups in N are also in C , if they have exponent at most p^2 . In that paper she also gave an example of a p -group of odd order not in C which she erroneously claimed to be in N .

Bryce and Cossey in [1] gave an example of a 2-group in N but not in C when they found a minimal 2-group of Wielandt length 2 that is not in C .

We recall that the Wielandt subgroup of a finite p -group is the intersection of the normalisers of all the subgroups of the group. Hence a finite p -group of Wielandt length 2 is a finite p -group in which the quotient over the Wielandt subgroup is a group with all subgroups normal. Clearly p -groups in C have Wielandt length 2 and finite

Received 25th August, 2003

The first author acknowledges the hospitality and support of the University of Padova. The second author was supported by a Faculties Research Grant, ANU. She also acknowledges the hospitality of the Australian National University.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/04 \$A2.00+0.00.

p -groups of Wielandt length 2 are in N . Moreover for p odd a finite p -group has Wielandt length 2 if and only if it is in C .

In this paper we give an example of a family of 2-groups in N that do not have Wielandt length 2.

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

We recall that if G is a nilpotent group of class at most 3 and $x, x_1, \dots, x_n, y, y_1, \dots, y_m, z, z_1, \dots, z_s \in G$ then

$$\left[\prod_{i=1}^n x_i, \prod_{j=1}^m y_j, \prod_{k=1}^s z_k \right] = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m \prod_{k=1}^s [x_i, y_j, z_k]$$

and

$$[x, y, z][y, z, x][z, x, y] = 1 \quad (\text{Jacobi identity}).$$

It is well known (see for example Huppert [4, Chapter III, 10.2 (a) and 10.6 (a)]) that p -groups with nilpotency class less than p are regular, and that if P is a finite regular p -group and $a, b \in P$ then

$$(*) \quad (ab^{-1})^{p^k} = 1 \iff a^{p^k} = b^{p^k}$$

For regular p -groups the following Lemma holds:

LEMMA 2.1. *Let P be a regular p -group and $a, b \in P$. Then*

- (i) $(ab)^{|a|} = b^{|a|} = (ba)^{|a|}$.
- (ii) If $\langle a \rangle \cap \langle b \rangle = \langle a^{p^t} \rangle = \langle b^{p^t} \rangle \neq 1$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ not divisible by p is such that $a^{p^\alpha} = (b^{p^t})^\alpha$, then $|ab^{-\alpha}| = p^t$ and $\langle ab^{-\alpha} \rangle \cap \langle a \rangle = 1 = \langle ab^{-\alpha} \rangle \cap \langle b \rangle$.
- (iii) Assume $K \leq P$ with $|K| = p$, $K \not\leq \langle a \rangle$ and $K \not\leq \langle b \rangle$. Then either $K \not\leq \langle ab \rangle$ or $K \not\leq \langle ab^2 \rangle$.

PROOF: (i) and (ii) are direct consequences of (*).

To prove (iii), assume that K is a subgroup of P of order p with $K \leq \langle ab \rangle \cap \langle ab^2 \rangle$, $K \not\leq \langle a \rangle$ and $K \not\leq \langle b \rangle$. Then from (i) it follows that

$$p^n := |a| = |b| = |ab| = |ab^2|.$$

Since $K \leq Z(\langle ab, ab^2 \rangle) = Z(\langle a, b \rangle)$, then $(ab)^{p^{n-1}}, (ab^2)^{p^{n-1}} \in Z(\langle a, b \rangle)$, and so

$$(ab^2)^{p^{n-1}} = (abb)^{p^{n-1}} = (ab)^{p^{n-1}} b^{p^{n-1}},$$

a contradiction to $b^{p^{n-1}} \notin K$. □

In particular the property (*) and Lemma 2.1 hold for finite p -groups in N if $p \geq 5$.

The proof of the Theorem is based on the following Lemma:

LEMMA 2.2. *Let P be a finite p -group in N and $A_0 \leq A \leq P$ such that $|A/\Phi(A)| = p^2$ and $\Phi(A) \leq A_0$. Then $[P, N_P(A), A_0] \leq A_0$. In particular, $[z, u, w] \in \langle w \rangle$ for every $z, u, w \in P$ such that $[w, u, u] = 1$ and $[w, u]^p = 1$.*

PROOF: Let $U := N_P(A)$ and $\bar{A} := A/\Phi(A)$. Choose $z \in P$ and $u \in U$. It suffices to show that $[z, u] \in N_P(A_0)$.

Note that $[z, u] \in U$ since $P \in N$. If $u \in C_U(\bar{A})$ or $[z, u] \in C_U(\bar{A})$, then $u \in N_P(A_0)$ or $[z, u] \in N_P(A_0)$, respectively. Thus we may assume that both u and $[z, u]$ are not in $C_U(\bar{A})$. As \bar{A} has order p^2 , we get that $|U/C_U(\bar{A})| = p$ and

$$U = \langle u \rangle C_U(\bar{A}) = \langle [z, u] \rangle C_U(\bar{A}).$$

Hence there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $[z, u]^k u \in C_U(\bar{A})$ and thus

$$[z, u]^k u \in N_U(A_0).$$

Since $N_U(A_0)$ is normal in P we conclude that $[[z, u]^k u, z] \in N_U(A_0)$. On the other hand, since P has class at most 3, $[[z, u]^k, z] \in N_U(A_0)$. It follows that $[z, u] \in N_P(A_0)$.

In particular, if $w, u \in P$ are such that $[w, u, u] = 1$ and $[w, u]^p = 1$, we set $A = \langle w, [w, u] \rangle$ and $A_0 = \langle w \rangle$. Since $P \in N$, then $[w, u, w] \in \langle w^p \rangle$, hence $\Phi(A) = \langle w^p \rangle \leq A_0$ and $u \in N_P(A)$. Thus $[z, u, w] \in [P, N_P(A), A_0] \leq A_0 = \langle w \rangle$ for every $z \in P$. □

We can now prove the Theorem.

THEOREM. *Let P be a finite p -group in N with $p \geq 5$. Then $P \in C$.*

PROOF: Let P be a minimal counterexample. Then

$$S := \{s \in P \mid P' \not\leq N_P(\langle s \rangle)\}$$

is not empty. Let $s \in S$.

If K is a minimal normal subgroup of P , then the minimality of P yields $[P', \langle s \rangle] \leq K\langle s \rangle$, in particular $K \not\leq \langle s \rangle$. Assume there exist two distinct minimal normal subgroups of P , K_1 and K_2 . Then again the minimality of P yields

$$[P', \langle s \rangle] \leq K_1\langle s \rangle \cap K_2\langle s \rangle = \langle s \rangle(K_1 \cap K_2\langle s \rangle),$$

and $|K_1| = p$ gives $K_1 \leq K_2\langle s \rangle$ and so $K_1\langle s \rangle = K_2\langle s \rangle$. Since K_1K_2 is a subgroup of $Z(P)$ of order p^2 , then

$$1 \neq (K_1K_2) \cap \langle s \rangle \leq Z(P) \cap \langle s \rangle,$$

a contradiction. Hence P has a unique minimal normal subgroup K . Since P has nilpotency class 3, $K = \Omega_1(\gamma_3(P))$. Moreover $K \not\leq \langle d \rangle$ for every $d \in \mathcal{S}$.

Since 2-generators groups in the class N are in the class C , then $[P, \langle d \rangle, \langle d \rangle] \leq \gamma_3(P) \cap \langle d \rangle$ for every $d \in P$. Therefore

(1) $[P, \langle d \rangle, \langle d \rangle] = 1$ for every $d \in P$ such that $K \not\leq \langle d \rangle$ (in particular for every $d \in \mathcal{S}$).

For every $s \in \mathcal{S}$ we set

$$\mathcal{L}(s) := \{ (h, g) \in P \times P \mid [h, g] \notin N_P(\langle s \rangle) \}.$$

Note that the minimality of P gives

(2) $K = \langle [h, g, s] \rangle$ for every $s \in \mathcal{S}$ and every $(h, g) \in \mathcal{L}(s)$.

We now show:

(3) $[z, h, g][z, g, h] = 1$ for every $z, g, h \in P$ with $K \not\leq \langle h \rangle$ and $K \not\leq \langle g \rangle$.

From (1) and Lemma 2.2 (iii) it follows that there exists $\lambda \in \{1, 2\}$ such that

$$1 = [z, hg^\lambda, hg^\lambda] = [z, h, h][z, h, g]^\lambda [z, g, h]^\lambda [z, g, g]^{\lambda^2} = ([z, h, g][z, g, h])^\lambda,$$

thus (3) follows from $p \neq 2$.

From (3) and the Jacobi identity we get:

(4) $[h, g, z] = [h, z, g]^2$ for every $h, g, z \in P$ with $K \not\leq \langle h \rangle$ and $K \not\leq \langle g \rangle$.

Next we prove:

(5) $K \leq \langle \tilde{h} \rangle$ or $K \leq \langle \tilde{g} \rangle$ for every $(\tilde{h}, \tilde{g}) \in \mathcal{L}(\tilde{s})$, where $\tilde{s} \in \mathcal{S}$.

Assume $K \not\leq \langle \tilde{h} \rangle$ and $K \not\leq \langle \tilde{g} \rangle$. Since $K \not\leq \langle \tilde{s} \rangle$, an application of (4) with $h = \tilde{h}$, $g = \tilde{g}$ and $z = \tilde{s}$ gives $[\tilde{h}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{s}] = [\tilde{h}, \tilde{s}, \tilde{g}]^2$, and another application of (4) with $h = \tilde{h}$, $g = \tilde{s}$ and $z = \tilde{g}$ gives $[\tilde{h}, \tilde{s}, \tilde{g}] = [\tilde{h}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{s}]^2$. Hence $[\tilde{h}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{s}] = [\tilde{h}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{s}]^4$ and $p \neq 3$ yields $[\tilde{h}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{s}] = 1$, a contradiction to $\tilde{s} \in \mathcal{S}$ and $(\tilde{h}, \tilde{g}) \in \mathcal{L}(\tilde{s})$. This proves (5).

For every $s \in \mathcal{S}$ we set

$$\mathcal{L}^*(s) := \{ (h, g) \in \mathcal{L}(s) \mid K \leq \langle h \rangle \cap \langle g \rangle \}.$$

Since $(h, g) \in \mathcal{L}(s)$ implies $(h, hg), (hg, g) \in \mathcal{L}(s)$, then (5) gives that $\mathcal{L}^*(s)$ is not empty.

Among the elements in \mathcal{S} we choose c of maximal order, and among the elements in $\mathcal{L}^*(c)$ we choose (x, y) such that $|x||y|$ is maximal.

We now want to show:

(6) $|c| < |x| = |y|$.

If $|x| < |y|$, then $|xy||y| > |x||y|$ and Lemma 2.1 (i) gives $(xy, y) \in \mathcal{L}^*(c)$, a contradiction to the choice of (x, y) . Interchanging x and y we obtain that $|x| = |y|$.

Assume $|c| \geq |x| = |y|$. Then from $K \not\leq \langle c \rangle$ and Lemma 2.1 (i) in the case $|x| = |y| < |c|$, and from $K \not\leq \langle c \rangle$, $K \leq \langle x \rangle \cap \langle y \rangle$ and (*) in the case $|x| = |y| = |c|$, it follows that $K \not\leq \langle cx \rangle$ and $K \not\leq \langle cy \rangle$. But (1) implies $(cx, cy) \in \mathcal{L}(c)$, a contradiction to (5). Thus (6) is proved.

Set

$$p^n := |x| = |y| \quad \text{and} \quad p^m := |c|.$$

If $[c, x]^{p^{m-1}} = 1 = [c, y]^{p^{m-1}}$, by (1) we get $[c^{p^{m-1}}, x] = 1 = [c^{p^{m-1}}, y]$. Hence $c^{p^{m-1}} \in Z(\langle c, x, y \rangle) = Z(P)$, a contradiction to $K \not\leq \langle c \rangle$. Thus there exists $a \in \{x, y\}$ such that

$$|[c, a]| = p^m.$$

Let b satisfy $\{a, b\} = \{x, y\}$.

Choose d so that $(a, d) \in \mathcal{L}(c)$, $K \not\leq \langle d \rangle$ and d has minimal order with respect to these properties. This is always possible since by Lemma 2.1 (ii) there exists an integer α such that $\langle a \rangle \cap \langle a^\alpha b \rangle = 1$, and $[a, a^\alpha b, c] = [a, b, c]$.

Set

$$p^k := |d|, \quad p^f := |[c, d]| \quad \text{and} \quad p^r := |\gamma_3(P)|.$$

Note that $f \leq k \leq m$ and that the minimality of P gives $P = \langle a, c, d \rangle$.

Next we show:

$$(7) \quad f \geq 2.$$

Assume $[c, d]^p = 1$. Set $w = c$, $u = d$ and $z = a$. Then $[w, u, u] = 1$, $[w, u]^p = [c, d]^p = 1$ and $[z, u, w] = [d, a, c]^{-1} \neq 1$. Since by (2) $K = \langle [d, a, c] \rangle \not\leq \langle w \rangle = \langle c \rangle$, Lemma 2.2 leads to a contradiction. This proves (7).

Next we prove:

$$(8) \quad \{c^\alpha d^\beta, d^\beta c^\alpha \mid \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } p \text{ does not divide both } \alpha \text{ and } \beta\} \subseteq S.$$

Since $[a, c, c^\alpha d^\beta] = [a, c, d]^\beta = [a, c, d^\beta c^\alpha]$ and $[a, d, c^\alpha d^\beta] = [a, d, c]^\alpha = [a, d, d^\beta c^\alpha]$, from $(a, d) \in \mathcal{L}(c)$ and $(a, c) \in \mathcal{L}(d)$ it follows that to prove (8) it is sufficient to prove that $K \not\leq \langle c^\alpha d^\beta \rangle$ and $K \not\leq \langle d^\beta c^\alpha \rangle$ if p does not divide both α and β .

Assume $K \leq \langle c^\alpha d^\beta \rangle$ and set $h := c^\alpha d^\beta$ and $p^s := |h|$. From $K \not\leq \langle c \rangle$, $K \not\leq \langle d \rangle$ and Lemma 2.1 (i) we get that $|d^\beta| = |c^\alpha|$, and $|h| = p^s \leq p^k$.

If α is not divisible by p , then $|c^\alpha| = |d^\beta|$ gives that also β is not divisible by p .

Choose t minimal so that

$$\langle h \rangle \cap \langle a \rangle = \langle h^{p^t} \rangle = \langle a^{p^{n-s+t}} \rangle.$$

Since $h^{p^t} \neq 1$, then $t < s$. Let $\delta \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $h^{p^t} = a^{p^{n-s+t}\delta}$ and set $d' := ha^{-p^{n-s}\delta}$. An application of Lemma 2.1 (ii) yields $|d'| = p^t$ and $\langle a^{p^{n-s}} \rangle \cap \langle d' \rangle = 1$. In particular $K \not\leq \langle d' \rangle$. Now β not divisible by p gives

$$[a, d', c] = [a, h, c] = [a, d, c]^\beta \neq 1,$$

and $t < s \leq k$ contradicts the choice of d . In a similar way one gets that $K \not\leq \langle d^\beta c^\alpha \rangle$, and the proof of (8) is complete.

For the next step, we apply Lemma 2.2 to prove

$$(9) \quad \{[c, a, a], [d, a, a]\} \neq \{1\}.$$

Assume $[c, a, a] = 1 = [d, a, a]$. Then by (2) $[d, a]^p, [c, a]^p \in Z(P)$. Hence $|[c, a]| = p^m \geq |[d, a]|$ gives that there exists $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $[d, a]^p = [c, a]^{p\mu}$. Set $w = c^{-\mu}d$, $u = a$ and $z = c$. Then $[w, u, u] = 1$, $[w, u]^p = [c, a]^{-p\mu}[d, a]^p = 1$ and $[z, u, w] = [c, a, d] \neq 1$. From (8) we have that $c^{-\mu}d \in \mathcal{S}$, hence $K \not\leq \langle w \rangle = \langle c^{-\mu}d \rangle$. Now, as in (7), Lemma 2.2 gives a contradiction, and (9) is proved.

Set

$$\bar{c} := \begin{cases} c & \text{if } |[d, a, a]| \leq |[c, a, a]| \\ dc & \text{if } [c, a, a] = [d, a, a]^{p\lambda} \text{ and } [dc, a]^{p^{m-1}} \neq 1 \\ dc^2 & \text{if } [c, a, a] = [d, a, a]^{p\lambda} \text{ and } [dc, a]^{p^{m-1}} = 1 \end{cases}$$

By the choice of \bar{c} we have $P = \langle a, \bar{c}, d \rangle$ and:

$$\langle [d, a, a] \rangle \leq \langle [\bar{c}, a, a] \rangle.$$

From (8) it follows that $\bar{c} \in \mathcal{S}$ and from (1) that $(a, d) \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{c})$.

Assume $[\bar{c}, a, a] = 1$. Then $[c, a, a] = 1 = [d, a, a]$, a contradiction to (9). Thus

$$(10) \quad \gamma_3(P) = \langle [\bar{c}, a, a] \rangle.$$

Let $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $[d, a, a] = [\bar{c}, a, a]^{-\tau}$, and set

$$\bar{d} := d\bar{c}^\tau.$$

From (8) we get that $\bar{d} \in \mathcal{S}$ and $[a, \bar{c}, \bar{d}] = [a, \bar{c}, d] = [a, c, d] \neq 1$. Hence $(a, \bar{c}) \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{d})$ and $P = \langle a, \bar{c}, \bar{d} \rangle$. From the choice of \bar{d} it also follows:

$$(11) \quad [\bar{d}, a, a] = 1.$$

Note that (1) implies that there exists $\varepsilon \in \{1, 2\}$ such that

$$[\bar{c}, \bar{d}] = [c, d]^\varepsilon.$$

Assume $[\bar{c}, a]^{p^{m-1}} = 1$. Then, by the choice of \bar{c} , we have $\bar{c} = dc^2$ and $[dc, a]^{p^{m-1}} = 1$. Since $[\bar{c}, a]^{p^{m-1}} = [dc, a]^{p^{m-1}}[c, a]^{p^{m-1}} = 1$, also $[c, a]^{p^{m-1}} = 1$, a contradiction.

Together with $|\bar{c}| \leq |c| = p^m$, this gives

$$|[\bar{c}, a]| = p^m, \quad \text{and so} \quad |\bar{c}| = |c| = p^m.$$

Note that (1), (2), (4) and $(a, c) \in \mathcal{L}(d)$ give:

$$(12) \quad [\bar{d}, a]^p, [\bar{c}, \bar{d}]^p \in Z(P).$$

The rest of the proof consists in four applications of Lemma 2.2. First we prove:

$$(13) \quad \text{There exists } \mu \in \mathbb{N} \text{ not divisible by } p \text{ such that } [\bar{d}, a]^{p\mu} = [\bar{c}, \bar{d}]^p.$$

By (12), to prove (13) it is sufficient to show that $||[\bar{d}, a]|| = ||[\bar{c}, \bar{d}]||$.

Assume $||[\bar{d}, a]|| \neq ||[\bar{c}, \bar{d}]||$. Then there exist $\lambda, \tau \in \mathbb{N}$ such that exactly one of them is divisible by p and $[\bar{d}, a]^{p\lambda} = [\bar{c}, \bar{d}]^{p\tau}$. Set $w = \bar{d}$, $u = a^\lambda \bar{c}^\tau$ and $z = \bar{c}a$. From (2), (3), (4) and (11) one gets

$$\begin{aligned} [w, u, u] &= [\bar{d}, a, \bar{c}]^{3\lambda\tau} = 1, \\ [w, u]^p &= [\bar{c}, \bar{d}]^{-p\tau} [\bar{d}, a]^{p\lambda} = 1, \\ [z, u, w] &= [\bar{c}, a, \bar{d}]^{\lambda-\tau} \neq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence Lemma 2.2 yields a contradiction, since $K \not\leq \langle \bar{d} \rangle = \langle w \rangle$, and the proof of (13) is complete.

Next we prove:

$$(14) \quad \text{Either } m - f < r - 1, \text{ or } r = 1 \text{ and } m = f.$$

Assume $m - f \geq r - 1$. Since $[\bar{c}, a]^{p^r} \in Z(P)$ there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ not divisible by p such that

$$[\bar{c}, \bar{d}]^p = [\bar{c}, a]^{p^{m-f+1}\lambda}.$$

Set $w = \bar{c}$, $u = a^{p^{m-f}\lambda} \bar{d}^{-1}$ and $z = a$. Then a direct calculation together with (3), (4), (7) and (12) give

$$\begin{aligned} [w, u, u] &= [\bar{c}, a, a]^{p^{2(m-f)\lambda^2}} [\bar{d}, a, \bar{c}]^{3p^{m-f}\lambda}, \\ [w, u]^p &= [\bar{c}, \bar{d}]^{-p} [\bar{c}, a]^{p^{m-f+1}\lambda} = 1, \\ [z, u, w] &= [\bar{d}, a, \bar{c}] \neq 1. \end{aligned}$$

If $[w, u, u] = 1$ Lemma 2.2 yields a contradiction since $K \not\leq \langle \bar{c} \rangle = \langle w \rangle$. Thus $[w, u, u] \neq 1$.

Assume $m > f$. Then from $[\bar{c}, a, a]^{p^{2(m-f)\lambda^2}} \neq 1$ it follows $2(r - 1) \leq 2(m - f) < r$, a contradiction to $m > f$. We have shown that $m = f$, and $[\bar{c}, a, a]^\lambda [\bar{d}, a, \bar{c}]^3 = 1$ gives also $r = 1$. This completes the proof of (14). We can now prove:

$$(15) \quad r = 1 \text{ and } m = f.$$

Assume not. Then by (14) $m - f < r - 1$, hence $f - m + r > 1$.

Since $[\bar{c}, a]^{p^r} \in Z(P)$ there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ not divisible by p such that

$$[\bar{c}, a]^{p^r} = [\bar{c}, \bar{d}]^{p^{f-m+r}\lambda}.$$

Moreover from (10) and (13) there exist $\tau, \mu \in \mathbb{N}$ not divisible by p such that

$$[\bar{c}, a, \bar{d}] = [\bar{c}, a, a]^{p^{r-1}\tau} \text{ and } [\bar{d}, a]^{p\mu} = [\bar{c}, \bar{d}]^p.$$

Set $s = \mu(p^{f-1} - 3p^{f-m+r-1}\lambda\tau + 1)$ and note that s is not divisible by p .

Set $w = \bar{c}^{3p^{r-1}\tau\bar{d}^s}$, $u = a^s\bar{c}$ and $z = \bar{c}$. From (3) and (11)

$$\begin{aligned} [w, u, u] &= [\bar{c}, a, a]^{3p^{r-1}\tau s^2} [\bar{d}, a, \bar{c}]^{3s^2} = ([c, a, d][d, a, c])^{3s^2} = 1, \\ [w, u]^p &= ([\bar{c}, \bar{d}]^{-p} [\bar{c}, a]^{3p^r\tau} [\bar{d}, a]^{ps})^s \\ &= ([\bar{c}, \bar{d}]^{-p} [\bar{c}, \bar{d}]^{3p^{f-m+r}\lambda\tau} [\bar{c}, \bar{d}]^{p(p^{f-1}-3p^{f-m+r-1}\lambda\tau+1)})^s = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and $[z, u, w] = [\bar{d}, a, \bar{c}]^{-s^2} \neq 1$, since s is not divisible by p . Now Lemma 2.2 gives a contradiction, since $K \not\leq \langle \bar{c}^{3p^{r-1}\tau\bar{d}^s} \rangle$ by (8), and (15) is proved.

We now obtain the final contradiction.

By (15) $r = 1$, hence $[\bar{c}, a]^p \in Z(P)$ and from (10) we get that there exists $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$ not divisible by p such that $[\bar{c}, a, \bar{d}] = [\bar{c}, a, a]^\tau$. Since $[\bar{c}, \bar{d}]^p \in Z(P)$ by (12), and $f = m$ by (15), then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ not divisible by p such that

$$[\bar{c}, \bar{d}]^p = [\bar{c}, a]^{p\lambda}.$$

Moreover from (13) we get $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ not divisible by p such that

$$[\bar{c}, \bar{d}]^p = [\bar{d}, a]^{p\mu}.$$

Set $w = \bar{c}^{3\tau\lambda}\bar{d}^{\mu(\lambda-3\tau)}$, $u = a^{3\tau}\bar{d}^{-1}$ and $z = a$. Using (3) and (11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} [w, u, u] &= ([\bar{c}, a, a]^\tau [\bar{c}, a, \bar{d}]^{-1})^{27\tau^2\lambda} = 1, \\ [w, u]^p &= ([\bar{c}, \bar{d}]^{-p\lambda} [\bar{c}, a]^{p3\tau\lambda} [\bar{d}, a]^{p\mu(\lambda-3\tau)})^{3\tau} \\ &= ([\bar{c}, \bar{d}]^{-p\lambda} [\bar{c}, \bar{d}]^{p3\tau} [\bar{c}, \bar{d}]^{p(\lambda-3\tau)})^{3\tau} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and $[z, u, w] = [\bar{d}, a, \bar{c}]^{3\tau\lambda} \neq 1$ since τ and λ are not divisible by p .

Now Lemma 2.2 gives a contradiction, since $K \not\leq \langle \bar{c}^{3\tau\lambda}\bar{d}^{\mu(\lambda-3\tau)} \rangle$ by (8).

The proof of the Theorem is now complete. □

3. A FAMILY OF 2-GROUPS

We now give an example of a family of 2-groups in N that do not have Wielandt length 2.

For $r \geq 2$ let $H(r)$ be the group on generators a, b, c with the following relations:

$$a^{2^r} = b^{2^r} = c^{2^r}, \quad a^{2^{r+1}} = 1,$$

$$[b, a, a] = [b, a, b] = [c, a, a] = [c, a, c] = [c, b, b] = [c, b, c] = a^{2^r} = [b, a]^{2^{r-1}},$$

$$[c, a]^{2^{r-1}} = [c, b]^{2^{r-1}} = [c, a, b] = [c, b, a] = 1.$$

To show that $H(r) \in N$ we have to prove that $[H(r), N_{H(r)}(K), K] \leq K$ for every $K \leq H(r)$, and since $\gamma_3(H(r))$ has order 2, it is sufficient to consider subgroups $K \leq H(r)$ with $\gamma_3(H(r)) \not\leq K$.

Let $K \leq H(r)$ with $\gamma_3(H(r)) \not\leq K$. We have to show that $[H(r), y, z] = 1$ for every $z \in K$ and $y \in N_{H(r)}(K)$.

Since $\gamma_3(H(r))$ has order 2, then $[H(r), H(r), \Phi(H(r))] = 1 = [H(r), \Phi(H(r)), H(r)]$, hence it is sufficient to consider z, y among the nontrivial coset representatives of $\Phi(H(r))$, that is $\{a, b, c, ab, ac, bc, abc\}$.

From $\gamma_3(H(r)) \leq \langle t \rangle$ for every $t \in \{a, b, c, ab\}$ and $\gamma_3(H(r)) \not\leq K$ it follows that $z \notin \{a, b, c, ab\}$.

Moreover $[H(r), H(r), abc] = 1$ allows us to restrict to the case $z \neq abc$.

Hence we can assume $z \in \{ac, cb\}$.

Let $z = ac$ and $y \in N_{H(r)}(K) \cap \{a, b, c, ab, ac, bc, abc\}$. Since $[y, ac]^{2^{r-1}} = [y, z]^{2^{r-1}} \in K \cap \gamma_3(H(r)) = 1$, then $y \in \{a, c, ac\}$. From $[z, y, y] \in K$ and $K \cap \gamma_3(H(r)) = 1$, it follows that $y \notin \{a, c\}$, hence $z = y = ac$.

Similarly, if $z = cb$ and $y \in N_{H(r)}(K) \cap \{a, b, c, ab, ac, bc, abc\}$, then $[y, cb]^{2^{r-1}} = [y, z]^{2^{r-1}} \in K \cap \gamma_3(H(r)) = 1$ gives $y \in \{c, b, cb\}$. From $[z, y, y] \in K$ and $K \cap \gamma_3(H(r)) = 1$, it follows that $y \notin \{c, b\}$, hence $z = y = cb$.

To complete the proof that $H(r) \in N$ we now observe that

$$[H(r), ac, ac] = 1 = [H(r), cb, cb].$$

Let $\omega(H(r))$ be the Wielandt subgroup of $H(r)$. Since a group with all the subgroups normal has a derived subgroup of order at most 2, then to prove that $H(r)$ has not Wielandt length 2, it is sufficient to show that $H(r)' / (\omega(H(r)) \cap H(r)') \cong (H(r) / \omega(H(r)))'$ has order bigger than 2. Hence it is sufficient to show that $[c, a], [c, b], [c, a][c, b] \notin \omega(H(r))$.

Since $[c, a, bc] = a^{2^r} \notin \langle bc \rangle$ then $[c, a] \notin \omega(H(r))$. Similarly, from

$$[c, b, ac] = [[c, a][c, b], ac] = a^{2^r} \notin \langle ac \rangle,$$

we get $[c, b], [c, a][c, b] \notin \omega(H(r))$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.A. Bryce and J. Cossey, 'A note on groups with Hamiltonian quotients', *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **100** (1998), 1–11.
- [2] C. Hobby, 'Finite groups with normal normalizers', *Canad. J. Math.* **20** (1968), 1256–1260.
- [3] H. Heineken, 'A class of three-Engel groups', *J. Algebra* **17** (1971), 341–345.
- [4] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1967).
- [5] S.K. Mahdavianary, 'A special class of three-Engel groups', *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **40** (1983), 193–199.
- [6] S.K. Mahdavianary, 'A classification of 2-generator p -groups, $p \geq 3$, with many subgroup 2-subnormal', *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **43** (1984), 97–107.
- [7] G. Parmeggiani, 'On finite p -groups of odd order with many subgroups 2-subnormal', *Comm. Algebra* **24** (1996), 2707–2719.

Department of Mathematics
Mathematical Sciences Institute
The Australian National University
Canberra ACT 0200
Australia

Dip. di Matematica Pura ed Appl.
via Belzoni 7
Università degli Studi di Padova
35131 Padova
Italy