

PURE-COMPLETE SUBGROUPS OF DIRECT SUMS OF PRÜFER GROUPS

by PAUL HILL†

(Received 9 March, 1970)

Suppose that G is a p -primary abelian group. The subgroup $G[p] = \{x \in G: px = 0\}$ is called the socle of G and any subgroup S of $G[p]$ is called a subsocle of G . If each subsocle of G supports a pure subgroup, then G is said to be pure-complete [1]. It is well known that, if G is a direct sum of cyclic groups, then G is necessarily pure-complete. Further results about pure-complete groups are contained in [1] and [3].

By the Prüfer group (associated with the prime p), we mean the p -primary group generated by $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots$ with defining relations: $pa_0 = 0$ and $p^n a_n = a_0$ for $n \geq 1$. Suppose that G is a subgroup of an arbitrary direct sum of Prüfer groups. Then G is not too far removed from a direct sum of cyclic groups, for G is an extension of an elementary group by a direct sum of cyclic groups. Thus it would be natural to ask the following question. Must G be pure-complete (if it has no elements of infinite height)? The answer is in the negative; in fact, I proved in [2] that there exists a subgroup G of a direct sum of Prüfer groups such that:

- (1) G has no elements of infinite height,
- (2) G is not a direct sum of cyclic groups,
- (3) G is not pure-complete.

At the time, little did I suspect that (2) implies (3) (whenever G is a subgroup of a direct sum of Prüfer groups). The object of this paper is to prove that this is the case.

THEOREM. *Suppose that G is a subgroup of a direct sum of Prüfer groups. If G is pure-complete, then G is a direct sum of cyclic groups.*

Proof. Let G be a subgroup of a direct sum K of Prüfer groups and suppose that G is pure-complete. Letting $S = G \cap p^\omega K$, we have that $pS = 0$ and that $G/S \cong \{G, p^\omega K\}/p^\omega K \subseteq K/p^\omega K$ is a direct sum of cyclic groups. We know [4] that $G[p]/S \subseteq (G/S)[p]$ is a summable subsocle of G/S . Hence $G[p]/S = \sum_{n < \omega} T_n$, where the nonzero elements of T_n have height exactly n in G/S . We can write $T_n = \sum_{i \in I(n)} \{x_i + S\}$, and we can choose x_i to have height n in G for each $i \in I(n)$. We now observe that

$$(D) \quad G[p] = S + \sum_{n < \omega} \left(\sum_{i \in I(n)} \{x_i\} \right)$$

is a natural decomposition of $G[p]$; see [4]. Since G is pure-complete, there exists a pure subgroup H of G such that $H[p] = S$. And there is a pure subgroup A of G such that $A[p] = \sum \{x_i\}$ (whether G is pure-complete or not). Since (D) is a natural decomposition, $A + H$ is pure in G and we have $G = A + H$. However, $G/S \cong A + pH$, which implies that pH , as well as A , is a direct sum of cyclic groups. It follows that H is a direct sum of cyclic groups and so is G ; see, for example, [6, p. 92].

† This work was supported by National Science Foundation Grant GP 12318.

An immediate corollary to the theorem is the following.

COROLLARY. *If G_1 and G_2 are subgroups of a direct sum of Prüfer groups, then $G = G_1 + G_2$ is pure-complete if and only if G_1 and G_2 are pure-complete.*

The corollary is false if the hypothesis that G_1 and G_2 are subgroups of a direct sum of Prüfer groups is deleted [1].

In conclusion, we remark that the above theorem points out the preponderance of groups without elements of infinite height having fairly simple structure that are not pure-complete. The reader may wish to compare this result with the first example [5] of a group without elements of infinite height and not pure-complete, as well as with the results of [3] on pure-complete groups.

REFERENCES

1. P. Hill, Pure subgroups having prescribed socles, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **71** (1965), 608–609.
2. P. Hill, Primary groups with uncountably many elements of infinite height, *Arch. Math.* **19** (1968), 279–283.
3. P. Hill and C. Megibben, On primary groups with countable basic subgroups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1966), 49–59.
4. P. Hill and C. Megibben, On direct sums of countable groups and generalizations, *Studies on abelian groups* (Springer-Verlag, 1968).
5. C. Megibben, Note on a paper of Bernard Charles, *Bull. Math. Soc. France* **91** (1963), 453–454.
6. L. Fuchs, *Infinite abelian groups*, Vol. 1 (London, 1970).

FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32306