



# COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

## The non-existence of stable Schottky forms

G. Codogni and N. I. Shepherd-Barron

Compositio Math. **150** (2014), 679–690

[doi:10.1112/S0010437X13007586](https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X13007586)



FOUNDATION  
COMPOSITIO  
MATHEMATICA



LONDON  
MATHEMATICAL  
SOCIETY



# The non-existence of stable Schottky forms

G. Codogni and N. I. Shepherd-Barron

## ABSTRACT

We show that there is no stable Siegel modular form that vanishes on every moduli space of curves.

## 1. Introduction

Denote by  $M_g$  and  $A_g$  the coarse moduli spaces of genus  $g$  curves and principally polarized abelian  $g$ -folds, respectively, and by  $j_g : M_g \rightarrow A_g$  the Jacobian morphism, which sends every curve to its Jacobian. This separates geometric points, according to (a crude version of) the Torelli theorem, so that we can be somewhat careless in distinguishing between  $M_g$  and the Jacobian locus (the image of  $M_g$  under  $j_g$ ).

The Satake compactification  $A_g^S$  of  $A_g$  is the minimal complete normal variety that contains  $A_g$  as an open subvariety and is also characterized by the property that the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  (sometimes denoted by  $\omega$ , but this notation conflicts with the use of  $\omega$  to denote the canonical bundle) of weight 1 (Siegel) modular forms on  $A_g$  extends to an ample  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundle on  $A_g^S$ . There is a stratification  $A_g^S = \bigcup_{0 \leq h \leq g} A_h$ , so that the boundary  $\partial A_g^S = A_g^S - A_g$  of  $A_g^S$  is  $\partial A_g^S = \bigcup_{0 \leq h \leq g-1} A_h$ . It follows that  $A_{g-1}^S$  is then the normalization of  $\partial A_g^S$ ; in fact,  $A_{g-1}^S = \partial A_g^S$ , from the surjectivity of the Siegel operator  $\Phi$  (some of whose basic properties recalled below) for modular forms of sufficiently high weight. In this way we can regard  $A_g^S$  as a subvariety of  $A_{g+m}^S$  for every positive  $g, m$ .

Define the Satake compactification  $M_g^S$  of  $M_g$  to be the closure of the Jacobian locus in  $A_g^S$ . Hence (see [Hoy63, Mum64]) the geometric points of  $A_g^S$  correspond to principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension at most  $g$  and those of  $M_g^S$  correspond to products of Jacobians, where the genera of the curves in question sum to at most  $g$ . Therefore, the intersection  $M_{g+m}^S \cap A_g^S$ , taken inside  $A_{g+m}^S$  is exactly  $M_g^S$ , as sets. The main result of this paper (which was inspired by a recent result of Grushevsky and Salvati Manni [GS11] that we recall below) is that this intersection is far from being transverse, however.

**THEOREM 1.1.**  $M_{g+m}^S \cap A_g^S$  contains the  $m$ th order infinitesimal neighbourhood of  $M_g^S$  inside  $A_g^S$ .

By definition, if an integral subscheme  $X$  of a scheme  $Y$  is defined by an ideal  $I$ , then the  $m$ th order infinitesimal neighbourhood of  $X$  in  $Y$  is the subscheme of  $Y$  defined by the  $(m+1)$ th symbolic power  $I^{[m+1]}$  of  $I$ . When  $X$  and  $Y$  are both regular, then  $I^{[m+1]} = I^{m+1}$ , the ordinary  $(m+1)$ th power. If, moreover,  $X$  and  $Y$  are smooth over a field of characteristic zero, if  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are holomorphic (or formal, or étale) co-ordinates on  $Y$  such that  $I$  is generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_r$ ,

---

Received 11 December 2012, accepted in final form 13 June 2013, published online 10 March 2014.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14H40, 14H42, 14K25, 32G20 (primary).

*Keywords:* curve, abelian variety, moduli, Schottky problem.

This journal is © Foundation Compositio Mathematica 2014.

then  $I^m$  consists of those functions  $f$  on  $Y$  such that  $f$  and all its partial derivatives with respect to  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  up to and including those of order  $m - 1$  vanish along  $X$ .

**COROLLARY 1.2.** *If  $g$  is fixed, then  $\bigcup_{m \geq 0} (M_{g+m}^S \cap A_g^S)$  equals the formal completion  $(A_g^S)^\wedge$  of  $A_g^S$  along  $M_g^S$ .*

Recall that the Schottky problem, in its general form, is the problem of distinguishing Jacobians from other principally polarized abelian varieties. One classical approach is to seek *Schottky forms*, that is, scalar-valued Siegel modular forms on  $A_g$  that vanish on the Jacobian locus, or, equivalently, forms on  $A_g^S$  that vanish on  $M_g^S$ .

The normalization  $\nu : A_g^S \rightarrow \partial A_{g+1}^S$  gives a restriction map  $\Phi$ , which coincides with the *Siegel operator*, from the vector space  $[\Gamma_{g+1}, k] = H^0(A_{g+1}^S, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k})$  of weight  $k$  forms on  $A_{g+1}$  (equivalently, on  $A_{g+1}^S$ ) to  $[\Gamma_g, k]$ . This is surjective if  $k$  is even and  $k > 2g$  [Fre83, p. 64] so that, since  $\mathcal{L}$  is ample on the Satake compactification,  $\nu$  is an isomorphism.

In terms of holomorphic functions on the Siegel upper half-planes  $\mathfrak{H}_{g+1}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}_g$  of degrees  $g + 1$  and  $g$  respectively,  $\Phi$  is defined by

$$\Phi(F)(\tau) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} F(\tau \oplus it),$$

where the direct sum of two square matrices has its obvious meaning. In terms of a Fourier expansion

$$F(T) = \sum_S a(S) \exp \pi i \operatorname{tr}(ST),$$

where  $T \in \mathfrak{H}_{g+1}$  and  $S$  runs over the positive semi-definite symmetric integral matrices with even diagonal,  $\Phi$  is given by

$$\Phi(F)(\tau) = \sum_{S_1} a(S_1 \oplus 0) \exp \pi i \operatorname{tr}(S_1 \tau).$$

Or  $\Phi$  can be calculated by first restricting to a copy of  $\mathfrak{H}_g \times \mathfrak{H}_1$  in  $\mathfrak{H}_{g+1}$  that arises as a cover of the image of  $A_g \times A_1$  in  $A_{g+1}$  and then letting  $\tau \in \mathfrak{H}_1$  tend to  $i\infty$ .

In genus 4, Schottky found one such Schottky form explicitly [Sch88]; later Igusa [Igu81a] showed that, if

$$F_g = \theta_{E_8^2, g} - \theta_{D_{16}^+, g},$$

the difference of the theta series in genus  $g$  associated to the two distinct positive even unimodular quadratic forms  $E_8^2$  and  $D_{16}^+$  of rank 16, then the form discovered by Schottky is an explicit rational multiple of  $F_4$ . He also [Igu81b] showed that it is reduced and irreducible, and so cuts out exactly the Jacobian locus in  $A_4$ .

(Recall that if a positive even unimodular quadratic form  $Q$  of rank  $k$  is regarded as a lattice  $\Lambda_Q$  in Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , then the theta series  $\theta_{Q, g}$  is defined by

$$\theta_{Q, g}(\tau) = \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_g \in \Lambda_Q} \exp \pi i \sum_{p, q=1}^g Q(x_p, x_q) \tau_{pq}.$$

This lies in the space  $[\Gamma_g, k/2]$  and satisfies the formula  $\Phi(\theta_{Q, g+1}) = \theta_{Q, g}$ .)

Grushevsky and Salvati Manni [GS11] have shown that the genus 5 Schottky form  $F_5$  does not vanish along  $M_5$ . (They go on to prove that  $F_5$  cuts out exactly the trigonal locus in  $M_5$ .) They did this by proving that if  $F_5$  did vanish along  $M_5$ , then  $F_4$  would vanish with multiplicity at least 2 along  $M_4$  (that is,  $F_4$  and all its first partial derivatives would vanish), which would contradict Igusa’s result on reducedness. Theorem 1.1 is a generalization of this.

To begin with, say that a scalar-valued Siegel modular form  $F$  on  $A_g$  vanishes with multiplicity at least  $m$  along  $M_g$  if  $F$  and all its partial derivatives with respect to the co-ordinates  $\tau_{pq}$  on  $\mathfrak{H}_g$  of order at most  $m - 1$  vanish along  $M_g$  (rather, along the inverse image of  $M_g$  in  $\mathfrak{H}_g$ ).

(At this point there is a slight conflict between the language of stacks and that of varieties. On the one hand the Satake compactification, which is fundamental here, is a coarse object, and on the other the variables  $\tau_{pq}$  are local co-ordinates on the stack  $\mathcal{A}_g$  but not on the coarse space  $A_g$ .)

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Suppose that  $F = F_{g+1}$  is a scalar-valued Siegel modular form on  $A_{g+1}$  that vanishes with multiplicity at least  $m \geq 1$  along the Jacobian locus  $M_{g+1}$  in  $A_{g+1}$ . Then  $F_g = \Phi(F_{g+1})$  vanishes with multiplicity at least  $m + 1$  along  $M_g$ .*

Note that Theorem 1.1 follows at once from Theorem 1.3 and a lemma in commutative algebra, Lemma 3.5 below.

Finally, we restate this in terms of Freitag’s description [Fre77] of the ring of stable Siegel modular forms. He showed that, for a fixed even integer  $k$ , the Siegel map  $\Phi : [\Gamma_{g+1}, k] \rightarrow [\Gamma_g, k]$  is an isomorphism for all  $g > 2k$ . That is, the vector spaces  $[\Gamma_g, k]$  stabilize to a vector space  $[\Gamma_\infty, k]$  as  $g$  increases, and is the space of sections of a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundle  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}$  on  $A_\infty^S$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}$  denote the restriction of  $\mathcal{L}$  to  $M_g^S$ .

Put  $A(\Gamma_g) = \oplus_k [\Gamma_g, k]$ , the graded ring of Siegel modular forms on the moduli space  $A_g$  or on the Satake compactification  $A_g^S$ . Then  $A = \varinjlim [\Gamma_\infty, k]$  is an inverse limit, in the category of graded rings:

$$A = \varinjlim_g A(\Gamma_g).$$

Freitag proved also that  $A$  is the polynomial ring over  $\mathbb{C}$  on the set of theta series  $\theta_Q$ , where  $Q$  runs over the set of equivalence classes of indecomposable even, positive and unimodular quadratic forms over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Define the stable Satake compactification  $A_\infty^S$  by

$$A_\infty^S = \bigcup_g A_g^S = \varinjlim_g A_g^S.$$

Define  $M_\infty^S = \bigcup_g M_g^S$ , similarly.

**COROLLARY 1.4.** *The homomorphism from  $A$  to the graded ring  $\oplus_k H^0(M_\infty^S, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes k})$  that is induced by the inclusion  $M_\infty^S \hookrightarrow A_\infty^S$  is injective. That is, there are no stable Schottky forms.*

*Proof.* An element  $F$  of the kernel would restrict, in each genus  $g$ , to a scalar-valued modular form  $F_g$  on  $A_g$  that vanished to arbitrarily high order along  $M_g$ . Then  $F_g = 0$  for all  $g$ , and then  $F = 0$ . □

**COROLLARY 1.5.** *If  $P, Q$  are positive even unimodular quadratic forms that are not equivalent, then there exists a curve  $C$  whose period matrix distinguishes between them.*

If  $P, Q$  have rank  $g$ , then there is a period matrix  $\tau$  in  $\mathfrak{H}_g$  such that  $\theta_{P,k}(\tau) \neq \theta_{Q,k}(\tau)$ . However, it is not clear how to find the genus of the curve whose existence is given by Corollary 1.5, let alone how to identify a particular such curve. However, more recently we have [Cod13, She13] shown that  $C$  can be taken to be a general point of the trigonal locus (of some genus, as yet undetermined), but not the hyperelliptic locus. That is, there exist stable modular forms vanishing on every hyperelliptic locus, but there are no such forms that vanish on every trigonal locus.

2. Fay’s degenerating families

We make a slight extension of a construction by Fay [Fay73, pp. 50–54], of 1-parameter families of genus  $g + 1$  curves that degenerate to an irreducible nodal curve of geometric genus  $g$ . His construction includes a calculation of the period matrix of the general member of the family, modulo the square of the parameter. The formula that he gives is, however, mistaken, as was pointed out by Yamada [Yam80], who gave the correct version. The reason for the extension is to permit a rescaling of local co-ordinates by non-zero parameters  $\lambda, \mu$ ; Fay’s construction, with its original wording, only permits this rescaling when  $|\lambda| = |\mu| = 1$ .

Start with a curve  $C$  of genus  $g$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the infinite-dimensional variety whose points are quadruples  $(a, b, z_a, z_b)$ , where  $a, b$  are distinct points on  $C$  and  $z_a, z_b$  are local holomorphic co-ordinates on  $C$  at  $a, b$  respectively.

The 2-torus  $\mathbb{G}_m^2$  acts on  $\mathcal{V}$  by

$$(\lambda, \mu)(a, b, z_a, z_b) = (a, b, \lambda^{-1}z_a, \mu^{-1}z_b).$$

Fix a non-empty finite-dimensional (in order to avoid irrelevant difficulties) and smooth subvariety  $V$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  that is preserved under this torus action and that maps onto the complement  $U$  of the diagonal in  $C \times C$ .

We want to construct a family of morphisms  $\{f_v : C_v \rightarrow \Delta\}_{v \in V}$  that is parametrized by  $V$ , where  $\Delta$  is a complex disc centred at 0, each  $C_v$  is a smooth complex surface, each  $f_v$  is proper and each fibre over 0 is a nodal curve  $C/(a \sim b)$ , every other fibre is a smooth curve of genus  $g + 1$  and the parametrization is holomorphic in  $V$ .

It is clearer to run through the construction without referring to the parameter space  $V$ . So fix the data  $C, a, b, z_a, z_b$  and choose  $\delta > 0$  such that there are disjoint neighbourhoods  $U^a$  of  $a$  and  $U^b$  of  $b$  such that  $z_a : U^a \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $z_b : U^b \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are each an isomorphism to some open set that contains a disc of radius  $\delta$  centred at  $z_a(a) = 0$  and  $z_b(b) = 0$ , respectively.

Let  $\Delta_\delta, D_{\delta^2}$  denote complex discs of radius  $\delta, \delta^2$ , respectively.

Take  $W = W_\delta$  to be the open subset of  $C \times D_{\delta^2}$  obtained by deleting the two closed subsets

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(p, t) \mid p \in U^a, 0 \leq \delta|z_a(p)| \leq |t| \leq \delta^2\}, \\ &\{(q, t) \mid q \in U^b, 0 \leq \delta|z_b(q)| \leq |t| \leq \delta^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.1. *If  $\epsilon < \delta$ , then  $W_\epsilon \subset W_\delta$ .*

In  $W_\delta$ , define open subsets

$$\begin{aligned} W^a &= W_\delta^a = \{(p, t) \mid p \in U^a, 0 < |z_a(p)| < \delta \text{ and } |t| < \delta|z_a(p)|\}, \\ W^b &= W_\delta^b = \{(q, t) \mid q \in U^b, 0 < |z_b(q)| < \delta \text{ and } |t| < \delta|z_b(q)|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the complex surface  $S = S_\delta \subset (\Delta_\delta)^2 \times D_{\delta^2}$  defined by the equation  $XY = t$ , where  $X, Y$  are co-ordinates on the two copies of  $\Delta_\delta$  and  $t$  is a co-ordinate on  $D_{\delta^2}$ . Then there are isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} W_\delta^a &\rightarrow S - (X = 0) : (p, t) \mapsto (z_a(p), t/z_a(p), t), \\ W_\delta^b &\rightarrow S - (Y = 0) : (q, t) \mapsto (t/z_b(q), z_b(q), t). \end{aligned}$$

Together these define an étale morphism  $j : W_\delta^a \cup W_\delta^b \rightarrow S$ , where the union is the disjoint union, taken inside  $C \times D_{\delta^2}$ . Let  $i : W_\delta^a \cup W_\delta^b \rightarrow W_\delta$  be the inclusion.

If  $Z$  is a subspace of a space  $X$ , then  $\bar{Z}$  denotes the closure of  $Z$  in  $X$ .

LEMMA 2.2.  $(i, j) : W_\delta^a \cup W_\delta^b \rightarrow W \times S$  is a closed embedding.

*Proof.* It is enough to show that the image of  $W_\delta^a$  in  $\overline{W}_\delta^a \times S$  is closed. Now points in  $\overline{W}_\delta^a \times S$  are of the form  $(p, t_1, X, Y, t_2)$  with

$$\delta \geq |z_a(p)| \geq t_1/\delta, \quad t_2 = t_1, \quad X = z_a(p), \quad Y = t_2/z_a(p), \\ |X|, |Y| < \delta, \quad |t_2| \leq \delta^2, \quad XY = t_2.$$

However, these conditions force  $\delta > |z_a(p)| = |t_2|/|Y| > |t_2|/\delta$ , and we are done. □

Now define  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_\delta$  by gluing  $W_\delta$  to  $S_\delta$  by the inclusion  $i$  and the étale map  $j$ . By the lemma,  $\mathcal{C}$  is Hausdorff,<sup>1</sup> and by construction there is a morphism  $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow D_{\delta^2}$  whose fibre over 0 is the nodal curve  $C/(a \sim b)$ .

LEMMA 2.3. *The morphism  $f$  is proper.*

*Proof.* It is enough to show that, for any  $r \in (0, \delta)$ , the inverse image  $Z_r = f^{-1}(\overline{D_{r^2}})$  is compact. By construction,  $Z_r$  is the union of the two compact spaces  $\overline{W}_\delta^1$  and  $\overline{S}_r$ , where the subset  $\overline{S}_r$  of  $S_\delta$  is defined by  $|X|, |Y| \leq r$ . □

LEMMA 2.4. *The restriction of  $f : \mathcal{C}_\delta \rightarrow D_{\delta^2}$  to the germ of the pair  $(D_{\delta^2}, 0)$  is independent of  $\delta$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from the facts that, by Lemma 2.1 above,  $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon$  is open in  $\mathcal{C}_\delta$ , and that  $C/(a \sim b)$  is proper. □

Note that, by construction,  $W$  is open in  $C \times D_{\delta^2}$ , the image of the projection  $\text{pr}_1 : W \rightarrow C$  is exactly  $C - \{a, b\}$  and there is an étale morphism  $\pi : W \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ .

Given cycles  $A_i, B_j$  on  $C$  that represent a symplectic basis of  $H_1(C, \mathbb{Z})$  and are disjoint from  $\{a, b\}$ , we can then regard the  $A_i, B_j$  as cycles on  $\mathcal{C}_t$  that represent part of a symplectic basis of  $H_1(\mathcal{C}_t, \mathbb{Z})$  for  $t \neq 0$  by taking  $\text{pr}_1^{-1}(A_i) \cap \text{pr}_2^{-1}(t) = A_i \times \{t\}$  and the same thing for  $B_j$ . Define the cycle  $A_{g+1}$  on  $\mathcal{C}_t$  by  $A_{g+1} = \partial U^b \times \{t\}$ ; then  $(A_1, \dots, A_{g+1}, B_1, \dots, B_g)$  can be extended to a symplectic basis of  $H_1(\mathcal{C}_t, \mathbb{Z})$  where  $B_{g+1}$  projects to a cycle on the nodal curve  $\mathcal{C}_0 = C/(a \sim b)$  that passes through the node.

We want to extend this construction of a single degenerating pencil  $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow D$  of curves to the construction of a family of such pencils, where the parameter space is  $V$  and the pencil depends holomorphically on  $V$ . This is merely a matter of enhancing the notation that we have just used, and the details are omitted. The end result of the construction is a parameter space  $D$  that is an open neighbourhood of  $V \times \{0\}$  in  $V \times \mathbb{C}$  and a proper flat morphism  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow D$  from an  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional complex manifold to a complex  $n$ -manifold that is smooth outside  $V \times \{0\}$  and whose restriction to  $V \times \{0\}$  is trivial, with fibre  $C/(a \sim b)$ .

Now we can follow Fay and Yamada.

We have already chosen 1-cycles  $(A_i, B_j)_{i,j=1,\dots,g}$  that represent a symplectic basis of  $H_1(C, \mathbb{Z})$ . Take the corresponding normalized basis  $(\omega_q)$  of  $H^0(C, \Omega^1)$ . (‘Normalized’ means that  $\int_{A_p} \omega_q = \delta_{pq}$  rather than  $2\pi i \delta_{pq}$ , which latter is the sense in which Fay uses the word.) Denote by  $\tau$  the resulting period matrix of  $C$ ; that is,  $\tau_{pq} = \int_{B_p} \omega_q$ .

Also let  $\omega_{g+1} = \omega_{b-a}$ , the unique rational 1-form on  $C$  whose polar divisor is  $a + b$ , such that  $\int_{A_p} \omega_{b-a} = 0$  for all  $p$  and  $\text{Res}_b \omega_{b-a} = -\text{Res}_a \omega_{b-a} = 1/2\pi i$ .

---

<sup>1</sup> See Bourbaki, Top. Gén. TG I.9, p. 57, Proposition 4 (1940 edition).

Define scalars  $v_p(a)$ , etc., by  $v_p(a) = (\omega_p/dz_a)(a)$ ; then for each  $p$  the map  $(a, b, z_a, z_b) \mapsto (v_p(a), v_p(b))$  is a holomorphic function  $V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ . Also, take a co-ordinate  $t$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ , so that  $V \times \{0\}$  is the divisor in  $D$  defined by  $t = 0$ .

When a curve varies in a holomorphic family, its period matrix is a holomorphic function of the parameters, and for the degenerating family just constructed Fay and Yamada make this explicit, as follows.

**THEOREM 2.5** [Yam80, Corollary 6]. *After passing to a suitable infinite cyclic cover of  $D - (V \times \{0\})$  there is a symplectic basis of the homology of a smooth fibre  $\mathcal{C}_{v,t}$  with respect to which the period matrix  $T = T(v, t)$  of  $\mathcal{C}_{v,t}$  can be written in  $2 \times 2$  block form*

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} \tau + t\sigma & AJ(b) - AJ(a) + ts \\ t(AJ(b) - AJ(a) + ts) & \frac{1}{2\pi i}(\log t + c_1 + c_2t) \end{bmatrix} + O(t^2).$$

Here,  $AJ$  is the Abel–Jacobi map from the curve  $C$  to its Jacobian, so that  $AJ(b) - AJ(a)$  is the vector  $(\int_a^b \omega_p)$ ;  $s = (s_p)$  is some vector-valued holomorphic function on  $V$  whose explicit form we do not need;  $c_1, c_2$  are holomorphic functions on  $V$  but independent of  $t$ ;  $O(t^2)$  is a holomorphic function on  $\Delta$  that vanishes modulo  $t^2$ ; and the  $g \times g$  matrix  $\sigma = (\sigma_{pq})$  is given by

$$\sigma_{pq} = -2\pi i(v_p(a)v_q(b) + v_q(a)v_p(b)).$$

*Proof.* This is only a matter of verifying that Yamada’s calculation goes through in our, slightly more general, context. Note, however, that Fay uses the symbol  $v_p$  to denote the normalized holomorphic 1-form  $\omega_p$ , while his expression  $v_p(a)$  must be interpreted as  $(\omega_p/dz_a)(a)$ .

The calculation goes as follows. Fix a point in the parameter space  $V$ ; then we have a degenerating family  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow D$  of curves, defined locally by an equation  $XY = t$ , where  $X, Y$  are co-ordinates on the smooth complex surface  $\mathcal{C}$ . By construction,

$$X = z_a(p_a) = t/z_b(p_b), \quad Y = t/z_a(p_a) = z_b(p_b).$$

Let  $h : C \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be the normalization of the degenerate fibre and  $h_a : U^a \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ ,  $h_b : U^b \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be its restriction to the two given charts on  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $h^a$  is defined by  $Y = 0, 2x = X = z_a$  and  $h^b$  by  $X = 0, 2x = z_b = Y$ .

There are holomorphic 2-forms  $\Omega_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, g + 1$ , such that if we define

$$u_i(\lambda) = \text{Res}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda} \frac{\Omega_i}{t - \lambda},$$

then  $(u_i(\lambda))_{i=1, \dots, g+1}$  is a basis of the space of holomorphic 1-forms on  $\mathcal{C}_\lambda$ , normalized with respect to the cycles  $A_1, \dots, A_{g+1}$  on  $\mathcal{C}_\lambda$ . For  $i \leq g$ , we have  $h^*u_i(0) = \omega_i$ , the normalized 1-form on  $C$ .

Define  $W_\lambda$  to be the Riemann surface defined in  $W$  by  $t - \lambda = 0$ ; this equals  $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_\lambda)$ . Hence  $W_\lambda$  possesses an étale map  $\pi : W_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\lambda$  and an étale map  $pr_1 : W_\lambda \rightarrow C$ . So on  $W_\lambda$  there exist 1-forms  $\tilde{\eta}_i(\lambda) = \pi^*u_i(\lambda) - pr_1^*\omega_i$ . Switch notation from  $\lambda$  to  $t$ . Note that  $\tilde{\eta}_i(0) = 0$ . Now switch notation from  $\lambda$  to  $t$  and define  $\eta_i$  by

$$\eta_i = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tilde{\eta}_i}{t}.$$

Then  $\eta_i$  is an intrinsic definition of  $\partial u_i(t)/\partial t$ .

We can expand  $\Omega_i$  in terms of  $X, Y$  as

$$\Omega_i = -\phi(X, Y)dX \wedge dY = -\sum c_{m,n}X^mY^n dX \wedge dY.$$

Then

$$u_i(t) = \text{Res}_{\mathcal{C}_t} \frac{\Omega_i}{XY-t} = \sum c_{n+p,n} X^{p-1} t^n dX = \sum c_{n+p,n} z_a^{p-1} t^n dz_a,$$

where the sum are over  $n, p$  with  $n \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n + p \geq 0$ . It follows that  $\omega_i = u_i(0) = \sum c_{p,0} z_a^{p-1} dz_a$ , so that  $c_{0,0} = 0$  and  $\omega_i = \sum_{p \geq 0} c_{p+1,0} z_a^p dz_a$  and then

$$v_i(a) = c_{1,0}.$$

By definition,  $\eta_i$  are then given by

$$\eta_i = \left( \sum n c_{n+p,n} z_a^{p-1} t^{n-1} dz_a \right) \Big|_{t=0},$$

which gives

$$\eta_i = (c_{0,1} z_a^{-2} + c_{1,1} z_a^{-1} + \dots) dz_a.$$

Hence  $(z_a^2 \eta_i / dz_a)(a) = c_{0,1}$  and  $v_i(a) = c_{1,0}$ .

An exactly similar calculation, after exchanging  $a$  with  $b$  and  $X$  with  $Y$ , gives  $(z_b^2 \eta_i / dz_b)(b) = -c_{1,0}$  and  $v_i(b) = c_{0,1}$ . (The signs appear because computing residues in terms of  $Y$  instead of  $X$  changes the sign.) That is,

$$\frac{z_a^2 \eta_i}{dz_a}(a) = -v_i(b), \quad \frac{z_b^2 \eta_i}{dz_b}(b) = -v_i(a).$$

The formulae above show that  $\eta_i$  is meromorphic. Differentiating the identity  $\int_{A_p} u_i = \delta_{ip}$  gives  $\int_{A_p} \eta_i = 0$  for all  $p \leq g$ , and the residues of  $\eta_i$  vanish because the cycle  $A_{g+1}$  equals  $\partial U^b \times \{t\}$ , by construction, and the differentials  $u_q$  for  $q \leq g$  are normalized, so that  $\int_{A_{g+1}} u_q = 0$ ; differentiating this with respect to  $t$ , evaluating at  $t = 0$  and then pulling back to  $C$  gives

$$2\pi i \text{Res}_b \eta_q = \int_{\partial U^b} \eta_q = 0.$$

Then  $\text{Res}_a \eta_q = 0$  also, since the residues of a meromorphic 1-form sum to zero.

Now recall the bilinear relations between holomorphic forms and those of the second kind [Spr57, p. 260, Theorem 10-8]: if  $\phi$  is a meromorphic 1-form with principal part  $(\lambda_P / z_P^2) dz_P$  at each of its poles  $P$  (so that, in particular,  $\phi$  has only double poles and all its residues vanish), where  $z_P$  is a local co-ordinate at  $P$ , and if  $\omega$  is a holomorphic 1-form with  $(\omega / dz_P)(P) = c_P$ , then

$$\sum_{j=1}^g \left( \int_{A_j} \omega \int_{B_j} \phi - \int_{B_j} \omega \int_{A_j} \phi \right) = 2\pi i \sum_P \lambda_P c_P.$$

(Note that the left-hand side is exactly the cup product  $[\omega] \cup [\phi]$  of the cohomology classes in  $H^1(C, \mathbb{C})$  defined by these forms, so the bilinear relations give a formula for the cup product as a sum of local contributions.)

Take  $\omega = \omega_k$  and  $\phi = \eta_i$ ; we get

$$\int_{B_k} \eta_i = -2\pi i (v_i(a) v_k(b) + v_k(a) v_i(b)).$$

However,  $\int_{B_k} \eta_i$  is exactly the entry  $\sigma_{ik}$  of the matrix  $\sigma$  appearing in the formula for  $T(t)$ .

Finally, the entry  $T_{g+1,g+1}(t) = (1/2\pi i)(\log t + c_1 + c_2 t)$  for the reasons of monodromy that Fay gives. □



### 3. The failure of transversality

Here we prove Theorem 1.3. Recall its statement.

**THEOREM 3.1** (the same as Theorem 1.3). *If  $F_{g+1}$  has multiplicity at least  $m$  along  $M_{g+1}$  then  $F_g$  has multiplicity at least  $m + 1$  along  $M_g$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $N_{g+1}(\{x_{ij}\})$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$  in the entries  $x_{ij}$  of a symmetric  $(g + 1) \times (g + 1)$  matrix  $X$ . Our hypothesis is that, for all  $d \leq m - 1$  and for all such  $N_{g+1}$ , the partial derivative

$$N_{g+1}(F_{g+1}) := N_{g+1}\left(\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial T_{pq}}\right\}\right)(F_{g+1})$$

vanishes along  $M_{g+1}$  (rather, its inverse image in  $\mathfrak{H}_{g+1}$ ) for  $T = (T_{pq}) \in \mathfrak{H}_{g+1}$ .

Given such  $N_{g+1}$ , we let  $N_g$  denote the polynomial obtained from it by setting the bottom row and last column of  $X$  equal to zero. Our goal is to show that for every such  $N_g$  of degree  $m$ , the partial derivative  $N_g(F_g)$  vanishes at every point  $\tau$  in  $\mathfrak{H}_g$  that comes from a curve of genus  $g$ .

For any positive integer  $n$ , let  $S_n$  denote the set of  $n \times n$  integer matrices that are symmetric, positive semi-definite and whose diagonal entries are even. Then recall that every Siegel modular form  $F = F_{g+1}(T)$  of degree  $g + 1$  over a ring  $R$  has a Fourier expansion

$$F(T) = \sum_{X \in S_{g+1}} a(X) \exp \pi i \operatorname{tr}(XT) = \sum_{X \in S_{g+1}} a(X) \exp \pi i \sum_{p,q=1}^{g+1} x_{pq} T_{pq}.$$

We write  $X = (x_{pq})$  for  $X \in S_{g+1}$ . The Fourier coefficients  $a(X) = a_F(X)$  lie in  $R$ . For us,  $R = \mathbb{C}$ .

Take  $T$  as above and take  $N$  to have degree  $m - 1$ ; then

$$\frac{1}{(\pi i)^{m-1}} N_{g+1}(F_{g+1})(T) = \sum_{X \in S_{g+1}} a(X) N_{g+1}(\{x_{pq}\}) \exp \pi i \sum_{p,q=1}^{g+1} x_{pq} T_{pq}.$$

Our aim is to examine the coefficient of  $t$  in the expansion of this expression in powers of  $t$ , so calculate modulo  $t^2$ . Since  $\exp 2\pi i T_{g+1,g+1} = \gamma_1 \gamma_2^t t$  modulo  $t^2$ , where  $\gamma_j = \exp c_j$ , it follows that, modulo  $t^2$ , we can write

$$\frac{1}{(\pi i)^{m-1}} N_{g+1}(F_{g+1})(T) = \sum_{x_{g+1,g+1}=0} + \sum_{x_{g+1,g+1}=2},$$

where  $\sum_{x_{g+1,g+1}=2r}$  denotes the sum over matrices  $X = (x_{ij}) \in S_{g+1}$  whose  $(g + 1, g + 1)$  entry  $x_{g+1,g+1}$  equals  $2r$  (merely because all terms with  $x_{g+1,g+1} \geq 4$  vanish modulo  $t^2$ ).  $\square$

**LEMMA 3.2.** *If  $X \in S_{g+1}$  and  $x_{g+1,g+1} = 0$ , then the right hand column and bottom row of  $X$  are both zero.*

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of semi-positivity.  $\square$

Therefore

$$\sum_{x_{g+1,g+1}=0} = \sum_{X \in S_g} a(X) N_g(\{x_{pq}\}) \exp \pi i \sum_{p,q=1}^g x_{pq} (\tau_{pq} + t\sigma_{pq})$$

and

$$\sum_{x_{g+1,g+1}=2} = t\gamma_1\gamma_2^t \sum_{X \in S_{g+1}, x_{g+1,g+1}=2} a(X)N_{g+1}(\{x_{pq}\}) \cdot \left( \exp 2\pi i \sum_{p=1}^g x_{p,g+1} \int_a^b \omega_p \right) \left( \exp \pi i \sum_{p,q=1}^g x_{pq}\tau_{pq} \right)$$

since we are calculating modulo  $t^2$ . So the coefficient of  $t$  that we seek is  $A + \gamma_1 B$ , where

$$A = \sum_{x_{g+1,g+1}=0} a(X)N_g(\{x_{pq}\}) \left( \pi i \sum_{p,q=1}^g x_{pq}\sigma_{pq} \right) \left( \exp \pi i \sum_{p,q=1}^g x_{pq}\tau_{pq} \right)$$

and

$$B = \sum_{x_{g+1,g+1}=2} a(X)N_{g+1}(\{x_{pq}\}) \left( \exp 2\pi i \sum_{p=1}^g x_{p,g+1} \int_a^b \omega_p \right) \left( \exp \pi i \sum_{p,q=1}^g x_{pq}\tau_{pq} \right).$$

The quantities  $A, B, \gamma_1$  are holomorphic functions on  $V$  and, by assumption,  $A + \gamma_1 B$  vanishes identically.

Now rescale the local co-ordinates  $z_a, z_b$ . That is, start with local co-ordinates  $\zeta_a, \zeta_b$  and then take  $z_a = \lambda^{-1}\zeta_a$  and  $z_b = \mu^{-1}\zeta_b$ . Such a rescaling will produce a different family  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Delta$ , but the quantity  $A + \gamma_1 B$  will still vanish for the rescaled family. Moreover,  $B$  is invariant under this rescaling, as is revealed by a cursory inspection. Also  $c_1$  is a holomorphic function of  $\lambda, \mu$  because the entries of a period matrix are holomorphic functions of the parameters.

On the other hand, substituting

$$\sigma_{pq} = -2\pi i \lambda \mu \left( \frac{\omega_p}{d\zeta_a}(a) \frac{\omega_q}{d\zeta_b}(b) + \frac{\omega_q}{d\zeta_a}(a) \frac{\omega_p}{d\zeta_b}(b) \right)$$

into the expression above for  $A$  shows that  $A$  can be written as

$$A = D\lambda\mu,$$

where  $D$  are independent of  $\lambda, \mu$ . Hence we have an identity

$$D\lambda\mu = -B \exp(c_1(\lambda, \mu))$$

of holomorphic functions on the 2-dimensional torus  $\mathbb{G}_m^2 = \mathbf{Spec}\mathbb{C}[\lambda^\pm, \mu^\pm]$ , where we regard  $D, E$  as constants (constant as functions on  $\mathbb{G}_m^2$ , that is).

**LEMMA 3.3.** *Suppose that  $f$  is a rational function on a complex algebraic variety  $X$  and that there is a holomorphic function  $h$  on some Zariski open subset  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $f = \exp h$  on  $U$ . Then  $f$  is constant.*

*Proof.* It is enough to show that  $f$  is constant on a general curve in  $X$ . Therefore we can assume that  $\dim X = 1$ , and then that  $X$  is a compact Riemann surface. If  $f$  is not constant, then it has a zero, say at  $P$ , and in some neighbourhood  $U$  of  $P$  with a co-ordinate  $z$  we have  $f = z^n f_1$  with  $f_1$  holomorphic and invertible on  $U$ , and  $n > 0$ . Then  $f_1 = \exp h_1$  with  $h_1$  holomorphic on  $U$ , and  $h$  is holomorphic on  $U - \{P\}$ . Then  $z^n$  has a single-valued holomorphic logarithm on  $U - \{P\}$ , which is absurd. □

COROLLARY 3.4. *A and B vanish identically.*

In fact, we do not exploit the vanishing of  $B$ , although it is a key step in the argument of [GS11] involving the linear system  $\Gamma_{00}$  of second order theta functions that vanish to order 4 at the origin and the heat equation.

Now  $A$  can also be written as

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} \left( \sum_{X \in S_g} a(X) N_g(\{x_{pq}\}) \exp \pi i \sum_{pq=1}^g x_{pq} (\tau_{pq} + t\sigma_{pq}) \right) \\ &= \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} N_g(F_g(\tau + t\sigma)). \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $\sigma$  lies in the Zariski tangent space  $H$  at the point  $\tau$  to the divisor in  $\mathfrak{H}_g$  defined by the function  $N_g(F_g) = N_g(\{\partial/\partial\tau_{ij}\})(F_g)$ . It is important to note that, from this description,  $H$  depends upon  $C$  but is independent of the points  $a, b$ , the local co-ordinates  $z_a, z_b$  and the scalars  $\lambda, \mu$ .

We let  $M_g^0$  denote the open subvariety of  $M_g$  corresponding to curves with no automorphisms and  $A_g^0$  the open subvariety of  $A_g$  corresponding to principally polarized abelian varieties with no automorphisms except  $\pm 1$ . Then  $M_g^0$  lies in  $A_g^0$  and both are smooth varieties, and, if  $C$  lies in  $M_g^0$ , there are natural identifications of tangent spaces given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{[C]}M_g &= H^0(\Omega_C^1 \otimes^2)^\vee, \\ T_{[C]}A_g &= T_\tau \mathfrak{H}_g = \text{Sym}^2 H^0(\Omega_C^1)^\vee \end{aligned}$$

(this latter identification is also a consequence of the heat equation). The inclusion  $T_{[C]}M_g \hookrightarrow T_{[C]}A_g$  is dual to the natural multiplication (which is surjective, by Max Noether’s theorem)  $\text{Sym}^2 H^0(\Omega_C^1) \rightarrow H^0(\Omega_C^1 \otimes^2)$ .

We are aiming to prove that  $H$ , when regarded as a Zariski tangent space, is the whole of the tangent space  $T_\tau \mathfrak{H}_g = \text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, \Omega^1)^\vee$ . So assume otherwise; then  $H$  is a hyperplane. Projectivize; then  $\sigma \in \mathbb{P}(H)$  and  $\mathbb{P}(H)$  is a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{P}(\text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, \Omega^1)^\vee)$ .

Now comes the point at which information about abelian integrals is transformed into projective geometry and thence moduli.

The symmetric square  $\text{Sym}^2 C$  is embedded in  $\mathbb{P}(\text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, \Omega_C^1)^\vee)$  via the identification  $\text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, \Omega_C^1) = H^0(\text{Sym}^2 C, \Omega_C^1 \boxtimes^2)$ , where, by abuse of notation,  $\Omega_C^1 \boxtimes^2$  denotes the line bundle on  $\text{Sym}^2 C$  obtained by symmetrizing the exterior tensor square  $\Omega_C^1 \boxtimes^2$  on  $C \times C$ . The entries  $\sigma_{pq}$  of the matrix  $\sigma$  are obtained by taking a basis of  $H^0(\text{Sym}^2 C, \Omega_C^1 \boxtimes^2)$  and evaluating at the point  $\{a, b\}$  of  $\text{Sym}^2 C$ . It follows, since  $H$  is independent of the points  $a$  and  $b$ , that the putative hyperplane  $\mathbb{P}(H)$  contains the embedded  $\text{Sym}^2 C$ . However,  $\text{Sym}^2 C$  is non-degenerate in  $\mathbb{P}(\text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, \Omega^1)^\vee)$  and therefore  $H$  does not exist.

Theorem 1.1 is an immediate corollary of this and the following lemma in commutative algebra.

LEMMA 3.5. *Suppose that  $X$  is a closed subvariety of the variety  $Y$  defined by the ideal  $I = I_{X/Y}$ . Suppose that  $W$  is a smooth open subvariety of  $Y$  such that  $W \cap X$  is smooth and non-empty and that  $J$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_Y$  such that  $J|_W = I^n|_W$ . Then  $J$  is contained in  $I^{[n]}$ , the  $n$ th symbolic power of  $I$ .*

*Proof.* First, recall that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are smooth over a field of characteristic zero, then  $I^n = I^{[n]}$  and consists of the functions  $f$  on  $Y$  all of whose derivatives, with respect to local co-ordinates on  $Y$ , of order up to and including the  $(n - 1)$ st, vanish along  $X$ .

We can assume that  $Y$  is affine, say  $Y = \mathbf{Spec} A$ , so that  $A$  is an integral domain and  $I$  is prime. For any ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $A$ , write  $V(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathbf{Spec}(A/\mathfrak{a})$ .

We can increase  $J$ , provided that  $J|_W$  is unchanged, so that in particular we can replace  $J$  by  $J + I^{[n]}$ . Then, without loss of generality, we can suppose that  $J$  contains  $I^{[n]}$  and must prove that  $J = I^{[n]}$ . We have  $V(J)_{\text{red}} \subset V(I^{[n]})_{\text{red}} = X$  and  $V(J)_{\text{red}} \cap W = X \cap W$ , so that  $V(J)_{\text{red}} = X$ , and therefore  $\sqrt{J} = I$ .

Recall that for any ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  with  $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} = I$ , there is a unique smallest  $I$ -primary ideal  $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$  containing  $\mathfrak{a}$ , given by the formula  $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}} = A \cap \mathfrak{a} \cdot A_I$ , where  $A_I$  is the localization of  $A$  at the prime ideal  $I$ . As before, we can increase  $J$ , and so assume that  $J = \tilde{J}$ , that is, that  $J$  is  $I$ -primary. The symbolic power  $I^{[n]}$  is  $I^{[n]} = \tilde{I}^n$ .

By assumption, the generic point  $\xi$  of  $X$  lies in  $W$  and  $A_I = \mathcal{O}_{Y,\xi}$ , so that  $J \cdot A_I = I^n \cdot A_I$ . Intersecting both sides of this equation with  $A$  gives  $J = \tilde{J} = I^{[n]}$ . □

Now regard the Satake compactifications  $A_g^S$  and  $M_{g+m}^S$  as closed subvarieties of  $A_{g+m}^S$ .

**THEOREM 3.6** (the same as Theorem 1.1). *The intersection  $A_g^S \cap M_{g+m}^S$  contains the  $m$ th order infinitesimal neighbourhood of  $M_g^S$  in  $A_g^S$ .*

*Proof.* The ideal defining  $M_{g+m}^S$  inside  $A_{g+m}^S$  is generated by those Siegel modular forms  $F_{g+m}$  that vanish along  $M_{g+m}^S$ . From Theorem 1.3 and induction on  $m$  it follows that  $F_g$  and all its partial derivatives with respect to the co-ordinates  $\tau_{pq}$  on  $\mathfrak{H}_g$  of orders at most  $m$  vanish along  $M_g$ , which is just the statement of the corollary. □

*Remark.* For  $m = 1$  this says that at a general point  $[C]$  of  $M_g$ , the Zariski tangent space at  $[C]$  to the  $3g$ -dimensional variety  $M_{g+1}^S$  contains the  $g(g + 1)/2$ -dimensional tangent space  $\text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, \Omega_C^1)^\vee$  at  $[C]$  to  $A_g$ , where these tangent spaces both lie in  $T_{[C]}A_{g+1}^S$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are very grateful to Grushevsky and Salvati Manni for their correspondence that led to this paper and for their interest in it, and to Arbarello for some valuable conversations. We are also grateful to an anonymous contributor to Mathoverflow for the reference to Borbaki.

REFERENCES

AB12 V. A. Alexeev and A. Brunyate, *Extending the Torelli map to toroidal compactifications of Siegel space*, Invent. Math. **188** (2012), 175–196.

Cod13 G. Codogni, *Non-perturbative Schottky problem and stable equations for the hyperelliptic locus*, Preprint (2013), [arXiv:1306.1183](https://arxiv.org/abs/1306.1183) [math.AG].

Fay73 J. Fay, *Theta functions on Riemann surfaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 352 (Springer, 1973).

Fre77 E. Freitag, *Stabile Modulformen*, Math. Ann. **230** (1977), 197–211.

Fre83 E. Freitag, *Siegelsche Modulfunktionen* (Springer, 1983).

GS11 S. Grushevsky and R. Salvati Manni, *The superstring cosmological constant and the Schottky form in genus 5*, Amer. J. Math. **133** (2011), 1007–1037.

Hoy63 W. Hoyt, *On products and algebraic families of Jacobian varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) **77** (1963), 414–423.

Igu81a J.-I. Igusa, *Schottky’s invariant and quadratic forms*, in *E. B. Christoffel: the influence of his work on mathematics and the physical sciences* (Birkhäuser, Basel, 1981); 352–362.

- Igu81b J.-I. Igusa, *On the irreducibility of Schottky's divisor*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Section IA Math. **28** (1981), 531–545.
- Mum64 D. Mumford, *Further comments on boundary points*, in *Proc. Woods Hole conference, Woods Hole, MA*, 1964.
- Sch88 F. Schottky, *Zur Theorie der Abelschen Functionen von vier Variabeln*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **102** (1888), 304–352.
- She13 N. I. Shepherd-Barron, *Siegel modular forms and the gonality of curves*, Preprint (2013), [arXiv:1306.6253](https://arxiv.org/abs/1306.6253) [math.AG].
- Spr57 G. Springer, *Introduction to Riemann surfaces* (Addison-Wesley, 1957).
- Yam80 A. Yamada, *Precise variational formulas for abelian differentials*, Kodai Math. J. **3** (1980), 114–143.

G. Codogni [g.codogni@dpmms.cam.ac.uk](mailto:g.codogni@dpmms.cam.ac.uk)  
DPMMS, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 0WB, UK

N. I. Shepherd-Barron [nisb@dpmms.cam.ac.uk](mailto:nisb@dpmms.cam.ac.uk)  
Department of Mathematics, King's College London, Strand, London WC2R 2LS, UK