Effect of the lipase inhibitor orlistat and of dietary lipid on the absorption of radiolabelled triolein, tri-γ-linolenin and tripalmitin in mice

BY DOROTHEA ISLER, CHRISTINE MOEGLEN, NIGEL GAINS AND MARCEL K. MEIER

Pharma Division, Preclinical Research, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, CH-4002 Basel, Switzerland

(Received 8 November 1993 – Revised 12 September 1994 – Accepted 7 October 1994)

Orlistat, a selective inhibitor of gastrointestinal lipases, was used to investigate triacylglycerol absorption. Using mice and a variety of emulsified dietary lipids we found that the absorption of radiolabelled tripalmitin (containing the fatty acid 16:0), but not of triolein (18:1n-9) or tri- γ -linolenin (18:3n-6), was incomplete from meals rich in esterified palmitate. Further, the absorption of radiolabelled tri- γ -linolenin, from both saturated and unsaturated dietary triacylglycerols, was 1:3- to 2-fold more potently inhibited by orlistat than that of triolein and tripalmitin. These radiolabelled triacylglycerols, which have the same fatty acid in all three positions, may not always be accurate markers of the absorption of dietary triacylglycerols. Orlistat was more effective at inhibiting the absorption of radiolabelled triacylglycerols with which it was codissolved than those added separately, which indicates that equilibration between lipid phases in the stomach may not always be complete. The saturation of the dietary lipid had little or no effect on the potency of orlistat. Orlistat provides a novel approach for studying the role of triacylglycerol hydrolysis in the overall process of triacylglycerol absorption.

Lipase inhibitor: Triacylglycerol: Absorption: Mice

Dietary triacylglycerols can only be absorbed after they have been hydrolysed by gastrointestinal lipases to give fatty acids and monoacylglycerols (Nelson & Ackman, 1988). In vitro, different triacylglycerols are hydrolysed at different rates; for example, triacylglycerols that contain less common or long-chain, saturated fatty acids are hydrolysed more slowly (Brockerhoff, 1970; Lawson & Hughes, 1988; Bergstedt *et al.* 1990, 1991; Yang *et al.* 1990). In vivo the rate of fatty acids and of the dispersion that they form with the fats and other components in the diet (Ockner *et al.* 1972; Carey *et al.* 1983). The site of absorption has also been found to depend on the rate of absorption; triacylglycerols that are more slowly absorbed, for example those with long-chain, saturated fatty acids, tend to have a more distal site of absorption (Bergstedt *et al.* 1990, 1991). However, the large excess of both pancreatic (EC 3.1.1.3) and carboxyl ester lipase (EC 3.1.1.13) combined with the large surface area of the intestine ensure that even alkyl esters with low rates of hydrolysis are efficiently absorbed (Nelson & Ackman, 1988; Chen *et al.* 1989; Yang *et al.* 1990).

Orlistat is a selective and potent inhibitor of lipases that acts solely in the intestinal lumen and does not inhibit the absorption of oleic acid (Borgström, 1988; Hadvary et al. 1988,

Address for correspondence: Dr D. Isler, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Bau 60/403, Grenzacherstr. 124, CH-4002 Basel, Switzerland.

1991; Fernandez & Borgström, 1989; Lüthi-Peng *et al.* 1992). It inactivates the lipase by reacting covalently with the serine (Ser-¹⁵²) in the active site. Orlistat has been shown to reduce hypertriacylglycerolaemia and obesity in animals (Hogan *et al.* 1987; Meier *et al.* 1991) and its effectiveness in man is undergoing clinical investigation (Drent *et al.* 1992; Hauptmann *et al.* 1992).

Our aim was to use orlistat in order to study the relative efficiency with which a variety of emulsified dietary lipids are absorbed in mice, and in particular to answer the following questions: first, whether radiolabelled triacylglycerols, such as triolein (containing the fatty acid 18:1n-9), tri- γ -linolenin (18:3n-6) and tripalmitin (16:0), are suitable markers for the absorption of dietary triacylglycerols; and second, under conditions where triacylglycerol hydrolysis is impaired, whether the absorption of these radiolabels is affected by the nature of the dietary fat in which they are dissolved.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

[¹⁴C]Triolein (glycerol-tri[1-¹⁴C]oleate, 2 GBq/mmol), [¹⁴C]tripalmitin (glycerol-tri[1-¹⁴C]palmitate, 2 GBq/mmol), [1-14C]oleic acid (2 GBq/mmol) and [14C]dioleoyl-phosphatidylcholine (1,2-di[1-14C]oleyl-3-phosphatidylcholine, 4 GBq/mmol) were obtained from Amersham International plc (CH-8058, Zürich, Switzerland), [³H]triolein (glycerol-tri[9,10-³Holeate, 992 GBg/mmol) from NEN Research Products Du Pont de Nemours International S.A., CH-8058 Zürich, Switzerland, and [14C]tri-y-linolenin (glycerol-tri-[1-14C]-6,9,12-octadecatrienoate, 7 GBq/mmol) was prepared by Dr H. Harder at F. Hoffmann-La Roche, Basel, Switzerland. Briefly, [¹⁴C]tri-y-linolenic acid was prepared by treating 1-chloro-5,8,11-heptadecatriene with Rieke's magnesium (Rieke & Bales, 1974) and allowing the resulting Grignard intermediate to absorb ${}^{14}CO_2$; $[{}^{14}C]$ tri- γ -linolenin was prepared by reacting the $[{}^{14}C]$ tri- γ -linolenic acid with glycerol in the presence of dimethylaminopyridine and dicyclohexylcarbodiimide. The $[{}^{14}C]$ tri- γ -linolenin was stored, in portions sufficient for one experiment, in sealed ampoules under Ar. Radioactive purity was checked by TLC using precoated silica-gel plates (HPTLC 60; Merck (Schweiz) AG, CH-8029 Zürich, Switzerland) and di-isopropylether-heptane-acetic acid (48:32:16) chloroform-methanol-acetic acid-water (65:50:4:1)for triacylglycerols, for phosphatidylcholine, and heptane-isopropyl ether-acetic acid (60:40:4) for oleic acid. Radioactive purity was at least 97%. [1,2-³H]polyethyleneglycol 4000 was from NEN Research Products.

Linseed oil was from a local pharmacy, olive and sunflower oils, cream and skimmed milk powder were from a supermarket, palm and coconut oils were from Tensochema AG, Zürich, Switzerland, borage oil was from Roche Lipid Technology, Heanor, Derbyshire and egg phosphatidylcholine was from Lipid Products, South Nutfield, Surrey. Orlistat (Ro 18-0647/002, MW 496) was obtained by chemical synthesis (Barbier & Schneider, 1987). All other reagents were of analytical grade or the purest available.

Animals

Female, MORO (Ibm), albino mice (about 25 g; twenty to thirty mice per experiment) were maintained on chow (Kliba 25-331; Klibamühle, Kaiseraugst, Switzerland) and water *ad lib*. They were kept individually in wire-mesh cages under a 12 h light-12 h dark cycle.

Preparation of the test meals

The radiolabelled triacylglycerols, with or without orlistat, were dissolved in the cream and in the plant oils, under a stream of N_2 , by stirring for about 30 min at room temperature (at 65° for [¹⁴C]tripalmitin) to give about 0.037 MBq/g plant oil and cream fat.

	Palm oil*†	Coconut oil†	Cream*†	Olive oil*	Sunflower- seed oil*	Borage oil‡	Linseed oil*
Polyunsaturated							
Linoleic	10	2	2	8	69	36	15
Linolenic	1	1	2	1	0	23	53
Monounsaturated							
Oleic	37	7	23	74	19	16	21
Others	0	0	7	3	1	8	0
Saturated							
< C ₁₆	2	80	21	0	< 1	0	< 1
Palmitic	45	8	45	12	7	12	6
$> C_{16}$	3	2	0	2	4	5	5
Iodine value	53	9	34	90	131	166	210
Melting point§	40	27		-4	-25	-28	-30

Table 1. Approximate fatty acid composition (g/100 g total fatty acids), iodine values and melting points (°) of the dietary fats

* Wissenschaftliche Tabellen Geigy (1977).

† According to the suppliers.

‡ Raederstorff & Moser (1992).

§ For details of procedure, see p. 854.

The liquid test meals contained (g/kg) either starch 25, glucose 240, defatted milk powder 120, plant oil 76 and 150 mM-NaCl solution 539, or, in order to obtain an equivalent composition, starch 18, glucose 173, defatted milk powder 87, cream 333 (i.e. 76 g fat/kg) and NaCl solution 389. The fatty acid compositions of the dietary fats are given in Table 1. A stable emulsion was made by suspending the milk powder, glucose and starch in the NaCl solution, adding the dietary fat and blending in a glass-on-glass homogenizer for 30 s (2 min for cream). As described below, these test meals contained one, or more usually, two radiolabelled triacylglycerols.

After preparation the test meals were stored at -20° . On the day of use they were thawed and reblended (30 s). On both the day of preparation and of use the stability of the emulsions and the uniform distribution of the markers were checked by measuring the radioactivity of at least five samples from different sites within the emulsion.

Administration of test meals and orlistat suspensions

The vehicle in which orlistat was dispersed contained 50 g gum arabic plus 50 g milk powder/l in water.

The mice were divided into groups of three. After a 24 h fast, each mouse was orally administered about 250 μ l (10 ml/kg body weight) of the liquid test meal followed directly by about 250 μ l of the above vehicle. Depending on the experimental design the orlistat was either dissolved in the fat of the test meal or suspended in the vehicle. Each group received a different dose of orlistat (1, 3, 10, 30, 100 or 1000 μ mol/kg body weight). The mice were then given free access to their normal chow, and the faces eliminated by each mouse during the next 31 h were collected. The test meals were administered at 09.00 hours, 3 h after the beginning of the 12 h day.

For the experiment shown in Fig. 1, [³H]triolein (about 0.0037 MBq/ml test meal) was incorporated into the dietary lipid and either $[1-^{14}C]$ oleic acid or di $[1-^{14}C]$ oleoyl-phosphatidylcholine (about 0.0037 MBq/ml test meal) into the aqueous phase of the test meal.

The results in Tables 2 and 3 derive from two series of experiments. In the first the ¹⁴C-

radiolabelled triacylglycerols (about 0-0037 MBq/ml test meal) were incorporated into the dietary lipid and [³H]polyethyleneglycol (about 0-00074 MBq/ml test meal) was added to the aqueous phase of the test meal. This showed that during a 31 h collection period 91 to 102% of the nonabsorbable marker [³H]polyethyleneglycol was eliminated in the faeces. Further, it was found that at doses of orlistat sufficient to give approximately 80% inhibition of [¹⁴C]triolein absorption there was no separation of the water-soluble [³H]polyethyleneglycol from the lipid-soluble [¹⁴C]triolein during the intestinal transit. In the second series, which accounts for about half the ID₅₀ values in Table 3 and all the results in Fig. 2, [³H]triolein (about 0.037 MBq/ml test meal) were incorporated into the test meals.

In the experiment described in Table 5 the mice were orally administered two liquid test meals (about 250 μ l) in which olive oil was the dietary lipid. In addition, the first test meal contained both [³H]triolein and orlistat whereas the second, which was administered immediately afterwards, contained [¹⁴C]triolein alone.

Measurement of radioactivity in faeces

The ³H- and ¹⁴C-radioactivity in the dried faeces was separated in a Packard sample oxidizer (Canberra Packard S.A., CH-8038 Zürich, Switzerland) and measured by liquid scintillation counting. The radioactivity eliminated in the faeces was expressed as a percentage of that in the test meal.

Melting points

The melting points of the dietary lipids were measured in a Mettler 2000B differential scanning calorimeter (Mettler-Toledo AG, CH-8606 Nänikon, Switzerland) (see Table 1). The samples were cooled from 22° (60° for palm and coconut oils) to -70° , and then heated at 5°/min. The melting point was taken as the temperature at the peak of the main endothermic transition.

Analysis of the data

In the absence of a theoretical model, an exponential relationship between dose and response was assumed in which both the maximal elimination of radiolabel in the faeces (max) and the orlistat dose required for half maximal elimination of radiolabel (ID_{50}) were determinable parameters. A least-squares, nonlinear curve-fitting procedure was used to fit the parameters max and ID_{50} in equation 1 to the data from each experiment (e.g., Fig. 1) other than those in which the elimination of radiolabelled triacylglycerol was not approximately zero in the absence of orlistat. In the latter case the parameters max, ID_{50} and min (orlistat-independent elimination of radiolabel) in equation 2 were fitted to the data. The average ID_{50} for a given radiolabelled triacylglycerol is the mean of these values (Table 3).

$$y = \max - \max/\exp(0.693x/ID_{50})$$
 (1)

$$y = \max - \max/\exp(0.693x/ID_{50}) + \min,$$
 (2)

where y is the radiolabel eliminated in the faeces (as a percentage of that administered) and x is the dose of orlistat.

In experiments where the effect of orlistat on the elimination of two radiolabelled triacylglycerols was compared by giving them together, the results were also analysed by linear regression (Fig. 2).

Statistics

The results in Tables 2 and 5 are expressed as the mean, with the SEM, from the indicated number of mice. The ID_{50} values in Table 3 are the mean, with the SEM, from the indicated number of experiments, each of which was made on a different day. To determine an ID_{50} ,

three mice were used at each of, typically, three different orlistat doses. Statistical significance of differences was assessed by Student's t test.

RESULTS

The experiments were designed to test the effect of orlistat, a lipase inhibitor, on the absorption of different dietary lipids (Table 1) from liquid test meals in mice. The test meals were orally administered. The orlistat was either incorporated into the meal (in meal) or administered immediately after the meal (post meal). Absorption was assessed by using radiolabelled triacylglycerols with different degrees of saturation ([¹⁴C]tri- γ -linolenin, [³H]triolein and [¹⁴C]tripalmitin). For comparative purposes, the dietary oils have been classified as high- and low-melting-point oils (above and below 0°, see Table 1).

As seen from the amount of radiolabel in the faeces of untreated mice (Table 2), the absorption of $[^{14}C]$ tri- γ -linolenin and $[^{3}H]$ triolein from the tested dietary lipids was > 97% and in general lay between 98 and 99%. In contrast, although the absorption of $[^{14}C]$ tripalmitin was > 97% when the dietary lipid was olive oil or coconut oil, it was only about 90% when the dietary lipid was cream or palm oil (Table 2). The lipase inhibitor orlistat dose-dependently inhibited the absorption of these radiolabelled triacylglycerols (e.g. $[^{3}H]$ triolein in Fig. 1) but not that of either $[^{14}C]$ oleic acid (Fernandez & Borgström, 1989; see also Fig. 1) or of $[^{14}C]$ phosphatidylcholine (Fig. 1). However, the amount of orlistat required for the half-maximal inhibition of radiolabelled triacylglycerol absorption (ID₅₀) depended on whether the orlistat was given in the meal or immediately afterwards, on which of the radiolabelled triacylglycerols was used and, to a certain extent, on the dietary lipid (Table 3).

In-meal v. post-meal administration of orlistat

When orlistat was given in the test meal its ID_{50} was about three times smaller than when it was given immediately afterwards. This difference, which was highly significant (P = 0.0001), was found irrespective of which of the radiolabels was used and of whether the dietary lipid had a high or low melting point (Table 3). For example, for [¹⁴C]tri- γ linolenin the ID₅₀ was 2-4 μ mol/kg body weight when the orlistat was given in the test meal and 5-12 μ mol/kg when given immediately afterwards (Table 3).

Dependence of the determined ID_{50} on the radiolabelled marker

The ID₅₀ for inhibiting the absorption of [³H]triolein and [¹⁴C]tripalmitin when the dietary oil had a high melting point was, for a given mode of orlistat administration, essentially the same (Table 3; the absorption of [³H]tripalmitin from test meals with low-melting-point dietary lipids was not studied). In contrast, and irrespective of the mode of orlistat administration, the ID₅₀ for the inhibition of [³H]triolein absorption was larger than that for [¹⁴C]tri- γ -linolenin, by a factor of about 1-3 from test meals containing dietary oils with a high melting point and by a factor of about 2 from those containing oils with a low melting point (Table 3). Similarly, the ID₅₀ for the inhibition of [¹⁴C]tripalmitin absorption from test meals containing dietary oils with a high melting point was 1·3- to 1·5-fold larger than that for [¹⁴C]tri- γ -linolenin (Table 3). These differences were significant (Table 4).

Dependence of the determined ID_{50} on the dietary lipid in the test meal

The ID₅₀ for the inhibition of [³H]triolein absorption was not affected by the melting point of the dietary lipid, neither was that of [¹⁴C]tri- γ -linolenin when the orlistat was given after the test meal (Table 3). However, when orlistat was given in the meal, the ID₅₀ for [¹⁴C]tri- γ -linolenin was about 1.5-fold larger from test meals containing lipids with higher as opposed to lower melting points (P = 0.012, Table 3).

Table 2. Effect of dietary lipid type on the loss of $[{}^{14}C]$ tri- γ -linolenin, $[{}^{3}H]$ triolein and $[{}^{14}C]$ tripalmitin in faeces of control mice*

Faecal loss	[14C]trilinole	nin	[³	H]triolei	n	[¹⁴ C]tripalm	itin
(expressed as % intake)	n	Mean	SE	n	Mean	SE	n	Mean	SE
Palm oil	23	1.7	0.1	39	2.8	0.2	22	9.7	0.8
Coconut oil	12	1.4	0.1	18	1.4	0.1	12	2.7	0.7
Cream	3	1.1	0-1	9	1.7	0.2	6	9.0	1.5
Olive oil	3	1.9	0.1	9	0.7	0.4	3	1.3	0.5
Sunflower-seed oil	6	1.3	0.2	3	0.9	0.0			
Borage oil	6	2.1	0.1	6	2.2	0.2			
Linseed oil	2	1.0	0.1	5	1.6	0.1			

(Mean values with their standard errors)

* For details of procedures, see pp. 852-854.



Fig. 1. Effect of the lipase inhibitor orlistat on the elimination of $[^{8}H]$ triolein (\bigcirc), $[^{14}C]$ phosphatidylcholine (\blacksquare) and $[^{14}C]$ oleic acid (\blacktriangle) in the faeces of mice. Each mouse was given one of two test meals by oral administration. These contained olive oil and either $[^{8}H]$ triolein plus $[^{14}C]$ phosphatidylcholine or $[^{8}H]$ triolein plus $[^{14}C]$ oleic acid. The orlistat was given immediately afterwards by oral administration. At each dose, each of the test meals was given to three mice. Values are means for six observations for $[^{8}H]$ triolein and three observations for both $[^{14}C]$ phosphatidylcholine and $[^{14}C]$ oleic acid. For details of test meals, see pp. 852–854.

Direct comparison of the absorption of two radiolabelled triacylglycerols from the same test meal

³H- and ¹⁴C-radiolabelled triacylglycerols were incorporated into the same test meal in order to eliminate any variations in the sensitivity of individual mice to the effect of orlistat on triacylglycerol absorption. The data were plotted as the percentage of the ¹⁴C-radiolabel recovered in the faces against that of the ³H-radiolabel, irrespective of the orlistat dose. The elimination of [¹⁴C]triolein plotted against that of [³H]triolein had, as expected, a slope https://doi.org/10.1079/BJN19950090 Published online by Cambridge University Press

ио	
meal)	
post	
or	
meal	
(in	
orlistat	
ase inhibitor	rption*
lip	bso
the	ol a
of	cerc
iacylglycerol type and the mode of administration o	its inhibition of radiolabelled triacylglyce
ary tr	
dieı	
t of	
Effec	
е Э.	
Tabl	

Fat in test mealIn mealPost mealIn mealPost mealIn mealPost mealFat in test meal n_1^+ Meansr n Meansr n Meansr n High-melting-point oils s 41 04 s 1 Meansr n Meansr n MeanHigh-melting-point oils s 41 04 5 12 1 6 53 03 5 15 1 8 n Mean sr Palm oil 3 33 066 2 10 2 3 49 04 2 18 1 4 52 05 4 14 1 Coconut oil 3 27 05 2 10 2 3 49 04 2 18 1 4 52 05 2 20 6 16 2 Average 1 3 27 05 2 10 1 2 4 2 18 1 4 52 05 2 20 6 16 2 Low-melting-point oils 1 3 27 05 2 10 2 2 02 4 14 1 Olive oil 1 3 27 05 4 10 1 2 44 28 12 4 2 6 16 2 Norwelse 7 2 18 02 2	In meal In meal Post meal In meal Post meal In meal Post meal Fat in test meal n_1^+ Mean sr n sr n	I			¹⁴ C]trili D _{s0} † (µ	nolenin mol/kg)				П	[⁸ H]tri D ₅₀ † (µ1	olein mol/kg	-				¹⁴ C]trip∂ D ₅₀ † (µn	ulmitin nol/kg	•	
Tatul n_1^4 MeanSt n MeanSt <th>tatulity test mealn_1^{+} Meanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:nMeanst:st</th> <th>0.4 1. 1.</th> <th>]</th> <th>In meal</th> <th></th> <th>P P</th> <th>ost mea</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>In meal</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>ost mea</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>In meal</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>ost meal</th> <th> </th>	tatulity test meal n_1^{+} Meanst: n Meanst:st	0.4 1. 1.]	In meal		P P	ost mea			In meal			ost mea			In meal			ost meal	
High-metring-point oilsFigh-metring-point oils541045121653035151552054141Palm oil5330.62102349042181452052206Rownelting-point oils330.62102349042181452052206162Low-melting-point oils13270.541012480.621619510.271619520.26162Low-melting-point oils13270.541012480.621619520.26162Nuflower-seed oil3270.541012480.5216320.56162Sunflower-seed oil13270.544281119520.56162Notage oil13270.544281111126162101821961621011214216191821016 <td>High-melting-point oils541045121653035151552054141Palm oil333062102349042181452052206Average833062102349042181452052206Average8330621119510271619520305Low-melting-point oils13154102426162Olive oil1327054112442814265162Sunflower-seed oil321212442811265305Sunflower-seed oil218012722161214265305Average721612722161214265305Sunflower-seed oil218012722161214265050505050505Average7</td> <td>rat meal test meal</td> <td>nţ</td> <td>Mean</td> <td>SE</td> <td>u</td> <td>Mean</td> <td>SE </td> <td>u</td> <td>Mean</td> <td>SE</td> <td>n</td> <td>Mean</td> <td>SE</td> <td>u</td> <td>Mean</td> <td>SE</td> <td>"</td> <td>Mean</td> <td>SE</td>	High-melting-point oils541045121653035151552054141Palm oil333062102349042181452052206Average833062102349042181452052206Average8330621119510271619520305Low-melting-point oils13154102426162Olive oil1327054112442814265162Sunflower-seed oil321212442811265305Sunflower-seed oil218012722161214265305Average721612722161214265305Sunflower-seed oil218012722161214265050505050505Average7	rat meal test meal	nţ	Mean	SE	u	Mean	SE	u	Mean	SE	n	Mean	SE	u	Mean	SE	"	Mean	SE
Palm oil541 0.4 5121653 0.3 5151554141Coconut oil3333 0.6 2102349 0.4 21814522066Average838 0.3 7111951 0.2 7161952 0.5 2206Low-melting-point oils13270.51119510271619520.55162Dive oil13270.541012480.521612162Sunflower-seed oil13270.541012480.52162Borage oil13270.54162196Linseed oil2180.1272248111Average72.50.39918520.49182Linseed oil2161214216214111Average72.50.399121426162Linseed oil218216 <t< td=""><td>Paim oil541045121653035151552054141Coconut oil3330:621023490.421814552206162Average8380:3711195:10:2716195:20:552206162Low-neting-point oils132:70:541012480:4218145:20:52206162Olive oil132:70:54101244281145:20:55162Sufflower-seed oil132:70:541621965516219Linseed oil21:80:1272244219621111Average72:80:12141121421411Linseed oil21:80:127161214212142141Average72:80:1214214218</td><td>High-melting-point oils</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Paim oil541045121653035151552054141Coconut oil3330:621023490.421814552206162Average8380:3711195:10:2716195:20:552206162Low-neting-point oils132:70:541012480:4218145:20:52206162Olive oil132:70:54101244281145:20:55162Sufflower-seed oil132:70:541621965516219Linseed oil21:80:1272244219621111Average72:80:12141121421411Linseed oil21:80:127161214212142141Average72:80:1214214218	High-melting-point oils				1														
	Coconut oil33:30:6210234:90:4218145:20:52206Average83:80:3711195:10:2716195:20:56162Low-melting-point oils132:70:5410124*0:2716195:20:56162Olive oil132:70:5410124*0:5216320:5Sufflower-seed oil32:70:5410124*0:5216335:60:52162162Borage oil132:70:5410124*281111Average71:13271:61214286Linseed oil21:80:121:24162196Average71:13399185:20:49182Cream21:6121421426112Cream21:61214*218216112Cream2 <td>Palm oil</td> <td>ŝ</td> <td>41</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>Ś</td> <td>12</td> <td>-</td> <td>9</td> <td>5:3</td> <td>0-3</td> <td>S</td> <td>15</td> <td>-</td> <td>Ś</td> <td>5:2</td> <td>0-5</td> <td>4</td> <td>14</td> <td>-</td>	Palm oil	ŝ	4 1	0 . 4	Ś	12	-	9	5:3	0-3	S	15	-	Ś	5:2	0-5	4	14	-
Average83:80:3711195:10.2716195:20.26162Low-melting-point oils132:70:5410124*26:30:550:56162Low-melting-point oils132:70:5410124*0:5216335:60:2421426:30:5Sunflower-seed oil32:70:5410124*0:5216335:70:54*10124*2*1012111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111213121411111212121212	Average8380-3711195-10-2716195-20-26162Low-melting-point oils132-71535-60-2421426-30-5Olive oil132-70-541012480-521630-5Sunflower-seed oil32-70-5410124428111Borage oil132-72124162196Linseed oil212399185-20-49182Average72.50-399185-20-49182Cream21162161214+511* For details of oils and procedures, see Table 1 and pp. 852-854. t Derived from the data by nonlinear curve fitting.	Coconut oil	m	÷.	0.6	2	10	7	e	4.9	0.4	2	18	1	4	5.2	0.5	0	3	9
Low-melting-point oils Low-melting-point oils 1 5 3 5-6 0-2 4 21 4 2 6-3 0-5 Olive oil 1 3 2-7 0-5 4 10 1 2 4-8 0-5 2 16 3 Sunflower-seed oil 3 2-7 0-5 4 10 1 2 4-8 0-5 2 19 6 Borage oil 2 1-8 0-1 2 7 2 2 4-4 2-8 1 11 Average 7 2-5 0-3 9 9 1 8 5-2 0-4 9 18 2 Average 7 2-5 0-3 9 9 1 2 14/5 1	Low-melting-point oilsLow-melting-point oils13 5.6 0.2 4 21 4 2 6.3 0.5 Olive oil13 2.7 0.5 4 10 1 2 4.8 0.5 2 16 3 0.5 Sunflower-seed oil3 2.7 0.5 4 10 1 2 4.8 0.5 2 16 3 0.5 Borage oil13 2 12 4 1 6 2 19 6 Linseed oil2 1.8 0.1 2 7 2 2 4.4 2.8 1 11 Average7 2.5 0.3 9 9 1 8 5.2 0.4 9 18 2 Cream2 2 16 1 2 14.5 1 2 14.5 1 For details of oils and procedures, see Table 1 and pp. 852-854. t Derived from the data by nonlinear curve fitting.	Average	×	3.8	0-3 0	7	11	1	6	5·1	0.2	٢	16	1	6	5:2	0.2 0	9	16	6
Olive oil 1 3 1 5 3 5.6 0.2 4 21 4 2 6.3 0.5 Sunflower-seed oil 3 2.7 0.5 4 10 1 2 4.8 0.5 2 16 3 5.6 0.5 4 10 1 2 4.8 0.5 2 16 3 5.6 0.5 16 3 5.6 0.5 2 16 3 5.6 0.5 2 16 3 5.7 0.5 5.7 1 6 2 1 1 6 2 1 1 1 5.7 0.5 0.5 0.5 5.8 0.5 5.7 0.5 0.5 0.5 5.7 0.4 0.6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 5.7 0.5 0.5 0.5 1 1 1 1 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 5 1 5	Olive oil131535.6 0.2 42142 6.3 0.5 Sunflower-seed oil32.7 0.5 41012 4.8 0.5 2 16 3 2.5 0.5 Borage oil132.7 0.5 41012 4.8 0.5 2 16 3 6.5 Linseed oil212722 2.44 2.8 1 11 Average72.5 0.3 9918 5.2 0.4 9 18 2 Cream211621612 14.5 1 11 * For details of oils and procedures, see Table 1 and pp. 852-854. t Derived from the data by nonlinear curve fitting.	Low-melting-point oils																		
Sunflower-seed oil 3 2.7 0.5 4 10 1 2 4.8 0.5 2 16 3 Borage oil 1 3 2 12 4 1 6 2 19 6 Linseed oil 2 12 4 1 6 2 19 6 Average 7 2.5 0.3 9 9 1 8 5.2 0.4 9 18 2 Cream 2 16 1 2 16 2 14.5 1 2	Sunflower-seed oil 3 2.7 0.5 4 10 1 2 48 0.5 2 16 3 Borage oil1321241 6 219 6 Linseed oil218 0.1 2722 44 28 111Average72.5 0.3 9918 5.2 0.4 9182Cream211 6 2161214.51 1 $*$ For details of oils and procedures, see Table 1 and pp. 852-854. \dagger Derived from the data by nonlinear curve fitting.	Olive oil	I	n		I	ŝ		ŝ	5.6	0.2	4	21	4	7	6.3	0-5			
Borage oil 1 3 2 12 4 1 6 2 19 6 Linseed oil 2 1:8 0:1 2 7 2 2 4:4 2:8 1 11 Average 7 2:5 0:3 9 9 1 8 5:2 0:4 9 18 2 Cream 2 11 6 2 16 1 2 14:5 1	Borage oil 1 3 2 12 4 1 6 2 19 6 Linseed oil 2 18 01 2 7 2 2 44 28 1 11 Average 7 2:5 0:3 9 9 1 8 5:2 0:4 9 18 2 Cream 2 11 6 2 16 1 2 14:5 1 2 Cream 2 16 1 2 14:5 1 2 2 55.6 54.5 For details of oils and procedures, see Table 1 and pp. 852-854. 7 2 2 1 2 2 6 2 1 2 2 4 2 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Sunflower-seed oil	ŝ	2:7	0.5	4	10	1	0	4·8	0.5	6	16	m						
Linseed oil 2 1:8 0:1 2 7 2 2 4:4 2:8 1 11 Average 7 2:5 0:3 9 9 1 8 5:2 0:4 9 18 2 Cream 2 11 6 2 16 1 2 14:5 1	Linsed oil 2 1:8 0.1 2 7 2 2 4:4 2.8 1 11 Average 7 2:5 0:3 9 9 1 8 5:2 0:4 9 18 2 Cream 2 11 6 2 16 1 2 14:5 1 * For details of oils and procedures, see Table 1 and pp. 852-854, 1 9 9 10 10	Borage oil	I	ŝ		6	12	4	-	9		7	19	9						
Average 7 2:5 0:3 9 1 8 5:2 0:4 9 18 2 Cream 2 11 6 2 16 1 2 14:5 1	Average 7 2.5 0.3 9 9 1 8 5.2 0.4 9 18 2 Cream 2 11 6 2 16 1 2 14.5 1 9 18 2 * For details of oils and procedures, see Table 1 and pp. 852-854. * 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Linseed oil	2	1.8	0-I	0	7	7	7	4-4	2-8	1	11							
Cream 2 11 6 2 16 1 2 14·5 1	Cream 2 11 6 2 16 1 2 14·5 1 * For details of oils and procedures, see Table 1 and pp. 852-854. † Derived from the data by nonlinear curve fitting.	Average	7	2:5	0-3	6	6	1	8	5·2	0-4	6	18	6						
	 * For details of oils and procedures, see Table 1 and pp. 852-854. † Derived from the data by nonlinear curve fitting. 	Cream	7	11	9	7	16	1	7	14.5	-									
					* +	For det	ails of o	ils and	procee	lures, se	e Table	l and j	pp. 852-	854.						
* For details of oils and procedures, see Table 1 and pp. 852–854. * Derived from the date by monitories murice fitting					- +			IC UALC	UN IU	HILLICAL V	111 AC 111	ung.								

ORLISTAT AND TRIACYLGLYCEROL ABSORPTION



Fig. 2. Comparison of the effect of the lipase inhibitor orlistat on the elimination in the faeces of $[^{14}C]$ tri- γ -linolenin, $[^{14}C]$ tripalmitin and $[^{14}C]$ triolein with that of $[^{8}H]$ triolein from test meals containing different lipids. The mice were orally administered a test meal containing orlistat and $[^{8}H]$ triolein with $[^{14}C]$ triolein (a), $[^{14}C]$ tri- γ -linolenin (b) or $[^{14}C]$ tripalmitin (c-f). The orlistat and the radiolabelled triacylglycerols were dissolved in olive oil (a-c), coconut oil (d), cream (e) or palm oil (f). The orlistat was given at 0 (O), 3 (\triangle), 10 (\Box) and 30 (\bigcirc) μ mol/kg body weight. Each value is from one mouse. The values from the control mice (O) were excluded from the linear regressions. Parameters derived from these regressions are given at the foot of the opposite page.

	[¹⁴ C]trilinolenin v. [³ H]triolein P	[¹⁴ C]trilinolenin v. [¹⁴ C]tripalmitin P	[⁸ H]triolein v. [¹⁴ C]tripalmitin P	
In high-melting-point oil				
In meal	0.006	0.015	0.917	
Post meal	0.001	0.021	0.913	
In low-melting-point oil				
In meal	0.0001			
Post meal	0.001			

 Table 4. Significance of the effect of the fatty acid composition of radiolabelled triacylglycerols on the ability of orlistat to inhibit their absorption

 Table 5. Comparison of the orlistat-induced loss of radiolabelled triolein in the faeces of mice when the orlistat and the radiolabel are given in the same or different test meals*

 (Mean values with their standard errors for three mice)

Faecal loss (expressed as %	6 intake) [³ H]tri	olein	[¹⁴ C]tri	olein
Orlistat (µmol/kg body wt)	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
3	15.0	6.0	5.0	4.0
10	39.0	13·0	21·0	10.0
30	66-0	7·0	50-0	9.0

* Each mouse was orally administered two test meals, one after the other. Both test meals, which contained olive oil as the dietary lipid, had the same composition except that, in addition, the first contained orlistat plus [³H]triolein and the second [¹⁴C]triolein. For further details, see p. 854.

of unity and an intercept of approximately zero (Fig. 2a). However, a plot of $[^{14}C]$ tri- γ -linolenin against $[^{3}H]$ triolein was apparently curvilinear, in that although the elimination of both radiolabels was < 2% in the absence of orlistat (Table 2), in its presence the elimination of $[^{14}C]$ tri- γ -linolenin was up to 20% larger than that of $[^{3}H]$ triolein (Fig. 2b). Plots of the elimination of $[^{14}C]$ tripalmitin against $[^{3}H]$ triolein were also linear (Fig. 2c-f). When the dietary lipid was olive oil or coconut oil the slope was about 1 and the intercept close to zero (Fig. 2c and d). In contrast, when the dietary lipid was cream or palm oil the slopes were less than unity (0.89 and 0.76 respectively) and there were intercepts of 15 and 21% respectively, on the $[^{14}C]$ tripalmitin axis (Fig. 2e and f). These intercepts (see legend

Fig. 2 (c	cont.)
-----------	--------

			Intercont	Confi limits	dence 95%	
Figure	Slope	SD	(%)	(%)	(%)	
a	1.00	0.01	-0.1	-1	1	
b	0.80	0.04	23	16	30	
с	1.01	0.03	-3	-8	1	
đ	0.95	0.03	-0.4	-5	5	
e	0.89	0.09	15	4	26	
f	0.76	0.09	21	7	35	

to Fig. 2) were higher than the level of $[^{14}C]$ tripalmitin elimination found in mice that were not treated with orlistat (Table 2).

In-meal v. extra-meal administration of orlistat

When mice were administered a test meal that contained orlistat plus [³H]triolein and then, immediately afterwards, a second that contained [¹⁴C]triolein alone, the elimination of the [³H]triolein was consistently larger than that of the [¹⁴C]triolein (Table 5). This is in contrast to the results shown in Fig. 2a, which show that when both radiolabels were incorporated into the same test meal orlistat inhibited their absorption to the same extent.

DISCUSSION

As triacylglycerol absorption is usually complete, it is difficult to assess in vivo whether the substrate specificity of gastrointestinal lipases is the same for all triacylglycerols. In order to assess this, under reasonably physiological conditions, we tested the effect of orlistat on the absorption of three very different radiolabelled triacylglycerols (tripalmitin, triolein and tri- γ -linolenin) from a variety of plant oils as well as from cream. The dietary lipids were chosen to give a spectrum of fatty acid compositions, iodine values and melting points similar to that of the triacylglycerols found in the human diet (Table 1). The radiolabels were chosen to represent saturated, unsaturated and highly unsaturated dietary triacylglycerols. However, this choice was determined by availability rather than resemblance to naturally occurring triacylglycerols. The only advantage of triacylglycerols that are uniformly substituted with the same fatty acid is the simplicity of their synthesis, which leads directly to chemically defined molecules. The term triacylglycerol absorption, although not strictly correct, is a convenient description for the overall process of triacylglycerol hydrolysis and the subsequent absorption of the liberated fatty acids and monoacylglycerols. It is especially convenient as in vivo the two underlying processes are difficult to observe separately. However, as orlistat inhibits triacylglycerol hydrolysis and not fatty acid absorption (Fernandez & Borgström, 1989; see also Fig. 1), an orlistatinduced increase in radiolabel in the faeces from radiolabelled dietary triacylglycerols should mainly monitor its effect on triacylglycerol hydrolysis.

In the absence of orlistat, radiolabelled tri- γ -linolenin and triolein were almost completely absorbed (> 97%) by mice from all the dietary fats (Table 2). However, the absorption of radiolabelled tripalmitin depended on the oil; it was about 99% when the dietary lipid was olive oil, about 97% when it was coconut oil and about 90% when it was cream or palm oil (Table 2). This is not usually observed (Bonanome & Grundy, 1988) and may result from the faster gastrointestinal transit after oral administration of a liquid test meal than after eating a normal meal (after 7 h we found > 90% of the nonabsorbable marker, [³H]polyethyleneglycol, in the faeces following an orally administered meal as opposed to about 60% following a normal meal). Previous studies in rats have shown that both palmitic and stearic acids require a greater length of intestine for their absorption than do linoleic and oleic acids (Ockner *et al.* 1972; Bergstedt *et al.* 1990) and, further, that the absorption of palmitic acid is more easily decreased than that of linoleic by increasing the palmitic acid load (Ockner *et al.* 1972). However, the present results do not distinguish between incomplete hydrolysis of tripalmitin and incomplete absorption of palmitic acid.

A least-squares, nonlinear curve-fitting procedure was used to derive an ID_{50} for the inhibition of triacylglycerol absorption from the data. In the absence of a theoretical model an exponential relationship between dose and response was assumed in which both the maximal level of inhibition and the ID_{50} were determinable parameters (see equations 1 and

2). Using equation 1 or, where the radiolabel was eliminated in the faeces in the absence of orlistat, equation 2, the maximal inhibition was found to be approximately 80% (e.g. Fig. 1). Neither the nature of the radiolabelled triacylglycerol nor that of the dietary fat appeared to influence this value. One explanation for the maximal inhibition being less than 100% is that before orlistat can bind covalently to the lipase it has to compete with the dietary triacylglycerol for access to the substrate binding site. However, this is unlikely to be the only factor, as the maximal elimination of dietary triacylglycerol does not depend on the amount of triacylglycerol in the test meal (D. Isler, unpublished results).

The dose of orlistat required to decrease absorption to 50 % (ID₅₀) of the extrapolated maximal value was between 2 and 4 times larger when the orlistat was given after the test meal compared with when it was dissolved in the lipids of the test meal (Table 3). Evidently, while in the stomach, orlistat suspended in a 50 g gum arabic plus 50 g milk-powder/l vehicle does not fully incorporate into the dietary lipids of the test meal we used. A possible explanation is that the low solubility of orlistat in water retards its transfer into the lipid phase containing the radiolabelled marker. We also found, when a test meal containing [³H]triolein plus orlistat was administered immediately before a test meal containing only $[^{14}C]$ triolein, that the absorption of $[^{14}C]$ triolein was less inhibited than that of $[^{3}H]$ triolein (compare the results in Table 5 with those in Fig. 2a). Evidently, the complete equilibration of components between different lipid phases in the stomach does not always occur.

The ID₅₀ for the inhibition of lipase activity by orlistat cannot depend on the radiolabelled triacylglycerol as this is present only in trace amounts. Therefore, as the ID_{50} for the inhibition of radiolabelled triolein absorption is higher than that of tri- γ -linolenin (Table 3), it follows that triolein is a better substrate for gastrointestinal lipases than tri- γ -linolenin. This is consistent with the results of Lawson & Hughes (1988) who found, in *vitro*, that pancreatic lipase was less efficient at hydrolysing γ -linolenic acid than α -linolenic acid, and most other fatty acids, from plant triacylglycerols. The effect of orlistat on the absorption of γ -linolenic acid is therefore not representative of its effect on the absorption of essential and polyunsaturated fatty acids in general. The ID₅₀ for the inhibition of radiolabelled triolein absorption is independent of the melting point of the dietary lipid and of whether the orlistat is given after the test meal or dissolved in the lipids of the test meal (Table 3). The same is true for tri- γ -linolenin when administered after the test meal, but when it is dissolved in the lipids of the test meal the ID_{50} is slightly smaller if they have a low, as opposed to a high, melting point (2.5 v. 3.8, P = 0.012, Table 3).

It is generally assumed that radiolabelled triacylglycerols are best as markers for those triacylglycerols they most resemble. However, the extent to which radiolabelled triacylglycerols that contain a single fatty acid species resemble and report on dietary triacylglycerols is not known. Our results show that the absorption of $[{}^{14}C]$ tri- γ -linolenin is more easily inhibited by orlistat than is that of [14C]triolein (Fig. 2 and Table 3), and that under certain conditions although the absorption of $[{}^{14}C]$ triolein and $[{}^{14}C]$ triolein is complete, that of [14C]tripalmitin is about 90% (Fig. 2e and f and Table 2).

In conclusion, orlistat can be used to investigate triacylglycerol hydrolysis and absorption under physiological conditions in the intact animal and may therefore provide a useful tool to elucidate the different processes that occur in fat absorption.

REFERENCES

- Barbier, P. & Schneider, F. (1987). Synthesis of tetrahydrolipstatin and absolute configuration of tetrahydrolipstatin and lipstatin. Helvetica Chimica Acta 70, 196-202.
- Bergstedt, S. E., Bergstedt, J. L., Fujimoto, K., Mansbach, C., Kritchevsky, D. & Tso, P. (1991). Effects of glycerol tripalmitate and glycerol trioleate on intestinal absorption of glycerol tristearate. American Journal of Physiology 261, G239-G247.

- Bergstedt, S. E., Hayashi, H., Kritchevsky, D. & Tso, P. (1990). A comparison of absorption of glycerol tristearate and glycerol trioleate by rat small intestine. *American Journal of Physiology* 259, G386–G393.
- Bonanome, A. & Grundy, S. M. (1988). Effect of dietary stearic acid on plasma cholesterol and lipoprotein levels. New England Journal of Medicine 318, 1244–1248.
- Borgström, B. (1988). Mode of action of tetrahydrolipstatin: a derivative of the naturally occurring lipase inhibitor lipstatin. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 962, 308-316.
- Brockerhoff, H. (1970). Substrate specificity of pancreatic lipase. Influences of the structure of fatty acids on the reactivity of esters. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 212, 92-101.
- Carey, M. C., Small, D. M. & Bliss, C. M. (1983). Lipid digestion and absorption. Annual Review of Physiology 45, 651-678.
- Chen, Q., Sternby, B. & Nilsson, A. (1989). Hydrolysis of triacylglycerol arachidonic and linoleic acid ester bonds by human pancreatic lipase and carboxyl ester lipase. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 1004, 372-385.
- Drent, M. L., Von Bergmann, K., Quaade, F., Sjöström, L., Strobel, W. & Van der Veen, E. A. (1992). Multiple dose study with orlistat in obese patients. *International Journal of Obesity* 16, Suppl 1, 16.
- Fernandez, E. & Borgström, B. (1989). Effect of tetrahydrolipstatin, a lipase inhibitor, on absorption of fat from the intestine of the rat. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 1001, 249-255.
- Hadváry, P. Lengsfeld, H. & Wolfer, H. (1988). Inhibition of pancreatic lipase in vitro by the covalent inhibitor tetrahydrolipstatin. *Biochemical Journal* 256, 357-361.
- Hadváry, P., Sidler, W., Meister, W., Vetter, W. & Wolfer, H. (1991). The lipase inhibitor tetrahydrolipstatin binds covalently to the putative active site serine of pancreatic lipase. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 266, 2021-2027.
- Hauptmann, J. B., Jeunet, F. S. & Hartmann, D. (1992). Initial studies in humans with the novel gastrointestinal lipase inhibitor Ro 18-0647 (tetrahydrolipstatin). American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 55, 3098-313S.
- Hogan, S., Fleury, A., Hadváry, P., Lengsfeld, H., Meier, M. K., Triscari, J. & Sullivan, A. C. (1987). Studies on the antiobesity activity of tetrahydrolipstatin, a potent and selective inhibitor of pancreatic lipase. *International Journal of Obesity* 11, Suppl. 3, 35-42.
- Lawson, L. D. & Hughes, B. G. (1988). Triacylglycerol structure of plant and fungal oils containing γ -linolenic acid. Lipids 23, 313–317.
- Lüthi-Peng, Q., Märki, H. P. & Hadváry, P. (1992). Identification of the active-site serine in human pancreatic lipase by chemical modification with tetrahydrolipstatin. *FEBS Letters* 229, 111-115.
- Meier, M. K., Blum-Kählin, D., Bremer, K., Isler, D., Joly, P., Keller-Rupp, P. & Lengsfeld, H. (1991). Preclinical profile of the lipase inhibitor tetrahydrolipstatin (Ro 18-0647, THL), a potential drug for the treatment of obesity. *International Journal of Obesity* 15, Suppl. 1, 31.
- Nelson, G. J. & Ackman, R. G. (1988). Absorption and transport of fat in mammals with emphasis on N-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Lipids* 23, 1005–1014.
- Ockner, R. K., Pittman, J. P. & Yager, J. L. (1972). Differences in the intestinal absorption of saturated and unsaturated long chain fatty acids. *Gastroenterology* 62, 981-992.
- Raederstorff, D. & Moser, U. (1992). Borage or primrose oil added to standardized diets are equivalent sources for γ -linolenic acid in rats. Lipids 27, 1018–1023.
- Rieke, R. D. & Bales, S. E. (1974). Activated metals. IV. Preparation and reactions of highly reactive magnesium metal. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **96**, 1775–1781.
- Wissenschaftlische Tabellen Geigy (1977). Teilband Körperflüssigkeiten, 8te Auflage, Basel: Ciba-Geigy AG.
- Yang, L.-Y., Kuksis, A. & Myher, J. J. (1990). Lipolysis of menhaden oil triacylglycerols and the corresponding fatty acid alkyl esters by pancreatic lipase in vitro: a reexamination. Journal of Lipid Research 31, 137-148.