

**Editor's note**

We have sent this letter, together with Professor Waterlow's letter, to the heads of the departments of Nutrition and of Child and Adolescent Health and Development at WHO, with a request for their comment.

*The New Nutrition Science***So what is new**

Madam

Roger Hughes rightly says that the discipline of public health nutrition needs definition<sup>(1)</sup>. Mark Lawrence and Tony Worsley agree<sup>(2)</sup>.

However, in my opinion current definitions stick public health nutrition up a gum tree. Its teachers and practitioners generally seem content to identify the discipline as a branch of clinical nutrition. In a parallel process, public health is now usually seen as a branch of medical science. Taken together, these mind-sets make public health nutrition a rather trivial discipline – in the metaphor, not a tree but a twig. Or, to alter the metaphor, the medical sciences build palaces within which the public health sciences are allocated leaky wings, while public health nutritionists are stuck in out-houses. These images correspond to the relative amounts of cash and other resources currently available to medical researchers, in contrast with public health professionals.

The new nutrition science stands up out of this cultural cringe. It identifies clinical nutrition and public health nutrition as branches of nutrition seen as a whole, which has social (including political), economic and environmental as well as biological dimensions<sup>(3)</sup>. It does not identify health with the treatment or prevention of disease. This new vision is at the same time a return to the ancient tradition of dietetics, the natural philosophy which – in common with classic public health – was, as from the later 19th century CE, expropriated and subjugated by what are now the dominant conventional medical sciences<sup>(4,5)</sup>.

It may be tempting to acquiesce in a caste system within which public health nutritionists are the street-sweepers and scavengers. In response, not for the first time, I offer the challenge of Rudolf Virchow in 1848: 'It is no longer a question of treating the patient with drugs or by the regulation of food, clothing or housing... With one million people, palliatives will no longer do... We must begin to promote the advancement of the entire population'<sup>(6)</sup>.

The New Nutrition Science project was launched in 2005 at the International Congress on Nutrition in Durban. Colleagues now presenting and developing the project are – as I am – constantly told by young

professionals that the vision of the new nutrition, including its environmental dimension, resonates with the reasons why they have chosen nutrition as their profession<sup>(7)</sup>.

Geoffrey Cannon

Minas Gerais, Brazil

Email: GeoffreyCannon@aol.com

doi:10.1017/S1368980008004205

*References*

1. Hughes R (2008) Public health nutrition – moving from rhetoric to results. *Public Health Nutr* **11**, 1091–1092.
2. Lawrence M & Worsley T (editors) (2007) Principles. In: *Public Health Nutrition: from Principles to Practice, Section 1*. Crows Nest, NSW: Allen and Unwin.
3. Anon (2005) The Giessen Declaration. *Public Health Nutr* **8**, 783–786.
4. Cannon G (2005) The rise and fall of dietetics and of nutrition science. *Public Health Nutr* **8**, 701–705.
5. Latour B (1988) *The Pasteurization of France*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press (first published in French, 1984).
6. Virchow R (2006) Report on the typhus epidemic in Upper Silesia. *Soc Med* **1**, 11–98. (first published in German, 1848).
7. Candelas V, Nymoen L, Patterson E & Scholtens S (2008) New nutrition science project. *Public Health Nutr* **11**, 652.

*Out of the Box***Kind words**

Madam

As a reader of *Public Health Nutrition* I want to share with you the immense enjoyment and pleasure I get from reading the witty and original Out of the Box column. This touches on a diversity of aspects of nutrition that may not always fit neatly into the normal teaching and practice of our profession, but that are vital to the development and communication of nutrition science. You have chosen well to have Geoffrey Cannon as your columnist.

Ursula Arens

Features Editor, *Network Health Dietitian*

Email: ursula@macunlimited.net

doi:10.1017/S1368980008004217

**Editor's note**

From this issue *Out of the Box* is included in the new 'back of the book' section that also includes invited commentaries, reviews and letters. This offers increased diversity of opinion. Signed editorials remain in the front of the book. Readers are encouraged to send letters for publication.