

A SPECIAL CASE OF THE VANISHING OF A (G, σ)-PRODUCT IN A (G, σ)-SPACE

BY
K. SINGH

In [1] we constructed a (G, σ)-space and determined a condition which is both necessary and sufficient for the (G, σ)-product of the vectors v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n to be zero. The purpose of the present paper is to give a criterion for $v_1 \Delta v_2 \Delta \dots \Delta v_n$ to be zero, in the particular case when V is a unitary space and the group G belongs to a special class of groups which we shall define below. As a result, we get a criterion which is very simple to determine whether $v_1 \Delta v_2 \Delta \dots \Delta v_n$ is zero or not. We repeat some definitions and results of [1] in order to make this paper self-contained.

1. Let G be a permutation group on the set $I = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$, F an arbitrary field, σ a linear character of G into F^* , the multiplicative group of the field F . Consider the Cartesian product $W = V \times V \times \dots \times V$ (n copies), where V is an m -dimensional vector space over F .

1.1 DEFINITION. A mapping $f: W \rightarrow U$, where U is any vector space over F , is called (G, σ) iff

$$(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)f = \sigma(g)(w_{g(1)}, w_{g(2)}, \dots, w_{g(n)})f$$

for all $g \in G$, $w_i \in V$, and $i \in I$.

1.2 DEFINITION. An element $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) \in W$ is called a (G, σ) element iff $\exists g \in G$ such that $\sigma(g) \neq 1$ and $w_i, w_{g(i)}$ are linearly dependent for all $i \in I$.

1.3 DEFINITION. A vector space T over F is called a (G, σ)-space of W , iff \exists a mapping τ on W into T such that

- (i) τ is multilinear and (G, σ).
- (ii) T has a "Universal mapping property", i.e. if U is any vector space over F and f is any multilinear and (G, σ) mapping of W into U , then \exists a unique linear transformation \bar{f} of T into U , such that $\tau\bar{f} = f$.

In [1] we have shown that, given G, σ , and W , there exists a (G, σ)-space which is unique up to isomorphism.

1.4 Notation. If $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) \in W$, we denote its image $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)\tau$ under τ by $w_1 \Delta w_2 \Delta \dots \Delta w_n$ and call it the (G, σ)-product of the vectors w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n .

Received by the editors October 17, 1969 and, in revised form, July 21, 1970.

1.5 DEFINITION. An element $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) \in W$ is called a trivial element iff $w_i = 0$ for some i . Otherwise it is called nontrivial.

1.6 REMARK. If $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) \in W$ is a trivial element, then since τ is multilinear, we have $w_1 \Delta w_2 \Delta \dots \Delta w_n = 0$. Thus we shall assume from here on that (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) is a nontrivial element of W .

We have the following sufficient condition for $v_1 \Delta v_2 \Delta \dots \Delta v_n = 0$.

1.7 THEOREM. If $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in W$ is nontrivial and a (G, σ) element, then $v_1 \Delta v_2 \Delta \dots \Delta v_n = 0$.

Proof. (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) is a (G, σ) element implies there exists $g \in G$ such that $\sigma(g) \neq 1$ and $v_i, v_{g(i)}$ are linearly dependent for all $i \in I$. Let $v_{g(i)} = \lambda_{g(i)} v_i$, where $\lambda_{g(i)} \in F$ for all $i \in I$. We shall first show that $\lambda_{g(1)} \lambda_{g(2)} \dots \lambda_{g(n)} = 1$. Let $g = C_1 C_2 \dots C_k$ be the cyclic decomposition of g , which also includes the cycles of length one, if any. Let $D_i = \text{dom } C_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Then $I = \bigcup_{i=1}^k D_i$ and if $i, j \in I, i \neq j$, then $D_i \cap D_j = \emptyset$. Let $C_i = (\alpha_{i,1}, \alpha_{i,2}, \dots, \alpha_{i,n_i})$, where $n_i \geq 1$ is the length of the cycle $C_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Then $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k = n$.

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\alpha_{i,n_i}} &= v_{g(\alpha_{i,n_i-1})} = \lambda_{g(\alpha_{i,n_i-1})} v_{\alpha_{i,n_i-1}} = \dots = \dots \\ &= \lambda_{g(\alpha_{i,n_i-1})} \dots \lambda_{g(\alpha_{i,1})} \lambda_{g(\alpha_{i,n_i})} v_{\alpha_{i,n_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\prod_{\alpha \in D_i} \lambda_{g(\alpha)} = 1$, and since i is arbitrary, we have

$$\prod_{\alpha \in D} \lambda_{g(\alpha)} = \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{\alpha \in D_i} \lambda_{g(\alpha)} = 1.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 \Delta v_2 \Delta \dots \Delta v_n &= (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \tau \\ &= \sigma(g)(v_{g(1)}, v_{g(2)}, \dots, v_{g(n)}) \tau \\ &= \sigma(g)(\lambda_{g(1)} v_1, \lambda_{g(2)} v_2, \dots, \lambda_{g(n)} v_n) \tau \\ &= \sigma(g) \prod_{\alpha \in D} \lambda_{g(\alpha)} (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \tau \\ &= \sigma(g) v_1 \Delta v_2 \Delta \dots \Delta v_n \end{aligned}$$

and since $\sigma(g) \neq 1$, we have $v_1 \Delta v_2 \Delta \dots \Delta v_n = 0$.

1.8 REMARK. The converse of Theorem 1.7 is false; for take $G = S_3$, and $\sigma: G \rightarrow F^*$, defined by

$$\sigma(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g \text{ is an even permutation,} \\ -1 & \text{if } g \text{ is an odd permutation.} \end{cases}$$

Then the (G, σ) space in this case is the Grassman space $\wedge^3 V$. Clearly $(v_1, v_2, v_1 + v_2) \in W$ is not a (G, σ) element, but it is well known in the theory of Grassman space that since $v_1, v_2, v_1 + v_2$ are linearly dependent, we have

$$v_1 \Delta v_2 \Delta (v_1 + v_2) = v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge (v_1 + v_2) = 0.$$

However if V is a unitary space and G belongs to a certain class of groups \mathbf{G} , which we shall define below, then the converse of the Theorem 1.7 is also true.

2. Particularizing V and G . Let G be a subgroup of S_n , the symmetric group of degree n . If T is an orbit of G , let g^T denote the restriction of g to T . Let $G^T = \{g^T \mid g \in G\}$. Then G^T is a subgroup of S_T , the symmetric group on T . Let $\mathbf{G} = \{G \mid G \text{ is a subgroup of } S_n \text{ and if } T \text{ is any orbit of } G, \text{ then } G^T \text{ is cyclic}\}$. Clearly \mathbf{G} contains every cyclic group. As to the other members of \mathbf{G} , they are all abelian.

Let $G \in \mathbf{G}$ and $W = V \times V \times \cdots \times V$ (n copies), where V is a unitary space of dimension m . Let σ be any linear character of G and consider the (G, σ) -space of W .

2.1 DEFINITION. If $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in W$, then a mapping $\gamma: I \rightarrow I$ is called an indicator of v iff $\gamma_i = \gamma_j$, where $\gamma_i = \gamma(i)$, when and only when v_i and v_j are linearly dependent.

2.2 DEFINITION. If γ is an indicator of v , then we define $G_\gamma = \{g \mid g \in G, \gamma_i = \gamma_{g(i)} \text{ for all } i \in I\}$. It is proved in [2, Theorem 5, p. 4], that $v_1 \Delta v_2 \Delta \cdots \Delta v_n = 0$ iff $\sum_{g \in G_\gamma} \sigma(g) = 0$, for any indicator γ of v .

2.3 THEOREM. *With G and W as defined in §2, $v_1 \Delta v_2 \Delta \cdots \Delta v_n = 0$ iff (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) is a (G, σ) element.*

Proof. (\Leftarrow) It is a particular case of Theorem 1.7. (\Rightarrow) Let γ be any indicator of $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$. Then $\sum_{g \in G} \sigma(g) = 0$. This implies that there exists $g \in G$ such that $\sigma(g) \neq 1$. Also $g \in G_\gamma$ implies $\gamma_i = \gamma(g(i))$ for all $i \in I$ and this implies that v_i and $v_{g(i)}$ are linearly dependent for all $i \in I$. Thus there exists $g \in G$ such that $\sigma(g) \neq 1$ and v_i and $v_{g(i)}$ are linearly dependent for all $i \in I$, i.e. (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) is a (G, σ) -element.

REFERENCES

1. K. Singh, *On the vanishing of a (G, σ) -product in a (G, σ) -space*, *Canad. J. Math.* (2) XXII (1970), 363-371.
2. Stanley Gill Williamson, *A characterization of the homogeneous zero element in certain symmetry classes of tensors*, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of California at Santa Barbara, California, 1964.

UNIVERSITY OF NEW BRUNSWICK,
FREDERICTON, NEW BRUNSWICK