

## ON $p$ -SOLVABILITY AND AVERAGE CHARACTER DEGREE IN A FINITE GROUP

ESMAEEL ESKANDARI  and NEDA AHANJIDEH  

(Received 25 April 2023; accepted 11 June 2023; first published online 27 July 2023)

### Abstract

Assume that  $G$  is a finite group,  $N$  is a nontrivial normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $p$  is an odd prime. Let  $\text{Irr}_p(G) = \{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) : \chi(1) = 1 \text{ or } p \mid \chi(1)\}$  and  $\text{Irr}_p(G|N) = \{\chi \in \text{Irr}_p(G) : N \not\leq \ker \chi\}$ . The average character degree of irreducible characters of  $\text{Irr}_p(G)$  and the average character degree of irreducible characters of  $\text{Irr}_p(G|N)$  are denoted by  $\text{acd}_p(G)$  and  $\text{acd}_p(G|N)$ , respectively. We show that if  $\text{Irr}_p(G|N) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\text{acd}_p(G|N) < \text{acd}_p(\text{PSL}_2(p))$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable and  $O^{p'}(G)$  is solvable. We find examples that make this bound best possible. Moreover, we see that if  $\text{Irr}_p(G|N) = \emptyset$ , then  $N$  is  $p$ -solvable and  $P \cap N$  and  $PN/N$  are abelian for every  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ .

2020 Mathematics subject classification: primary 20C15; secondary 20D05.

Keywords and phrases:  $p$ -solvable group, average character degree.

### 1. Introduction

In this paper,  $G$  is a finite group and  $p$  is a prime divisor of  $|G|$ . Let  $\text{Irr}(G)$  denote the set of (complex) irreducible characters of  $G$ . For a normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  and  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$ , let  $\text{Irr}(G|N) = \{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) : N \not\leq \ker \chi\}$  and  $\text{Irr}(\theta^G)$  denote the set of the irreducible constituents of the induced character  $\theta^G$ . The average character degree of  $G$  is denoted by  $\text{acd}(G)$  (see [5, 8]) and it is defined by

$$\text{acd}(G) = \frac{\sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \chi(1)}{|\text{Irr}(G)|}.$$

By  $\text{acd}(G|N)$ , we mean the average character degree of the irreducible characters in  $\text{Irr}(G|N)$  (see [3]). In [1], it has been shown that if  $\text{acd}(G|N) < \max(\text{acd}(\text{PSL}_2(p)), 16/5)$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable.

We write

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Irr}_p(G) &= \{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) : \chi(1) = 1 \text{ or } p \mid \chi(1)\} \\ \text{Irr}_p(G|N) &= \text{Irr}_p(G) \cap \text{Irr}(G|N) \\ \text{Irr}_p(\theta^G) &= \text{Irr}_p(G) \cap \text{Irr}(\theta^G) \quad \text{for every } \theta \in \text{Irr}(N).\end{aligned}$$



Let  $\text{acd}_p(G)$ ,  $\text{acd}_p(G|N)$  and  $\text{acd}_p(\theta^G)$  be the average degree of irreducible characters belonging to  $\text{Irr}_p(G)$ ,  $\text{Irr}_p(G|N)$  and  $\text{Irr}_p(\theta^G)$ , respectively. For  $\Delta \subseteq \text{Irr}(G)$ ,

$$\text{acd}_p(\Delta) = \frac{\sum_{\chi \in \Delta \cap \text{Irr}_p(G)} \chi(1)}{|\Delta \cap \text{Irr}_p(G)|}.$$

Nguyen and Tiep [7] have shown that if either  $p \geq 5$  and  $\text{acd}_p(G) < \text{acd}_p(\text{PSL}_2(p))$  or  $p \in \{2, 3\}$  and  $\text{acd}_p(G) < \text{acd}_p(\text{PSL}_2(5))$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable and  $O^{p'}(G)$  is solvable, where  $O^{p'}(G)$  is the minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  whose quotient is a  $p'$ -group. Akhlaghi [2] proved that if  $N$  is a nontrivial normal subgroup of  $G$  with  $\text{Irr}_2(G|N) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\text{acd}_2(G|N) < 5/2$ , then  $G$  is solvable.

We continue this investigation and show that considering the appropriate bound for  $\text{acd}_p(G|N)$  instead of  $\text{acd}_p(G)$  leads us to the  $p$ -solvability of  $G$ .

Let  $f(p) = \text{acd}_p(\text{PSL}_2(p))$  if  $p \geq 5$  and otherwise, let  $f(p) = \text{acd}_p(\text{PSL}_2(5))$ . So,

$$f(p) = \begin{cases} (p + 1)/2 & \text{if } p \geq 5, \\ 7/3 & \text{if } p = 3, \\ 5/2 & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $1 \neq N \trianglelefteq G$  and  $p$  be an odd prime divisor of  $|G|$ . If  $G/N$  is not  $p$ -solvable, then  $\text{acd}_p(\lambda^G) \geq f(p)$  for every  $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(N)$  with  $\text{Irr}_p(\lambda^G) \neq \emptyset$ .*

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $1 \neq N \trianglelefteq G$  with  $\text{acd}_p(G|N) < f(p)$ . Then:*

- (i) *either  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable and  $O^{p'}(G)$  is solvable;*
- (ii) *or  $\text{Irr}_p(G|N) = \emptyset$ ,  $N$  is  $p$ -solvable and for every  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ ,  $P \cap N$  and  $PN/N$  are abelian.*

**EXAMPLE 1.3.** Let  $N$  be a cyclic group of order 2,  $p$  be an odd prime and let  $G = \text{PSL}_2(p) \times N$ . If  $p \geq 5$ , then  $\text{acd}_p(G|N) = \text{acd}_p(\text{PSL}_2(p))$ . Also, if  $p = 5$ , then  $\text{acd}_3(G|N) = \text{acd}_3(\text{PSL}_2(5))$ . This example shows that the bound given in Theorem 1.2 is the best possible.

Let  $\text{Irr}_p(G^\#) = \text{Irr}_p(G) - \{1_G\}$  and  $\text{acd}(G^\#) = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}_p(G^\#)} \chi(1) / |\text{Irr}_p(G^\#)|$ . By setting  $G = N$  in Theorem 1.2, we arrive at the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 1.4.** *If  $\text{acd}_p(G^\#) < f(p)$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable and  $O^{p'}(G)$  is solvable.*

We can see that  $\text{acd}_3(\text{Alt}_4^\#) = 5/3 < 7/3$  and the Sylow 3-subgroup of  $\text{Alt}_4$  is not normal in  $\text{Alt}_4$ . This shows that the assumption  $\text{acd}_p(G^\#) < f(p)$  does not guarantee normality of the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ .

## 2. The main results

We first state some lemmas that will be used in the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. For a nonempty finite subset of real numbers  $X$ , by  $\text{ave}(X)$ , we mean the average of  $X$ .

**LEMMA 2.1** [1, Lemma 3]. *Let  $X$  be a nonempty finite subset of real numbers and  $\{A_1, \dots, A_t\}$  be a partition of  $X$ . If  $d$  is a real number such that  $\text{ave}(A_i) \geq d$  (respectively  $< d$ ) for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , then  $\text{ave}(X) \geq d$  (respectively  $< d$ ).*

**LEMMA 2.2** [7, Theorem B]. *Let  $p$  be a prime divisor of  $|G|$ . If  $\text{acd}_p(G) < f(p)$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable and  $O^{p'}(G)$  is solvable.*

**LEMMA 2.3** [6, Theorem A]. *Let  $Z$  be a normal subgroup of a finite group  $G$ ,  $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(Z)$  and let  $P/Z \in \text{Syl}_p(G/Z)$ . If  $\chi(1)/\lambda(1)$  is coprime to  $p$  for every  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  lying over  $\lambda$ , then  $P/Z$  is abelian.*

We are ready to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.** We complete the proof by induction on  $|G| + |N|$ . Take  $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(N)$  with  $\text{Irr}_p(\lambda^G) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $E$  be a maximal normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $N \leq E$  and  $G/E$  is not  $p$ -solvable. Then,  $G/E$  admits the unique minimal normal subgroup  $M/E$  and it is easy to check that  $M/E$  is not  $p$ -solvable. Assume that  $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_t\} \subseteq \text{Irr}(\lambda^E)$  such that every element of  $\text{Irr}(\lambda^E)$  is conjugate to exactly one of the elements in  $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_t\}$ . If  $N \neq E$ , then from the hypothesis,  $\text{Irr}_p(\mu_i^G) = \emptyset$  or  $\text{acd}_p(\mu_i^G) \geq f(p)$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . As  $\text{Irr}(\lambda^G) = \dot{\cup}_{i=1}^t \text{Irr}(\mu_i^G)$  and  $\text{Irr}_p(\lambda^G) \neq \emptyset$ , we conclude that  $\text{Irr}_p(\mu_j^G) \neq \emptyset$  for some  $j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq t$ . So, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $\text{acd}_p(\lambda^G) \geq f(p)$ , as desired. Next, suppose that  $N = E$ . If  $\lambda$  is extendible to  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ , then Gallagher's theorem [4, Corollary 6.17] implies that  $\text{Irr}(\lambda^G) = \{\chi\mu : \mu \in \text{Irr}(G/N)\}$  and for every  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \text{Irr}(G/N)$  with  $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ , we have  $\chi\mu_1 \neq \chi\mu_2$ . Thus, either  $p \mid \chi(1)$  and  $\text{acd}_p(\lambda^G) = \chi(1)\text{acd}(G/N)$  or  $p \nmid \chi(1)$  and  $\text{acd}_p(\lambda^G) = \chi(1)\text{acd}_p(G/N)$ . Obviously,  $\text{acd}(G/N) \geq 1$ . So, in the former case,  $\text{acd}_p(\lambda^G) \geq p > f(p)$ , as needed. Since  $G/N$  is not  $p$ -solvable, Lemma 2.2 yields  $\text{acd}_p(G/N) \geq f(p)$ . Hence, if  $p \nmid \chi(1)$ , then  $\text{acd}_p(\lambda^G) = \chi(1)\text{acd}_p(G/N) \geq f(p)$ , as desired. Finally, suppose that  $\lambda$  is not extendible to  $G$ . Then, for every  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\lambda^G)$ ,  $\chi(1) > \lambda(1) \geq 1$ . This means that  $p \mid \chi(1)$  for every  $\chi \in \text{Irr}_p(\lambda^G)$ . Therefore,  $\text{acd}_p(\lambda^G) \geq p > f(p)$ . Now, the proof is complete.  $\square$

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2.** First, assume that  $\text{Irr}_p(G|N) \neq \emptyset$ . As  $\text{acd}_p(G|N) < f(p) < p$ , we see that  $\text{Irr}_p(G|N)$  contains a linear character  $\chi$ . Then,  $\chi_N \neq 1_N$  and as  $\chi(1) = 1$ , we have  $\chi_N \in \text{Irr}(N)$ . This implies that  $N$  admits some linear characters which are extendible to  $G$  and they are nonprincipal. Assume that  $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_t\}$  is the set of all linear characters of  $N$  which are extendible to  $G$  and are nonprincipal. Since the  $\mu_i$ s are extendible to  $G$ , none of them are  $G$ -conjugate. If  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq t$  and there exists  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mu_i^G) \cap \text{Irr}(\mu_j^G)$ , then  $\mu_i$  and  $\mu_j$  are irreducible constituents of  $\chi_N$ . It follows from Clifford's correspondence that  $\mu_i$  and  $\mu_j$  are  $G$ -conjugate, which is a contradiction with our former assumption on the  $\mu_i$ s. This shows that

$$\text{Irr}(\mu_i^G) \cap \text{Irr}(\mu_j^G) = \emptyset \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \neq j \leq t. \quad (2.1)$$

Let  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Our assumption on the  $\mu_i$  guarantees the existence of a linear character  $\chi_i \in \text{Irr}(G)$  such that  $(\chi_i)_N = \mu_i$ . By Gallagher's theorem [4, Corollary 6.17],  $\text{Irr}(\mu_i^G) = \{\chi_i\varphi : \varphi \in \text{Irr}(G/N)\}$  and for distinct characters  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \text{Irr}(G/N)$ ,  $\chi_i\varphi_1 \neq \chi_i\varphi_2$ .

Since  $\chi_i(1) = 1$ ,

$$\text{Irr}_p(\mu_i^G) = \{\chi_i\varphi : \varphi \in \text{Irr}_p(G/N)\}. \tag{2.2}$$

As  $\mu_i \neq 1_N, \chi_i \in \text{Irr}(G|N)$ . Therefore,

$$\text{Irr}_p(\mu_i^G) \subseteq \text{Irr}_p(G|N).$$

In view of (2.1),  $\bigcup_{i=1}^t \text{Irr}(\mu_i^G)$  is disjoint. Take

$$\mathfrak{A} = \text{Irr}_p(G|N) - \dot{\bigcup}_{i=1}^t \text{Irr}(\mu_i^G).$$

If  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G|N)$  is linear, then  $\chi_N \neq 1_N$  and  $\chi_N(1) = \chi(1) = 1$ . Thus,  $\chi_N \in \text{Irr}(N)$  is nonprincipal. It follows from our assumption on the  $\mu_i$  that  $\chi_N \in \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_t\}$ . Therefore,  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mu_j^G)$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq t$ . This implies that  $\chi(1) \geq p$  for every  $\chi \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Therefore,

$$\text{acd}_p(\mathfrak{A}) \geq p > f(p). \tag{2.3}$$

By (2.1) and (2.2),  $|\dot{\bigcup}_{i=1}^t \text{Irr}_p(\mu_i^G)| = t|\text{Irr}_p(G/N)|$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{acd}_p(\dot{\bigcup}_{i=1}^t \text{Irr}(\mu_i^G)) &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}_p(\mu_i^G)} \chi(1)}{|\dot{\bigcup}_{i=1}^t \text{Irr}_p(\mu_i^G)|} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{\varphi \in \text{Irr}_p(G/N)} (\chi_i\varphi)(1)}{t|\text{Irr}_p(G/N)|} \\ &= \frac{t \sum_{\varphi \in \text{Irr}_p(G/N)} \varphi(1)}{t|\text{Irr}_p(G/N)|} = \text{acd}_p(G/N). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\text{acd}_p(G/N) \geq f(p)$ , then

$$\text{acd}_p(\dot{\bigcup}_{i=1}^t \text{Irr}(\mu_i^G)) \geq f(p). \tag{2.4}$$

Note that  $\text{Irr}_p(G|N) = (\dot{\bigcup}_{i=1}^t \text{Irr}_p(\mu_i^G)) \dot{\bigcup} \mathfrak{A}$ . It follows from (2.3), (2.4) and Lemma 2.1 that  $\text{acd}_p(G|N) \geq f(p)$ , which is a contradiction. This implies that  $\text{acd}_p(G/N) < f(p)$ . As  $\text{acd}_p(G|N) < f(p)$  and  $\text{Irr}_p(G) = \text{Irr}_p(G|N) \dot{\bigcup} \text{Irr}_p(G/N)$ , we deduce from Lemma 2.1 that  $\text{acd}_p(G) < f(p)$ . Hence, Lemma 2.2 implies that  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable and  $O^{p'}(G)$  is solvable, as desired.

Now, assume that  $\text{Irr}_p(G|N) = \emptyset$ . Working towards a contradiction, suppose that there exists  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$  such that  $p \mid \theta(1)$ . We have  $\theta(1) \mid \chi(1)$  for every  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\theta^G)$ . Thus,  $p \mid \chi(1)$  for every  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\theta^G)$ . Clearly,  $\theta \neq 1_N$ . So,  $\chi \in \text{Irr}_p(\theta^G) \subseteq \text{Irr}_p(G|N)$ . This means that  $\text{Irr}_p(G|N) \neq \emptyset$ , which is a contradiction. This implies that  $p \nmid \theta(1)$  for every  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$ . It follows from the Ito–Michler theorem [4, Corollary 12.34] that  $N$  has a normal and abelian Sylow  $p$ -subgroup. Thus,  $N$  is  $p$ -solvable. Now, assume that  $1_N \neq \theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$  and  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\theta^G)$ . Hence,  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G|N)$ . As  $\text{Irr}_p(G|N) = \emptyset$ , we deduce that  $p \nmid \chi(1)$ . Thus,  $p \nmid \chi(1)/\theta(1)$ . It follows from Lemma 2.3 that  $G/N$  has an abelian Sylow  $p$ -subgroup. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] N. Ahanjideh, 'The average character degree and  $r$ -solvability of a normal subgroup', *Monatsh. Math.* **200** (2023), 487–493.
- [2] Z. Akhlaghi, 'On the average degree of linear and even degree characters of finite groups', *Ric. Mat.* (to appear). Published online (14 November 2022).
- [3] Z. Akhlaghi, 'On the average degree of some irreducible characters of a finite group', *Math. Nachr.* (to appear). Published online (8 March 2023).
- [4] I. M. Isaacs, *Character Theory of Finite Groups* (Academic Press, New York, 1976).
- [5] A. Moretó and H. N. Nguyen, 'On the average character degree of finite groups', *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **46**(3) (2014), 454–462.
- [6] G. Navarro and P. H. Tiep, 'Characters of relative  $p'$ -degree over normal subgroups', *Ann. of Math.* (2) **178** (2013), 1135–1171.
- [7] H. N. Nguyen and P. H. Tiep, 'The average character degree and an improvement of the Ito–Michler theorem', *J. Algebra* **550** (2020), 86–107.
- [8] G. Qian, 'On the average character degree and the average class size in finite groups', *J. Algebra* **423** (2015), 1191–1212.

ESMAEEL ESKANDARI, Department of Pure Mathematics,  
Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Shahrekord University,  
P.O. Box 115, Shahrekord, Iran  
e-mail: [esieskandari123@gmail.com](mailto:esieskandari123@gmail.com)

NEDA AHANJIDEH, Department of Pure Mathematics,  
Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Shahrekord University,  
P.O. Box 115, Shahrekord, Iran  
e-mail: [ahanjideh.neda@sku.ac.ir](mailto:ahanjideh.neda@sku.ac.ir)