A TAUBERIAN THEOREM FOR A SCALE OF LOGARITHMIC METHODS OF SUMMATION

R. PHILLIPS

1. Introduction. We suppose throughout that p is a non-negative integer, and use the following notations:

$$\pi_p(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\log_0 x \cdot \log_1 x \cdot \dots \cdot \log_p x}, & \text{for } x \ge e_p, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\log_0 x = x$ for $x \ge e_0 = 1$, $\log_{n+1} x = \log(\log_n x)$ for $x \ge e_{n+1} = e^{e_n}$ (n = 0, 1, 2, ...);

$$\sigma_p(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \pi_p(n) x^n \quad (-1 < x < 1);$$

$$s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots);$$

$$t_n = \frac{1}{\log_{p+1} n} \sum_{k=0}^n \pi_p(k) s_k \quad (n \ge e_{p+1}).$$

We shall say that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ is summable L_p to s and write

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = s(L_p) \quad \text{or} \quad s_n \to s(L_p),$$

if

$$\lim_{x\to 1^-}\frac{1}{\sigma_p(x)}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \pi_p(n)s_nx^n = s.$$

We shall say that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ is summable l_p to s, and write

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = s(l_p) \quad \text{or} \quad s_n \to s(l_p),$$

if $t_n \to s$ as $n \to \infty$.

Since $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \pi_p(n) = \infty$ the L_p method is regular [3, Theorem 1], i.e., every convergent series is summable to its natural sum. It is easily seen that the l_p method is equivalent to a (\bar{N}, q_n) method with $q_n = \pi_p(n)$ and hence is regular [4, p. 57].

It follows from a known result that the L_0 method is equivalent to a standard logarithmic method L (see, for example, [2]). Using a standard result on \overline{N}

Received February 25, 1972 and in revised form, May 31, 1972. This research was partially supported by an NRC Studentship.

R. PHILLIPS

methods (see, for example, [4, Theorem 14]) the l_0 method can easily be shown to be equivalent to the l method which has been considered by a number of authors (see, for example, [4; 5; 7]).

The aim of this paper is to establish the following Tauberian theorem.

THEOREM. If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = s(L_p)$ and if the following Tauberian condition holds: (T_p) lim inf $(s_n - s_m) \ge 0$ when $n > m \to \infty$ and $\log_{p+2}n - \log_{p+2}m \to 0$, then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ converges.

The case p = 0 of this result is due to Kwee [7]. An immediate consequence of the above theorem and Lemmas 3 and 6 (below) is the following corollary, the case p = 0 of which includes "₀" Tauberian results established by Ishiguro [5].

COROLLARY. If a series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ is L_p or l_p summable and if for H a positive constant $a_n \ge -H\pi_{p+1}(n)$ for $n \ge e_{p+1}$, then the series converges.

Acknowledgement. I wish to acknowledge my indebtedness to Dr. D. Borwein for suggesting the topic and for his help in the preparation of this paper. I would also like to thank Dr. A. Meir for suggesting the proof of Lemma 2.

2. Preliminary results. We require the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. If

$$\lim_{x \to a} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = c > 0 \quad and \ if \quad \lim_{x \to a} g(x) = \infty$$

where $-\infty \leq a \leq \infty$, then

$$\lim_{x \to a} \frac{\log_{p+1} f(x)}{\log_{p+1} g(x)} = 1$$

Proof. Since

$$\lim_{x \to a} \frac{\log f(x)}{\log g(x)} = \lim_{x \to a} \frac{\log\{f(x)/g(x)\}}{\log g(x)} + 1 = 1,$$

the result holds for the case p = 0, and the general case can be established by induction.

Lemma 2.

$$\sigma_p(x) \sim \log_{p+1} \frac{1}{1-x} \quad as \quad x \to 1^-.$$

Proof. For p = 0 the result is obvious, and for p > 0 since

 $\limsup_{n\to\infty}n\pi_p(n)\,=\,0$

we obtain from a theorem due to Agnew [1, Theorem 1.1] that

$$\lim_{x\to 1^{-}} \sup_{k=0} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^{k} \pi_{p}(k) - \sum_{k=0}^{[1/\log x^{-1}]} \pi_{p}(k) \right| \leq 0,$$

898

where [y] denotes the largest integer not exceeding y. Also, it is familiar that

(1)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \pi_p(k) \sim \log_{p+1} n \quad as \quad n \to \infty.$$

The lemma now follows by Lemma 1. Notice in particular that

(2)
$$\sigma_p(e^{-1/x}) \sim \log_{p+1} x \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$

LEMMA 3. If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = s(l_p)$ and condition (T_p) holds, then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ converges.

Proof. The proof is modelled on Kwee's proof of the case p = 0 [7, Lemma 3]. Assume, without loss of generality, that s = 0, and let N be the integer such that $N - 1 < e_{p+2} \leq N$. Then for $n > m \geq N$

$$t_n \log_{p+1} n - t_m \log_{p+1} m = s_{m+1} \pi_p(m+1) + \ldots + s_n \pi_p(n).$$

Let ϵ be an arbitrary positive number. By condition (T_p) there are numbers

$$M = M(\epsilon) \ge N$$
 and $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$

such that: if $n > m \ge M$ and $\log_{p+2}n - \log_{p+2}m \le \delta$, then $s_n - s_m \ge -\epsilon$ and hence

$$(s_m - \epsilon) \sum_{k=m+1}^n \pi_p(k) \leq t_n \log_{p+1} n - t_m \log_{p+1} m \leq (s_n + \epsilon) \sum_{k=m+1}^n \pi_p(k);$$

i.e.,

$$s_m - \epsilon \leq \left[t_n \frac{\log_{p+1} n}{\log_{p+1} m} - t_m \right] \left[\log_{p+1} m \Big/ \sum_{k=m+1}^n \pi_p(k) \right],$$

and

$$s_n + \epsilon \ge \left[t_n \frac{\log_{p+1} n}{\log_{p+1} m} - t_m \right] \left[\log_{p+1} m \Big/ \sum_{k=m+1}^n \pi_p(k) \right].$$

Keeping ϵ fixed and letting $n > m \to \infty$ subject to

$$\frac{1}{2}\delta \leq \log_{p+2}n - \log_{p+2}m \leq \delta,$$

we get

$$\limsup_{m \to \infty} s_m \leq \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{n \to \infty} s_n \geq -\epsilon;$$

since $t_n \rightarrow 0$,

$$e^{\delta} \ge \frac{\log_{p+1} n}{\log_{p+1} m} \ge e^{\frac{1}{2}\delta} > 1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta$$

and

$$\left[\log_{p+1}m \middle/ \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} \pi_p(k)\right] \sim \frac{\log_{p+1}m}{\log_{p+1}n - \log_{p+1}m}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(\log_{p+1} n / \log_{p+1} m - 1)} = O(1).$$

It follows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = 0$.

LEMMA 4. If 0 < d < 1 and if g(x) is a real valued function, continuous on each of the intervals [0, d), [d, 1], which tends to a finite limit as $x \to d^-$, and if $s_n \ge 0$ and $s_n \to s(L_p)$, then

$$\lim_{x\to 1^-}\frac{1}{\sigma_p(x)}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \pi_p(n)s_nx^ng(x^n) = s\cdot g(1).$$

Proof. By Lemmas 1 and 2, we have, for $c \ge 0$,

$$\lim_{x \to 1^{-}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{p}(x)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \pi_{p}(n) s_{n} x^{n} \cdot x^{cn} = \lim_{x \to 1^{-}} \frac{\sigma_{p}(x^{c+1})}{\sigma_{p}(x)} \frac{1}{\sigma_{p}(x^{c+1})} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \pi_{p}(n) s_{n} x^{(c+1)n}$$
$$= s \lim_{x \to 1^{-}} \frac{\sigma_{p}(x^{c+1})}{\sigma_{p}(x)}$$
$$= s \lim_{x \to 1^{-}} \frac{\log_{p+1}(1-x^{c+1})^{-1}}{\log_{p+1}(1-x)^{-1}}$$
$$= s.$$

Thus the lemma holds for $g(x) = x^c$, and the full result follows by an argument similar to that used by Ishiguro [6, Lemma 2].

LEMMA 5. If $s_n \to s(L_p)$ and $s_n \ge -M$, then $s_n \to s(l_p)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to Ishiguro's proof of the case p = 0 [6, Theorem 2]. Let

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq x < 1/e, \\ 1/x & \text{for } 1/e \leq x \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

so that g(1) = 1 and $g(x^n) = 0$ if $n > 1/\log(1/x)$. Hence, by Lemma 4,

$$\lim_{x \to 1^{-}} \frac{1}{\sigma_p(x)} \sum_{n \leq 1/(\log(1/x))} \pi_p(n) (s_n + M) = s + M.$$

Putting $x = e^{-1/n}$, we get, by (1) and (2),

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{\sigma_p(e^{-1/n})}\sum_{k=0}^n \pi_p(k)(s_k+M) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{\log_{p+1}n}\sum_{k=0}^n \pi_p(k)s_k+M = s+M.$$

LEMMA 6. If for H a positive constant $a_n \ge -H\pi_{p+1}(n)$ for $n \ge e_{p+1}$, then the condition (T_p) is satisfied.

Proof. There is a positive number H such that

$$a_k \geq -H\pi_{p+1}(k) \qquad (k \geq e_{p+1}),$$

so that for $n > m \ge e_{p+1}$,

$$s_n - s_m = \sum_{k=m+1}^n a_k \ge -H \sum_{k=m+1}^n \pi_{p+1}(k) \sim -H(\log_{p+2}n - \log_{p+2}m).$$

Hence, $\liminf (s_n - s_m) \ge 0$ when $n > m \to \infty$ and $\log_{p+2} n - \log_{p+2} m \to 0$, and condition (T_p) is satisfied.

LEMMA 7. Let Φ be an increasing continuous non-negative function in $[0, \infty)$ such that $\Phi(u) \to \infty$ and $\Phi(u) - \Phi(u-1) \to 0$ as $u \to \infty$, and let

$$\tau(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(x) s_n \text{ for } x > 0.$$

Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) (a) c_n(x) ≥ 0 (x > 0),
(b) c_n(x) → 0 as x → ∞,
(c) ∑_{n=0}[∞] c_n(x) = 1 (x > 0);
(ii) (a) ∑_{n=0}^M c_n(x) → 0 when x > M → ∞ and Φ(x) - Φ(M) → ∞;
(b) ∑_{n=M}[∞] c_n(x) (Φ(n) - Φ(M)) → 0 when M > x → ∞ and Φ(M) - Φ(x) → ∞;
(iii) lim inf(s(t) - s(u)) ≥ 0 when t > u → ∞ and Φ(t) - Φ(u) →

(iii) $\liminf (s(t) - s(u)) \ge 0$ when $t > u \to \infty$ and $\Phi(t) - \Phi(u) \to 0$, where $s(t) = s_n$ for $n \le t < n + 1$;

(iv) $\tau(x)$ is bounded for $x > x_0$. Then s_n is bounded.

Kwee [7], using a result due to Vijayaraghavan (see [4, Theorem 238]), has proved this lemma with the additional condition

$$\sum_{n=M}^{\infty} c_n(x) \to 0 \text{ when } M > x \to \infty \text{ and } \Phi(M) - \Phi(x) \to \infty.$$

It has been pointed out that in fact this condition is redundant (see [8, Chapter II, Theorem 9]).

3. Proof of the Theorem. The proof is based on Kwee's proof [7] of the case p = 0. Let

$$\Phi(u) = \begin{cases} \log_{p+2} u & \text{for } u \ge e_{p+2}, \\ u/e_{p+2} & \text{for } 0 \le u < e_{p+2} \end{cases}$$

and, for x > 0, let

$$\tau(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma_p(e^{-1/x})} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \pi_p(n) s_n e^{-n/x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(x) s_n$$

where

$$c_n(x) = \frac{\pi_p(n)e^{-n/x}}{\sigma_p(e^{-1/x})}.$$

Clearly $\Phi(u)$ is a strictly increasing non-negative continuous function which tends to infinity as u tends to infinity, and by Lemma 1, $\Phi(u) - \Phi(u-1) \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

We now show that the other conditions of Lemma 7 are satisfied. Since, by (2),

(3)
$$0 \leq c_n(x) \leq \frac{\pi_p(n)}{\sigma_p(e^{-1/x})} \sim \frac{\pi_p(n)}{\log_{p+1} x} \qquad (0 < x \to \infty),$$

we obtain (i) (a) and (i) (b); (i) (c) holds by definition of $\sigma_p(e^{-1/x})$. Now

R. PHILLIPS

using (1) and (3), and letting $x > M \to \infty$ subject to $\log_{p+2} x - \log_{p+2} M \to \infty$, (ii) (a) follows from

$$\sum_{n=0}^{M} \frac{\pi_p(n)}{\log_{p+1} x} \sim \frac{\log_{p+1} M}{\log_{p+1} x} \to 0.$$

For (ii) (b), we will show that $\sum_{n=M}^{\infty} c_n(x)\phi(n) \to 0$ when $M > x \to \infty$, which is more than required by condition (ii) (b). Since $\pi_p(t) \log_{p+2} t$ is a decreasing function of t for $t \ge e_{p+2}$ we have, for $M \ge e_{p+2}$,

$$0 \leq \sum_{n=M}^{\infty} c_n(x) \Phi(n) \sim [\log_{p+1} x]^{-1} \sum_{n=M}^{\infty} e^{-n/x} \pi_p(n) \log_{p+2} n$$

$$\leq [\log_{p+1} x]^{-1} \pi_p(M) \log_{p+2} M \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-n/x}$$

$$\sim [\log_{p+1} x]^{-1} x \pi_p(M) \log_{p+2} M$$

$$< M [\log_{p+1} M]^{-1} \pi_p(M) \log_{p+2} M \to 0 \qquad (M > x \to \infty).$$

Condition (iii) is implied by condition (T_p) of the theorem. Since $s_n \rightarrow s(L_p)$, we have

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\tau(x) = \lim_{t\to 1^-}\frac{1}{\sigma_p(t)}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\pi_p(n)s_nt^n = s,$$

and hence condition (iv) is satisfied.

We have thus shown all the conditions of Lemma 7 are satisfied and it follows that s_n is bounded. Hence, by Lemma 5, $s_n \rightarrow s(l_p)$ and so, by Lemma 3, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges.

References

- 1. R. P. Agnew, Abel transforms and partial sums of Tauberian series, Ann. of Math. 50 (1949), 110-117.
- 2. D. Borwein, A logarithmic method of summability, J. London Math. Soc. 33 (1958), 212-220.
- On methods of summability based on power series, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 64 (1957), 342-349.
- 4. G. H. Hardy, Divergent series (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1949).
- 5. K. Ishiguro, Tauberian theorems concerning the summability methods of logarithmic type, Proc. Japan Acad. 39 (1963), 156-159.
- 6. A converse theorem on the summability methods, Proc. Japan Acad. 39 (1963), 38-41.
- 7. B. Kwee, A Tauberian theorem for the logarithmic method of summation, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 63 (1967), 401-405.
- 8. H. R. Pitt, Tauberian theorems (Bombay, 1958).

University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario

902