ON SINGULAR FIBERINGS

MARK MAHOWALD

1. The purpose of this note is to discuss some properties of singular fibrations introduced by Montgomery and Samelson (4) and studied by Hu (2) and Conner and Dyer (1). This work was motivated by the last paper, where singular fibrations in which the fibre is a sphere were studied. Here more general fibres will be considered.

A fibre space with singularities is a quadruple $[(X, A), (Y, B), \pi, F]$ such that

(1) $\pi: (X, A) \to (Y, B)$ is a proper open onto mapping,

(2) $\pi^{-1}(B) = A$ and π/A is a homeomorphism, and

(3) $\pi/X - A : X - A \to Y - B$ is a fibre mapping with fibre F.

The cohomology used will be the Čech cohomology groups with coefficients in Z_2 . Cohomology groups with compact supports will be denoted by a subscript c.

In addition we require that $\pi_1(Y - B)$ acts simply on $H^q(F)$. This will be true in particular if π is a bundle map and the structure group is pathwise connected (5). We also assume that if dim F = r, then $H^r(F) = Z_2$.

2. One of the principal tools we shall use is a truncated exact sequence obtained from the spectral sequence of (X - A, Y - B, F). The following description is from Hu (2, p. 241). Let $\lambda \leq m \leq \mu$ be integers. Then a spectral sequence satisfies the two-term condition $\{\lambda, \mu; 2\}$ if E^2 has the following properties. For each integer m such that $\lambda \leq m \leq \mu$, $E_{p,q^2} = 0$ if p + q = m and (p, q) is different from two given pairs (a_m, b_m) and (c_m, d_m) where

$$a_m + b_m = m = c_m + d_m, \qquad a_m < c_m.$$

Moreover, the following condition also must be fulfilled:

(1) $E_{p,q^2} = 0$ if p + q = m - 1, $p \leq a_m - 2$, and $\lambda \leq m \leq \mu$,

(2) $E_{p,p^2} = 0$ if p + q = m + 1, $p \ge c_m + 2$, and $\lambda \le m \le \mu$.

Then, if the spectral sequence is a regular δ sequence we have the following exact sequence:

$$E^2_{c_{\lambda}d_{\lambda}} \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow E^2_{c_md_m} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{H}_m \longrightarrow E^2_{a_m,b_m} \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow E^2_{a_{\mu}b_{\mu}}.$$

Received January 15, 1962. Presented to the American Mathematics Society on August 29, 1961. This research has been supported, in part, by the United States Army Research Office (Durham).

We recall that for a fibre space (X - A, Y - B, F) with coefficients in Z_2 , we have $\mathfrak{H}_m = H_c^m(X - A)$ and $E_{pq^2} = H_c^p(Y - B; H^q(F))$, (3, pp. 270-271).

Our first theorem is similar to Theorem 1.1 of (1).

THEOREM 1. If $[(X, A), (Y, B), \pi, F]$ is a singular fibering of a finite-dimensional space X by an r-dimensional fibre F such that for some integer $m, H^i(X) = 0$ for $i \ge m$, then $H^i(A) = 0$ for $i \ge m$ and $H^i(Y) \simeq H^i(B)$ for $i \ge m - r$.

Proof. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{split} H^{i}(A) & \xrightarrow{\delta^{*}} H_{c}^{i+1}(X - A) \to H^{i+1}(X) \\ & \simeq \uparrow \pi_{1}^{*} \qquad \uparrow \pi^{*} \\ H^{i}(B) \to H_{c}^{i+1}(Y - B) \to H^{i+1}(Y) \end{split}$$

Since $H^i(X) = 0$, $i \ge m$, the homomorphism δ^* is onto for $i \ge m - 1$, but π_1^* is an isomorphism. Thus π^* is onto for $i \ge m - 1$.

Let s be the least integer such that $H_c^{s}(Y - B) \neq 0$. Since X is finitedimensional, Y is also finite-dimensional and hence s exists. We wish to apply the truncated exact sequence to this situation with $\mu = s + r + 1$ and $\lambda = s + r$. If p + q = r + s + 1 then either p > r or q > s. In both cases $H_c^p(Y - B; H^q(F)) = 0$. If p + q = r + s, then if p > r or q > s we have $H^p(Y - B; H^q(F)) = 0$. But if p = r and q = s, $H^p(Y - B; H^q(F))$ is not necessarily zero. If we take for our two pairs

$$\begin{pmatrix} s & r+1 \\ s+1 & r \end{pmatrix}_{s+r+1}$$
 and $\begin{pmatrix} s & r \\ s+1 & r-1 \end{pmatrix}_{s+r}$

then conditions 1 and 2 are satisfied, as is easily checked. Our exact sequence then is

(1)
$$H_c^{s+1}(Y - B; H^{r-1}(F)) \to H_c^{r+s}(X - A) \to H_c^s(Y - B; H^r(F))$$

 $\to H_c^{s+1}(Y - B; H^r(F)).$

But the first and last terms are zero; therefore

$$H_c^{r+s}(X-A) \simeq H_c^s(Y-B; H^r(F)) = H_c^s(Y-B).$$

By the induction hypothesis $H_c^{r+s}(Y-B) = 0$. But π^* maps this onto $H_c^{r+s}(X-A)$ if $r+s \ge m$; therefore, $H_c^{r+s}(X-A) = 0 = H_c^{s}(Y-B)$. This proves that s < m-r and $H_c^{i}(X-A) = 0$ if $i \ge m$. This, together with $H^i(X) = 0$ if $i \ge m$, implies that $H^i(A) = 0$ if $i \ge m$. If $i \ge m-r$ we have $H^i(Y) \simeq H^i(B)$ since $H_c^{i}(Y-B) = 0$.

3. In this section some additional results are obtained under the hypothesis that X is a (mod 2) *n*-sphere. We also assume that $H^{r}(F) = Z_{2}$.

THEOREM 2. Suppose X is a cohomology (mod 2) n-sphere. Then either A is a cohomology n-sphere and Y - B is acyclic or the cohomology dim A < n - rand $H_c^{n-r}(Y - B) = Z_2$.

MARK MAHOWALD

Proof. As in Theorem 1 we have that $\pi^*: H^i(Y - B) \to H^i(X - A)$ is onto for all *i* except i = n. Theorem 1 implies that $H_c^i(X - A) = 0$ for all i > n - r except i = n. The exact sequence (1) applies here and we conclude that $H_c^m(X - A) \simeq H_c^{n-r}(Y - B)$. Consider the sequence

$$H_c^{n+1}(X - A) \leftarrow H^n(A) \leftarrow H^n(X) \xleftarrow{j^*} H_c^n(X - A) \xleftarrow{\delta^*} H^{n-1}(A)$$

Since $\delta^* = \pi^* \delta^* \pi_1^{*-1}$ and $H_c^n(Y - B) = 0$, we see that δ^* is trivial. Since $H^{n-1}(X) = 0$, therefore $H^{n-1}(A) = 0$. Then ker $j^* = 0$. But $H^n(X) = Z_2$; therefore j^* is either an isomorphism or is trivial. Hence either $H^n(A) = 0$ and $H_c^n(X - A) = Z_2$ or conversely. In the first case $H^i(A) = 0$ for all $i \ge n - r$ from the sequence of the pair (X, A). In the second case we have $H_c^n(X - A) \simeq H_c^{n-r}(Y - B) = 0$. Then the induction argument of Theorem 1 applies, proving that $H_c^i(Y - B) = 0$ for all $i \ge 0$.

In the rest of the paper we investigate what happens if A is not a cohomology *n*-sphere, that is, we require in the remainder of the paper that $H_c^s(Y - B) = Z_2$ where s = n - r.

THEOREM 3. If r > 1 then $H_c^{s-1}(Y - B) = 0$, $H_c^{s-2}(Y - B) = H^{r-1}(F)$, $H^{s-2}(B) = H^s(B) = 0$ and either $H^s(Y) = Z_2$ or $H^{s-1}(B) = Z_2$ (the other group in each case being zero).

Proof. We wish to apply the two-term exact sequence to this situation. We take $\mu = r + s$ and $\lambda = r + s - 2$ where a = n - r. Then, as before

$$\begin{pmatrix} s & r \\ s+1 & r-1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is an acceptable p, q pair for $m = \mu$. For m = r + s - 1 the only interesting pair will be

$$\begin{pmatrix} s-1 & r \\ s & r-1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For m = r + s - 2 the interesting pair will be

$$\binom{s-2}{s-1} r \binom{r}{r-1} r \binom{r}{r-2}.$$

We shall use the first two to show $H^{s-1}(Y - B) = 0$ and then the two-term condition will be satisfied by

$$\begin{pmatrix} s-2 & r \\ s & r-2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$H_c^s(Y-B; H^{r-1}(F)) \to H_c^{n-1}(X-A) \to H_c^{s-1}(Y-B; H^r(F))$$

$$\to H_c^{s+1}(Y-B; H^{r-1}(F)).$$

The last term is zero and $H_c^{n-1}(X - A) = 0$; therefore $H_c^{s-1}(Y - B;$ $H^r(F)) = 0$. But this is just $H_c^{s-1}(Y - B)$. Hence we can add the next term and we have

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{H}_{c}{}^{s}((Y-B);H^{r-2}(F)) &\to \mathrm{H}_{c}{}^{n-2}(X-A) \to \mathrm{H}_{c}{}^{s-2}(Y-B;H^{r}(F)) \\ &\to \mathrm{H}_{c}{}^{s}(Y-B;H^{r-1}(F)) \to \mathbf{0}. \end{split}$$

Therefore $H_c^{s-2}(Y-B; H^r(F)) \simeq H_c^s(Y-B; H^{r-1}(F))$. But this is just $H_c^{s-2}(Y-B) \simeq H^{r-1}(F)$. The final statement comes from considering

We see that $H^{s}(B) \simeq H^{s}(A) = 0$. That $H^{s-2}(B) = 0$ follows by the same argument used to show that $H^{n-1}(A) = 0$. Now i^{*} is an isomorphism into, but since π_{1}^{*} is trivial and π^{*} is also an isomorphism we see that i^{*} is trivial. Hence $H^{s-1}(Y) = 0$. Now we are left with

$$0 \to H^{s-1}(B) \to Z_2 \to H^s(Y) \to 0.$$

Hence either $H^{s-1}(B)$ or $H^{s}(Y)$ vanishes and the other equals Z_{2} .

If we further restrict F we can get more specific information. The following theorems are examples of such results.

THEOREM 4. Suppose $H^{s}(Y) = 0$ and $H^{r-3}(F) = H^{r-4}(F) = 0$ (for example, if r = 2), then we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to H_c^{s-5}(Y-B) \to H_c^{s-2}(Y-B) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H_c^{s-2}(X-A) \to 0.$$

In addition $H_c^{s-3}(Y-B) = 0$ and $H_c^{s-4}(Y-B) \simeq H_c^{s-2}(Y-B; H(F))$.

Proof. By Theorem 3 $H_c^{s-1}(Y - B) = 0$. Consider

$$\begin{pmatrix} s-2 & r \\ s & r-2 \end{pmatrix}$$
, $\begin{pmatrix} s-3 & r \\ s-2 & r-1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} s-4 & r \\ s-3 & r-1 \\ s-2 & r-2 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} s-5 & r \\ s-4 & r-1 \\ s-3 & r-2 \end{pmatrix}$.

Using the first two-pair and the truncated exact sequence we shall show that $H_{c}^{s-3}(Y-B) = 0$. The result then follows from the sequence by using the remaining pair.

Now for the proof that $H_c^{s-3}(Y-B) = 0$.

Using the first two-pair, and setting r = 2, the truncated exact sequence becomes

$$H_{c}^{s-2}(Y-B;H^{r-1}(F)) \to H_{c}^{s-1}(X-A) \to H_{c}^{s-3}(Y-B)$$
$$\to H_{c}^{s}(Y-B) \xrightarrow{\pi^{*}} H_{c}^{s}(X-A) \to 0.$$

We have a zero for the last map since π^* is onto. But $H_c^{s}(Y - B) = Z_2$ and since $H^s(Y) = 0$, $H^{s-1}(B) = Z_2 = H^{s-1}(A)$. Hence π^* is also an isomorphism. From Theorem 3 we see that $H_c^{s-1}(X - A) = 0$; hence $H_c^{s-3}(Y - B)$ = 0. This also implies $H_c^{s-3}(X - A) = 0$.

THEOREM 5. If $H^{r-1}(F) = 0$ and r > 4, then $H_c^{s-4}(Y - B) = H^{r-3}(F)$, $H_c^{s-3}(Y - B) \simeq H^{r-2}(F)$, and $H_c^{s-2}(Y - B) = 0 = H^{s-3}(B) = H^{s-2}(Y) = H^{s-1}(Y)$.

The proof of this result is similar to the above and since the result is rather special we omit the proof.

4. We now consider some applications. In this section, X is always a (mod 2) n-sphere. We shall need the following lemma.

LEMMA 8. If $H^{s}(Y) \neq 0$, Y satisfies Poincaré duality, and B is connected, we have $H^{1}(Y) = 0$, and $H^{2}(Y) = H^{1}(F)$.

Proof. Since B is connected and $H^{s-1}(Y) = 0$ we have $H^1(Y) = 0$. Hence $H_c^1(Y - B) = 0$. Hence we have

(2)
$$0 \to H^1(F) \to H_c^2(Y-B) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H_c^2(X-A) \to 0.$$

The last zero follows from the fact that π^* is onto.

We also have the diagram

$$0 \to H^{1}(B) \xrightarrow{\delta^{*}} H_{c}^{2}(Y-B) \xrightarrow{j^{*}} H^{2}(Y) \to 0$$
$$0 \to H^{1}(A) \to H_{c}^{2}(X-A) \to 0$$

 π^* is an isomorphism on the image of δ^* . Hence ker $\pi^* \cap \text{ im } \delta^* = 0$. We also have ker $\pi^* \oplus \text{ im } \delta^* = H_c^2(Y - B)$. Hence j^* is an isomorphism on ker π^* . But from (2) we see that ker $\pi^* = \text{ im } \tau$ and τ is an isomorphism into, hence im $j^* \simeq H^1(F)$.

4.1. If Y has dimension 3, $r \ge 2$, $H^3(Y) \ne 0$, then Y is a (mod 2) 3-sphere and B is acyclic with $\tilde{H}^0(B) = H^{r-1}(F)$ (\tilde{H}^0 is the reduced group).

This follows directly from Theorem 3.

4.2. If Y has dimension 3 and $H^3(Y) = 0$, then B is a (mod 2) cohomology 2-sphere, Y is acyclic, and $H^1(F) = 0$.

Proof. We know that $H_c^2(Y - B) = 0$ and $H_c^{s-2}(Y - B) = H^{r-1}(F) = H^1(F)$. Consider the truncated sequence for

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

218

We have

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^{3}(Y-B;H^{-1}(F)) &\to H_c^{2}(X-A) \to H_c^{1}(Y-B;H^{1}(F)) \\ &\to H_c^{3}(Y-B;H^{0}(F)) \xrightarrow{\pi^{*}} H_c^{3}(X-A) \to H_c^{1}(Y-B;H^{2}f)). \end{aligned}$$

Now π^* is the projection map, which is an isomorphism here if $H^3(Y) = 0$. Therefore $0 \to H_c^1(Y - B; H^1(F)) \to 0$ or $H^1(F) \otimes H^1(F) = 0$; hence $H^1(F) = 0$.

4.3. If Y is 4-dimensional, satisfies Poincaré duality, $r \ge 2$, and B is connected, then B is acyclic and $H^2(Y) = H^1(F)$ and $H^3(Y) = H^1(Y) = 0$.

4.4. If Y is 4-dimensional, $H^4(Y) = 0$, F is 2-dimensional, then F is a cohomology 2-sphere, B is a cohomology 3-sphere, and Y is acyclic.

Proof. Theorem 4 implies $H_c^{s-3}(Y-B) = 0$ and $H_c^{s-2}(Y-B; H^1(F)) \simeq H^0(Y-B) = 0$. But since $H_c^{s-2}(Y-B) \simeq H^1(F)$, we see that $H^1(F) = 0$. Therefore $H_c^{s-2}(Y-B) = 0$. Hence if $H^4(Y) = 0$, Y is acyclic and B is a cohomology 3-sphere.

4.5. If dim Y = 5, $H^{3}(Y) = 0$, then

$$H^4(Y) = H^3(Y) = H^2(Y) = H^3(B) = H^1(B) = 0,$$

 $H^{2}(B) = H^{1}(F), \qquad H^{4}(B) = H^{0}(B) = Z_{2}, \qquad H^{1}(Y) = H^{1}(F) \otimes_{Z_{2}} H^{1}(F).$

This follows as above from Theorem 4.

4.6. If Y is a cohomology 5-manifold and $r \ge 2$ and B is connected, then $H^4(Y) = H^1(Y) = 0$ and $H^2(Y) = H^3(Y) = H^1(F)$. B has cohomology dimension ≤ 1 . If $H^{r-1}(F) = 0$, then $H^1(B) = H^2(F)$.

Remark. In (4) Montgomery and Samelson suggest that it seems likely that the singular set of a fibering of a sphere must be a cohomology sphere. Results 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4 answer the question affirmatively if the dimension of Y is less than or equal to 4. But 4.5 shows that if there is a singular fibration of S^7 with a 2-dimensional fibre which is not a cohomology sphere then the conjecture is false. We have not been able to construct such a map.

References

- 1. P. E. Conner and Eldon Dyer, On singular fiberings by spheres, Mich. Math. J., 6 (1959) 303-311.
- 2. Sze-Tsen Hu, On fiberings with singularities, Mich. Math. J., 6 (1950), 131-149.
- 3. —— Homotopy theory (New York, 1959).
- 4. Deane Montgomery and Hans Samelson, Fiberings with singularities, Duke J. of Math., 13 (1946), 51-56.
- 5. J. P. Serre, Homologie singulière des espaces fibres, Ann. of Math., 54 (1951), 425-505.

Syracuse University