# DETERMINING THE FRATTINI SUBGROUP FROM THE GHARACTER TABLE 

SIDNEY GARRISON

1. Introduction. Brauer [1, p. 141] has discussed the question of which subgroups of a group can be determined from its character table. He mentions, referring to $p$-groups, that the Frattini subgroup can be determined. We show that for an arbitrary finite solvable group, the Frattini subgroup can be determined from the character table. Then we exhibit an infinite set of pairs of non-solvable groups such that both members of a given pair have the same character table but Frattini subgroups of different orders. All groups to be considered are finite.
2. The solvable case. For a group $G$ and a prime $p$, recall that $\mathbf{O}_{p}(G)$ is the largest normal $p$-subgroup in $G$ and that the Frattini subgroup, $\Phi(G)$, is the intersection of all maximal subgroups of $G$.

Theorem. Let $G$ be a solvable group and $\mathfrak{O}$ be the set of all $N \unlhd G$ such that for some prime $p, \mathbf{O}_{p}(G / N)$ is the unique minimal normal subgroup of $G / N$. Then $\cap \mathscr{O}=\Phi(G)$. In particular, the Frattini subgroup can be determined from the character table.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{M}$ be the set of maximal subgroups of $G$ and $x \in \cap \mathscr{M}=\Phi(G)$. We choose $N \in \mathscr{O}$ and show $x \in N$. If $N$ is a maximal subgroup, we are done. Otherwise, choose $O$ and $X$ with $N<O<X \leqq G$ and with $O / N$ and $X / O$ chief factors of $G$. Since $G$ is solvable, the definition of $\mathscr{O}$ implies there are distinct primes $p, q$ such that $O / N=\mathbf{O}_{p}(G / N)$ and $X / O$ is a $q$-group. Let $Q / N$ be a Sylow $q$-subgroup of $X / N$ so that $Q O=X$. Then by the Frattini argument, the normalizer $\mathbf{N}(Q)$ satisfies $G=\mathbf{N}(Q) X=\mathbf{N}(Q) O$. We claim $\mathbf{N}(Q) \in \mathscr{M}$. Indeed if $\mathbf{N}(Q) \leqq M \leqq G$ then $M \cap O$ is normalized by $M O=$ $\mathbf{N}(Q) O=G$. Now $O / N$ is a chief factor and if $M \cap O=O$ then $M=M O=G$. Alternately, if $M \cap O=N$ then

$$
M=M \cap \mathbf{N}(Q) O=\mathbf{N}(Q)(M \cap O)=\mathbf{N}(Q) N=\mathbf{N}(Q) .
$$

Further, $Q$ is not normal in $G$, so $\mathbf{N}(Q) \in \mathscr{M}$. Since $O / N$ is the unique minimal normal subgroup of $G / N$, the conjugates of $\mathbf{N}(Q)$ intersect in $N$. Thus $x \in \cap \mathscr{M}$ implies $x \in N$. But $N$ is an arbitrary member of $\mathscr{O}$ so $\Phi(G)=$ $\cap \mathscr{M} \subseteq \cap \mathscr{O}$.

[^0]To show $\cap \mathscr{O} \subseteq \Phi(G)$, pick $M \in \mathscr{M}$. We claim $N=\cap\left\{M^{g} \mid g \in G\right\}$ is in $\mathcal{O}$. Let $O / N$ be a minimal normal subgroup of $G / N$. Now $N$ being the maximal normal subgroup of $G$ in $M$ implies the following three statements. First, $O \neq M$ so by the maximality of $M, M O=G$. Second, since $M \cap O$ is normalized by $M O=G, M \cap O=N$. Now suppose $O_{1}$ and $O_{2}$ are subgroups containing $N$ such that $O_{i} / N$ is minimal normal in $G / N$ for $i=1,2$. Then $O_{1} / N$ centralizes $O_{1} O_{2} / N$ so that $M \cap O_{1} O_{2}$ is normalized by $O_{1} M=G$. We see finally that $M \cap O_{1} O_{2}=N$. It follows that

$$
O_{1}=O_{1} N=O_{1}\left(M \cap O_{1} O_{2}\right)=G \cap O_{1} O_{2}=O_{1} O_{2} .
$$

Thus $O_{1}=O_{2}$. Let $O / N$ be this unique minimal normal subgroup of $G / N$ and suppose it has $p$-power order. We let $X / N=\mathbf{O}_{p}(G / N)$ and show $X=O$. Since $O / N$ is the unique minimal normal subgroup in $G / N, O / N \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(X / N)$. Thus $M O=G$ normalizes $M \cap X$. As $N$ is the maximal normal subgroup of $G$ in $M$, we have $M \cap X=N$. It follows that

$$
X=M O \cap X=(M \cap X) O=N O=O
$$

Thus $N \in \mathscr{O}$ and we have $\cap \mathscr{O} \subseteq N \subseteq M$. Since $M$ is arbitrary,

$$
\cap \mathscr{O} \subseteq \cap \mathscr{M}=\Phi(G)
$$

Finally, since the lattice of normal subgroups and their orders can be determined from the character table, the set $\mathscr{O}$ can be found. Thus the classes in $\cap \mathscr{O}=\Phi(G)$ can be determined.
3. Non-solvable counter-examples. Character tables which provide counter-examples to the extension of the above theorem to the non-solvable case include those of groups of the form $G_{n}=\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \mathbf{Z}_{p}{ }^{2}\right)$ for $p \geqq 5$ a prime. The " $n$ " in the notation " $G_{n}$ " refers to the fact that $G_{n}$ is a non-split extension of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, p)$ by an elementary abelian group of order $p^{3}$. Let $N_{n}$ denote this normal subgroup of $G_{n}$. Then the group $G_{s}$, defined as the semi-direct product of $G_{n} / N_{n}$ and $N_{n}$ using the natural action, has the same character table as $G_{n}$ but a Frattini subgroup of a different order.

We now show that $G_{n}$ and $G_{s}$ have the same character tables. We must construct a bijection between the characters of $G_{n}$ and $G_{s}$ and a bijection between the classes of the two groups so that corresponding characters have the same value on corresponding classes. This will be done separately, but in a coherent manner, for certain blocks (submatrices) of the character table matrix by identifying these blocks with blocks of the character tables of isomorphic sections of $G_{n}$ and $G_{s}$. Before constructing the bijections, some group theoretic and character theoretic information will be needed.
4. Group theoretic information. First we consider the action of $G_{n} / N_{n}$ on $N_{n}$. We define $N_{n}$ more explicitly as the kernel of the natural homomorphism from $G_{n}=\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \mathbf{Z}_{p^{2}}\right)$ onto $\operatorname{PSL}(2, p)$ obtained by applying to the matrix
entries the natural homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}_{p^{2}}$ to $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$. Then for $n \in N_{n}$ we can choose a unique coset representative of the form $I+F(n)$ where $I$ is the $2 \times 2$ identity matrix and $F(n) \in \mathscr{L}$, the set of $2 \times 2$ matrices with entries from $p \mathbf{Z}_{p^{2}}$ and trace zero. The last condition holds since $\operatorname{det}(I+F(n))=1$ if and only if $\operatorname{tr}(F(n))=0$. The map $F:\left(N_{n}, \cdot\right) \rightarrow(\mathscr{L},+)$ is easily seen to be a $G_{n} / N_{n}$ isomorphism and so $N_{n}$ is isomorphic to $\left(\mathbf{Z}_{p}\right)^{3}$ as claimed. It is convenient to change the ring over which the matrix entries for members of $\mathscr{L}$ are taken. Let $\mathscr{L}^{\prime}$ be the set of $2 \times 2$ matrices with entries in $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$ and trace zero. We map $\mathscr{L}$ onto $\mathscr{L}^{\prime}$ by mapping matrix entries of the form $i p\left(p^{2} \mathbf{Z}\right) \in$ $p \mathbf{Z}_{p^{2}}$ to $i(p \mathbf{Z}) \in \mathbf{Z}_{p}$. Then the actions by conjugation of $G_{n} / N_{n}$ on $(\mathscr{L},+)$ and of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, p)$ on $\left(\mathscr{L}^{\prime},+\right)$ are the same. We now use standard theorems to determine the orbit sizes.

Table 1 gives the necessary information about the orbits of $G L(2, p)$ on $\mathscr{L}^{\prime}$. The representative of each orbit is the rational canonical form. Here $R=\left\{\alpha^{2} \mid \alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_{p}-\{0\}\right\}$ and $N R=\mathbf{Z}_{p}-(R \cup\{0\})$.

Table 1.

| Representative $x$ | $\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ | $\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$ | $\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & \alpha \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$ | $\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & \beta \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\|\mathbf{C}_{G L(2, p)}(x)\right\|$ | $\left(p^{2}-1\right)\left(p^{2}-p\right)$ | $p(p-1)$ | $(p-1)^{2}$ | $p^{2}-1$ |
| Parameters |  |  | $\alpha \in R$ | $\beta \in N R$ |

Now consideration of the centralizer, $\mathbf{C}_{S L(2, p)}(x)$, for $x$ given in Table 1 determines the number of orbits of each size of $S L(2, p)$ on $\mathscr{L}^{\prime}$. These are given in Table 2.

Table 2.

| Orbit size | 1 | $\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 2$ | $p^{2}+p$ | $p^{2}-p$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of orbits of <br> this size | 1 | 2 | $(p-1) / 2$ | $(p-1) / 2$ |

The relation between the actions of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, p)$ on $\mathscr{L}^{\prime}$ and $G_{n} / N_{n}$ on $N_{n}$ given above implies that Table 2 also gives the orbits of $G_{n} / N_{n}$ acting on $N_{n}$. Finally, one calculates that the stabilizer in $\operatorname{PSL}(2, p)$ and hence in $G_{n} / N_{n}$ of a point from a non-trivial orbit is cyclic of order $p,(p-1) / 2$ or $(p+1) / 2$.

Let $N_{s}$ denote the normal subgroup $1 \times N_{n}$ of $G_{s}$, the split extension. In what follows $G$ and $N$ will denote both the pair $G_{n}$ and $N_{n}$ and the pair $G_{s}$ and $N_{s}$ in statements which hold for both pairs and further analogous notation will be introduced. For example, since by definition $G_{s} / N_{s}$ acts on $N_{s}$ just as $G_{n} / N_{n}$ acts on $N_{n}$, we have that the orbits of $G / N$ on $N$ have sizes 1, $\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 2, p^{2}+p$ and $p^{2}-p$.

We may now show that $G / N$ acts irreducibly on $N$. Indeed, suppose $K$ is a proper invariant subgroup of $N$. Then as $K$ is a union of orbits, $|K|=p^{2}$. Thus $|N / K|=p$ so that $N / K$ is a trivial $G / N$-module. But then each orbit is in a fixed coset of $K$ which is impossible for orbits of size $p^{2}+p$.
5. Character theoretic information. Proofs of the standard results used in this section may be found in Feit [2, I] or Huppert [4, V]. It is necessary to calculate the orbit sizes of $\operatorname{Irr}(N)$ under the action of $G / N$. As noted in the last section, $G / N$ acts on $N$ just as $\operatorname{PSL}(2, p)$ acts on $\mathscr{L}^{\prime}$. Define a bilinear map from $\mathscr{L}^{\prime} \times \mathscr{L}^{\prime}$ to $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$ for $M_{1}, M_{2} \in \mathscr{L}^{\prime}$ by

$$
\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{tr}\left(M_{1} M_{2}\right) .
$$

For $M \in \operatorname{PSL}(2, p)$ we have $\left(M_{1}{ }^{M}, M_{2}{ }^{M}\right)=\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)$. Thus the mapping $\rho$ from $\mathscr{L}^{\prime}$ to the dual space of $\mathscr{L}^{\prime}$ defined by $\rho\left(M_{1}\right)\left(M_{2}\right)=\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)$ is a $\operatorname{PSL}(2, p)$-map. Since $\mathscr{L}^{\prime}$ is an irreducible module and $\rho$ is non-zero, we have a module isomorphism. Thus $N$ and $\operatorname{Irr}(N)$ are isomorphic $G / N$ modules. In particular, the orbits of $G / N$ on $\operatorname{Irr}(N)$ have the sizes given in Table 2.

We now discuss the irreducible characters of $G$ with kernels not containing $N$. If $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ is such a character, then $\chi_{N}$, the restriction of $\chi$ to $N$, has a non-principal constituent $\lambda$. By Frobenius Reciprocity $\chi$ is a constituent of $\lambda^{G}$, the character of $G$ induced from $\lambda$. Thus it suffices to consider the constituents of $\lambda^{G}$ for all $\lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}(N)-\left\{1_{N}\right\}$. Let $S \leqq G$ be the inertial group of $\lambda$ in $G$. By the permutation isomorphism between the actions of $G$ on $N$ and $G$ on $\operatorname{Irr}(N)$ and the result from Section 4 concerning stabilizers of nonidentity elements of $N$, we see that $S / N$ is cyclic of order $p,(p-1) / 2$ or $(p+1) / 2$. Thus $\lambda$ extends to $\tilde{\lambda} \in \operatorname{Irr}(S)$ [2, I, 9.12]. In what follows if $K \unlhd H$ we will identify $\Phi \in \operatorname{Irr}(H)$ with kernel containing $K$ with the corresponding character of $\operatorname{Irr}(H / K)$. With this convention, Gallagher [3, Theorem 2] has shown that

$$
\lambda^{S}=\sum_{\mu \in I T r(S / N)} \mu \tilde{\lambda}
$$

where the $\mu \tilde{\lambda}$ are all irreducible and distinct. Since the degree of $(\mu \tilde{\lambda})^{G}$ is $|G: S|$, exactly the size of the orbit of $\lambda$, Clifford's theorem implies $(\mu \tilde{\lambda})^{G}$ is irreducible. It follows that all irreducible characters of $G$ with kernel not containing $N$ are obtained in the above manner and so have degrees $\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 2$, $p^{2}+p$, or $p^{2}-p$.
6. Construction of bijections. We partition the classes of $G$. Let $\mathscr{K}_{1}$ denote the set of $p+2$ conjugacy classes of $G$ which are contained in $N$. Let $\mathscr{K}_{2}, \mathscr{K}_{3}$ and $\mathscr{K}_{4}$ denote respectively those remaining classes whose representatives modulo $N$ have order dividing $(p-1) / 2,(p+1) / 2$ and $p$. This is a partition since every non-identity element of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, p)$ has order dividing exactly one of these numbers [4, II, 8.5]. As explained earlier $\mathscr{K}_{i, n}$ and $\mathscr{K}_{\text {1,s }}$ will denote the corresponding classes of $G_{n}$ and $G_{s}$ respectively.

We define the bijection between the classes of $G_{n}$ and $G_{s}$ and the bijection between the characters of $G_{n}$ and $G_{s}$ in stages. By the definition of $G_{s}$ as a semi-direct product, $\sigma: N_{n} \rightarrow N_{s}=1 \times N_{n}$ defined by $\sigma(n)=(1, n)$ is an isomorphism which intertwines with the isomorphism $\tau: G_{n} / N_{n} \rightarrow G_{s} / N_{s}$ given by $\tau:\left(x N_{n}\right)=\left(x N_{n}, 1\right) N_{s}$. This induces a bijection between $\mathscr{K}_{1, n}$ and $\mathscr{K}_{1, s}$. Fixing an isomorphism from ( $\mathbf{Z}_{p},+$ ) into $(\mathbf{C}, \cdot)$, the complex numbers, gives an isomorphism from the dual space of $N$ to $\operatorname{Irr}(N)$. Thus the isomorphism $\sigma: N_{n} \rightarrow N_{s}$ induces an "adjoint mapping", $\sigma^{*}: \operatorname{Irr}\left(N_{s}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Irr}\left(N_{n}\right)$, defined by $\sigma^{*}\left(\theta_{s}\right)(n)=\theta_{s}(\sigma(n))$ for $\theta_{s} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(N_{s}\right)$ and $n \in N_{n}$.

We now construct a bijection between the characters of degree $p^{2}+p$ of $G_{n}$ and $G_{s}$. Let $\lambda_{i, s} i=1, \ldots,(p-1) / 2$ be representatives of the $(p-1) / 2$ orbits in $\operatorname{Irr}\left(N_{s}\right)$ of size $p^{2}+p$, chosen so as to have the same inertial group, $S_{s}$. This is possible since all subgroups of $G / N$ of order $(p-1) / 2$ are conjugate [4, II, 8.5]. Let the inertial group of $\sigma^{*}\left(\lambda_{i, s}\right) \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(N_{n}\right) i=1, \ldots,(p-1) / 2$ be $S_{n}$. Letting $S$ denote either $S_{n}$ or $S_{s}$, we have that $N_{G / N}(S / N)$ is dihedral of order $p-1$ [4, II, 8.3]. By the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem $N_{G_{n}}\left(S_{n}\right)$ splits over $N_{n}$, say with complement $C_{n}$. Then $\tilde{\sigma}: \mathbf{N}\left(S_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{N}\left(S_{s}\right)$ defined for $c n \in C_{n} N_{n}=\mathbf{N}\left(S_{n}\right)$ by $\tilde{\sigma}(c n)=\left(c N_{n}, n\right)$ is an isomorphism which extends $\sigma$. Let $\tilde{\lambda}_{i, s} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(S_{s}\right)$ be an extension of $\lambda_{i, s}$ for $i=1, \ldots,(p-1) / 2$. Also let $\mu_{s}$ be a generator of the cyclic group $\operatorname{Irr}\left(S_{s} / N_{s}\right)$. Just as $\sigma$ induced $\sigma^{*}$, the isomorphism $\tilde{\sigma}$ induces naturally $\tilde{\sigma}^{*}: \operatorname{Irr}\left(S_{s}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Irr}\left(S_{n}\right)$. Then the mapping

$$
\left(\tilde{\sigma}^{*}\left(\mu_{s}{ }^{j} \tilde{\lambda}_{i, s}\right)\right)^{G_{n}} \leftrightarrow\left(\mu_{s}{ }^{j} \tilde{\lambda}_{i, s}\right)^{G_{s}} \quad i, j=1, \ldots,(p-1) / 2
$$

is a bijection between the irreducible characters of $G_{n}$ and $G_{s}$ of degree $p^{2}+p$.
We use $\sigma$ to define the bijection between $\mathscr{K}_{2, n}$ and $\mathscr{K}_{2, s}$. Notice that every class in $\mathscr{K}_{2}$ intersects $S-N$, since every element of order dividing $(p-1) / 2$ in $G / N$ lies in one of the unique class of cyclic subgroups of order $(p-1) / 2$ [4, II, 8.5]. Further $\mathbf{N}(S)$ controls fusion in $S-N$ if an odd prime divides ( $p-1$ ) $/ 2$ since $\mathrm{N}(S) / N$ and $S / N$ are the normalizer and centralizer of a Sylow subgroup of $G / N[4$, IV, 2.5] and a similar argument works if $(p-1) / 2$ is a power of 2 . Thus the intersection of a class in $\mathscr{K}_{2}$ with $S-N$ is a class of $N(S)$. Combining the two bijections whose existence is implied by the last statement with the bijection between the classes of $\mathbf{N}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and $\mathbf{N}\left(S_{s}\right)$ induced by $\tilde{\sigma}$ gives the necessary bijection between $\mathscr{K}_{2, n}$ and $\mathscr{K}_{2, s}$. Notice (for later use) that if the natural mappings

$$
\eta_{s}: \mathbf{N}\left(S_{s}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{N}\left(S_{s}\right) / N_{s} \quad \text { and } \quad \eta_{n}: \mathbf{N}\left(S_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{N}\left(S_{n}\right) / N_{n}
$$

are defined, then the definition of $\tilde{\sigma}$ implies $\eta_{s} \tilde{\sigma}=\tau \eta_{n}$.
We may now show that corresponding characters of degree $p^{2}+p$ are equal on corresponding classes regardless of how the bijection from $\mathscr{K}_{i, n}$ to $\mathscr{K}_{i, s}$ is defined for $i=3,4$. Indeed, irreducible characters of degree $p^{2}+p$ of $G_{n}$ and $G_{s}$ are induced from $S_{n}$ and $S_{s}$ respectively where $|S / N|=(p-1) / 2$ and so must vanish on members of classes from $\mathscr{K}_{i, n}$ and $\mathscr{K}_{i, s}$ for $i=3,4$.

Let

$$
\chi_{s}=\left(\mu_{s}{ }^{j} \tilde{\lambda}_{i, s}\right)^{G_{s}} \text { and } \chi_{n}=\left(\tilde{\sigma}^{*}\left(\mu_{s}{ }^{j} \tilde{\lambda}_{i, s}\right)\right)^{G_{n}} \text { for } i, j \in\{1, \ldots,(p-1) / 2\} .
$$

Then $\left(\chi_{s}\right)_{N_{s}}$ is the sum of characters of $\operatorname{Irr}\left(N_{s}\right)$ in the orbit of $\lambda_{i, s}$ and $\left(\chi_{n}\right)_{N_{n}}$ is the sum of characters of $\operatorname{Irr}\left(N_{n}\right)$ in the orbit of $\sigma^{*}\left(\lambda_{i, s}\right)$. But for $n \in N_{n}$, the definition of $\sigma^{*}$ gives that

$$
\left(\sigma^{*}\left(\lambda_{i, s}\right)\right)^{g}(n)=\lambda_{i, s^{\tau(\theta)}}(\sigma(n)) \quad \text { for } g \in G_{n} / N_{n}
$$

Finally for classes in $\mathscr{K}_{2, n}$ let $x_{n} \in S_{n}-N_{n}$ so that $\tilde{\sigma}\left(x_{n}\right) \in S_{s}-N_{s}$ and represents the corresponding class in $\mathscr{K}_{2, s}$. Then since $\mathbf{N}(S)$ controls fusion in $S-N$, the formula for induced characters gives

$$
\chi_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)=\left(\tilde{\sigma}^{*}\left(\mu_{s}{ }^{j} \tilde{\lambda}_{i, s}\right)\right)^{\mathbf{N}\left(S_{n}\right)}\left(x_{n}\right)
$$

and similarly

$$
\chi_{s}\left(\tilde{\sigma}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)=\left(\mu_{s}{ }^{j} \tilde{\lambda}_{i, s}\right)^{\mathbf{N}\left(s_{s}\right)}\left(\tilde{\sigma}\left(x_{n}\right)\right) .
$$

By the definition of $\tilde{\sigma}^{*}$, these are equal and so we have shown corresponding characters of degree $p^{2}+p$ agree on corresponding classes.

The same arguments may be applied to the characters of degree $p^{2}-p$ to define a bijection between $\mathscr{K}_{3, n}$ and $\mathscr{K}_{3, s}$ and a bijection between the irreducible characters of $G_{n}$ and $G_{s}$ of degree $p^{2}-p$ with properties similar to those of the bijections already defined. No additional difficulties arise in this case.

We now consider the correspondences between the characters of degree ( $p^{2}-1$ )/2 and between $\mathscr{K}_{4, n}$ and $\mathscr{K}_{4, s}$. The situation here is less straightforward since the normalizers of the appropriate inertial groups in $G_{n}$ and $G_{s}$ are not isomorphic. However, we can give an isomorphism between certain sections of $G_{n}$ and $G_{s}$ which will meet our needs.

We introduce some notation. Let $\lambda_{1, n} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(N_{n}\right)$ be chosen from an orbit of size $\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 2$. Not all members of $\left\{\lambda_{1, n}{ }^{i} \mid i=1, \ldots, p-1\right\}$ lie in the same orbit, for otherwise the stabilizer of $\left\{\lambda_{1, n}{ }^{i} \mid i=1, \ldots, p-1\right\}$ modulo $N_{n}$ would have index $(p+1) / 2$ in $G_{n} / N_{n}$, a simple group containing an element of order $p$. Let $\lambda_{2, n} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(N_{n}\right)$ be a power of $\lambda_{1, n}$ in the other orbit of size $\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 2$. Let $S_{n}$ and $K_{n}$ be respectively the common inertial group and kernel of $\lambda_{1, n}$ and $\lambda_{2, n}$. Notice that since $\left|S_{n}: K_{n}\right|=p^{2}$, the commutator subgroup satisfies $S_{n}{ }^{\prime} \subseteq K_{n}$. Let $\lambda_{i, s} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(N_{s}\right)$ be defined by $\sigma^{*}\left(\lambda_{i, s}\right)=\lambda_{i, n}$ for $i=1,2$. Then the inertial group and kernel, $S_{s}$ and $K_{s}$ of $\lambda_{1, s}$ and $\lambda_{2, s}$ satisfy $\tau\left(S_{n} / N_{n}\right)=$ $S_{s} / N_{s}$ and $\sigma\left(K_{n}\right)=K_{s}$.

Let $S$ denote either $S_{n}$ or $S_{s}$. For $x \in S-N$ it is easy to check that the Jordan normal form for $x$ acting on the vector space $N$ has one block. It follows that

$$
1<\mathbf{Z}(S)<S^{\prime}=K<N
$$

Since

$$
x^{p} \in N \cap \mathbf{C}(x)=\mathbf{Z}(S)
$$

we see that $S / K$ is elementary abelian of order $p^{2}$. Now $\mathbf{N}(S) / S$ is cyclic of order $(p-1) / 2$ and so $S / K$ is the direct sum of two linear $\mathbf{N}(S) / S$-modules, one being $N / K$. Let $L / K$ be the other for $K<L<S$. As $\mathbf{N}(S) / S$ modules we have that

$$
L / K=L /(N \cap L) \simeq N L / N=S / N
$$

Thus the action of $\mathbf{N}(S) / S$ on $L / K$ is determined in $\mathbf{N}(S) / N$.
We may now define an isomorphism

$$
\tilde{\sigma}: \mathbf{N}\left(S_{n}\right) / K_{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}\left(S_{s}\right) / K_{s}
$$

The symbol $\tilde{\sigma}$ is redefined for the remainder of the section to emphasize the analogy between this and the former construction. Let $t_{n} \in \mathbf{N}\left(S_{n}\right)-S_{n}$ with $\left|t_{n}\right|=(p-1) / 2$ and define $t_{s}=\left(t_{n} N_{n}, 1\right) \in \mathbf{N}\left(S_{s}\right)-S_{s}$. Then $\tau\left(t_{n} N_{n}\right)=$ $t_{s} N_{s}$. Let $n_{n} \in N_{n}-K_{n}$ and $n_{s}=\sigma\left(n_{n}\right)$. Finally let $l_{n} \in L_{n}-K_{n}$ and $l_{s} \in \tau\left(l_{n} N_{n}\right) \cap L_{s}$. The last comment in the preceding paragraph shows that $t_{n}$ acts on $\left\langle l_{n} K_{n}\right\rangle$ just as $t_{s}$ acts on $\left\langle l_{s} K_{s}\right\rangle$. Since $\sigma$ intertwines with $\tau$ we see that $t_{n}$ acts on $\left\langle n_{n} K_{n}\right\rangle$ just as $t_{s}$ acts on $\left\langle n_{s} K_{s}\right\rangle$. Therefore if we define $\tilde{\sigma}\left(t_{n} K_{n}\right)=$ $t_{s} K_{s}, \tilde{\sigma}\left(l_{n} K_{n}\right)=l_{s} K_{s}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}\left(n_{n} K_{n}\right)=n_{s} K_{s}$, then $\tilde{\sigma}$ can be extended uniquely to an isomorphism from $\mathbf{N}\left(S_{n}\right) / K_{n}$ to $\mathbf{N}\left(S_{s}\right) / K_{s}$. As in the previous case $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ induces a bijection

$$
\tilde{\sigma}^{*}: \operatorname{Irr}\left(\tilde{\sigma}\left(T_{n}\right) / K_{n}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Irr}\left(T_{n} / K_{n}\right)
$$

for each $K_{n} \leqq T_{n} \leqq \mathbf{N}\left(S_{n}\right)$.
Let $\mu_{s} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(S_{s} / N_{s}\right)$ be such that

$$
\left\{\mu_{s}{ }^{j} \mid j \in \mathbf{Z}\right\}=\operatorname{Irr}\left(S_{s} / N_{s}\right) .
$$

Let $\tilde{\lambda}_{i, s} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(S_{s}\right)$ be an extension of $\lambda_{i, s}$ for $i=1,2$. Then the correspondence between characters of degree $\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 2$ is given by

$$
\left(\tilde{\sigma}^{*}\left(\mu_{s}{ }^{j} \tilde{\lambda}_{i, s}\right)\right)^{G_{n}} \leftrightarrow\left(\mu_{s}{ }^{j} \tilde{\lambda}_{i, s}\right)^{G_{s}} \quad i=1,2 ; j=1, \ldots, p-1 .
$$

Since all characters of degree $\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 2$ are induced from a Sylow $p$-subgroup, they vanish on $\mathscr{K}_{2}$ and $\mathscr{K}_{3}$. Since $\left.\tilde{\sigma}\right|_{N / K}$ is induced by $\sigma$, corresponding characters of degree $\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 2$ are equal on corresponding classes in $\mathscr{K}_{1, n}$ and $\mathscr{K}_{1, s}$.

It remains to define the bijection between $\mathscr{K}_{4, n}$ and $\mathscr{K}_{4, s}$. Since $|S: N|=p$, fusion in $S / N$ is controlled in $\mathbf{N}(S) / N$. Thus the conjugacy classes of $G$ in $\mathscr{K}_{4}$ when intersected with $S$ give the $\mathbf{N}(S)$-conjugacy classes in $S-N$. We claim that the conjugacy classes of $\mathbf{N}(S)$ in $S-N$ are in one to one correspondence with the conjugacy classes of $\mathbf{N}(S) / K$ in $S / K-N / K$. Indeed for $x \in S-N$, a reconsideration of the Jordan normal form for $x$ acting on $N$ shows that $\left|\mathbf{C}_{S}(x)\right|=p^{2}$. Thus $x$ has $p^{2}$ conjugates in $S$. But two conjugates are always in the same coset of $S^{\prime}=K$. Finally $|K|=p^{2}$ implies that $x K$ is the class of $x$ in $S$. The bijections above, when combined with the map between classes of $\mathbf{N}\left(S_{n}\right) / K_{n}$ and $\mathbf{N}\left(S_{s}\right) / K_{s}$ defined by $\tilde{\sigma}$, give the desired bijection. Finally since $\tilde{\sigma}^{*}$ is an "adjoint mapping" to $\tilde{\sigma}$, we see that corresponding
characters of degree $\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 2$ agree on corresponding classes in $\mathscr{K}_{4, n}$ and $\mathscr{K}_{4, s}$.

We have now completed the definition of the bijection between the classes of $G_{n}$ and of $G_{s}$. It remains to define a bijection between the characters of $G_{n}$ and $G_{s}$ which contain $N_{n}$ and $N_{s}$ respectively in their kernels, i.e., between $\operatorname{Irr}\left(G_{n} / N_{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Irr}\left(G_{s} / N_{s}\right)$. But $\tau: G_{n} / N_{n} \rightarrow G_{s} N_{s}$ is an isomorphism. Further the map between classes we have defined has the property that corresponding classes taken modulo $N_{n}$ and $N_{s}$ respectively correspond under the map between classes of $G_{n} / N_{n}$ and $G_{s} / N_{s}$ induced by $\tau$. Thus extending our map between characters to $\operatorname{Irr}\left(G_{n} / N_{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Irr}\left(G_{s} / N_{s}\right)$ by the "adjoint mapping" $\tau^{*}$ will guarantee that corresponding characters agree on corresponding classes. Thus $G_{n}$ and $G_{s}$ have the same character table.
7. Frattini subgroups. It remains only to show that $G_{n}$ and $G_{s}$ have Frattini subgroups of different orders. It is easy to see that $\Phi(G / N)=N$ implies $\Phi(G) \subseteq N$. In $G_{s},\left(G_{n} / N_{n}\right) \times 1$ is a maximal subgroup since $N_{s}=$ $1 \times N_{n}$ is an irreducible $G_{s}$-module. Thus

$$
\Phi\left(G_{s}\right) \subseteq\left(G_{n} / N_{n} \times 1\right) \cap\left(1 \times N_{n}\right)=1_{G_{s}} .
$$

In $G_{n}$, let $M$ be a maximal subgroup. If $N_{n} \nsubseteq M$, then $N_{n} M=G_{n}$ and so $N_{n} \cap M \unlhd N_{n} M=G_{n}$. Now $N_{n}$ is an irreducible $G_{n}$-module so $N_{n} \cap M=1$, in particular there is an element of order $p$ in $\mathrm{G}_{n}-N_{n}$. Since $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]^{p}$ is not trivial in $G_{n}=P S L\left(2, \mathbf{Z}_{p^{2}}\right)$ and since $p \geqq 5$, P. Hall's theory of regular $p$-groups [4, III, 10.2, 10.5] shows that all elements of $p$-power order in $G_{n}-N_{n}$ have order $p^{2}$. Thus every maximal subgroup of $G_{n}$ contains $N_{n}$ and so $\Phi\left(G_{n}\right)=N_{n}$.

Since the Frattini subgroups have different orders, it is clear that no set of classes of $G$ can be determined from the character table of $G$ such that the union of the set is the Frattini subgroup.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to thank the referee for a suggestion which simplified Section 5.

## References

1. R. Brauer, Representations of finite groups, from T. L. Saaty, Lectures on modern mathematics, Vol. I (John Wiley \& Sons, Inc., New York, 1963).
2. W. Feit, Characters of finite groups (W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1967).
3. P. X. Gallagher, Group characters and normal Hall subgroups, Nagoya Math. J. 21 (1962), 223-230.
4. B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen I (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967).

## Texas $A \& M$ University,

College Station, Texas


[^0]:    Received June 12, 1975 and in revised form, December 17, 1975.

