# SPHERICAL MODIFICATIONS AND THE STRONG CATEGORY OF MANIFOLDS 

M. V. MIELKE<br>(Received 25 September 1968)

Using the notion of spherical modification and results from Morse theory a general technique is described for constructing manifolds whose strong category is small ( $\leqq \mathbf{3}$ ) but whose homological structure is complex.

Unless stated otherwise an $n$-manifold is a compact, differentiable $n$ dimensional manifold without boundary.

Let $V_{1}$ be an $n$-manifold and suppose $S^{i}$ is an $i$-sphere homeomorphically and smoothly imbedded in $V_{1}$ with a trivial normal bundle. Then $S^{i}$ has a neighborhood of the form $S^{i} \times D^{n-i}$ ( $D^{n-i}$ is an ( $n-i$ )-disc). Clearly the boundary of $S^{i} \times D^{n-i}=S^{i} \times S^{n-i-1}=$ the boundary of $D^{i+1} \times S^{n-i-1}$. Smoothly identifying the boundary of $D^{i+1} \times S^{n-i-1}$ with the boundary of ( $V_{1}$-interior $\left(S^{i} \times D^{n-i}\right)$ ) results in a new manifold $V_{2} . V_{2}$ is said to be obtained from $V_{1}$ by a spherical modification of type ( $i, n-i-1$ ). (Cf. [8] page 504 ). The manifold $V_{2}$ has a sphere $S^{n-i-1}$ (the associated sphere to $S^{i}$ ) imbedded in it with trivial normal bundle; namely,

$$
\{0\} \times S^{n-i-1} \subset D^{i+1} \times S^{n-i-1} \subset V_{2}
$$

Clearly by reversing the procedure $V_{1}$ can be obtained from $V_{2}$ by a spherical modification of type ( $n-i-1, i$ ) determined by the associated sphere to $S^{i}$. Such a modification will be called an inverse to the given one.

Let $V_{2}$ be obtained from $V_{1}$ by performing a finite sequence $S$ of spherical modifications on $V_{1}$. Associated to $S$ is a $n+1$-manifold $W$ called the trace of $S$ with boundary of $W=V_{1} \cup V_{2}$. The triple ( $W, V_{1}, V_{2}$ ) is a manifold triad in the sense of [6] page 2 . A rearrangement theorem says that the modifications $S$ can be rearranged so that all modifications of type ( $i, n-i-1$ ) are performed before any of type $(i+1, n-i-2)$ and all modifications of type ( $i, n-i-1$ ) can be assumed to be carried out on the same manifold. Further the trace of the rearranged sequence is the same as the trace of $S$. (Cf. [8] page 514 and [6] page 44).

Assuming that the sequence $S$ of modifications leading from $V_{1}$ to $V_{2}$ is already 'rearranged' as above one has a sequence of manifolds $V_{1}=M_{0}$, $M_{1}, \cdots M_{i}, M_{i+1}, \cdots, M_{k}=V_{2}$ where $M_{i+1}$ is obtained from $M_{i}$ by modifications of type $(i, n-i-1)$ only. Suppose $b_{i+1}=$ the number of
( $i, n-i-1$ ) type modifications in $S$. Let $\left\{S_{j}^{i}\right\}_{j=1, \cdots, b_{i+1}}$ be the spheres in $M_{i}$ determining the $b_{i+1}(i, n-i-1)$ type modifications and let $\left\{S_{j}^{n-i-1}\right\}_{j=1,2, \cdots, b_{i+1}}$ be the associated spheres in $M_{i+1}$. For any integer $b \geqq 0$ let $F(b)$ be the free abelian group on $b$ generators $(F(0)=0)$. Define $C_{i}=F\left(b_{i}\right)$ where the $\left\{S_{j}^{i-1}\right\}_{j=1, \cdots, b_{i}}$ can be taken as representatives for the generators. Then $C_{i-1}=F\left(b_{i-1}\right)$ is generated by $\left\{S_{k}^{i-2}\right\}_{k=1, \cdots, b_{i-1}}$. Define $d_{i}: C_{i} \rightarrow C_{i-1}$ by

$$
d_{i}\left(S_{j}^{i-1}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{b_{i-1}} A_{j k} S_{k}^{i-2}
$$

where $A_{j k}=S_{j}^{i-1} . S_{k}^{n-i+1}=$ intersection number of $S_{j}^{i-1}$ and $S_{k}^{n-i+1}$, where $S_{k}^{n-i+1}=$ associated sphere to $S_{k}^{i-2}$ (note $S_{j}^{i-1}$ and $S_{k}^{n-i+1}$ are both spheres in $\left.M_{i-1}\right)$. It is not hard to see that $\left(C_{*}, d\right)=\left(C_{i}, d_{i}\right)$ is a chain complex.

Theorem 1. Let $W=$ trace of $S$ (performed on $V_{1}$ ) then

$$
H_{i}\left(W, V_{\mathbf{1}}\right) \cong H_{i}\left(C_{*}\right)
$$

all $i$ (homology with integer coefficients).
Proof. [6] page 90.
TheOrem 2. Let $M$ be an $n$ dimensional, compact, connected manifold of the form $M=W \cup D^{n}$ where $W=$ trace of a finite sequence $S$ of spherical modifications on $V_{1}=$ boundary of $D^{n}=S^{n-1}$ and $D^{n}$ is attached to $W$ by smoothly identifying (boundary $\left.D^{n}\right)$ to ((boundary $W$ ) $=V_{1}$ ). Then $H_{0}(M)=Z$ (integers) and for $i>0 H_{i}(M) \cong H_{i}\left(C_{*}\right)$ where $C_{*}$ is obtained from $S$ as described above.

Proof. Consider the sequence $H_{i}(M) \xrightarrow{f} H_{i}\left(M, D^{n}\right) \xrightarrow{g} H_{i}\left(W, V_{1}\right)$ where $f$ is from the homology sequence of the pair $\left(M, D^{n}\right)$ and is thus an isomorphism for $i>0$ and $g$ is induced by excision and homotopy and is thus an isomorphism for all $i$. Since $M$ is connected $H_{0}(M)=Z$ and for $i>0$ the theorem follows from theorem 1 .

Corollary. If $d_{i}=0$ all $i>0$ then $H_{i}(M)=C_{i}=F\left(b_{i}\right)$ all $i>0$ where $b_{i}=$ number of $(i-1, n-i-1)$ type modifications in $S$.

Proof. Follows directly from theorem 2 and the definition of $H_{i}\left(C_{*}\right)$.
Before getting to the main result one further definition is needed. Let $M$ be an $n$-manifold. Define $C(M)$ to be the minimum number of contractable in themselves, open sets needed to cover $M$. (See strong category [2] page 360.)

Theorem 3 is a statement of the main result although the technique of proof is of more interest than the theorem. (See remark following the proof of theorem 3.)

Note that if, for example, $b_{i}=0$ for $0<i \leqq 33$ and $n=\mathbf{1 0 0}$ then the $' C(M) \leqq 3$ ' portion of the theorem falls under the case mentioned in [1] page 201.

Theorem 3. Let $\left\{b_{i}\right\}_{i=0,1, \cdots, n}$ be a sequence of non-negative integers satisfying the following conditions: $b_{0}=1, b_{i}=b_{n-i}$ and if $n=2 m$ then $b_{m}=2 t$. Under these conditions there exists an orientable, connected $n$-manifold $M$ with $H_{i}(M)=F\left(b_{i}\right)$ and $C(M) \leqq 3$.

Proof. Let $N=\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i}$ if $n=2 m+1$ and let $N=\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} b_{i}+t$ if $n=2 m$ and $b_{m}=2 t$. Let $D_{1}^{n}$ be an $n$-disc and in the boundary of $D_{1}^{n}=S^{n-1}=V_{1}$ pick out $N$ mutually disjoint $(n-1)$ discs. Call them $D\left(b_{i}, j\right)$ where $1 \leqq i \leqq m$ and $\mathrm{l} \leqq j \leqq b_{i}$ if $n=2 m+1$ if $n=2 m$, then for $\mathrm{l} \leqq i \leqq m-1, \mathrm{l} \leqq j \leqq b_{i}$ and for $i=m, \mathrm{l} \leqq j \leqq t$ (note that no discs are picked if $b_{i}=0$ ). In each disc $D\left(b_{i}, j\right)$ imbed an ( $i-1$ )-sphere $S_{j}^{i-1}$ with trivial normal bundle. (e.g. $S^{i-1}=$ Boundary

$$
\left.D^{i} \subset D^{i} \subset D^{i} \times\{0\} \subset D^{i} \times D^{n-i-1}=D^{n-1}\right) .
$$

Performing on $V_{1}$ spherical modifications determined by these $N$ different spheres gives a manifold $V$ with $N$ mutually disjoint spheres $\left\{S_{j}^{n-i-1}\right\}$ ( $S_{j}^{n-i-1}$ is associated to $S_{j}^{i-1}$ ) imbedded in it. Performing on $V, N$ spherical modifications inverse to those performed on $V_{1}$ gives a manifold $V_{2}$ which is again $S^{n-1}$. Finally, perform on $V_{2}$ an $(n-1,-1)$ spherical modification determined by $V_{2}$ itself. Let $W=$ trace of these $2 N+1$ modifications and let $M=D_{1}^{n} \cup W$ ( $M$ is clearly a compact, connected $n$-manifold).

For $n=2 m+1$ if $1 \leqq i \leqq m$ then $m+1 \leqq n-i \leqq n-1$. Hence the number of ( $i-1, n-i-1$ ) modifications $=$ number of ( $n-i-1, i-1$ ) modifications $=b_{i}=b_{n-i}(1 \leqq i \leqq n-1)$. For $n=2 m$ a slight change occurs; namely, the number of ( $m-1, m-1$ ) modifications performed on $V_{1}=t$, and the number of ( $m-1, m-1$ ) modifications performed on $V=t$, so the total number of ( $m-1, m-1$ ) modifications $=2 t=b_{m}$. In both cases there is only one ( $n-\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}$ ) modification performed thus $C_{i}=F\left(b_{i}\right) 1 \leqq i \leqq n$ for any $n$.

Consider now $d_{i}: C_{i} \rightarrow C_{i-1}$. To compute $d_{i}$ it is necessary to find the intersection numbers $S_{j}^{i-1} \cdot S_{k}^{n-i}$ where $S_{j}^{i-1}$ is a generator of $C_{i}$ and $S_{k}^{n-i}$ is associated to a generator $S_{k}^{i-2}$ of $C_{i-1}$. If $n=2 m$ then $i-1 \neq n-i$ and thus by the construction of $W, S_{j}^{i-1} \cap S_{k}^{n-i}=\emptyset$ for $2 \leqq i \leqq n-1$. However if $n=2 m+1$ then $i-1=n-i$ for $i=m+1$. In this case, then, the associated spheres to generators of $C_{m}$ are the generators of $C_{m+1}$.

Let $S_{k}^{m-1}$ be a generator of $C_{m}$ and let $S_{k}^{m}$ (a generator of $C_{m+1}$ ) be associated to $S_{k}^{m-1}$. Now $S_{k}^{m}$ is identified with $\{0\} \times S^{m} \subset D^{m} \times S^{m}$ introduced when $S^{m-1} \times D^{m+1}$ is replaced by $D^{m} \times S^{m}$ under the modification. Let $P \neq 0$ be a point in $D^{m}$ and let $\bar{S}_{k}^{m}$ be the sphere $\{P\} \times S^{m} \subset D^{m} \times S^{m}$. Then $S_{k}^{m} \cap \bar{S}_{k}^{m}=\emptyset$ and performing the modification with respect to $\bar{S}_{k}^{m}$ gives the
same result as using $S_{k}^{m}$ since $\bar{S}_{k}^{m}$ and $S_{k}^{m}$ are isotopic. (Cf. [9] 776). Thus for $n$ odd or even its clear that if $\mathbf{2} \leqq i \leqq n-\mathbf{1}$ then $d_{i}=\mathbf{0}$.

Further suppose all of the ( $0, n-2$ ) modifications performed on $V_{1}$ to be orientable (i.e. $V$ is orientable). This corresponds to identifying (boundary $S^{0} \times D^{n-1}$ ) to (boundary $\dot{D^{1}} \times S^{n-2}$ ) in such a way that the orientations on one component of the boundary are the same while those on the other component are opposite. Hence when the $(n-1,-1)$ modification is performed on $V_{2}$ the intersection number is $S^{n-1} \cdot S_{j}^{0}=1-1=0$ where $S_{j}^{0}$ in $V_{2}$ is associated to $S_{j}^{n-2}$ in $V$ and $S_{j}^{n-2}$ is associated to the $o$-sphere determined by $D\left(b_{1}, j\right)$. Also for $W$ its clear that $C_{0}=0$ and thus $d_{i}=0$ for $i=1, \cdots, n$ and by the corollary to theorem $2 H_{i}(M)=H_{i}\left(C_{*}\right)=F\left(b_{i}\right)$. This proves the first part of theorem 3.

To see that $C(M) \leqq 3$ consider the following: By [7] page 14 (the trace of the modification corresponding to $D\left(b_{i}, j\right)$ on $\left.V_{1}\right) \cup D_{1}^{n}=D_{1}^{n}$ with an $n$-disc $C\left(b_{i}, j\right)$ attached to the boundary of $D_{1}^{n}=V_{1}$. Actually $C\left(b_{i}, j\right) \cap V_{1}=S_{j}^{i-1} \times D^{n-i}\left(=\right.$ tubular neighborhood of $S_{j}^{i-1}$ used to determine the spherical modification.) Thus $D_{1}^{n} \cup$ (trace of the $N$ different spherical modifications on $V_{1}$ ) $=D_{1}^{n} \cup C_{1}$ where $C_{1}$ is a set of $N$ mutually disjoint $n$-discs $C\left(b_{i}, j\right)$. Repeating the above argument on $V$ using the $N$ inverse modifications to those done on $V_{1}$ one obtains that $D_{1}^{n} \cup C_{1} \cup$ (trace of the $N$ inverse modifications) $=D_{1}^{n} \cup C_{1} \cup C_{2}$ where $C_{2}$ is a set of $N$ mutually disjoint $n$-discs $C\left(b_{n-i}, j\right)$. Further, when performing the inverse modification to the one determined by $D\left(b_{i}, j\right)$ a tubular neighborhood of small enough 'radius' can be used so that

$$
C\left(b_{n-i}, j\right) \cap C\left(b_{i}, j\right)=D^{i} \times S^{n-i-1} \subset D^{i} \times S^{n-i-1} \subset V
$$

where $D^{i} \times S^{n-i-1}$ is the set introduced into $V$ by the modification and where $\bar{D}^{i}$ is an $i$-disc $C$ interior $D^{i}$. Changing the 'radius' does not effect the modification in any significant way (Cf. [9] page 776). Thus all the discs in $C_{2}$ can be taken disjoint from $D_{1}^{n}$. Finally, performing the ( $n-1,-1$ ) spherical modification determined by $V_{2}$ corresponds to attaching an $n$-disc $D_{2}^{n}$ to $D_{1}^{n} \cup C_{1} \cup C_{2}$ by identifying the boundary of $D_{2}^{n}$ to $V_{2}$. Thus $M=\left(D_{1}^{n} \cup C_{2}\right) \cup C_{1} \cup D_{2}^{n}$ where $\left(D_{1}^{n} \cup C_{2}\right), C_{1}$, and $D_{2}^{n}$ each consist of finitely many mutually disjoint $n$-discs.

If ( $D_{1}, D_{2}, \cdots, D_{k}$ ) is a set of mutually disjoint $n$-discs in a connected $n$-manifold (which $M$ is) then $D_{1}$ can be joined to $D_{2}$ by a smooth arc $\alpha$ so that $\alpha \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} D_{i}=$ two points, one in boundary $D_{1}$ and one in boundary $D_{2}$. A tubular neighborhood $T$ of $\alpha$ can be picked so that $T \cap D_{i}=$ an $n-1$ disc in boundary $D_{i}(i=1,2)$ and $T$ misses $D_{3}, \cdots, D_{k}$. Thus $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ can be joined to form a set $E_{2}$ which is contractable in itself. Repeat this construction on ( $E_{2}, D_{3}, \cdots, D_{k}$ ) starting with $E_{2}$ and $D_{3}$ to form $E_{3}$. Finally one obtains a set $E_{k}$ which is contractable in itself.

Hence $M$ can be covered by 3 such contractable sets and if each of them is expanded slightly $M$ can be covered by their interiors and it follows that $C(M) \leqq 3$. This completes the proof of theorem 3.

Remark. The theorem only asserts the existence of a manifold of a certain type. A manifold satisfying theorem 3 can be constructed in a simple manner as indicated below. The more involved construction given in the proof of the theorem gives a general technique for constructing manifolds with $C(M) \leqq 3$ as there are few restrictions placed on the spherical modifications involved. For example, by changing the ( 0,0 ) modification one can obtain the 2 -torus, the klein bottle or the projective plane.

For $n=2 m+1$ one can obtain a manifold satisfying theorem 3 as follows: Let $N=\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i}$ and denote by $M_{i}, b_{i}$ copies of $S^{i} \times S^{n-i}$. Define $M$ to be the connected sum of $M^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} M_{i}$ (i.e. fix a component $C$ of $M^{\prime}$ and connect all other components of $M^{\prime}$ to $C$ by ( $0, n-1$ )-modifications. It is not difficult to prove directly that $M$ satisfies the theorem and is in fact a special case of the construction given in the proof of theorem 3. A similar argument holds for $n$ even.

In theorem 3 if $n=2 m$ then $b_{m}$ is assumed to be even. This assumption can easily be removed in certain cases. Let $b_{m}=2 t+1$ and suppose there exists an ( $m-1, n-m-1$ ) spherical modification $\phi$ on $S^{n-1}$ which again yields $S^{n-1}$. Then as in the proof of theorem 3 perform the $N\left(=\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} b_{i}+t\right\}$ spherical modifications on $V_{1}$ together with one more; namely $\phi$, to obtain $V$. Then performing the $N$ inverse modifications on $V$ one obtains $V_{2}=S^{n-1}$. (No inverse modification is needed to 'cancel' $\phi$.) Thus the number of ( $m-1, n-m-1$ ) type modifications $=2 t+1=b_{m}$. Its easy to see that the rest of the proof goes through as before.

If $n=2,4,8,16$ such spherical modifications as $\phi$ exist, the trace of $\phi$ being the real projective plane with two 2 -discs removed if $n=2$, the complex projective plane with two 4 -discs removed if $n=4$, the quaternionic projective plane with two 8 -discs removed if $n=8$, and the Cayley projective plane with two 16 -discs removed if $n=16$. (Cf. [4] page 708). However for $n=2$ the ( 0,0 ) modification is non-orientable but for $n=4,8$ and 16 one has:

Corollary 1. If $n=4,8,16$ the restriction that $b_{m}$ be even in theorem $\mathbf{3}$ can be removed.

Corollary 2. If $b_{1} \neq 0$ and $n \geqq 2$ in theorem 3 then $C(M)=3$.
Proof. This follows from [2] page 258 theorem 29.3.
Let $f$ be a Morse function on an $n$-manifold $M(f: M \rightarrow R$ (reals) with a finite number of critical points all of which are non-degenerate). To each critical point of $t$ is attached an index $i$ (an integer $0 \leqq i \leqq n$ ) (Cf. [7]
page 5 ). Define $\mu(M)$ to be the minimum number of different indices appearing in $f$ as $f$ ranges over all Morse functions on $M$. It is well known that $C(M) \leqq \mu(M) \leqq n+1$ (Cf. [3] or [5]). Further if the number of points with index $i$ for a Morse function $f$ on $M$ is zero then $H_{i}(M)=0$ (Cf. [7] page 20 ). Hence if $b_{i}>0, i=0, \cdots, n$ in theorem 3 then the manifold $M$ constructed there has the property that $C(M) \leqq 3$ and $\mu(M)=n+1$. Thus $\mu(M)-C(M) \geqq n-2$ and, in view of corollary $2, n-2$ is the maximum difference if $b_{1} \neq 0$ and $n \geqq 2$.

Corollary 3. If $n \geqq 2$ then there exists an $n$-manifold $M$ (actually there exists infinitely many non-diffeomorphic such $n$-manifolds) such that $\mu(M)-C(M)=n-2$ and if $n=1$ then clearly $\mu\left(S^{1}\right)-C\left(S^{1}\right)=0$ where $S^{1}$ is the 1 -sphere.
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