

A GALOIS THEORY FOR THE FIELD EXTENSION $K((X))/K$

ANGEL POPESCU

Technical University of Civil Engineering Bucharest, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,
B-ul Lacul Tei 124, 020396 Bucharest 38, Romania
e-mail: angel.popescu@gmail.com

ASIM NASEEM

Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences, Government College University, 68-B, New Muslim Town,
Lahore 54600, Pakistan
e-mail: asimroz@gmail.com

and NICOLAE POPESCU

Mathematical Institute of the Romanian Academy, P.O. Box 1-764, 70700 Bucharest, Romania
e-mail: Nicolae.Popescu@imar.ro

(Received 31 March 2008; revised 20 June 2008; accepted 21 February 2010)

Abstract. Let K be a field of characteristic 0, which is algebraically closed to radicals. Let $F = K((X))$ be the valued field of Laurent power series and let $G = \text{Aut}(F/K)$. We prove that if L is a subfield of F , $K \neq L$, such that L/K is a sub-extension of F/K and F/L is a Galois algebraic extension (L/K is Galois coalgebraic in F/K), then L is closed in F , F/L is a finite extension and $\text{Gal}(F/L)$ is a finite cyclic group of G . We also prove that there is a one-to-one and onto correspondence between the set of all finite subgroups of G and the set of all Galois coalgebraic sub-extensions of F/K . Some other auxiliary results which are useful by their own are given.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 12F10, 13F25, 12J20; Secondary 12J10, 12E99, 12F99.

1. Introduction. In [5], O. F. G. Schilling considered the automorphisms group $G = \text{Aut}(F/K)$, where $F = K((X))$ is the Laurent formal power series valued field with coefficients in a field K of characteristic 0. He proved that any $\sigma \in G$ is continuous relative to the X -order topology and $\sigma(X) = u_1X + u_2X^2 + \dots$, where $u_1, u_2, \dots \in K$ and $u_1 \neq 0$ (see also Part 1 of the present paper).

Using these basic ideas of Schilling, in this paper we try to construct a Galois type theory for some sub-extensions of F/K . For this, in Part 2 we use a version of Krasner Lemma (Lemma 1) to prove that if (T, w) is a Krull valued field and P is a subfield of it, such that T/P is a Galois algebraic extension and w is the only valuation of T which extends the restriction w_P of w to P , then P is closed in T (Corollary 1). Using this last result, we prove in Theorem 1 that for any Galois coalgebraic sub-extension $L/K \subset F/K$ (F/L is a Galois algebraic extension), L is closed, F/L is finite and $\text{Gal}(F/L)$ is a finite cyclic subgroup of G .

In Theorem 2, we prove that a subgroup H of G is finite if and only if its fixed subfield L_H of F is $\neq K$. Moreover, every finite subgroup of G is cyclic (Corollary 2). In Theorem 3 we provide a Galois type theory for all the Galois coalgebraic sub-extension

L/K of F/K . These last ones are in one-to-one and onto correspondence with the set of all finite (cyclic) subgroups of G . With the additional hypothesis that K be algebraically closed to radicals, we prove in Theorem 4 that for any two power series f and g of the same order $n \in \mathbb{N}$ does exist exactly n automorphisms σ in G such that $\sigma(f) = g$. Using this result, in Corollary 3 and Corollary 4 we prove (if K is algebraically closed to radicals) that any finite subgroup of G is conjugate with a Galois group of the type $Gal(F/K((X^n)))$ for a $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We hope that these elementary results on $K((X))$ and on its group of K -automorphisms provide a serious starting point for a deeper study of the structure of all sub-extensions of $K((X))/K$ and of $\overline{K((X))}/K$, where $\overline{K((X))}$ is an algebraic closure of $K((X))$.

(1) Let K be field of characteristic 0. Let $F = K((X))$ be the field of Laurent power series $f = \sum_{j > -\infty} a_j X^j$ with coefficients $a_j \in K$. Let v be the usual X -order valuation on $F : v(f) = \min\{j : a_j \neq 0\}$. By $G = Aut(F/K)$ we mean all the field automorphisms φ of F such that $\varphi(\alpha) = \alpha$ for any $\alpha \in K$. For $\varphi \in G$ the map $v^\varphi : F^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, v^\varphi(f) = v(\varphi^{-1}(f))$ is a new valuation on F with the valuation ring $\varphi(K[[X]])$, where $K[[X]] = \{g \in F : v(g) \geq 0\}$ is the valuation ring of (F, v) . It is easy to prove (see also [5, Proof of Lemma 1]) that F is also complete relative to this last valuation v^φ . Since F is complete relative to v and v^φ and since F is not algebraically closed, v and v^φ must be equivalent (see [4] or [6]). So there exists $s \in \mathbb{N}^* = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ such that $v^\varphi(f) = sv(f)$ for any $f \in F$. In particular, φ is continuous relative to the topology induced by v on F . Hence φ is completely determined by $\varphi(X) = c_1X + c_2X^2 + \dots$, where $c_1 \neq 0$ and G is isomorphic with the group U of all the series of this last type, with respect to the usual composition between two power series. Moreover, the above s is 1, so $v(\varphi(f)) = v(f)$ and so any $\varphi \in G$ preserves the order of series.

In the following, we freely use the fact that any K -automorphism of $G = Aut(F/K)$ is continuous, i.e. whenever $\sigma \in G$, one has $\sigma(\sum_{j > -\infty} a_j X^j) = \sum_{j > -\infty} a_j \sigma(X)^j$.

(2) Let L/K be a sub-extension of F/K such that $L \neq K$ and L is (topologically) closed in F . Let v_L be the induced valuation (by v) on L and let $\Gamma(F), \Gamma(L)$ be the value groups of F and L , respectively. Since $\Gamma(F) = \mathbb{Z}, \Gamma(L) = n\mathbb{Z}$ for a natural number $n \neq 0$. Since L is a complete discrete rank 1 valued field with residue field K , the valued field extension F/L is totally and tamely ramified and $n = [F : L]$, i.e. the codimension of L in F is finite and equal to the index of $\Gamma(L)$ in $\Gamma(F) = \mathbb{Z}$. A basic result in valuation theory (see e.g. [3, Proposition 4.4]) says that there is a power series $f \in K[[X]]$ with $v(f) = n$ such that $L = K((f))$. It is easy to see that $\{1, X, X^2, \dots, X^{n-1}\}$ is a basis of the vector space F over L . Since the n th roots of unity are in K , the extension $L \subset F$ is a Galois extension with the Galois group $G_L = Gal(F/L)$ a cyclic group of order n , $F = L(\sqrt[n]{fu}), u$ a unit in F and $v(f) = n$ (see [7, Proposition 3-4-3]).

We recall now a version of the known ‘Krasner Lemma’ (see [1] for the original version).

Let (T, w) be an arbitrary (Krull) non-trivial valued field and let P be a proper subfield of it such that T/P is a Galois algebraic extension and w is the unique valuation on T which extends w_P , the restriction of w to P . For any $\alpha \in T, \alpha \notin P$, one defines $\omega(\alpha) = \max\{w(\alpha - \alpha')\}$, where α' runs on the set of all conjugates α' of α , distinct of α .

LEMMA 1. *Let (T, w) and P be as above. Let $\alpha \in T \setminus P$ and $\beta \in T$ such that $w(\alpha - \beta) > \omega(\alpha)$. Then $P(\alpha) \subset P(\beta)$.*

Proof. Assume that $\alpha \notin P(\beta)$. Then there exists $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(T/P)$ such that $\sigma(\beta) = \beta$ and $\sigma(\alpha) \neq \alpha$. Hence $\omega(\alpha) \geq w(\alpha - \sigma(\alpha)) \geq \min\{w(\alpha - \beta), w(\sigma(\beta) - \sigma(\alpha))\}$. But $w \circ \sigma$ is a new valuation on T which extends w_P , thus $w(\sigma(\alpha - \beta)) = w(\alpha - \beta)$, i.e. $\omega(\alpha) \geq w(\alpha - \beta)$, which contradicts the hypothesis. Hence we must conclude that $P(\alpha) \subset P(\beta)$. □

COROLLARY 1. *Let (T, w) and P like in Lemma 1 and let $P' \supset P, P' \subset T$ such that P is dense in P' relative to the topology induced by w . Then $P = P'$.*

Proof. Suppose that α is in P' and α is not in P . Since P is dense in P' one can choose a $\beta \in P$ such that $\omega(\alpha) < w(\alpha - \beta)$. By Lemma 1, $P(\alpha) \subset P(\beta) = P$ and so $\alpha \in P$, a contradiction. Hence $P = P'$. □

(3) Let us apply these results to our situation.

THEOREM 1. *Let L/K be a sub-extension of F/K , where $F = K((X))$. Suppose that either*

- (i) F/L is a Galois algebraic extension, or
- (ii) v is the only extension to F of the restriction v_L of v to L .

Then L is (topologically) closed, $[F : L] < \infty$ and $G_L = \text{Gal}(F/L)$ is a finite cyclic subgroup of $G = \text{Aut}(F/K)$.

Proof. Let \tilde{L} be the (topological) closure of L in F . From the above remarks (see Part 2), $\tilde{L} = K((f))$, where $f \in K[[X]]$, $v(f) = n$ and $[F : \tilde{L}] = n$, for a natural number $n \neq 0$. Since F/L is a Galois extension (in case [i]) any extension w of v_L to F is of the form: $w = v \circ \varphi$, where $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(F/L)$ (see [3, p. 167, Proposition 9.1]). But φ is also a continuous K -automorphism of F , so $w = v \circ \varphi = v$ (see Part 1). This means that v is the unique valuation on F which extends v_L (i.e. the case [ii]). Now, since L is dense in \tilde{L} , one can apply Corollary 1 and find that $L = \tilde{L}$. So that the other statements follow easily from this last equality and the remarks from the beginning of Part 2. □

In the proof of Theorem 1 we saw that if F/L is a Galois algebraic extension, then v is the unique extension of v_L to F . Conversely, if v is the unique extension of v_L to F , then we also proved in Theorem 1 that $L = \tilde{L} = K((f))$ for a $f \in F$ and that F/L is a cyclic extension, i.e. a Galois algebraic extension. Hence $L = \tilde{L}$ if and only if F/L is a Galois algebraic extension. Hence, in the statement of Theorem 1, the hypotheses (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

REMARK 1. If F/L is algebraic but not Galois, the statement of Theorem 1 may be not true. Let, for instance, $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$, $F = K((X))$ and $L = K(T)$, where T is a transcendence base of F over K , which contains X . The equation $Z^3 - 2X^3 = 0$ has only one root in L ($i \notin L$). So, the extension F/L is not Galois. If the conclusions of Theorem 1 were true, then $L = K((f))$, for an $f \in F$ (see Part 2). But this last result is not true since $(1 + f)^{1/2}$ would be in $L = K(T)$, which is not the case.

THEOREM 2. *Let H be a subgroup of $G = \text{Aut}(F/K)$, and let L_H be the fixed subfield by H . Then,*

- (a) H is infinite if and only if $L_H = K$, and
- (b) if H is finite, then $L_H = K((f))$ for a $f \in K[[X]]$; H is a cyclic subgroup of G with $|H| = v(f)$ and $H = \text{Gal}(F/L_H)$.

Proof. (a) Assume H is infinite and $L_H \not\supseteq K$. Then $L_H = \tilde{L}_H$ because any automorphism in H is continuous. Hence $L_H = K((f))$ and $[F : L_H] = n = v(f)$. From

Part 2 and Theorem 1, $G_{L_H} = Gal(F/L_H)$ is cyclic and contains H . So H itself is cyclic and finite, a contradiction. Therefore, if H is infinite, then $L_H = K$. Conversely, if $L_H = K$, then H cannot be finite. Otherwise $[F : L_H] = |H| < \infty$ (see [2, Section 14.2, Theorem 9]) and in this last case F/L_H would be algebraic, which is a contradiction because $L_H = K$.

(b) If H is finite, $[F : L_H] = |H| < \infty$, like in (a) and $L_H = \tilde{L}_H = K((f))$, so H becomes a subgroup of order $n = v(f) = [F : L_H]$ of $G_{L_H} = Gal(F/L_H)$. Since $|G_{L_H}| = n$ one gets that $H = G_{L_H}$. □

COROLLARY 2. *Every finite subgroup of $G = Aut(K((X))/K)$ is cyclic.*

A sub-extension L/K of F/K is called *coalgebraic* if F/L is algebraic, and it is called *Galois coalgebraic* if in addition F/L is Galois.

THEOREM 3. *Let $\mathcal{F}(G)$ be the set of all finite (cyclic) subgroups H of G and let $Gcoalg(F)$ be the set of all Galois coalgebraic sub-extensions L/K of F/K . Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F}(G) \rightarrow Gcoalg(F)$ be the mapping which carries H in L_H , the fixed subfield of H and let $\psi : Gcoalg(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(G)$, $\psi(L) = G_L = Gal(F/L)$. Then $\varphi \circ \psi = 1_{Gcoalg}$ and $\psi \circ \varphi = 1_{\mathcal{F}(G)}$.*

Proof. Let H be $\psi(L)$ with F/L a Galois algebraic extension. Theorem 1 says that $L = \tilde{L}$ and $G_L = Gal(F/L)$ is exactly the Galois group of F/L . So $\varphi(\psi(L)) = L_H = L$, because $[F : L_H] = n = [F : L]$. Let now $T = L_H$ be $\varphi(H)$ for a $H \in \mathcal{F}(G)$. Then H is cyclic and $[F : L_H] = |H|$, i. e. $\psi(\varphi(H)) = Gal(F/L_H) = H$ and the proof is complete. □

THEOREM 4. *Let K be algebraically closed to radicals and of characteristic 0. Let f and g be in $F = K((X))$ such that $n = v(f) = v(g)$. Then there exists n distinct automorphisms $\sigma \in Aut(F/K)$ such that $\sigma(f) = g$. In particular, $K((f))$ is K -isomorphic and homeomorphic with $K((g))$ by a restriction of an automorphism of G .*

Proof. It is enough to consider $g = X^n$ and to construct $\sigma \in G$ with $\sigma(X^n) = f$. To construct σ we need to find $u_1 \neq 0, u_2, \dots$ in K such that if we define $\sigma(X) = u_1X + u_2X^2 + \dots$ then $\sigma(X^n) = (u_1X + u_2X^2 + \dots)^n = f = a_nX^n + a_{n+1}X^{n+1} + \dots$, where $a_n \neq 0, a_{n+1}, \dots$ are known elements in K . So we must determine u_1, u_2, \dots as functions of a_n, a_{n+1}, \dots . Since $u_1^n = a_n$ and since K is algebraically closed to radicals, one has n distinct possibilities for u_1 , namely the n th roots of a_n in K (K has the characteristic 0!). Let us fix such a root $u_1 \in K$. Considering the equality $(u_1X + u_2X^2 + \dots)^n = a_nX^n + a_{n+1}X^{n+1} + \dots, \text{ mod}(X^{n+2}), \text{ mod}(X^{n+3}), \dots$, one can use mathematical induction to prove that u_2, u_3, \dots can be uniquely expressed in function of u_1 and a_n, a_{n+1}, \dots . The other statements follow easily from this last observation. □

In the following, we assume that K is algebraically closed to radicals.

REMARK 2. G acts transitively on the set C_n of elements f of the same valuation $n = v(f)$.

COROLLARY 3. *Any Galois coalgebraic sub-extension L/K of F/K is conjugate relative to G with a subfield of F of the type $K((X^n))$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. In particular, if $D_n = Gal(F/K((X^n)))$, then $Gal(F/L) = \sigma D_n \sigma^{-1}$, where σ is an automorphism in G .*

COROLLARY 4. *Let $\tau \in G$ be an automorphism of order m . Let ζ_m be a primitive m -th root of 1 in K and let $\mu_{\zeta_m} \in G$ such that $\mu_{\zeta_m}(X) = \zeta_m X$. Then μ_{ζ_m} is a generator of $D_m = Gal(F/K((X^m)))$, the normalizer $N_G(D_m)$ of D_m in G is the infinite subgroup*

$\{\omega \in G \mid \omega(X) = aX, a \neq 0\}$ and there exists a $\sigma \in G$ such that $\tau = \sigma \mu_{\zeta_m}^h \sigma^{-1}$, where $(h, m) = 1$ and h depends only on τ . Moreover, h is unique (mod m) with the property that $\tau = \sigma \mu_{\zeta_m}^h \sigma^{-1}$ for a σ in G .

Proof. Let $H = \langle \tau \rangle$ be the cyclic subgroup of G generated by τ , and let $L_H = K((f))$ be the fixed subfield of F relative to H . Let $\sigma \in G$ such that $\sigma(X^m) = f$ (the number of such σ 's is finite, see Theorem 4). Since $Gal(F/L) = \sigma D_m \sigma^{-1}$ and $\tau \in Gal(F/L)$, one gets that $\tau = \sigma \mu_{\zeta_m}^h \sigma^{-1}$ with $(h, m) = 1$. If we change σ with σ' such that $\sigma'(X^m) = f$, then $\sigma'(X) = \sigma(\mu_{\zeta_m}^t(X)) = \mu_{\zeta_m}^t \sigma(X)$, where $(t, m) = 1$ (see Theorem 4). So $\tau = \sigma' \mu_{\zeta_m}^h (\sigma')^{-1}$, i. e. h depends only on τ and not on σ . This h is unique, because $\tau = \sigma_1 \mu_{\zeta_m}^{h_1} \sigma_1^{-1} = \sigma \mu_{\zeta_m}^{h_1} \sigma^{-1} = \sigma \mu_{\zeta_m}^h \sigma^{-1}$ implies $h_1 = h \pmod m$. A simple computation shows us the structure of the normalizer $N_G(D_m)$. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. We express our sincere gratitude to the referee for a large improvement of the initial version of this paper. N. Popescu was partially supported by the Contract 2-CEX06-11-20/2006 with the Romanian Ministry of Education and Research. A. Popescu and A. Naseem were partially supported by Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences, Government College University, Lahore, Pakistan.

REFERENCES

1. E. Artin, *Algebraic Numbers and Algebraic Functions* (Gordon and Breach, Sciences Publishers, New York, 1967).
2. D. S. Dummit and R. M. Foote, *Abstract Algebra*, 2nd edn. (John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York, 2003).
3. J. Neukirch, *Algebraic Number Theory* (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1999).
4. P. Roquette, *On the History of Valuation Theory, Part I* in *Valuation Theory and its Applications, Vol. I* (Kuhlmann F. V., Kuhlmann S. and Marshal M., Editors), (Fields Institute Communications, AMS, New York, 2002), 291–355.
5. O. F. G. Schilling, Automorphisms of fields of formal power series, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **50** (12) (1944), 892–901.
6. F. K. Schmidt, Mehrfach perfekte Körper, *Math. Ann.* **108** (1933), 1–25.
7. E. Weiss, *Algebraic Number Theory* (McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1963).