

## AN IMPROVED RESULT ON GROUND STATE SOLUTIONS OF QUASILINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS WITH SUPER-LINEAR NONLINEARITIES

SITONG CHEN<sup>✉</sup> and ZU GAO

(Received 19 June 2018; accepted 10 October 2018; first published online 26 December 2018)

### Abstract

By using variational and some new analytic techniques, we prove the existence of ground state solutions for the quasilinear Schrödinger equation with variable potentials and super-linear nonlinearities. Moreover, we establish a minimax characterisation of the ground state energy. Our result improves and extends the existing results in the literature.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 35J20; secondary 35Q55.

*Keywords and phrases*: quasilinear Schrödinger equation, ground state solution, Pohožaev identity.

### 1. Introduction

We consider the quasilinear Schrödinger equation

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u - \frac{1}{2}\Delta(u^2)u = f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $N \geq 3$ ,  $V : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy the following basic assumptions:

(V1)  $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, [0, \infty))$  and  $V_\infty := \lim_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} V(y) \geq V(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ;

(F1)  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow 0} f(t)/t = 0$  and  $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} |f(t)|/|t|^{2 \cdot 2^* - 1} = 0$ , where  $2 \cdot 2^*$  is the critical exponent for (1.1);

(F2)  $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} F(t)/|t|^2 = \infty$ , where  $F(t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds$ .

This type of equation was introduced in [1, 7] to study a model of self-trapped electrons in quadratic or hexagonal lattices. After the work of Poppenberg [11], equations like (1.1) have received much attention in mathematical analysis and its applications.

Let  $X = \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx < +\infty\} = \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : u^2 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)\}$ . Define the energy functional

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2 + u^2 |\nabla u|^2] dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) dx \quad \text{for } u \in X. \quad (1.2)$$

This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11571370, 11701487 and 11626202) and Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (2016JJ6137).

© 2018 Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

Although  $X$  is not a vector space (it is not closed under the sum), it is a complete metric space with distance  $d_X(u, v) = \|u - v\| + \|\nabla u^2 - \nabla v^2\|_2$ . It is easy to check that  $I$  is continuous on  $X$ . For any  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $u \in X$  and  $u + \varphi \in X$ , we can compute the Gâteaux derivative

$$\langle I'(u), \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [(1 + u^2)\nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi + |\nabla u|^2 u \varphi + V(x)u \varphi - f(u)\varphi] dx. \quad (1.3)$$

As in [10, 12],  $u \in X$  is a solution of (1.1) if and only if the Gâteaux derivative of  $I$  along any direction in  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  vanishes. A solution is called a ground state solution if its energy is minimal among all nontrivial solutions.

Since the term  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx$  is not convex and  $X$  is not even a vector space, the usual minimax techniques cannot be applied directly to  $I$ . To overcome this difficulty, the authors in [6, 9] introduced a new variable replacement  $v = h^{-1}(u)$  and transformed (1.1) into a related semilinear problem

$$-\Delta v + V(x)h(v)h'(v) = f(h(v))h'(v), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where  $h'(t) = 1/\sqrt{1 + 2|h(t)|^2}$  on  $[0, +\infty)$  and  $h(-t) = -h(t)$  on  $(-\infty, 0]$  and this idea has been used extensively. A typical way to deal with this semilinear problem is to use the mountain-pass theorem. For this purpose, one usually assumes that  $f$  is super-linear at  $t = 0$  and super-cubic at  $t = \infty$  and satisfies the Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz-type condition

(AR) there exists  $\mu \geq 4$  such that  $f(t)t \geq \mu F(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The condition (AR) plays a crucial role in getting a bounded Palais–Smale sequence.

If  $f$  further satisfies

(MN)  $f(t)/|t|^3$  is nondecreasing for  $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ,

after the change of variables, the authors in [18, 19] found ground state solutions of (1.1) by the Nehari technique. Without any change of variables, Liu *et al.* [10] found ground state solutions of (1.1) with special form  $f(t) = |t|^{p-1}t$  for  $4 \leq p < 2 \cdot 2^*$  by using a minimisation on a Nehari-type constraint for  $I$ . These methods do not work for (1.1) in case  $f(t) = |t|^{p-1}t$  with  $2 \leq p < 4$  due to the competing effect between  $\Delta(u^2)u$  and  $f(u)$ . To overcome this difficulty, Ruiz and Siciliano [12] introduced a constrained minimisation procedure for  $I$ , using a constraint related to the Pohožaev identity, and proved for the first time that (1.1) has a ground state solution when  $f(t) = |t|^{p-1}t$  with  $2 \leq p < 4$  and  $V$  satisfies (V1) and

(V2')  $V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $\inf_{\mathbb{R}^N} V > 0$  and  $t \mapsto t^{(N+2)/(N+p)} V(t^{1/(N+p)}x)$  is concave on  $(0, \infty)$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .

Recently, Wu and Wu [17] obtained a similar result by using the change of variables, Jeanjean's monotonicity trick [8] and a Pohožaev-type identity, where  $V$  satisfies (V1) and

(V2'')  $V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $\inf_{\mathbb{R}^N} V > 0$ ,  $V(x) = V(|x|)$  and  $t^{3-p} \nabla V(tx) \cdot x$  is nonincreasing on  $t \in (0, \infty)$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .

The strategies used in [12, 17] rely heavily on the condition  $\inf_{\mathbb{R}^N} V > 0$  and the algebraic form  $f(t) = |t|^{p-2}t$  (see [12, Proposition 3.3 and the proof of Theorem 2.1] and [17, Lemma 2.6]).

Motivated by [12, 17], we shall establish the existence of ground state solutions for (1.1) with more general super-linear nonlinearities and give a minimax characterisation of the ground state energy. To state our results, we introduce the following new assumptions modelled on the approach taken in [12–14]:

(F3) there exists  $p > 2$  such that  $(f(t)t + NF(t))/|t|^{p-1}t$  is nondecreasing on both  $(-\infty, 0)$  and  $(0, \infty)$ ;

(V2)  $V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and there exists  $\theta \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$t \mapsto \frac{(N+2)V(tx) + \nabla V(tx) \cdot (tx)}{t^{p-2}} + \frac{\theta N(N-2)^2}{8t^p|x|^2}$$

is nonincreasing on  $(0, \infty)$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , where  $p > 2$  is given by (F3).

Similarly to [10, 12], we define the Pohožaev functional of (1.1) by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(u) := & \frac{N-2}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{N-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [NV(x) + \nabla V(x) \cdot x] u^2 dx - N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

It is well known that any solution  $u$  of (1.1) satisfies  $\mathcal{P}(u) = 0$ . Motivated by this fact, we define the functional  $J(u) := \langle I'(u), u \rangle + \mathcal{P}(u)$  for all  $u \in X$  and define a constraint manifold of Pohožaev–Nehari type  $\mathcal{M} := \{u \in X \setminus \{0\} : J(u) = 0\}$ . Then every nontrivial solution of (1.1) is contained in  $\mathcal{M}$ . The assumptions (F3) and (V2) will play a crucial role in proving that  $m := \inf_{\mathcal{M}} I$  is achieved and the minimiser of  $m$  is a critical point of  $I$ . We can now state our main result.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Assume (V1), (V2) and (F1)–(F3) hold. Then problem (1.1) possesses a ground state solution  $\bar{u} \in X$  such that  $I(\bar{u}) = \inf_{\mathcal{M}} I = \inf_{u \in X \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t>0} I(tu_t) > 0$ , where  $u_t(x) := u(x/t)$ .*

**REMARK 1.2.** Note that (V2') or (V2'') implies (V2) with  $\theta = 0$ , and  $f(u) = |u|^{p-2}u$  with  $2 < p < 4$  satisfies (F1)–(F3). Moreover,  $\inf_{\mathbb{R}^N} V > 0$  is not required in (V2). From this point of view, our result generalises and improves the results in [12, 17] and extends some other results of a similar type.

Since the approaches used in [12, 17] are not applicable to (1.1) with more general functions  $f$ , some new ideas and more careful analytical techniques are introduced to prove Theorem 1.1. More precisely, by using some new techniques and inequalities related to  $I(u)$ ,  $I(tu_t)$  and  $J(u)$ , we prove that a minimising sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset X$  of  $\inf_{\mathcal{M}} I$  weakly converges to some nontrivial  $\hat{u}$  in  $X$  (after a translation and extraction of a subsequence) and  $\hat{u}_{\hat{t}} \in \mathcal{M}$  is a minimiser of  $\inf_{\mathcal{M}} I$  for some  $\hat{t} > 0$  provided

$m = \inf_{\mathcal{M}} I \leq m^\infty := \inf_{\mathcal{M}^\infty} I^\infty$ . Then, following [12, pages 1231–1232], we obtain  $I'(\hat{u}) = 0$ , where

$$I^\infty(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla u|^2 + V_\infty u^2 + u^2 |\nabla u|^2] dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) dx \quad \text{for all } u \in X \quad (1.5)$$

and  $\mathcal{M}^\infty = \{u \in X \setminus \{0\} : J^\infty(u) = 0\}$  with  $J^\infty(u) = (d/dt)I^\infty(tu_t)|_{t=1}$ .

**REMARK 1.3.** Our approach is totally different from that used in [17] and can be used to treat more general quasilinear problems because it does not depend on any change of variables and compactness of the Sobolev embedding.

Throughout this paper, we denote the usual norms of  $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  by  $\|\cdot\|_s$  and  $\|\cdot\|$ , respectively.

### 2. Preliminaries

**LEMMA 2.1.** Assume that (V1), (V2), (F1) and (F3) hold. For  $t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$h_1(t, x) := V(x) - t^{N+2}V(tx) - \frac{1 - t^{N+p}}{N + p} [(N + 2)V(x) + \nabla V(x) \cdot x] + \frac{\theta(N - 2)^2}{4(N + p)|x|^2} [Nt^{N+p} - (N + p)t^N + p] \geq 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$h_2(t, \tau) := t^N F(t\tau) - F(\tau) + \frac{1 - t^{N+p}}{N + p} [f(\tau)\tau + NF(\tau)] \geq 0. \quad (2.2)$$

**PROOF.** For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ , by (V2),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}h_1(t, x) &= t^{N+p-1} \left\{ [(N + 2)V(x) + \nabla V(x) \cdot x + \frac{\theta N(N - 2)^2}{4|x|^2}] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left[ \frac{(N + 2)V(tx) + \nabla V(tx) \cdot (tx)}{t^{p-2}} + \frac{\theta N(N - 2)^2}{4t^p|x|^2} \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and this expression is greater than or equal to zero for  $t \geq 1$  and less than or equal to zero for  $0 < t < 1$ . Together with the continuity of  $h_1(\cdot, x)$ , this yields (2.1). For  $\tau \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , by (F4),

$$\frac{d}{dt}h_2(t, \tau) = t^{N+p-1} |\tau|^p \left[ \frac{f(t\tau)t\tau + NF(t\tau)}{|t\tau|^p} - \frac{f(\tau)\tau + NF(\tau)}{|\tau|^p} \right]$$

and this expression is greater than or equal to zero for  $t \geq 1$  and less than or equal to zero for  $0 < t < 1$ . Together with the continuity of  $h_2(\cdot, \tau)$ , this yields (2.2).  $\square$

For  $t \geq 0$ , let

$$\beta_1(t) := Nt^{N+p} - (N + p)t^N + p, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\beta_2(t) := (N + 2)t^{N+p} - (N + p)t^N + p - 2. \quad (2.4)$$

Since  $p > 2$ , for all  $t \in [0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$ ,

$$\beta_1(t) > \beta_1(1) = 0, \quad \beta_2(t) > \beta_2(1) = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Assume that (V1), (V2), (F1) and (F3) hold. Then, for all  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $t > 0$ ,*

$$I(u) \geq I(tu_t) + \frac{1 - t^{N+p}}{N + p} J(u) + \frac{(1 - \theta)\beta_1(t)}{2(N + p)} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{\beta_2(t)}{2(N + p)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx. \tag{2.6}$$

**PROOF.** Note that

$$I(tu_t) = \frac{t^N}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{t^{N+2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(tx)u^2 dx + \frac{t^{N+2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx - t^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(tu) dx. \tag{2.7}$$

Since  $J(u) = \langle I'(u), u \rangle + \mathcal{P}(u)$  for  $u \in X$ , (1.3) and (1.4) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &= \frac{N}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [(N + 2)V(x) + \nabla V(x) \cdot x] u^2 dx \\ &\quad + \frac{N + 2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f(u)u + NF(u)] dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

By Hardy’s inequality, for  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,

$$\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \geq \frac{(N - 2)^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx. \tag{2.9}$$

Thus, by (1.2), (2.1)–(2.5) and (2.7)–(2.9),

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) - I(tu_t) &= \frac{1 - t^N}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [V(x) - t^{N+2}V(tx)] u^2 dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1 - t^{N+2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [t^N F(tu) - F(u)] dx \\ &= \frac{1 - t^{N+p}}{N + p} J(u) + \frac{\beta_2(t)}{2(N + p)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\beta_1(t)}{2(N + p)} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left\{ V(x) - t^{N+2}V(tx) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1 - t^{N+p}}{N + p} [(N + 2)V(x) + \nabla V(x) \cdot x] \right\} u^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left\{ t^N F(tu) - F(u) + \frac{1 - t^{N+p}}{N + p} [f(u)u + NF(u)] \right\} dx \\ &\geq \frac{1 - t^{N+p}}{N + p} J(u) + \frac{(1 - \theta)\beta_1(t)}{2(N + p)} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{\beta_2(t)}{2(N + p)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

for all  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $t > 0$ . This shows that (2.6) holds. □

From Lemma 2.2, we have the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *Assume that (V1), (V2), (F1) and (F3) hold. Then, for  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ ,*

$$I(u) = \max_{t>0} I(tu_t).$$

**LEMMA 2.4.** *Assume that (V1), (V2) and (F1)–(F3) hold. Then, for any  $u \in X \setminus \{0\}$ , there exists a unique  $t_u u_{t_u} > 0$  such that  $t_u u_{t_u} \in \mathcal{M}$ .*

**PROOF.** Inspired by [2–5, 13, 15], we let  $u \in X \setminus \{0\}$  be fixed and define the function  $\zeta(t) := I(tu)$  on  $(0, \infty)$ . Clearly, by (2.8) and (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta'(t) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow \frac{N}{2}t^{N-1}\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{t^{N+1}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [(N+2)V(tx) + \nabla V(tx) \cdot (tx)]u^2 dx \\ &\quad - t^{N-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f(tu)tu + NF(tu)] dx = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow J(tu_t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow tu_t \in \mathcal{M}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify, using (V1), (V2), (F1) and (2.7), that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \zeta(t) = 0$ ,  $\zeta(t) > 0$  for  $t > 0$  small and  $\zeta(t) < 0$  for  $t$  large. Therefore,  $\max_{t \in (0, \infty)} \zeta(t)$  is achieved at some  $t_u > 0$ , so that  $\zeta'(t_u) = 0$  and  $t_u u_{t_u} \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Next we claim that  $t_u$  is unique for any  $u \in X \setminus \{0\}$ . In fact, for some  $u \in X \setminus \{0\}$ , if there exist two positive constants  $t_1 \neq t_2$  such that both  $t_1 u_{t_1}, t_2 u_{t_2} \in \mathcal{M}$ , that is,  $J(t_1 u_{t_1}) = J(t_2 u_{t_2}) = 0$ , then (2.5) and (2.6) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} I(t_1 u_{t_1}) &> I(t_2 u_{t_2}) + \frac{t_1^{N+p} - t_2^{N+p}}{(N+p)t_1^{N+p}} J(t_1 u_{t_1}) = I(t_2 u_{t_2}) \\ &> I(t_1 u_{t_1}) + \frac{t_2^{N+p} - t_1^{N+p}}{(N+p)t_2^{N+p}} J(t_2 u_{t_2}) = I(t_1 u_{t_1}). \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction shows that  $t_u > 0$  is unique for any  $u \in X \setminus \{0\}$ . □

**LEMMA 2.5.** *Assume that (V1) and (V2) hold. Then there exists  $\gamma_1 > 0$  such that, for all  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,*

$$N\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [(N+2)V(x) + \nabla V(x) \cdot x]u^2 dx \geq \gamma_1 \|u\|^2. \tag{2.10}$$

**PROOF.** Arguing by contradiction, suppose that there exists a sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that

$$\|u_n\| = 1, \quad N\|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [(N+2)V(x) + \nabla V(x) \cdot x]u_n^2 dx = o(1). \tag{2.11}$$

Then there exists  $\bar{u} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that, going to a subsequence,  $u_n \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $u_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$  in  $L^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for  $2 \leq s < 2^*$  and  $u_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Let  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  in (2.1). Then

$$(N+2)V(x) + \nabla V(x) \cdot x + \frac{\theta N(N-2)^2}{4|x|^2} \geq 0. \tag{2.12}$$

From (2.9), (2.11) and (2.12), the weak semicontinuity of the norm and Fatou’s lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ N\|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [(N+2)V(x) + \nabla V(x) \cdot x]u_n^2 dx \right] \\ &\geq (1-\theta)N\|\nabla \bar{u}\|_2^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ (N+2)V(x) + \nabla V(x) \cdot x + \frac{\theta N(N-2)^2}{4|x|^2} \right] \bar{u}^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\bar{u} = 0$ . Using (V1) and (V2), it is easy to check that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \{(N+2)[V(x) - V_\infty] + \nabla V(x) \cdot x\} u_n^2 dx = o(1). \quad (2.13)$$

Together (2.11) and (2.13) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= N\|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [(N+2)V(x) + \nabla V(x) \cdot x] u_n^2 dx \\ &= N\|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 + (N+2)V_\infty\|u_n\|_2^2 + o(1) \\ &\geq \min\{N, (N+2)V_\infty\}\|u_n\|^2 + o(1) \\ &= \min\{N, (N+2)V_\infty\} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction shows that there exists  $\gamma_1 > 0$  such that (2.10) holds.  $\square$

**LEMMA 2.6.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1,*

$$\inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}} I(u) = m = \inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t>0} I(tu_t) > 0.$$

**PROOF.** From Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 2.4,

$$\mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad m = \inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t>0} I(tu_t).$$

Next we prove that  $m > 0$ . Since  $J(u) = 0$  for  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ , it follows from (F1), (2.8), (2.10) and the Sobolev embedding inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 \|u\|^2 + (N+2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 u^2 dx \\ \leq N\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [(N+2)V(x) + \nabla V(x) \cdot x] u^2 dx + (N+2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 u^2 dx \\ = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f(u)u + NF(u)] dx \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

$$\leq \frac{\gamma_1}{2} \|u\|^2 + C_1 S^{-2^*/2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 u^2 dx \right)^{2^*} \quad (2.15)$$

for  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ . This implies that there exists  $\rho_0 > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 u^2 dx \geq \rho_0 \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{M}. \quad (2.16)$$

From (2.6) with  $t \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$I(u) - \frac{1}{N+p} J(u) \geq \frac{(1-\theta)p}{2(N+p)} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{p-2}{2(N+p)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx. \quad (2.17)$$

Combining (2.16) with (2.17) yields  $m = \inf_{\mathcal{M}} I > 0$ .  $\square$

Following [12, pages 1231–1232], we can obtain the following lemma.

**LEMMA 2.7.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, if  $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $I(\bar{u}) = m$ , then  $\bar{u}$  is a critical point of  $I$ .*

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1,  $m^\infty \geq m$ .*

**PROOF.** Note that the conclusions in Section 2 on  $I$  and  $J$  hold for  $I^\infty$  and  $J^\infty$ , where

$$J^\infty(u) = \frac{N}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{N+2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\infty u^2 dx + \frac{N+2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f(u)u + NF(u)] dx. \tag{3.1}$$

By Lemma 2.5,  $\mathcal{M}^\infty \neq \emptyset$ . Arguing by contradiction, we assume that  $m > m^\infty$ . Let  $\varepsilon := m - m^\infty$ . Then there exists  $u_\varepsilon^\infty$  such that

$$u_\varepsilon^\infty \in \mathcal{M}^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad m^\infty + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > I^\infty(u_\varepsilon^\infty). \tag{3.2}$$

In view of Lemma 2.5, there exists  $t_\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $t_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon^\infty)_{t_\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{M}$ . Since  $V_\infty \geq V(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , it follows from (1.2), (1.5), (3.2) and Corollary 2.3 that

$$m^\infty + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > I^\infty(u_\varepsilon^\infty) \geq I^\infty(t_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon^\infty)_{t_\varepsilon}) \geq I(t_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon^\infty)_{t_\varepsilon}) \geq m = m^\infty + \varepsilon.$$

This contradiction shows that  $m^\infty \geq m$ . □

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, the infimum  $m$  is achieved.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $I(u_n) \rightarrow m$ . By (2.17),  $\{\|\nabla u_n\|_2\}$  and  $\{\|\nabla(u_n^2)\|_2\}$  are bounded. Passing to a subsequence, we have  $u_n \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $u_n^2 \rightharpoonup \bar{u}^2$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $u_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$  in  $L^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for  $2 \leq s < 2 \cdot 2^*$  and  $u_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . There are two possible cases: (i)  $\bar{u} = 0$  and (ii)  $\bar{u} \neq 0$ .

**Case (i).**  $\bar{u} = 0$ , that is,  $u_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $u_n^2 \rightarrow 0$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Then  $u_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for  $2 \leq s < 2 \cdot 2^*$  and  $u_n \rightarrow 0$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Using (V1), it is easy to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [V_\infty - V(x)]u_n^2 dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla V(x) \cdot x u_n^2 dx = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

From (1.2), (1.5), (2.8) and (3.3),

$$I^\infty(u_n) \rightarrow m, \quad J^\infty(u_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

By (F1), (2.14) and (2.16),

$$\gamma_1 \|u_n\|^2 + (N+2)\rho_0 \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f(u_n)u_n + NF(u_n)] dx \leq \varepsilon(\|u_n\|^2 + \|u_n^2\|_{2^*}^2) + C_\varepsilon \|u_n\|^q \tag{3.4}$$

for some  $q \in (2, 2 \cdot 2^*)$ . By (3.4) and Lions' concentration compactness principle [16, Lemma 1.21], there exist  $\delta > 0$  and  $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $\int_{B_1(y_n)} |u_n|^2 dx > \delta$ . Let  $\hat{u}_n(x) = u_n(x + y_n)$ ; then

$$J^\infty(\hat{u}_n) = o(1), \quad I^\infty(\hat{u}_n) \rightarrow m \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_1(0)} |\hat{u}_n|^2 dx > \delta. \tag{3.5}$$



Therefore, there exists  $\hat{u} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$  such that, passing to a subsequence,

$$\begin{cases} \hat{u}_n \rightharpoonup \hat{u} & \text{in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{u}_n^2 \rightharpoonup \hat{u}^2, \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^N); \\ \hat{u}_n \rightarrow \hat{u} & \text{in } L^s_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N), \text{ for all } s \in [1, 2 \cdot 2^*); \\ \hat{u}_n \rightarrow \hat{u} & \text{almost everywhere on } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

Let  $w_n = \hat{u}_n - \hat{u}$ . Then, from (3.6) and the Brezis–Lieb lemma (see [14, Lemma 2.7], [12, (12)] and [16]),

$$I^\infty(\hat{u}_n) = I^\infty(\hat{u}) + I^\infty(w_n) + o(1), \quad J^\infty(\hat{u}_n) = J^\infty(\hat{u}) + J^\infty(w_n) + o(1). \tag{3.7}$$

By (1.5), (3.1), (3.5) and (3.7),

$$J^\infty(w_n) = -J^\infty(\hat{u}) + o(1), \tag{3.8}$$

$$I^\infty(w_n) - \frac{1}{N+p} J^\infty(w_n) = m - \left[ I^\infty(\hat{u}) - \frac{1}{N+p} J^\infty(\hat{u}) \right] + o(1). \tag{3.9}$$

If there exists a subsequence  $\{w_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{w_n\}$  such that  $w_{n_i} = 0$ , then

$$I^\infty(\hat{u}) = m, \quad J^\infty(\hat{u}) = 0 \tag{3.10}$$

and so the proof is completed. Next we assume that  $w_n \neq 0$ . We claim that  $J^\infty(\hat{u}) \leq 0$ . Otherwise, if  $J^\infty(\hat{u}) > 0$ , then (3.8) implies that  $J^\infty(w_n) < 0$  for large  $n$ . Applying 2.4 to  $I^\infty$ , there exists  $t_n > 0$  such that  $t_n(w_n)_{t_n} \in \mathcal{M}^\infty$  for large  $n$ . Applying Lemma 2.2 to  $I^\infty$ , from (1.5), (3.1), (3.9) and Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} m - \left[ I^\infty(\hat{u}) - \frac{1}{N+p} J^\infty(\hat{u}) \right] + o(1) &= I^\infty(w_n) - \frac{1}{N+p} J^\infty(w_n) \\ &\geq I^\infty(t_n(w_n)_{t_n}) - \frac{t_n^{N+p}}{N+p} J^\infty(w_n) \geq m^\infty \geq m, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction because  $I^\infty(\hat{u}) - J^\infty(\hat{u})/(N+p) > 0$  by (2.17). This shows that  $J^\infty(\hat{u}) \leq 0$ . From Lemma 2.2, there exists  $t_\infty > 0$  such that  $t_\infty \hat{u}_{t_\infty} \in \mathcal{M}^\infty$ . Moreover, it follows from (1.5), (3.1), (2.6), (3.5), (3.6), Fatou’s lemma and Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ I^\infty(\hat{u}_n) - \frac{1}{N+p} J^\infty(\hat{u}_n) \right] \geq I^\infty(\hat{u}) - \frac{1}{N+p} J^\infty(\hat{u}) \\ &\geq I^\infty(t_\infty \hat{u}_{t_\infty}) - \frac{t_\infty^{N+p}}{N+p} J^\infty(\hat{u}) \geq m^\infty \geq m, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that (3.10) holds. In view of Lemma 2.4, there exists  $\hat{t} > 0$  such that  $\hat{t}\hat{u}_{\hat{t}} \in \mathcal{M}$ . Applying Corollary 2.3 to  $I^\infty$ , we deduce from (V1), (1.2), (1.5) and (3.10) that

$$m \leq I(\hat{t}\hat{u}_{\hat{t}}) \leq I^\infty(\hat{t}\hat{u}_{\hat{t}}) \leq I^\infty(\hat{u}) = m.$$

This shows that  $m$  is achieved at  $\hat{t}\hat{u}_{\hat{t}} \in \mathcal{M}$ .

**Case (ii):**  $\bar{u} \neq 0$ . In this case, analogous to the proof of (3.10), by using  $I$  and  $J$  instead of  $I^\infty$  and  $J^\infty$ , we can deduce that  $I(\bar{u}) = m$  and  $J(\bar{u}) = 0$ . □

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.** In view of Lemmas 2.7 and 3.2, there exists  $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $I'(\bar{u}) = 0$  and  $I(\bar{u}) = m = \inf_{u \in X \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t>0} I(tu_t)$ . This completes the proof. □

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions and comments.

## References

- [1] L. Brizhik, A. Eremko, B. Piette and W. J. Zakrzewski, 'Electron self-trapping in a discrete two-dimensional lattice', *Physica D* **159** (2001), 71–90.
- [2] J. Chen, X. H. Tang and S. T. Chen, 'Existence of ground states for fractional Kirchhoff equations with general potentials via Nehari–Pohozaev manifold', *Electron. J. Differential Equations* **2018** (2018), Article ID 142, 21 pages.
- [3] S. T. Chen and X. H. Tang, 'Existence of ground state solutions for quasilinear Schrödinger equations with variable potentials and almost necessary nonlinearities', *Electron. J. Differential Equations* **2018** (2018), Article ID 157, 13 pages.
- [4] S. T. Chen and X. H. Tang, 'Ground state solutions for generalized Schrödinger equations with variable potentials and Berestycki–Lions nonlinearities', *J. Math. Phys.* **59** (2018), Article ID 081508.
- [5] S. T. Chen and X. H. Tang, 'Improved results for Klein–Gordon–Maxwell systems with general nonlinearity', *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **38** (2018), 2333–2348.
- [6] M. Colin and L. Jeanjean, 'Solutions for a quasilinear Schrödinger equation: a dual approach', *Nonlinear Anal.* **56** (2004), 213–226.
- [7] H. Hartmann and W. J. Zakrzewski, 'Electrons on hexagonal lattices and applications to nanotubes', *Phys. Rev. B* **68** 184302 (2003).
- [8] L. Jeanjean, 'On the existence of bounded Palais–Smale sequences and application to a Landesman–Lazer-type problem set on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ', *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **129** (1999), 787–809.
- [9] J. Q. Liu, Y. Q. Wang and Z. Q. Wang, 'Soliton solutions for quasilinear Schrödinger equations, II', *J. Differential Equations* **187** (2003), 473–493.
- [10] J. Q. Liu, Y. Q. Wang and Z. Q. Wang, 'Solutions for quasilinear Schrödinger equations via the Nehari method', *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **29** (2004), 879–901.
- [11] M. Poppenberg, 'On the local well posedness of quasilinear Schrödinger equations in arbitrary space dimension', *J. Differential Equations* **172** (2001), 83–115.
- [12] D. Ruiz and G. Siciliano, 'Existence of ground states for a modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation', *Nonlinearity* **23** (2010), 1221–1233.
- [13] X. H. Tang and S. T. Chen, 'Ground state solutions of Nehari–Pohozaev type for Kirchhoff-type problems with general potentials', *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **56** (2017), Article ID 110, 25 pages.
- [14] X. H. Tang and S. T. Chen, 'Ground state solutions of Nehari–Pohozaev type for Schrödinger–Poisson problems with general potentials', *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **37** (2017), 4973–5002.
- [15] X. H. Tang, X. Y. Lin and J. S. Yu, 'Nontrivial solutions for Schrödinger equation with local superquadratic conditions', *J. Dynam. Differential Equations* **2018** (2018), 15 pages, available online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10884-018-9662-2>.
- [16] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 24 (Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1996).
- [17] K. Wu and X. Wu, 'Radial solutions for quasilinear Schrödinger equations without 4-superlinear condition', *Appl. Math. Lett.* **76** (2018), 53–59.
- [18] M. B. Yang, 'Existence of solutions for a quasilinear Schrödinger equation with subcritical nonlinearities', *Nonlinear Anal.* **74** (2012), 5362–5373.
- [19] J. Zhang, X. H. Tang and W. Zhang, 'Ground state solutions for a quasilinear Schrödinger equation', *Mediterr. J. Math.* **14** (2017), Article ID 84, 13 pages.

**SITONG CHEN**, School of Mathematics and Statistics,  
Central South University, Changsha, Hunan 410083, PR China  
e-mail: [mathsitongchen@163.com](mailto:mathsitongchen@163.com)

ZU GAO, School of Mathematics and Statistics,  
Central South University, Changsha, Hunan 410083, PR China  
e-mail: [gaozu7@163.com](mailto:gaozu7@163.com)