

INJECTIVE TRANSFORMATIONS WITH EQUAL GAP AND DEFECT

JINTANA SANWONG and R. P. SULLIVAN 

(Received 14 July 2008)

Abstract

Suppose that X is an infinite set and $I(X)$ is the symmetric inverse semigroup defined on X . If $\alpha \in I(X)$, we let $\text{dom } \alpha$ and $\text{ran } \alpha$ denote the domain and range of α , respectively, and we say that $g(\alpha) = |X \setminus \text{dom } \alpha|$ and $d(\alpha) = |X \setminus \text{ran } \alpha|$ is the ‘gap’ and the ‘defect’ of α , respectively. In this paper, we study algebraic properties of the semigroup $A(X) = \{\alpha \in I(X) \mid g(\alpha) = d(\alpha)\}$. For example, we describe Green’s relations and ideals in $A(X)$, and determine all maximal subsemigroups of $A(X)$ when X is uncountable.

2000 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 20M20.

Keywords and phrases: factorizable inverse semigroup, gap, defect, Green’s relations, ideals, maximal subsemigroup.

1. Introduction

Let $I(X)$ denote the *symmetric inverse semigroup* on X : that is, the semigroup (under composition) consisting of all *one-to-one partial transformations* whose *domain*, $\text{dom } \alpha$, and *range*, $\text{ran } \alpha$, are subsets of X (see [3, Volume 1, p. 29]). For each $\alpha \in I(X)$, we write

$$g(\alpha) = |X \setminus \text{dom } \alpha|, \quad d(\alpha) = |X \setminus \text{ran } \alpha|$$

and refer to these cardinal numbers as the *gap* and *defect* of α , respectively. In this paper, we study various properties of a subsemigroup of $I(X)$ defined by

$$A(X) = \{\alpha \in I(X) \mid g(\alpha) = d(\alpha)\}.$$

Note that if X is finite, then $A(X) = I(X)$. Consequently *throughout this paper*, X denotes a set with cardinal $n \geq \aleph_0$.

Chen and Hsieh showed in [2, Section 3] that any inverse semigroup can be embedded in some $A(X)$ and that $A(X)$ is the largest *factorizable* subsemigroup of $I(X)$ (a semigroup S is *factorizable* if $S = GE$ where G is a subgroup of S and E

is a set of idempotents in S). Later, Howie [6] used certain subsemigroups of $A(X)$, namely

$$A(X, q) = \{\alpha \in A(X) \mid d(\alpha) = q\}$$

where $\aleph_0 \leq q < |X|$, to construct a class of bisimple congruence-free inverse semigroups; and Sullivan [10, Corollary 4] showed that if X is infinite, then

$$NI(X) = \{\alpha \in A(X) \mid d(\alpha) = |X|\}$$

is the semigroup generated by all of the nilpotents in $I(X)$.

In Section 2, we use the Vagner–Preston theorem to show that any factorizable inverse semigroup S can be embedded directly into $A(S)$. We characterize Green's relations on $A(X)$ in Section 3, and show that its ideals form a chain similar to that formed by the ideals in $E(X)$, the semigroup generated by all nonidentity idempotents in $T(X)$, the *total* transformation semigroup on X (see [9, Lemma 2]). In a subsequent paper, we shall use this latter result to describe the congruences on $A(X)$. Finally, in Section 4 we describe all maximal subsemigroups of $A(X)$ when X is uncountable; and we show that, in one case, this involves a maximal subsemigroup of $G(X)$, the symmetric group on X .

2. Basic ideas and results

In what follows, $Y = A \dot{\cup} B$ means Y is a *disjoint* union of A and B . For each nonempty $Y \subseteq X$, we write id_Y for the identity transformation with domain Y . In particular, id_X denotes the identity of $I(X)$ and the empty set \emptyset acts as a zero for $I(X)$.

We extend the convention introduced in [3, Volume 2, p. 241]: namely, if $\alpha \in I(X)$ is nonzero then we write

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_i \\ x_i \end{pmatrix}$$

and take as understood that the subscript i belongs to some (unmentioned) index set I , that the abbreviation $\{x_i\}$ denotes $\{x_i \mid i \in I\}$, and $\text{ran } \alpha = \{x_i\}$, $\text{dom } \alpha = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ and $x_i \alpha^{-1} = a_i$ for each i .

We begin with a simple result that is used often in the following.

LEMMA 1. *If $\alpha \in I(X)$ and $Y, Z \subseteq X$, then $(Y \setminus Z)\alpha = Y\alpha \setminus Z\alpha$, where, as usual, we interpret $Y\alpha$ as $(Y \cap \text{dom } \alpha)\alpha$.*

In [7, pp. 237–238], the authors remarked that, if X is infinite, then $A(X)$ is a subsemigroup of $I(X)$, and we repeat the proof here for convenience. In [2, Theorem 3.1], the authors say this is ‘routine’.

LEMMA 2. *If X is an infinite set, then $A(X)$ is an inverse subsemigroup of $I(X)$ with identity id_X . Moreover, the group of units in $A(X)$ is $G(X)$, the symmetric group on X .*

PROOF. Using Lemma 1, we obtain the following equalities for any $\alpha, \beta \in A(X)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(\alpha\beta) &= |X \setminus X\beta| + |X\beta \setminus X\alpha\beta| \\
 &= d(\beta) + |(X \setminus X\alpha) \cap \text{dom } \beta| \\
 &= g(\beta) + |(X \setminus X\alpha) \cap \text{dom } \beta| \\
 &= |(X \setminus \text{dom } \beta) \cap X\alpha| + |(X \setminus \text{dom } \beta) \cap (X \setminus X\alpha)| + |(X \setminus X\alpha) \cap \text{dom } \beta| \\
 &= |(X \setminus \text{dom } \beta)\alpha^{-1}| + d(\alpha) \\
 &= |(X \setminus \text{dom } \beta)\alpha^{-1}| + g(\alpha) \\
 &= |X\alpha^{-1} \setminus (X\alpha \cap \text{dom } \beta)\alpha^{-1}| + |X \setminus X\alpha^{-1}| \\
 &= |X \setminus (X\alpha \cap \text{dom } \beta)\alpha^{-1}| \\
 &= g(\alpha\beta).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $A(X)$ is a semigroup. In fact, since $d(\alpha^{-1}) = g(\alpha)$ and $g(\alpha^{-1}) = d(\alpha)$, $A(X)$ is an inverse subsemigroup of $I(X)$. Clearly, $G(X) \subseteq A(X)$, and if $\alpha \in A(X)$ and $\alpha\beta = \text{id}_X$ for some $\beta \in A(X)$, then $g(\alpha) = 0$, so $\alpha \in G(X)$. Thus, $G(X)$ is the group of units in $A(X)$. \square

In passing, we assert that $A(X) = A$ (say) is not a maximal inverse subsemigroup of $I(X)$. To see this, choose $\alpha \in I(X)$ with $g(\alpha) = 1$ and $d(\alpha) = 2$, and let B denote the subsemigroup of $I(X)$ generated by $A \cup \{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}\}$. Clearly $A \subsetneq B$ and B is inverse. Suppose that $B = I(X)$ and let β be an element of $I(X)$ with $g(\beta) = 0$ and $d(\beta) = 1$. Then $\beta \notin A$. If $\beta = \alpha^k\gamma$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $\gamma \in B$, then $g(\alpha) = 0$, which is a contradiction. On the other hand, if $\beta = \lambda\alpha^k\gamma$ for some $\lambda \in A$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $\gamma \in B$, then $g(\lambda) = 0$ and so $\lambda \in G(X)$. Therefore, $\lambda^{-1}\beta = \alpha^k\gamma$ and, since $\lambda^{-1}\beta \in T(X)$, it follows that $g(\alpha) = 0$, a contradiction. If $\beta = \alpha^{-k}\gamma$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $\gamma \in B$, then $d(\alpha) = g(\alpha^{-1}) = 0$, a contradiction. In addition, if $\beta = \lambda\alpha^{-k}\gamma$ for some $\lambda \in A$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $\gamma \in B$, then $\lambda \in G(X)$ and $\lambda^{-1}\beta = \alpha^{-k}\gamma$, so $d(\alpha) = g(\alpha^{-1}) = 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $A \subsetneq B \subsetneq I(X)$, and the assertion follows.

The Vagner–Preston theorem [3, Volume 1, Theorem 1.20] states that any inverse semigroup S can be embedded in $I(S)$ via the representation $\rho : S \rightarrow I(S)$, $a \rightarrow \rho_a$, where $\rho_a : Sa^{-1} \rightarrow Sa$, $x \rightarrow xa$, for each $a \in S$. In [2, Theorem 3.4], the authors prove that any infinite inverse semigroup S can be embedded in some $A(X)$. They do this by first using the representation ρ to embed S in $I(S)$, and then showing that $I(S) \subseteq A(X)$ where $X = S \dot{\cup} Y$ for some set Y whose cardinal equals that of S (compare with [5, Proposition 5.9.6]).

Clearly, if $\alpha \in A(X)$, then there is a bijection $\theta : X \setminus \text{dom } \alpha \rightarrow X \setminus \text{ran } \alpha$. In addition, if we regard α, θ as subsets of $X \times X$, then $\pi = \alpha \cup \theta$ is a permutation of X such that $\alpha = \pi \cdot \alpha^{-1}\alpha$. It follows that $A(X) = G(X)E$ where E is the set of all idempotents in $I(X)$, and so $A(X)$ is factorizable. We now show that any factorizable inverse semigroup S can be embedded directly into $A(S)$ using the representation ρ . In other words, $A(X)$ is a ‘model’ for all factorizable inverse semigroups. This is similar to the Vagner–Preston theorem, as well as Teissier’s theorem which states that any

right simple, right cancellative semigroup without idempotents can be embedded into a transformation semigroup with the same properties (see [3, Volume 2, Theorem 8.5]).

THEOREM 3. *If S is a factorizable inverse semigroup, then the representation ρ embeds S into $A(S)$.*

PROOF. We need to show that $\rho_a \in A(S)$ for each $a \in S$: that is, $|S \setminus Sa^{-1}| = |S \setminus Sa|$ for each $a \in S$. It is easy to see that if $S = GE$ and S is inverse, then S contains an identity, G is the group of units of S and $S = EG$ (see [2, Lemma 2.1]). Therefore, if $a \in S$, then $a = eu$ for some $e \in E$ and $u \in G$. So, $ea = a$ and $au^{-1} = e$, hence $eea^{-1} = aa^{-1}$ and $aa^{-1}e = e$, and thus $e = aa^{-1}$ (since idempotents commute in an inverse semigroup). Clearly, for each $u \in G$, $\text{dom } \rho_u = Su^{-1} = S = Su = \text{ran } \rho_u$, and so ρ_u is a permutation of S . In particular, if $a = aa^{-1} \cdot u$, then $Sa = Saa^{-1} \cdot u = Sa^{-1} \cdot u$: that is, ρ_u maps $\text{dom } \rho_a$ into $\text{ran } \rho_a$. Similarly, $a^{-1} = a^{-1}a \cdot u$ implies that $\rho_{u^{-1}}$ maps $\text{ran } \rho_a$ into $\text{dom } \rho_a$. Since ρ_u permutes S , it follows that ρ_u maps $S \setminus \text{dom } \rho_a$ bijectively onto $S \setminus \text{ran } \rho_a$, and thus $\rho_a \in A(S)$. \square

3. Green's relations and ideals

Since $A(X)$ is an inverse subsemigroup of $I(X)$, Hall's theorem [5, Proposition 2.4.2] implies that the \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} relations on $A(X)$ are the restrictions of the corresponding relations on $I(X)$ to $A(X)$, and the latter are well known: namely, $\alpha \mathcal{L} \beta$ in $I(X)$ if and only if $\text{ran } \alpha = \text{ran } \beta$; and $\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta$ in $I(X)$ if and only if $\text{dom } \alpha = \text{dom } \beta$ (see [5, Exercise 5.11.2]). In what follows, we let $r(\alpha) = |\text{ran } \alpha|$ denote the rank of $\alpha \in I(X)$.

LEMMA 4. *Suppose that $|X| = n \geq \aleph_0$ and let $\alpha, \beta \in A(X)$. Then:*

- $\beta = \lambda\alpha$ for some $\lambda \in A(X)$ if and only if $\text{ran } \beta \subseteq \text{ran } \alpha$;
- $\beta = \alpha\mu$ for some $\mu \in A(X)$ if and only if $\text{dom } \beta \subseteq \text{dom } \alpha$;
- $\beta = \lambda\alpha\mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in A(X)$ if and only if $r(\beta) \leq r(\alpha)$ and $d(\beta) \geq d(\alpha)$;
- $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J}$.

PROOF. It remains to show (c) and (d). Clearly, if $\beta = \lambda\alpha\mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in A(X)$, then $r(\beta) \leq r(\alpha)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} d(\beta) &= |X \setminus X\mu| + |X\mu \setminus X\lambda\alpha\mu|, \\ &= g(\mu) + |(X \setminus X\lambda\alpha)\mu|, \\ &\geq |(X \setminus X\alpha) \cap (X \setminus \text{dom } \mu)| + |(X \setminus X\alpha)\mu| + |(X\alpha \setminus X\lambda\alpha)\mu|, \\ &\geq |(X \setminus X\alpha) \cap (X \setminus \text{dom } \mu)| + |(X \setminus X\alpha) \cap \text{dom } \mu|, \\ &= d(\alpha). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Conversely, suppose that the condition holds and write

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & a_j \\ x_i & x_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} b_i \\ y_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define $\lambda, \mu \in I(X)$ by $\lambda : b_i \mapsto a_i$ and $\mu : x_i \mapsto y_i$. If $r(\alpha) < n$, then $d(\lambda) = |J| + g(\alpha) = n$ and $g(\lambda) = g(\beta) = n$, so $\lambda \in A(X)$, and similarly $\mu \in A(X)$. If $r(\alpha) = n$ and $r(\beta) < n$, then $|J| = n$ and so $\lambda, \mu \in A(X)$ as before. If $r(\alpha) = r(\beta) = n$ then, since $d(\beta) \geq d(\alpha)$, we can choose J so that $|J| + g(\alpha) = g(\beta)$. Then $d(\lambda) = d(\beta)$ and $g(\lambda) = g(\beta)$, so $\lambda \in A(X)$ and, with the same choice of J , we also see that $\mu \in A(X)$.

To show (d), suppose that $\alpha \mathcal{J} \beta$ in $A(X)$. Then $r(\alpha) = r(\beta)$ and $d(\alpha) = d(\beta)$, and we can write

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_i \\ x_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} b_i \\ y_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} b_i \\ x_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now $d(\gamma) = d(\alpha)$ and $g(\gamma) = g(\beta) = d(\beta) = d(\alpha)$, so $\gamma \in A(X)$ and, thus, $\alpha \mathcal{L} \gamma \mathcal{R} \beta$ by (a) and (b). That is, $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$, and this completes the proof. \square

Let $|X| = n \geq \aleph_0$ and, for each cardinal p , let p' denote the successor of p . In addition, for each r, d such that $1 \leq r \leq n'$ and $0 \leq d \leq n$, let

$$A(r, d) = \{\alpha \in A(X) \mid r(\alpha) < r \text{ and } d(\alpha) \geq d\}.$$

Clearly, if $\alpha \in A(r, d)$ and $\lambda, \mu \in A(X)$, then $r(\lambda\alpha\mu) < r$ and Lemma 4(c) shows that $d(\lambda\alpha\mu) \geq d(\alpha)$. Therefore, $A(r, d)$ is an ideal of $A(X)$. In particular, $A(1, n) = \{\emptyset\}$ and $A(n', 0) = A(X)$ and the sets $A(r, d)$ form a chain as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} A(1, n) &\subset A(2, n) \subset \dots \subset A(n, n) \\ &\subset A(n', n) \subset \dots \subset A(n', \aleph_0) \subset \dots \subset A(n', 1) \subset A(n', 0). \end{aligned}$$

Note that each of these containments is proper. For example, if $X = A \dot{\cup} B \dot{\cup} C$ where $|A| = r < s = |B| < n$, then $|C| = n$ and $\text{id}_B \in A(s', n) \setminus A(r', n)$; if $X = A \dot{\cup} B$ where $|A| = |B| = n$, then $\text{id}_A \in A(n', n) \setminus A(n, n)$; and if $X = A \dot{\cup} B \dot{\cup} C$ where $n > |B| = d > e = |C|$, then $|A| = n$ and $\text{id}_{A \cup B} \in A(n', e) \setminus A(n', d)$.

THEOREM 5. *The ideals of $A(X)$ are precisely the sets $A(r, d)$. Moreover, $A(r, d)$ is principal if and only if $r = s'$ for some cardinal s .*

PROOF. Suppose that I is a nontrivial ideal of $A(X)$ and let

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \min \{s \mid s > r(\alpha) \text{ for all } \alpha \in I\}, \\ d &= \min \{t \mid t = d(\beta) \text{ for some } \beta \in I\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that r and d always exist since the cardinals are well ordered. Now, either $r(\alpha) < r \leq n$ for all $\alpha \in I$, or $r(\alpha) = n$ for some $\alpha \in I$. If the former occurs, then $d(\alpha) = n$ for all $\alpha \in I$, and so $I \subseteq A(r, n)$. Conversely, if $\beta \in A(r, n)$, then there exists $\alpha \in I$ with $r(\beta) \leq r(\alpha)$: otherwise, if $r(\alpha) < r(\beta) < r$ for all $\alpha \in I$, we contradict the choice of r . Moreover, if $r(\beta) \leq r(\alpha) < r$, then $d(\beta) = n = d(\alpha)$. So, by Lemma 4(c), $\beta = \lambda\alpha\mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in A(X)$, hence $\beta \in I$ and thus $A(r, n) \subseteq I$.

Now, assume that $r(\alpha) = n$ for some $\alpha \in I$, so that $I \subseteq A(n', d)$. Now, by definition, there exists $\pi \in I$ such that $d(\pi) = d$ and clearly $r(\pi) \leq n$. If $r(\pi) < n$,

then $r(\alpha) \geq d = n$. In this case, if $\beta \in A(n', n)$, then $r(\beta) \leq r(\alpha)$ and $d(\beta) = n = d(\alpha)$, so Lemma 4(c) implies that $\beta \in I$. On the other hand, if $r(\pi) = n$, then the same argument (using π instead of α) shows that $A(n', d) \subseteq I$. That is, in both cases, $I = A(n', d)$.

In effect, the first paragraph of this proof shows that, if $r \leq n$, then $A(r, d) = \{\alpha \in I(X) : r(\alpha) < r\} = I_r$ (say) and it is well known that this is an ideal of $I(X)$. Moreover, I_r is principal if and only if $r = s'$ for some cardinal s (compare with [3, Volume 1, Theorem 2.9(ii)] for the ideals of $T(X)$). Thus, we assume that $r = n'$ and show that $A(n', d)$ is principal for each $d \geq 0$. Clearly, if $X = B \dot{\cup} C$ where $|B| = n$ and $|C| = d$ then $r(\text{id}_B) = n$ and $d(\text{id}_B) = d$. Moreover, if $\alpha \in A(n', d)$ then, by Lemma 4(c), $\alpha = \lambda \cdot \text{id}_B \cdot \mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in A(X)$. Hence, $A(n', d) \subseteq A(X) \cdot \text{id}_B \cdot A(X)$, and the reverse containment holds since $\text{id}_B \in A(n', d)$ which is an ideal of $A(X)$. \square

4. Maximal subsemigroups

Some work has been done on determining all maximal subsemigroups of certain transformation semigroups defined on a finite set X : see [1] for $T(X)$, [11] for the ideals of $T(X)$, and [12] for $E(X)$. There are partial results in the same direction for Baer–Levi semigroups defined on an infinite set: see [4, 8]. Here we describe all maximal subsemigroups of $A(X)$ when X is uncountable.

In what follows, we often write $A = A(X)$ and let M denote a maximal subsemigroup of A . Also, if $B \subseteq A$, then $\langle B \rangle$ denotes the subsemigroup of A generated by B . We begin with a simple result.

LEMMA 6. *Every maximal subsemigroup M of A contains id_X and \emptyset .*

PROOF. If $\text{id}_X \notin M$, then $M \cup \{\text{id}_X\}$ is a subsemigroup of A that properly contains M , so $A = M \cup \{\text{id}_X\}$ (by maximality) and, hence, each nonidentity $\gamma \in G(X)$ belongs to M . In addition, $\gamma^{-1} \in M$ and so $\gamma\gamma^{-1} = \text{id}_X \in M$, a contradiction. If $\emptyset \notin M$, then $A = M \cup \{\emptyset\}$, hence each nonzero idempotent of A belongs to M . In particular, if $X = Y \dot{\cup} Z$ where Y, Z are nonempty, then $\text{id}_Y, \text{id}_Z \in M$ and so $\emptyset \in M$, which is a contradiction. \square

So far, all results aimed at determining the maximal subsemigroups of a transformation semigroup reduce the given problem to knowing the maximal subgroups of $G(X)$. However, the main result of this section involves a maximal subsemigroup of $G(X)$.

LEMMA 7. *Suppose that M is a maximal subsemigroup of A and write $G = G(X)$. If $G \setminus M \neq \emptyset$, then $M \cap G$ is a maximal subsemigroup of G .*

PROOF. By Lemma 6, $M \cap G \neq \emptyset$ and clearly it is closed under composition. If $\pi \in G \setminus M$ then $A = \langle M, \pi \rangle$. Hence, if $\gamma \in G$, then there exist $\lambda, \mu, \dots \in M$ and positive integers r, s, \dots such that $\gamma = \lambda\pi^r\mu\pi^s\dots$. Then $\lambda \in G$ and $\pi^{-r}\lambda^{-1}\gamma = \mu\pi^s\dots$,

and so $\mu \in G$. Clearly, we can repeat this argument to show that each mapping that appears in the expression for γ is a permutation of X . Moreover, we reach the same conclusion if the expression for γ begins with π^r for some $r > 0$. In other words, if $\pi \notin M \cap G$, then $G \subseteq \langle M \cap G, \pi \rangle$, and equality follows. \square

By a remark on [4, p. 157], there are infinitely many maximal subsemigroups of $G(X)$ which are not groups. Here we show that there are four types of maximal subsemigroup of $A(X)$ when X is uncountable. To do this, we need a special case of Lemma 4(c).

LEMMA 8. *Suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in A$ have rank n . If $d(\alpha) = d(\beta)$, then $\beta = \lambda\alpha\mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in G(X)$.*

PROOF. If $d(\alpha) = d(\beta) = d$ (say) then $g(\alpha) = g(\beta) = d$ and we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom } \alpha &= \{a_i\}, & X \setminus \text{dom } \alpha &= \{a_j\}, \\ \text{dom } \beta &= \{b_i\}, & X \setminus \text{dom } \beta &= \{b_j\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $|J| = d$. If we adopt similar notation for $\text{ran } \alpha$, $\text{ran } \beta$ and their complements, then

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_i \\ x_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} b_i \\ y_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda = \begin{pmatrix} b_i & b_j \\ a_i & a_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu = \begin{pmatrix} x_i & x_j \\ y_i & y_j \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly $\lambda, \mu \in G(X)$ and $\beta = \lambda\alpha\mu$, as desired. \square

If $0 \leq d \leq e \leq n$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} S[d, e] &= \{\alpha \in A : d \leq d(\alpha) \leq e\}, \\ S[d, e) &= \{\alpha \in A : d \leq d(\alpha) < e\}, \end{aligned}$$

and we use similar notation to denote other subsets of A corresponding to defects lying between specified cardinals. In particular, note that $S[0, 0] = G(X)$.

LEMMA 9. *Suppose that $|X| = n > \aleph_0$. Let T be a maximal subsemigroup of $G = G(X)$ and let d be a cardinal such that $\aleph_0 \leq d < n$. Then the following sets are maximal subsemigroups of A :*

- (a) $M = T \cup S[1, n]$;
- (b) $M = G \cup S(1, n)$;
- (c) $M = G \cup S[1, d) \cup S(d, n]$;
- (d) $M = G \cup S[1, n) \cup A(n, n)$.

PROOF. (a) In this case, M contains every element of A except those in $G \setminus T$. By supposition, if $\pi \in G \setminus T$, then $G = \langle T, \pi \rangle \subseteq \langle M, \pi \rangle$, and it follows that $A = \langle M, \pi \rangle$.

(b) In this case, M contains all elements of A except those with defect 1. If $\alpha \in S[1, 1]$, then Lemma 8 implies that any element of $S[1, 1]$ belongs to $\langle M, \alpha \rangle$, and thus $A = \langle M, \alpha \rangle$.

(c) Here, M contains all elements of A except those α with infinite defect $d < n$, in which case Lemma 8 implies that any $\beta \in A$ with defect d belongs to $\langle M, \alpha \rangle$, and thus $A = \langle M, \alpha \rangle$.

(d) Now M contains all elements of A except those α with rank and defect n . Now Lemma 8 implies that any $\beta \in A$ with rank and defect n belongs to $\langle M, \alpha \rangle$, and thus $A = \langle M, \alpha \rangle$. □

The next three lemmas will show that a maximal subsemigroup of $A(X)$ is one of the above types when $|X| = n > \aleph_0$.

LEMMA 10. *If M is a maximal subsemigroup of A and $\alpha \notin M$, then $r(\alpha) = n$ and either $d(\alpha) \leq 1$ or $d(\alpha) \geq \aleph_0$.*

PROOF. Clearly $M \subsetneq M \cup A\alpha A$, and $M \cup A\alpha A$ is a subsemigroup of A , so $A = M \cup A\alpha A$ (by maximality). Now, each element of $A\alpha A$ has rank at most $r(\alpha)$. Hence, if $r(\alpha) < n$, then $d(\alpha) = n$ and M contains all elements of A with rank n . Write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom } \alpha &= \{a_i\}, & X \setminus \text{dom } \alpha &= \{b_j\} \dot{\cup} \{c_j\}, \\ \text{ran } \alpha &= \{x_i\}, & X \setminus \text{ran } \alpha &= \{y_j\} \dot{\cup} \{z_j\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $|J| = n$. Thus,

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_i \\ x_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_j \\ x_i & y_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} x_i & z_j \\ x_i & y_j \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\beta, \gamma \in A$ and have rank n . Hence, $\beta, \gamma \in M$ and clearly $\alpha = \beta\gamma \in M$, a contradiction. Therefore, each $\alpha \in A \setminus M$ has rank n .

Next we assume that $d(\alpha) \geq 2$ and d is finite. Since $r(\alpha) = n$, Lemma 4(c) implies that $A\alpha A$ consists of all of the elements of A with defect at least d . Hence, M must contain all of the elements of A with defect less than d . Write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom } \alpha &= \{a_i\}, & X \setminus \text{dom } \alpha &= \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d\}, \\ \text{ran } \alpha &= \{x_i\}, & X \setminus \text{ran } \alpha &= \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}, \end{aligned}$$

and define

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & a_1 \\ x_i & x_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} x_i & x_2 \\ x_i & x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\beta, \gamma \in A$ and $d(\beta) = d(\gamma) = d - 1$, so $\beta, \gamma \in M$ and clearly $\alpha = \beta\gamma \in M$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, if $\alpha \notin M$, then $d(\alpha) \leq 1$ or $d(\alpha) \geq \aleph_0$. □

LEMMA 11. *Suppose that M is a maximal subsemigroup of A and $\alpha \notin M$. If $d(\alpha) = 1$ or $\aleph_0 \leq d(\alpha) = d < n$, then M contains no element of A with defect 1 or d .*

PROOF. By the last result, $r(\alpha) = n$ and so Lemma 4(c) implies that $A\alpha A$ consists of all of the elements of A with defect at least 1 or at least d . Since $A = M \cup A\alpha A$, M must contain all of the elements of A with defect zero or defect less than d . Hence,

in each case, $G(X) \subseteq M$. Now suppose that there exists $\beta \in M$ with $d(\beta)$ equal to 1 or $d < n$. Then $r(\beta) = n$ and, by Lemma 8, there exist $\lambda, \mu \in G(X) \subseteq M$ so that $\alpha = \lambda\beta\mu \in M$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, M contains no element of A with defect 1 or d . \square

LEMMA 12. *If there exists $\alpha \notin M$ with defect d , then M contains every element of A with defect less than d or greater than d .*

PROOF. Suppose that there exists $\alpha \notin M$ with defect d and $\beta \notin M$ with defect greater than d . Then $A = \langle M, \beta \rangle$, so $\alpha = \lambda\beta\mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in A$ (one, but not both, of λ, μ may equal id_X). Then Lemma 4(c) implies that $d(\alpha) \geq d(\beta)$, a contradiction. Similarly, if there exists $\gamma \notin M$ with defect less than d , then $A = \langle M, \alpha \rangle$, so $\gamma = \lambda\alpha\mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in A$ and hence $d(\gamma) \geq d(\alpha)$, a contradiction. \square

Suppose that M is a maximal subsemigroup of A . In view of the last result, at most one infinite cardinal can be the defect of some $\alpha \in A \setminus M$. Moreover, if there exists $\alpha \notin M$ with $n > d(\alpha) = d \geq \aleph_0$, then Lemma 11 implies that every element of A with defect d lies outside M .

THEOREM 13. *Suppose that $|X| = n > \aleph_0$. If M is a maximal subsemigroup of $A(X)$, then M equals one of the following sets, where T is a maximal subsemigroup of $G = G(X)$ and d is a cardinal such that $\aleph_0 \leq d < n$.*

- (a) $T \cup S[1, n]$;
- (b) $G \cup S(1, n]$;
- (c) $G \cup S[1, d) \cup S(d, n]$;
- (d) $G \cup S[1, n) \cup A(n, n)$.

PROOF. Let $\alpha \notin M$. If $d(\alpha) = 0$, then $M \cap G \subsetneq G$ and, by Lemma 7, $M \cap G = T$ (say) is a maximal subsemigroup of G . Also, Lemma 12 implies that $S[1, n] \subseteq M$, and we conclude that $M = T \cup S[1, n]$.

If $d(\alpha) = 1$ then, by Lemma 12, $G \cup S(1, n) \subseteq M$ and equality follows (since, by Lemma 11, no element of M has defect 1).

If $\aleph_0 \leq d(\alpha) = d < n$, then, by Lemma 12, $S[0, d) \cup S(d, n) \subseteq M$. Also, by Lemma 11, no element of M has defect d . Hence, in this case, $M = G \cup S[1, d) \cup S(d, n)$.

Finally, if $d(\alpha) = n$, then Lemma 12 implies that $S[0, n) \subseteq M$. In addition, if $\beta \in A$ and $r(\beta) < n$, then $\beta \in M$ (since if $\beta \notin M$, then Lemma 10 implies that $r(\beta) = n$, which is a contradiction). Thus, it follows that $M = G \cup S[1, n) \cup A(n, n)$. \square

Acknowledgement

The second author is grateful to the Faculty of Science, Chiangmai University, Thailand, for its financial assistance during his visit in June 2008.

References

- [1] R. A. Bairamov, 'On the problem of completeness in a symmetric semigroup of finite degree', *Diskret. Anal.* **8** (1966), 3–26 (in Russian).
- [2] S. Y. Chen and S. C. Hsieh, 'Factorizable inverse semigroups', *Semigroup Forum* **8** (1974), 283–297.
- [3] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, Mathematical Surveys, No. 7, Parts 1 and 2 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1961 and 1967).
- [4] E. Hotzel, 'Maximality properties of some subsemigroups of Baer–Levi semigroups', *Semigroup Forum* **51** (1995), 153–190.
- [5] J. M. Howie, *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*, London Mathematics Society Monographs (NS), 12 (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995).
- [6] ———, 'A congruence-free inverse semigroup associated with a pair of infinite cardinals', *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **31A** (1981), 337–342.
- [7] P. Jampachon, M. Saichalee and R. P. Sullivan, 'Locally factorisable transformation semigroups', *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **25** (2001), 233–244.
- [8] I. Levi and G. R. Wood, 'On maximal subsemigroups of Baer–Levi semigroups', *Semigroup Forum* **30**(1) (1984), 99–102.
- [9] M. A. Reynolds and R. P. Sullivan, 'The ideal structure of idempotent-generated transformation semigroups', *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **28**(3) (1985), 319–331.
- [10] R. P. Sullivan, 'Semigroups generated by nilpotent transformations', *J. Algebra* **110**(2) (1987), 324–343.
- [11] H. B. Yang and X. L. Yang, 'Maximal subsemigroups of finite transformation semigroups $K(n, r)$ ', *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **20**(3) (2004), 475–482.
- [12] X. Yang, 'Maximal subsemigroups of the finite singular transformation semigroup', *Comm. Algebra* **29**(3) (2001), 1175–1182.

JINTANA SANWONG, Department of Mathematics, Chiangmai University, Chiangmai, 50200, Thailand

R. P. SULLIVAN, School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Western Australia, Nedlands 6009, Australia
e-mail: bob@maths.uwa.edu.au