# SEPARABILITY IN AN ALGEBRA WITH SEMI-LINEAR HOMOMORPHISM 

DAVID J. WINTER

The purpose of this paper is to outline a simple theory of separability for a non-associative algebra $A$ with semi-linear homomorphism $\sigma$. Taking $A$ to be a finite dimensional abelian Lie $p$-algebra $L$ and $\sigma$ to be the $p$ th power operation in $L$, this separability is the separability of [2]. Taking $A$ to be an algebraic field extension $K$ over $k$ and $\sigma$ to be the Frobenius ( $p$ th power) homomorphism in $K$, this separability is the usual separability of $K$ over $k$. The theory also applies to any unital non-associative algebra $A$ over a field $k$ and any unital homomorphism $\sigma$ from $A$ to $A$ such that $\sigma(k e) \subset k e, e$ being the identity element of $A$.

Throughout the paper, $A$ is a non-associative algebra over a field $k$, with or without identity, and $\sigma$ is a semi-linear homomorphism of non-associative rings from $A$ to $A$; that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(x+y) & =\sigma(x)+\sigma(y) \\
\sigma(x y) & =\sigma(x) \sigma(y) \\
\sigma(\alpha x) & =\bar{\sigma}(\alpha) \sigma(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $x, y \in A, \alpha \in k, \bar{\sigma}$ being a suitable homomorphism of fields from $k$ to $k$. In parts of the paper, we assume that $A$ and $\sigma$ are unital; that is, $A$ has an identity $e$ and $\sigma(e)=e$. Then a unital subalgebra of $A$ is a subring $B$ of $A$ such that $e \in B$.

Definition 1. A $\sigma$-subspace ( $\sigma$-subalgebra) of $A$ is a subspace (subalgebra) $V$ of $A$ such that $\sigma(V) \subset V$.

Definition 2. For $x \in A,\langle x\rangle$ is the $\sigma$-subspace of $A$ generated by $x$; that is, the $k$-span of $x, \sigma(x), \sigma^{2}(x), \ldots$.

Definition 3. An element $x$ of $A$ is $\sigma$-algebraic ( $\sigma$-separable; $\sigma$-nilpotent) if $\langle x\rangle$ is finite dimensional $\left(\langle x\rangle=\langle\sigma(x)\rangle ; \sigma^{n}(x)=0\right.$ for some positive integer $\left.n\right)$. If $A$ and $\sigma$ are unital, an element $x$ of $A$ is $\sigma$-radical if $\sigma^{n}(x) \in k e$ for some positive integer $n$.

We emphasize that all that is said in this paper about $\sigma$-radical objects is understood to apply only in the case where $A$ and $\sigma$ are unital.

Definition 4. The set of $\sigma$-algebraic ( $\sigma$-separable; $\sigma$-nilpotent; $\sigma$-radical) elements of a $\sigma$-subspace $V$ of $A$ is denoted $V_{\mathrm{alg}}\left(V_{\mathrm{sep}} ; V_{\mathrm{n} 1 \mathrm{p}} ; V_{\mathrm{rad}}\right)$. If $V=V_{\text {sep }}\left(V=V_{\text {rad }}\right)$, $V$ is separable (radical).
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One sees readily that if $V$ is a $\sigma$-subspace ( $\sigma$-subalgebra) of $A$, then $V_{\text {alg }}$, $V_{\text {nilp }}$ and $V_{\text {rad }}$ are $\sigma$-subspaces ( $\sigma$-subalgebras) of $A$. In order to enable us to prove that $V_{\text {sep }}$ is also a $\sigma$-subspace ( $\sigma$-subalgebra) of $A$, we now impose for the remainder of the paper the further condition on $A$ that $A$ be $\sigma$-algebraic.

Definition 5. A $\sigma$-subspace $V$ is $\sigma$-regular if $\sigma \mid V$ is injective and $\sigma$ maps each basis of $V$ to a basis for $V$.

Proposition 1. Let $V$ be a finite dimensional $\sigma$-subspace of $V$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.
(1) $V$ is $\sigma$-regular;
(2) if $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ are linearly independent elements of $V$, then $\sigma\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(x_{m}\right)$ are linearly independent;
(3) if $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ span $V$, then $\sigma\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(x_{m}\right)$ span $V$;
(4) $\sigma(V)$ spans $V$.

Proof. (1) implies (2) since we can expand $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ to a finite basis $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}(n \geqq m)$. And (2) implies (3) since we can contract $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ to a minimal spanning set $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}(n \leqq m)$, a basis, which then is mapped to a basis $\sigma\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(x_{n}\right)$ by (2). Clearly, (3) implies (4). And (4) implies (1); for, let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be a basis for $V$. Since $\sigma(V)$ spans $V$ and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ span $V$, $\sigma\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(x_{n}\right)$ span $V$. Thus, $\sigma\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(x_{n}\right)$ is a basis for $V$.

Corollary. An element $x$ of $A$ is $\sigma$-separable if and only if $\langle x\rangle$ is $\sigma$-regular.
Proof. A spanning set for $\langle x\rangle$ is $x, \sigma(x), \ldots, \sigma^{n}(x)$ for some $n$. Then a spanning set for $\langle\sigma(x)\rangle$ is easily seen to be $\sigma(x), \sigma^{2}(x), \ldots, \sigma^{n+1}(x)$. Now $\langle x\rangle=\langle\sigma(x)\rangle$ if and only if $\langle x\rangle$ is $\sigma$-regular by (3) of the above proposition.

Proposition 2. A $\sigma$-subspace $V$ of $A$ is $\sigma$-regular if and only if every finite dimensional $\sigma$-subspace of $V$ is $\sigma$-regular.

Proof. Let $V$ be $\sigma$-regular and let $W$ be a finite dimensional $\sigma$-subspace of $V$. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be linearly independent elements of $W$ and let $S$ be a basis for $V$ containing $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Then $\sigma(S)$ is a basis for $V$ and $\sigma\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(x_{n}\right)$ are distinct elements of $\sigma(S)$. Thus, $\sigma\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(x_{n}\right)$ are linearly independent and $W$ is $\sigma$-regular. Suppose conversely that every finite dimensional $\sigma$-subspace of $V$ is $\sigma$-regular. Let $S$ be a basis for $V$ and let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in S$. Then $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in W$ where $W=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle x_{i}\right\rangle$. Since $W$ is a finite dimensional $\sigma$-subspace, $W$ is $\sigma$-regular and $\sigma\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(x_{n}\right)$ are linearly independent. Thus, $\sigma$ is injective and $\sigma(S)$ is linearly independent. Next, let $x \in V$. Then $x \in W$ where $W=\langle x\rangle$. Thus, $x$ is in the span of $\sigma(W)$, hence in the span of $\sigma(V)$. Since $S$ spans $V$, it follows that $x$ is in the span of $\sigma(S)$. Thus, $\sigma(S)$ spans $V$ and $V$ is $\sigma$-regular.

Corollary. Let $V$ be a $\sigma$-regular $\sigma$-subspace of $V$. Then any $\sigma$-subspace $W$ of $V$ is $\sigma$-regular.

Proposition 3. Let $V$ be the sum of a family $V_{\lambda}$ of $\sigma$-regular $\sigma$-subspaces of $A$. Then $V$ is $\sigma$-regular.

Proof. Let $W$ be a finite dimensional $\sigma$-subspace of $V$. Then $W \subset \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(W_{i}\right)$ for suitable finite dimensional $\sigma$-subspaces $W_{1}, \ldots, W_{n}$ each of which is contained in one of the $V_{\lambda}$. By the above corollary the $W_{i}$ are $\sigma$-regular. Thus, the span of $\sigma\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma\left(W_{i}\right)$ is $\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}$ is $\sigma$-regular. Thus, $W$ is $\sigma$-regular. Now $V$ is $\sigma$-regular by the above proposition.

In the following discussion, we use the notation $V_{1} V_{2}$ for the span of $\left\{x y \mid x \in V_{1}, y \in V_{2}\right\}$ for $V_{1}, V_{2} \subset A$.

Proposition 4. Let $V_{1}, V_{2}$ be $\sigma$-regular $\sigma$-subspaces of $A$. Then $V_{1} V_{2}$ is a $\sigma$-regular $\sigma$-subspace of $A$.

Proof. Obviously, $V_{1} V_{2}$ is a $\sigma$-subspace. Let $W$ be a finite dimensional $\sigma$-subspace of $V_{1} V_{2}$. Then $W \subset W_{1} W_{2}$ for suitable finite dimensional $\sigma$-subspaces $W_{i}$ of $V_{i}(i=1,2)$. (Any finite subset of a $\sigma$-subspace $V$ is contained in a finite dimensional $\sigma$-subspace of $V$.) Now the span of $\sigma\left(W_{1} W_{2}\right)$ contains the span of $\sigma\left(W_{1}\right) \sigma\left(W_{2}\right)$ and the latter is $W_{1} W_{2}$. Since $W_{1} W_{2}$ is finite dimensional it is therefore $\sigma$-regular. Thus, $W$ is $\sigma$-regular. It follows that $V_{1} V_{2}$ is $\sigma$-regular, by Proposition 2.

Theorem 1. Let $V$ be a $\sigma$-subspace ( $\sigma$-subalgebra) of $A$. Then $V$ is $\sigma$-separable if and only if $V$ is $\sigma$-regular. Moreover, $V_{\text {sed }}$ is a $\sigma$-subspace ( $\sigma$-subalgebra) of $A$.

Proof. Suppose that $V$ is $\sigma$-regular. Then $x \in V$ implies that $\langle x\rangle$ is $\sigma$-regular and hence that $x$ is $\sigma$-separable. Thus, $V$ is $\sigma$-separable. Next, suppose that $x \in V_{\text {sep }}$. Then $\langle x\rangle$ is $\sigma$-regular, so that $\langle x\rangle \subset V_{\text {sep }}$ by the above observation. Thus,

$$
V_{\text {sep }}=\sum_{x \in V_{\text {sep }}}\langle x\rangle
$$

and $V_{\text {sep }}$ is a $\sigma$-regular $\sigma$-subspace of $A$, by Proposition 3. In particular, if $V$ is $\sigma$-separable, then $V$ is $\sigma$-regular. Suppose finally that $V$ is a $\sigma$-subalgebra of $A$. Then $V_{\text {sep }} V_{\text {sep }}$ is $\sigma$-regular, by Proposition 4. Thus, $V_{\text {sep }} V_{\text {sep }} \subset V_{\text {sep }}$, and $V_{\text {sep }}$ is a $\sigma$-subalgebra of $A$.

Proposition 5. For $x \in A, \sigma^{n}(x)$ is separable for some $n$.
Proof. Since $\langle x\rangle$ is finite dimensional, there exists a positive integer $n$ such that

$$
\langle x\rangle \supset\langle\sigma(x)\rangle \supset \ldots \supset\left\langle\sigma^{n}(x)\right\rangle=\left\langle\sigma^{n+1}(x)\right\rangle=\ldots .
$$

For such an $n, \sigma^{n}(x)$ is $\sigma$-separable.
Definition 6. Let $V, W$ be unital $\sigma$-subalgebras of $A$. Then $V$ is $\sigma$-separable if $V$ is the $W$-span of $\sigma(V)$; that is,

$$
V=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sigma\left(v_{i}\right) w_{i} \mid m \geqq 1, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m} \in V, w_{1}, \ldots, W_{m} \in W\right\}
$$

We now give necessary and sufficient conditions for a finite dimensional unital $\sigma$-algebra $A$ to decompose as $A=A_{\text {sep }} \otimes_{k} A_{\text {rad }}$ (internal tensor product). The counterpart for fields is [1, p. 50].

Theorem 2. Let $A$ and $\sigma$ be unital and suppose that $A$ is finite dimensional and $\sigma$ injective. Then $A=A_{\text {sep }} \otimes_{k} A_{\mathrm{rad}}$ (internal tensor product) if and only if $A / A_{\mathrm{rad}}$ is $\sigma$-separable.

Proof. Suppose first that $A=A_{\text {sep }} \otimes_{k} A_{\text {rad }}$. Then since the $k$-span of $\sigma(A)$ contains $A_{\text {sep }}$, the $A_{\text {rad }}$-span of $\sigma(A)$ contains $A_{\text {sep }} \otimes_{k} A_{\text {rad }}=A$. (Note here that $A_{\text {rad }} \supset k e$.) Thus, $A / A_{\text {rad }}$ is $\sigma$-separable. Suppose, conversely, that $A / A_{\text {rad }}$ is $\sigma$-separable. Let $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}$ span $A$ over $A_{\text {rad }}$. Take $n$ such that $\sigma^{n}\left(b_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma^{n}\left(b_{m}\right)$ are $\sigma$-separable. Now $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m} \operatorname{span} A$ over $A_{\text {rad }}$, so that $\sigma^{n}\left(b_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma^{n}\left(b_{m}\right)$ span $A$ over $A_{\text {rad }}$ by the $\sigma$-separability of $A / A_{\text {rad }}$. Thus, $A \subset A_{\text {sep }} A_{\text {rad }}$. It remains to show that $A_{\text {sep }}$ and $A_{\text {rad }}$ are linearly disjoint over $k$. For this, let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ be linearly independent elements of $A_{\text {sep }}$ and suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} c_{i}=0$ where the $c_{i}$ are in $A_{\text {rad }}$. Choose $n$ such that $\sigma^{n}\left(c_{i}\right) \in k e$ for all $i$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma^{n}\left(c_{i}\right) \sigma^{n}\left(a_{i}\right)=0$. By the linear independence over $k$ of the $\sigma^{n}\left(a_{i}\right), \sigma^{n}\left(c_{i}\right)=0$ for all $i$. But $\sigma$ is injective, so that the $c_{i}$ are all 0 . Thus, $A_{\text {sep }}$ and $A_{\text {rad }}$ are linearly disjoint over $k$ and $A=A_{\text {sep }} \otimes_{k} A_{\text {rad }}$.

We conclude with a decomposition theorem which is a form of Fitting's lemma. It's counterpart for Lie $p$-algebras yields the decomposition of a linear transformation into its semi-simple and nilpotent parts (cf. [2, p. 120]).

Theorem 3. Let $A$ be finite dimensional and $\bar{\sigma}$ surjective. Then $A=A_{\text {sep }} \oplus A_{\text {nilp }}$ (internal direct sum).

Proof. For any $n, \sigma^{n}(A)$ and

$$
\operatorname{Kern} \sigma^{n}=\left\{x \mid \sigma^{n}(x)=0\right\}
$$

are $k$-subspaces of $A$, since $k=\bar{\sigma}^{n}(k)$. Thus,

$$
\sigma(A) \supset \sigma^{2}(a) \supset \ldots
$$

and

$$
\text { Kern } \sigma \subset \operatorname{Kern} \sigma^{2} \ldots
$$

are chains of subspaces of $A$. Since $A$ is finite dimensional, $\sigma^{n}(A)=\sigma^{n+1}(A)$ and Kern $\sigma^{n}=\operatorname{Kern} \sigma^{n+1}$ for some $n$. Now $\sigma^{n} A=A_{\text {sep }}$, by Theorem 1, and Kern $\sigma^{n}=A_{\text {nilp }}$. Let $x \in A$ and choose $y \in A_{\text {sep }}$ such that $\sigma^{n}(x)=\sigma^{n}(y)$. This is possible since

$$
A_{\text {sep }}=\sigma^{n}(A)=\sigma^{2 n}(A)=\sigma^{n}\left(A_{\text {sep }}\right) .
$$

Now $x=y+(x-y)$ with $y \in A_{\text {sep }}$. And $x-y \in A_{\mathrm{nilp}}$ since

$$
\sigma^{n}(x-y)=\sigma^{n}(x)-\sigma^{n}(y)=0
$$

Since $A_{\text {sep }} \cap A_{\text {nilp }}=\{0\}$, it follows that $A=A_{\text {sep }} \oplus A_{\text {nilp }}$.
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