

## ALGEBRAS OF CANCELLATIVE SEMIGROUPS

JAN OKNINSKI

The Jacobson radical  $J(K[S])$  of the semigroup ring  $K[S]$  of a cancellative semigroup  $S$  over a field  $K$  is studied. We show that, if  $J(K[S]) \neq 0$ , then either  $S$  is a reversion semigroup or  $K[S]$  has many nilpotents and  $J(K[P]) \neq 0$  for a reversion subsemigroup  $P$  of  $S$ . This is used to prove that  $J(K[S]) = 0$  for every unique product semigroup  $S$ .

Let  $K[S]$  be the semigroup ring of a cancellative semigroup  $S$  over a field  $K$ . Our aim is to show that the semiprimitivity problem for  $K[S]$  can often be reduced to the case where  $S$  has a group of fractions. This allows to prove that  $J(K[S]) = 0$  whenever  $S$  is a unique product semigroup, which answers the question asked in [4, Problem 23]. Here,  $J(K[S])$  denotes the Jacobson radical of  $K[S]$ . We refer to [1, 3, 4] for the basic facts on semigroups, semigroup rings and graded rings used in this note.

If  $S$  is not a monoid, then let  $S^1$  be the monoid obtained by adjoining a unity element to  $S$ . Otherwise, let  $S^1 = S$ . Recall that  $S$  is left reversion if it satisfies the right Ore condition:  $sS \cap tS \neq \emptyset$  for every  $s, t \in S$ . This is equivalent to the fact that  $S$  has a group of classical right fractions, see [1]. The left reversion congruence  $\rho_S$  on  $S^1$  is defined for  $s, t \in S^1$  by the rule  $(s, t) \in \rho$  if  $sxS \cap txS \neq \emptyset$  for every  $x \in S$ , [5]. The restriction of  $\rho_S$  to  $S$  will also be denoted by  $\rho_S$ , or by  $\rho$  if unambiguous. It is known that  $\rho$  is left cancellative. A subset  $Z$  of  $S$  is said to be left group-like (or left unitary) if  $s \in Z$  whenever  $z \in Z$ ,  $s \in S$  and  $zs \in Z$ .

Our approach is based on the following observation, which allows us to cover  $S$  with a collection of its nice subsemigroups.

**LEMMA 1.** *For every  $t \in S^1$  the set  $S_t = \{s \in S \mid (t^r s, t^n) \in \rho \text{ for some } r, n \geq 1\}$  is a left group-like subsemigroup of  $S$ .*

**PROOF:** Let  $s, u \in S_t$ . Then  $(t^r u, t^n) \in \rho$  and  $(t^i s, t^j) \in \rho$  for some  $r, n, i, j \geq 1$ . The latter implies that  $(t^{i+r} su, t^{j+n}) \in \rho$ . But  $(t^{j+r} u, t^{j+n}) \in \rho$ . Hence  $(t^{i+r} su, t^{j+n}) \in \rho$ , and so  $su \in S_t$ . Thus,  $S_t$  is a subsemigroup of  $S$ .

Assume also that  $sx \in S_t$  for some  $x \in S$ . Then there exist  $k, m \geq 1$  such that  $(t^k sx, t^m) \in \rho$ . Now  $(t^{i+k} sx, t^{i+m}) \in \rho$  and also  $(t^{i+k} sx, t^{j+k} x) \in \rho$ . This implies that  $(t^{j+k} x, t^{i+m}) \in \rho$ . Hence  $x \in S_t$ , as desired.  $\square$

---

Received 19 April 1993

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/94 \$A2.00+0.00.

Denote by  $\phi$  the natural homomorphism  $S \rightarrow S/\rho$ . Let  $U = \{s \in S \mid (sz, 1) \in \rho \text{ for some } z \in S\}$ . Assume that  $U \neq \emptyset$ . If  $(sz, 1) \in \rho$ , then  $(szs, s) \in \rho$ , so that the left cancellativity of  $\rho$  implies that  $(zs, 1) \in \rho$ . Therefore  $U = \phi^{-1}(H)$ , the inverse image in  $S$  of the group  $H$  of units of  $S/\rho$ . In particular,  $U$  is a filter of  $S$ . Since  $(sz, 1) \in \rho$  implies that  $szzS \cap xS \neq \emptyset$  for every  $x \in S$ , it follows that  $S = U$  if and only if  $S$  is left reversible.

The advantage of dealing with the rings  $K[S_t]$ , in place of  $K[S]$ , is that each  $K[S_t]$  admits a very simple gradation. This will not be used explicitly, but it recovers the general flavour of our approach.

**PROPOSITION.** *Let  $t \in S$ . Then the image  $G_t$  of  $S_t$  under the natural homomorphism  $\phi: S \rightarrow S/\rho$  is a cyclic group, a cyclic semigroup or a cyclic monoid generated by  $\phi(t)$ . Consequently, the ring  $R = K[S_t]$  has a natural  $G_t$ -gradation given by  $R_g = \phi^{-1}(Kg)$  for  $g \in G_t$ . Moreover, if  $t \notin U$ , then the set  $I_t = \{s \in S \mid (s, t^n) \in \rho \text{ for some } n \geq 1\}$  is an ideal of  $S_t$ ,  $\phi(I_t)$  is an infinite cyclic semigroup and  $K[I_t]$  has an induced  $\phi(I_t)$ -gradation.*

**PROOF:** If  $\phi(s) \in G_t$ , then there exist  $r, n \geq 1$  such that  $(t^r s, t^n) \in \rho$ . Therefore, either  $(s, t^k) \in \rho$  for some  $k \geq 0$  or  $(t^k s, 1) \in \rho$  for some  $k \geq 1$ . If for some  $s \in S$  the latter holds,  $\phi(t)$  lies in the group  $H$  of units of  $S/\rho$ , so that  $G_t = \phi(S_t)$  is the cyclic subgroup of  $H$  generated by  $\phi(t)$ . Otherwise,  $G_t$  is the cyclic semigroup (or the cyclic monoid, if  $S_1 \neq \emptyset$ ) generated by  $\phi(t)$ . Clearly, this gives the desired  $G_t$ -gradation on the ring  $R = K[S_t]$ . The remaining assertions follow easily. □

We refer to [3, Chapter 4], for a variety of results on rings graded by groups. In particular, for those concerning the homogeneity of the Jacobson radical and the prime radical.

Every non-zero  $c \in K[S]$  can be uniquely written in the form  $c = c_1 + \dots + c_n$ , where each  $\text{supp}(c_i)$  lies in a different  $\rho$ -class of  $S$ . The elements  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  are called the  $\rho$ -components of  $c$ . We say that  $c$  is  $\rho$ -separated if  $\text{supp}(c_i)S \cap \text{supp}(c_j)S = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ . For convenience, the zero of  $K[S]$  will also be called  $\rho$ -separated.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $V$  be the set of  $\rho$ -separated elements of  $K[S]$  and let  $W = V \cap J(K[S \setminus U])$ . Then*

- (1)  $V$  is a subsemigroup of the multiplicative semigroup of  $K[S]$ , in particular  $VS, SV \subseteq V$ ;
- (2) if  $b \in W$ , then the  $\rho$ -components of  $b$  generate a finite nilpotent semigroup, in particular  $W$  is a nil semigroup;
- (3) for every  $a \in K[S]$  there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $as \in V$ .

**PROOF:** For  $a, b \in V$ ,  $a, b \neq 0$ , let  $a = a_1 + \dots + a_r$ ,  $b = b_1 + \dots + b_n$  be the decompositions of  $a, b$  into  $\rho$ -components. Choose  $t_i, s_j \in S^1$  such that  $(t_i, \text{supp}(a_i)) \in \rho$

and  $(s_j, \text{supp}(b_j)) \in \rho$ . Suppose that  $t_i s_j c = t_k s_m d$  for some  $c, d \in S$  and some  $i, j, k, m$ . Since  $a \in V$ , it follows that  $i = k$  because otherwise  $t_i S \cap t_k S = \emptyset$ . Hence  $s_j c = s_m d$ . Similarly,  $j = m$  because  $b \in V$ , so that (1) follows.

Assume further that  $b \in W$ . Let  $c \in K[S \setminus U]$  be a right quasi inverse of  $b$ , that is,  $b + c = bc$ . Let  $c_1, \dots, c_m$  be the  $\rho$ -components of  $c$ . By induction on  $k$  we show that each non-zero  $e = b_{i_1} b_{i_2} \dots b_{i_k}$ ,  $i_j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , lies in the set  $C = \{-c_1, \dots, -c_m\}$ . By (1) we know that each non-zero  $b_i c_j$  lies in a different  $\rho$ -class of  $S$ . If  $b_i \notin C$ , then  $(\text{supp}(b_i), \text{supp}(b_p c_q)) \in \rho$  for some  $p, q$ . Then  $i = p$  since  $b \in V$ . Therefore  $(\text{supp}(c_q), 1) \in \rho$ , which contradicts the fact that  $c_q \in K[S \setminus U]$ . Hence  $b_i \in C$ . Assume now that  $k > 1$ . Since, by the induction hypothesis,  $-b_{i_2} \dots b_{i_k}$  is a  $\rho$ -component of  $c$ ,  $-e$  must be a  $\rho$ -component of  $bc$ . As before, from the left cancellativity of  $\rho$  it follows that  $(\text{supp}(e), \text{supp}(b)) \notin \rho$  because  $\text{supp}(b) \cap U = \emptyset$  and  $b \in V$ . Hence, the equality  $b + c = bc$  implies that  $e \in C$ , as claimed. Now, the semigroup  $B$  generated by  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  is finite. Moreover, each  $e \in B$  is nilpotent because  $e^p = e^q \neq 0$  for  $p > q$  would again contradict the fact that  $\text{supp}(e) \cap U = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $B$  is nilpotent, so that  $b$  is a nilpotent element. This proves that (2) holds.

(3) was established in [5]. □

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $t \in S \setminus U$ . Assume that  $a + b = ab$  for some  $a, b \in K[S_t]$ . Then  $b \in K[A]$  for the subsemigroup  $A$  generated in  $S$  by  $\text{supp}(a)$ . Consequently,  $J(K[P]) \cap K[T] \subseteq J(K[T])$  for any subsemigroups  $T, P$  of  $S_t$ .*

**PROOF:** Assume that  $a \neq 0$ . Substituting  $b = ab - a$  we come to

$$b = ab - a = a^2 b - a^2 - a = \dots = a^n b - a^n - a^{n-1} - \dots - a$$

for every  $n \geq 1$ . Suppose that there exists  $s \in \text{supp}(b) \setminus A$ . Then  $s \in \text{supp}(a^n b)$  for every  $n \geq 1$ , hence there exist  $t_n \in \text{supp}(b)$  and  $s_{n,i_j} \in \text{supp}(a)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , such that  $s = s_{n,i_1} \dots s_{n,i_n} t_n$ . Therefore, there are infinitely many equal elements of the form  $s_{n,i_1} \dots s_{n,i_n} t_n$ . Since  $t \notin U$  and  $\rho$  is left cancellative, there exists  $N \geq 1$  such that each  $s_{n,i_j}$  is  $\rho$ -related to some  $t^r$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq N$ . It follows that  $(t^p, t^q) \in \rho$  for some  $p < q$ . This contradicts the fact that  $t \notin U$ . Therefore  $\text{supp}(b) \subseteq A$ . The assertion follows. □

We show that, if  $J(K[S]) \neq 0$  for a non-left reversible semigroup  $S$ , then the semigroup ring  $K[T]$  of a left reversible subsemigroup  $T$  of  $S$  is not semiprimitive and contains many nilpotents.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $S$  be a cancellative semigroup that is not left reversible. Assume that  $0 \neq c \in J(K[S])$ . Then there exists  $s \in S$  such that*

- (i)  $S^1 c s S^1 \subseteq W \setminus \{0\}$ .
- (ii) *If  $c_1$  is a  $\rho$ -component of  $cs$  and  $t \in \text{supp}(c_1 s)$ , then  $c_1 \in J(K[S_t])$  and  $S^1 c_1 S^1$  consists of nilpotents.*

- (iii) *There exists a left reversionary subsemigroup  $T$  of  $S$  and an element  $u \in S$  such that the natural  $K$ -linear projection  $f$  of  $csu$  onto  $K[T]$  is a non-zero element of  $J(K[T])$  for which  $T^1 f T^1$  consists of nilpotents.*

PROOF: Since  $S$  is not left reversionary,  $S \neq U$ . By Lemma 2 there exists  $z \in S$  such that  $cz \in V$ . Then  $czq \in W$  for any  $q \in S \setminus U$ . Hence, (i) follows with  $s = zq$ .

Let  $c_1, \dots, c_m$  be the  $\rho$ -components of  $cs$ . Note that for  $w \in S_t$  we have  $wS \cap tS \neq \emptyset$ . Hence

$$(*) \quad yx \notin S_t \text{ for every } x \in S^1 \text{ and every } y \in \text{supp}(c_j), \quad j \neq 1$$

Let  $\pi: K[S] \rightarrow K[S_t]$  be the natural  $K$ -linear projection. Let  $a \in K[S_t]$ . Since  $csa \in J(K[S])$ , there exists  $d \in K[S]$  such that  $csa + d = csad$ . Then (\*) shows that  $\pi(csa) = \pi(c_1a) = c_1a$  and  $\pi(csad) = \pi(c_1ad)$ . Since  $S_t$  is a left group-like subsemigroup of  $S$ , from [4, Lemma 4.14], it follows that  $\pi(c_1ad) = c_1a\pi(d)$ . This shows that  $c_1a$  is quasi invertible in  $K[S_t]$ , so that  $c_1 \in J(K[S_t])$ . For every  $x, y \in S^1$ ,  $xc_1y$  is a  $\rho$ -component of  $xcsy$ . Hence, the remaining assertion of (ii) follows from Lemma 2.

Let  $n \geq 1$  be the minimal integer satisfying the following condition:

There exists a subsemigroup  $Q$  of  $S_t$  and an element  $u \in S$  such that  $csu = f + f_0$ , where  $f \in J(K[Q])$ ,  $f_0 \in K[S]$ ,  $\text{supp}(f_0)Q \cap \text{supp}(f)Q = \emptyset$ ,  $|\text{supp}(f)| = n$  and  $Q^1 f Q^1$  consists of nilpotents.

In view of (ii),  $n$  is well-defined. Let  $T \subseteq Q$  be the semigroup generated by  $\text{supp}(f)$ . Lemma 3 implies that  $f \in J(K[T])$ . Suppose that  $T$  is not left reversionary. From [5, Lemma 2], it follows that  $\text{supp}(f)$  does not lie in a single  $\rho_T$ -class of  $T$ . Proceeding as at the beginning of the proof, we can find an element  $w \in T$  such that  $fw$  is  $\rho_T$ -separated, so that  $fw = f_1 + \dots + f_z$ ,  $z \geq 2$ , with  $\text{supp}(f_i)T \cap \text{supp}(f_j)T = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$  and each  $\text{supp}(f_i)$  lying in a different  $\rho_T$ -class of  $T$ . Moreover,  $f_1 \in J(K[T_v])$  for  $v \in \text{supp}(f_1)$  and  $T^1 f_1 T^1$  consists of nilpotents. The choice of  $f$  implies that  $|\text{supp}(f_1)| = |\text{supp}(f)|$ , so that  $z = 1$ , a contradiction. Hence  $T$  is a left reversionary semigroup. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

An induction, as that in the proof of (iii) above, can also be carried out with respect to the congruence  $\rho'$ , that is right-left dual to  $\rho$ , see [5]. Applying both procedures alternately a number of times, one derives the following consequence.

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $J(K[S]) \neq 0$  for a cancellative semigroup  $S$ , then there exists a (left and right) reversionary subsemigroup  $P$  of  $S$  such that  $J(K[P]) \neq 0$ .*

If  $K$  is not algebraic over its prime subfield  $K_0$  and  $J(K[S]) \neq 0$ , then  $K_0[S]$  has a non-zero nil ideal, see [6, Chapter 7]. Our techniques allow us to find a reversionary subsemigroup  $P$  of  $S$  such that  $K_0[P]$  has a non-zero nil ideal.

The above theorem often reduces the semiprimitivity problem for algebras  $K[S]$  to the case where  $S$  is reversible, so  $S$  has a group of fractions  $G$ . When studying  $K[S]$ , one can then apply a variety of group ring techniques and results. For example, it is known that  $K[G]$  is a domain for a wide class of groups  $G$ , and it is conjectured that this is always the case if  $G$  is a torsion-free group, see [7, Chapter 9].

Recall that a semigroup  $S$  is a u.p. (unique product) semigroup if for any nonempty finite subsets  $A, B$  of  $S$  with  $|A| + |B| > 2$ , there exists an element  $s \in AB$  with a unique presentation in the form  $s = ab$ , where  $a \in A, b \in B$ , see [4, Chapter 10]. In this case  $K[S]$  is a domain and in particular  $S$  is cancellative. Similarly,  $S$  is called a t.u.p. (two unique product) semigroup if there are at least two elements with unique presentation in each  $AB$ . Then  $K[S^1]$  has no nontrivial units, so that  $J(K[S]) = 0$ . Note that there exist u.p. semigroups that do not have the t.u.p. property, [4, Chapter 10].

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $S$  be a u.p. semigroup. Then  $J(K[S]) = 0$ .*

**PROOF:** The theorem allows us to assume that  $S$  is left reversible. It is known that every u.p. semigroup that is left reversible must be a t.u.p. semigroup, [8], see [4, Theorem 10.6]. As noted above, this implies that  $J(K[S]) = 0$ .  $\square$

Let  $A$  be a domain that is nontrivially graded (that is,  $A \neq A_1$ ) by a cancellative semigroup  $S$ . Assume that  $J(A) \neq 0$ . If  $S$  is not left reversible, then, as in the proof of assertion (ii) of the theorem, one shows that  $J(R) \neq 0$  for a subring  $R$  of  $A$  that is graded by an infinite cyclic semigroup. It is known that  $R$  contains nontrivial nilpotents, [2], see [3, Theorem 32.5], a contradiction. Hence  $S$  is left reversible. Therefore, if  $S$  is a u.p. semigroup, then it is a t.u.p. semigroup. This again contradicts [2]. Hence, the assertion of Corollary 2 can be extended to any domain  $A$  that is nontrivially graded by a u.p. semigroup  $S$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A.H. Clifford and G.B. Preston, *Algebraic theory of semigroups*, 1 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1961).
- [2] E. Jespers, J. Krempa and E. Puczyłowski, 'On radicals of graded rings', *Comm. Algebra* **10**(17) (1982), 1849–1854.
- [3] G. Karpilovsky, *The Jacobson radical of classical rings*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics (Longman, 1991).
- [4] J. Okninski, *Semigroup algebras*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics **138** (Marcel Dekker, 1991).
- [5] J. Okninski, 'Prime and semiprime semigroup rings of cancellative semigroups', *Glasgow Math. J.* **35** (1993), 1–12.
- [6] D.S. Passman, *The algebraic structure of group rings* (Wiley, New York, 1977).

- [7] D.S. Passman, *Infinite crossed products*, Pure and Applied Mathematics 135 (Academic Press, New York, 1989).
- [8] A. Strojnowski, 'A note on u.p. groups', *Comm. Algebra* 8 (1980), 231–234.

Institute of Mathematics  
Warsaw University  
Banacha 2  
02-791 Warsaw  
Poland