Patient consent to case note perusal during annual visit by the Inspectorate of Mental Hospitals.

Sir, -

It is now standard practice during the annual visits by the Inspectorate of Mental Hospitals for patients' case notes to be perused by the visiting team. This is to insure that adequate standards of patient care are maintained. Case note perusal is an accepted peer review procedure in many countries and is used by such professional bodies as the Royal College of Psychiatrists during Approval Visits. However, the issue of patient consent to this procedure has rarely been commented on.

In the case of the Inspectorate perusals the problems is more complicated as the members of this team are functioning as agents of the state, albeit for the best of reasons and under the legal cover of the 1945 Mental Treatment Act. Nonetheless, it would hardly be acceptable to the Irish public if they were to realise that other people and agents of the state at that, had automatic access to their psychiatric records. I imagine an outcry would follow if the full implications of this situation were to sink in. I suggest therefore that during all such perusals the patient's consent should be obtained. This could be done by either the visiting Inspectorate member or by the accompanying consultant of the hospital or service being inspected. I imagine most patients would readily give consent. They would also be impressed at the high value so placed by the Department of Health on patient confidentiality.

Aidan McGennis,

St. Brendan's Hospital, Rathdown Road, Dublin 7, Ireland.

Irish Journal of Psychological Medicine 1993 October; 10 (3): 168

Clonazepam in tardive dyskinesia

Sir, -

Clonazepam has been reported to reduce tardive dyskinesia (1-3). The drug is believed to mimic the action of gamma-amino-butyric acid which is an inhibitory transmitter in the CNS. This explains the effect on abnormal movements and the drowsiness which is a common initial side-effect. An audit of the use of clonazepam in tardive dyskinesia is described.

Nine patients were identified. There were six females and three males. Ages ranged from 28 – 72 years (mean 59.44, SD 12.56). Diagnosis were manic-depressive illness: three patients; schizophrenia: two patients (one had received a leucotomy in the past); mental handicap, brain injury following road accident, cerebrovascular accident and tertiary syphilis: one case each. Three were outpatients.

Overall, six patients lost their dyskinesia on clonazepam and one improved on a combination of clonazepam and tetrabenazine. The latter remained improved when sulpiride was prescribed following a return of her paranoid symptoms. Dyskinesia had been present for less than a year in three cases, of whom two recovered. There was no evidence of differences by sex or age in this sample.

All patients had received neuroleptics in the past, over a year ago in three cases. One patient (with manic-depressive illness) had received procyclidine in the past. She continued to receive a neuroleptic (sulpiride). Her dyskinesia responded well to clonazepam.

All the dyskinesias had been clinically stable for varying periods prior to receiving clonazepam. Additional time free from neuroleptic drugs might account for the improvement seen. However, two of the three patients who had already been free of neuroleptics for more than one year improved, as did five of the six who had received neuroleptics more recently. This difference was not significant (Fisher exact probability test).

Bobruff et al. (1981) reported greater improvement in orofacial dyskinesias. In this study, of the six cases with mainly orofacial dyskinesia, five recovered completely after a short course of clonazepam (four months on 1 mg. daily) and remained well after restarting neuroleptics (fluphenazine). Orofacial and other dyskinesias did not differ significantly in outcome (Fisher exact probability test).

In terms of diagnosis, two patients (tertiary syphilis; cerebrovascular accident) showed no improvement on clonazepam.

This might indicate a worse prognosis for those with tardive dyskinesia and brain lesions, but the first later improved on tetrabenazine, while three other patients with probable organic brain lesions (congenital mental handicap, brain injury following road accident and schizophrenia followed leucotomy) did well on clonazepam alone.

The dose of clonazepam ranged from 1 mg. to 4.5 mg. daily, the maximum recommended by Thaker et al (1990), over periods ranging from three months to two years. The drug had been withdrawn in four cases: two were recovered, one had improved and one had not improved. Mean duration of treatment to withdrawal was 77.43 weeks in the recovered/improved group and 46 weeks in the unimproved group. One patient with a cerebrovascular accident had only limited benefit from clonazepam but tetrabenazine produced unacceptable oversedation.

Thaker et al. (1983) found a 37.1% decrease in dyskinesia scores against placebo in their 19 cases treated with clonazepam. Most of their patients continued to receive neuroleptics throughout the study, as did some of those reported by Bobruff et al (1981) of whom six out of ten showed at least 50% improvement in dyskinesia scores. The clinical assessment reported here shows relief of dyskinesia or significant improvement with the help of clonazepam in seven out of nine patients, one of whom was still receiving a neuroleptic. This supports the case for further study of clonazepam in the management of tardive dyskinesia.

A suitable research design would include detailed drug histories (including anti-Parkinsonian agents); a standard rating of dyskinesia before, during and after treatment with clonazepam; and no neuroleptic administration during the study. A double-blind trial against placebo or tetrabenazine might well be informative.

Alasdair J Macdonald,

Crichton Royal Hospital, Dumfries DG1 4TG, Scotland.

References

- Bobruff A, Gardos G, Tarsy D, Rapkin RM, Cole JO, and Moore P. Clonazepam and phenobarbital in tardive dyskinesia. Am J Psychiatry 1981: 138: 189-93.
- Chouinard G, Young SN, Annabie L. Antimanic effect of clonazepam. Biol Psychiatry 1983; 18: 451-66.
- Thaker GK, Nguyen JA, Strauss ME, Jacobson R, Kaup BA, and Tamminga CA. Clonazepam treatment of tardive dyskinesia: a practical GABAmimetic strategy. Am J Psychiatry 1990; 147: 445-51.

Epilim Oral Forms Prescribing Information Presentation 1. Epilim 200 Enteric Coated. Alilac-coloured enteric coated tablet containing 200 mg Sodium Valproate B.P.2. Epilim 500 Enteric Coated. Alilac-coloured, enteric coated tablet containing 500mg Sodium Valproate B.P. 3. Epilim 100mg Crushable Tablets. A white, scored tablet containing 100mg Sodium Valproate B.P.4. Epilim Syrup. Ared, cherry-flavoured syrup containing 200mg Sodium Valproate B.P. per 5ml. 5. Epilim Liquid. A red, cherryflavoured, sugar-free liquid containing 200mg Sodium Valproate B.P. per 5ml. **Indications** In the treatment of vaprouse br. per siin. mutations in the treatment or generalized, partial or other epilepsies. Dosage and Administration To be taken with or after food. Epilim may be given twice daily. Enteric coated tablets should be swallowed whole. Moontherapy Adults: Start at 400mg daily increasing by 200mg at 3 day intervals until control is achieved. (Maximum dose 2500mg per day). Children over 20kg: Initially 400mg/day with spaced increases until control is achieved. (Usually within the range of 20-30mg/kg bodyweight per day.) Children under 20kg: 20mg/kg bodyweight per day: in severe cases may be increased but only when plasma valproic acid levels can be monitored Above 40mg/kg per day monitor clinical chemistry and haematological parameters. Combined Therapy It may be necessary to raise the dose when used with anticonvulsants which induce liver enzyme activity. Dosage of barbiturates should be reduced if sedation is observed. Optimum dosage is mainly determined by seizure control and routine measurement of plasma levels is unnecessary. Contra-indications, Warnings Contraindication: Active liver disease, family history of severe hepatic dysfunction, hypersensitivity to sofium valproate. negatic dystantizion, in presentantivi i soli univariano si Side effects: User dysfunction including hepatic failure resulting in fatalities has occurred in patients whose treatment included valiproic acid or sodium valiproate. Patients most at risk are children particularly those under the age of three and those with congenital metabolic or degenerative disorders, organic brain disease or severe seizure disorders associated with mental retardation. The incidents mainly occurred during the first 6 months of therapy and usually involved multiple anticonvulsant Monotherapy is to be preferred in this group of patients. Clinical symptoms are more helpful than laboratory investigations in the early stages of hepatic failure. Serious or fatal hepatotoxicity may be preceded by non-specific symptoms, usually of sudden onset, such as loss of seizure control, malaise, weakness, lethargy, oedema, anorexia, vomiting, abdominal pain, drowsiness, jaundice. These are an indication for immediate withdrawal of the drug. Patients should be instructed to report any such signs to the clinician for investigation should they occur.
Whilst it is difficult to establish which, if any investigation is predictive, tests which reflect protein synthesis eg prothrombin time may be most relevant. Routine measurement of liver function should be undertaken before therapy and periodically during the first six months especially in those who seem most at risk; and those with prior history of liver disease. Hyperammonaemia without hepatic damage can occur; it is usually transient, but may occasionally present clinically. If so, Epilim should be discontinued. Valproic acid inhibits platelet aggregation. Thrombocytopenia has been reported. Prior to initiation of therapy and before surgery clinicians should assure themselves that there is no undue potential for bleeding complications. Spontaneous bruising or bleeding is an indication for withdrawal of medication. Pancreatitis, tremor, weight gain, transient hair loss, increased alertness, aggressiveness, hyperactivity, amenorrhoea, stupor and oedema have been reported. **Drug Interactions** Epilim may potentiate neuroleptics, monoamine oxidase inhibitors and other antidepressants. Caution is recommended when administering products which have anticoagulant properties eg. warfarin and salicylates. Epilim does not induce liver enzymes and loss of efficacy of oral contraceptive agents does not appear to be a problem. Phenytoin levels should be monitored, particularly free form. Women of Childbearing Age An increased incidence of congenital abnormalities (including facial dysmorphia, neural tube defects and multiple malformations) has been demonstrated in offspring born to mothers with epilepsy both untreated and treated, including those treated with sodium valproate. The incidence of neural tube defects in women receiving valproate during the first trimester has been estimated to be in the region of 1%. Pregnancies should be carefully screened by alpha-foetoprotein measurement and ultrasound and if indicated amniocentesis. In all pregnancies monotherapy is to be recommended and dosage reviewed. The benefits of antiepileptic therapy during pregnancy must be evaluated against the possible risks and patients should be informed against the possiole risks and patients house of more of these and the need for screening. Product Authorisation Numbers Epilim 200 Enteric Coated PA 321/1/1. Epilim 500 Enteric Coated PA 321/1/1. Epilim 100mg-crushable tablets PA 321/1/15. High III quild PA 321/1/16. Further information available from: Sanofi Winthrop Ireland Ltd., Pottery Road, Dun Laoghaire, Co. Dublin. Telephone. (01) 284 0315. * Registered trade mark

Reference 1. Dean J.C., Penry J.K. Epilepsia 1988; 29 (2): 140-144



